PUBLICATIONES MATHEMATICAE

24. KÖTET

DEBRECEN
1977

A DEBRECENI TUDOMÁNYEGYETEM MATEMATIKAI INTÉZETE

INDEX

Байнов Д. Д.—Сарафова Г. Хр., Об одном варианте метода усреднения для нелинейных систем интегро дифференциальных уравнений стандартного вида 205
Behrens, E.-A., Topologically arithmetical rings of continuous functions 107
Bowman, H.—Moore, J. D., A method for obtaining proper classes of short exact sequences of Abelian groups 59
Cohn, P. M., Full modules over semifirs 305
Daróczy, Z.—Lajkó, K.—Székelyhidi, L., Functional equations on ordered fields 173
Dhombres, J. G., Itération linéaire d'ordre deux 277
Dikshit, H. P., Absolute total-effective Nörlund method 215
Enersen, P.—Leavitt, W. G., A note on semisimple classes 311
Fritzsche, R., Verallgemeinerung eines Satzes von Kulikov, Szele und Kertész 323
Gilmer, R., Modules that are finite sums of simple submodules 5
Glevitzky, B., On polynomial regression of polynomial and linear statistics 151
Graef, J. R.—Spikes, P. W., Asymptotic properties of solutions of a second order nonlinear differential equation 39
Gregor, J., Tridiagonal matrices and functions analytic in two half-planes 11
Gupta, V. C.—Upadhyay, M. D., Integrability conditions of a structure f_λ satisfying $f^3-\lambda^2 f=0$ 249
Gyires, B., On the asymptotic behavoiour of the generalized multinomial distributions 162
Győry, K., Représentation des nombres entiers par des formes binaires 363
Jakál, L., Über äquivalente parameterinvariante Variations-probleme erster Ordnung 139
Jensen, C. U., Some remarks on valuations and subfields of given codimension in algebraically closed fields 317
Karunakaran, V., A certain radius of convexity problem 1
Kátai, I., The distribution of additive functions on the set of divisors 91
Kátai, I., Research problems in number theory 263
Knebusch, M., Remarks on the paper "Equivalent topological properties of the space of signatures of a semilocal ring" by A. Rosenberg and R. Ware 181
Kumar, V., Convergence of Hermite—Fejér interpolation polynomial on the extended nodes 31
Lajkó, K.—Székelyhidi, L.—Daróczy, Z., Functional equations on ordered fields 173
Lal, H., On radicals in a certain class of semigroups 9
Lambek, J.—Michler, G., On products of full linear rings 123
Leavitt, W. G.—Enersen, P., A note on semisimple classes 311
Loonstra, F., Subproducts and subdirect products 129
Maksa, Gy., On the functional equation $f(x+y)+g(xy)=h(x)+h(y)$ 25
Márki, L.—Rédei, L., Verallgemeinerter Summenbegriff in der p-adischen Analysis mit Anwendung auf die endlichen p-Gruppen 101
Michler, G.—Lambek, J., On products of full linear rings 123
Mlitz, R., Kurosch-Amitsur-Radikale in der universalen Algebra 333
Moore, J. D.—Bowman, H., A method for obtaining proper classes of short exact sequences of Abelian groups 59
Nagy, B., A sine functional equation in Banach algebras 77
Nagy, B., On some functional equations in Banach algebras 257
Nguyen Xuan Ky, On derivatives of an algebraic polynomial of best approximation with weight 21
Papp, Z., On a continuous one parameter group of operator transformations on the field of Mikusiński operators 229
Pareigis, B., Non-additive ring and module theory I. General theory of monoids 189

Pareigis, B., Non-additive ring and module theory II. $\mathscr{C}$-Categories, $\mathscr{C}$-Functors and $\mathscr{C}$-Morphisms 351
Prasad, B. N., The Lie derivatives and areal motion in areal space 65
Puystjens, R., Multiplicative congruences on matrixsemigroups 299
Rédei, L.—Márki, L., Verallgemeinerter Summenbegriff in der p-adischen Analysis mit Anwendung auf die endlichen p-Gruppen 101
Satyanarayana, M., A class of maps acting on semigroups 209
Singh, T., Degree of approximation by harmonic means of Fourier—Laguerre expansions ... 53
Spikes, P. W.—Graef, J. R., Asymptotic properties of solutions of a second order nonlinear differential equation 39
Szabó, J., Eine Methode zur Lösung von metrischen Aufgaben der Perspektive (Zentralaxonometrie) 97
Szalay, I., On generalized absolute Cesaro summability factors 343
Сарафова Г. Хр.—Байнов Д. Д., Об одном варианте метода усреднения для непинейных систем интегро дифференциальных уравнений стандартного вида 205
Székelyhidi, L.—Daróczy, Z.—Lajkó, K., Functional equations on ordered fields 173
Upadhyay, M. D.—Gupta, V. C., Integrability conditions of a structure f_λ satisfying $f^3-\lambda^2 f=0$ 249
Withalm, C., Über das Ähnlichkeitsprinzip für hyperpseudoholomorphe Funktionen 221
Wunderlich, W., Algebraische Beispiele ebener und räumlicher Zindler-Kurven 289
Bibliographie 377

BIBLIOGRAPHIE

M. Eichler, Quadratische Formen und orthogonale Gruppen. 2. Auflage. — H. H. Schaefer, Banach Lattices and Positive Operators. — Lie groups and their representations. Edited by I. M. Gelfand.—J. Dieudonné, Grundzüge der modernen Analysis, II. — Gabriel Klambauer, Mathematical analysis. — Rudolf Lindl, Algebra für Naturwissenschaftler und Ingenieure. — Wu Yi Hsiang, Cohomology theory of topological transformation groups. — Numerische Behandlung von Differentialgleichungen. Herausgegeben von R. Ansorge, I. Collatz, G. Hämmerlin, W. Törnig—Narayan C. Giri, Introduction to Probability and Statistics Part II: Statistics. — R. von Randow, Introduction to the Theory of Matroids. — Die Werke von Jakob Bernoulli. Band 3. Bearbeitet von B. I. van der Waerden, K. Kohli und J. Henny. — Beiträge zur Numerischen Mathematik 3. Herausgegeben von Frieder Kuhnert und Jochen W. Schmidt. — A. M. Olevskij, Fourier Series with Respect to General Orthogonal Systems. — Husemoller, D. Fibre Bundles. — Jonathan S. Golan, Localization of noncommutative rings. — M. Braun, Differential Equations and Their Applications. — E. Harzheim — H. Ratschek, Einführung in die allgemeine Topologie. — J. L. Kelley and I. Namioka, Linear Topological Spaces. — A. Weil, Elliptic Functions according to Eisenstein and Kronecker. — J. Wermer, Banach Algebras and Several Complex Variables. — C. J. Mozzochi—M. S. Gagrat—S. A. Naimpally, Symmetric Generalized Topological Structures. C. J. Mozzochi, Foundations of Analysis. Landau revisited. — G. Pólya—G. Szegő, Problems and Theorems in Analysis. Volume II. — H. J. Kowalsky, Vektoranalysis II. — B. Anger—H. Bauer, Mehrdimensionale Integration. — Beiträge zur Analysis 8. Herausgegeben von R. Klötzler, W. Tutschke, K. Wiener. — Noltemeier, H., Graphentheorie mit Algorithmen und Anwendungen. — G. Nöbeling, Einführung in die nichteuklidischen Geometrien der Ebene. — Werner Gähler, Grundstrukturen der Analysis, I.

77-3523 — Szegedi Nyomda — F. v.: Dobó József

Non-additive ring and module theory II.
$\mathscr{C}$ - Categories, $\mathscr{C}$ - Functors and $\mathscr{C}$ - Morphisms

By B. PAREIGIS (München)

Consider the example (Cat, $\times$, $\mathbf{1}$) of a monoidal category. A monoid in this category is a (small) category $\mathscr{C}$ together with functors $\otimes:\mathscr{C}\times\mathscr{C}\to\mathscr{C}$ and $\mathscr{T}:\mathbf{1}\to\mathscr{C}$ (where we use the notation $\mathscr{T}(e)=I$) such that the diagrams

(*)



commute. This means $A\otimes(B\otimes C)=(A\otimes B)\otimes C$ for all $A, B, C\in\mathscr{C}$ and $A\otimes I= =A=I\otimes A$ for all $A\in\mathscr{C}$. These identities are natural transformations in A, B, C. Such a category $\mathscr{C}$ is called a *strictly monoidal category.* For the general case the two diagrams (*) are commutative up to a natural isomorphism and such that the coherence conditions of § 1. hold. By the coherence theorem of [5] this implies that all morphisms composed of $\alpha:A\otimes(B\otimes C)\cong(A\otimes B)\otimes C$, $\lambda:I\otimes A\cong A$, $\varrho:A\otimes I\cong A$, identities and $\otimes$ which formally have common domain and codomain are equal.

Now consider an object $\mathscr{M}$ in (Cat, $\times$, $\mathbf{1}$) on which a strict monoidal category $\mathscr{C}$ operates in the right. Then $\mathscr{M}$ is a category together with a functor $\otimes:\mathscr{M}\times\mathscr{C}\to\mathscr{M}$, such that

$$M\otimes(C\otimes D)=(M\otimes C)\otimes D \quad \text{for all} \quad M\in\mathscr{M}, \quad C, D\in\mathscr{C}$$

and

$$M\otimes I=M \quad \text{for all} \quad M\in\mathscr{M}.$$

These identities are natural transformations in M, C, D. Such a category $\mathscr{M}$ will be called a *strict $\mathscr{C}$-category.* A useful generalization of this is a *$\mathscr{C}$-category $\mathscr{M}$* for an arbitrary monoidal category $\mathscr{C}$. This is a category $\mathscr{M}$ together with a functor $\otimes:\mathscr{M}\times\mathscr{C}\to\mathscr{M}$ and natural isomorphisms $\beta:M\otimes(C\otimes D)\cong(M\otimes C)\otimes D$ and $\sigma:M\otimes I\cong M$ such that all formal diagrams composed of $\alpha, \beta, \sigma, \lambda, \varrho$, their inverses, $\otimes$ in $\mathscr{C}$, and $\otimes$ with respect to $\mathscr{M}$, identities, and compositions commute.

In particular we require the commutativity of the following diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M\otimes(C\otimes(D\otimes E)) & & \xrightarrow{\beta} & & (M\otimes C)\otimes(D\otimes E) \\ \downarrow M\otimes\alpha & & & & \downarrow \beta \\ M\otimes((C\otimes D)\otimes E) & \xrightarrow{\beta} & (M\otimes(C\otimes D))\otimes E & \xrightarrow{\beta\otimes E} & ((M\otimes C)\otimes D)\otimes E \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M\otimes(I\otimes C) & \xrightarrow{\beta} & (M\otimes I)\otimes C \\ & {\scriptstyle M\otimes\lambda}\searrow \quad \swarrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{\rho}\otimes C} & \\ & M\otimes C & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M\otimes(C\otimes I) & \xrightarrow{\beta} & (M\otimes C)\otimes I \\ & {\scriptstyle M\otimes\rho}\searrow \quad \swarrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{\rho}} & \\ & M\otimes C & \end{array}$$

If $\mathscr{C}$ is a symmetric monoidal category (which corresponds to the notion of a commutative monoid in Cat), then we also require the commutativity of

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M\otimes(I\otimes I) & \xrightarrow{M\otimes\gamma} & M\otimes(I\otimes I) \\ & {\scriptstyle \beta}\searrow & \downarrow \beta \\ & & (M\otimes I)\otimes I \end{array}$$

Now let us regard the corresponding morphisms. A morphism of monoids in Cat is a functor $\mathscr{F}:\mathscr{C}\to\mathscr{D}$ of strictly monoidal categories $\mathscr{C}$ and $\mathscr{D}$, such that

$$\mathscr{F}(C\otimes D)=\mathscr{F}(C)\otimes\mathscr{F}(D)$$

and

$$\mathscr{F}(I)=J$$

where $I \in \mathscr{C}$ and $J \in \mathscr{D}$ are the neutral objects. The identities are natural transformations in C and D. If $\mathscr{C}$ and $\mathscr{D}$ are just monoidal categories then we require natural isomorphisms

$$\delta : \mathscr{F}(C \otimes D) \cong \mathscr{F}(C) \otimes \mathscr{F}(D)$$

$$\zeta : \mathscr{F}(I) \cong J$$

such that the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathscr{F}(C \otimes I) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \mathscr{F}(C) \otimes \mathscr{F}(I) & \xrightarrow{\mathscr{F}(C) \otimes \zeta} & \mathscr{F}(C) \otimes J \\ & \searrow^{\mathscr{F}(\varrho)} & & & \downarrow \varrho \\ & & & & \mathscr{F}(C) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathscr{F}(I \otimes C) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \mathscr{F}(I) \otimes \mathscr{F}(C) & \xrightarrow{\zeta \otimes \mathscr{F}(C)} & J \otimes \mathscr{F}(C) \\ & \searrow^{\mathscr{F}(\lambda)} & & & \downarrow \lambda \\ & & & & \mathscr{F}(C) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathscr{F}(C \otimes (D \otimes E)) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \mathscr{F}(C) \otimes \mathscr{F}(D \otimes E) & \xrightarrow{\mathscr{F}(C) \otimes \delta} & \mathscr{F}(C) \otimes (\mathscr{F}(D) \otimes \mathscr{F}(E)) \\ \downarrow \mathscr{F}(\alpha) & & & & \downarrow \alpha \\ \mathscr{F}((C \otimes D) \otimes E) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \mathscr{F}(C \otimes D) \otimes \mathscr{F}(E) & \xrightarrow{\delta \otimes \mathscr{F}(E)} & (\mathscr{F}(C) \otimes \mathscr{F}(D)) \otimes \mathscr{F}(E). \end{array} \Big|$$

Such a functor is called a *monoidal functor*. If $\mathscr{C}$ and $\mathscr{D}$ are symmetric we require in addition the commutativity of

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathscr{F}(C \otimes D) & \xrightarrow{\mathscr{F}(\gamma)} & \mathscr{F}(D \otimes C) \\ \downarrow \delta & & \downarrow \delta \\ \mathscr{F}(C) \otimes \mathscr{F}(D) & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \mathscr{F}(D) \otimes \mathscr{F}(C). \end{array}$$

Let $\mathscr{M}$ and $\mathscr{N}$ be right $\mathscr{C}$-objects in Cat for a strictly monoidal category $\mathscr{C}$. A $\mathscr{C}$-morphism $\mathscr{F} : \mathscr{M} \to \mathscr{N}$ is a functor such that

$$\mathscr{F}(M \otimes C) = \mathscr{F}(M) \otimes C,$$

where the identity is a natural transformation in M and C. In the general case of $\mathscr{C}$-categories $\mathscr{M}$ and $\mathscr{N}$ for a monoidal category $\mathscr{C}$, a *$\mathscr{C}$-functor* is a functor $\mathscr{F} : \mathscr{M} \to \mathscr{N}$ together with a natural isomorphism

$$\xi : \mathscr{F}(M \otimes C) \cong \mathscr{F}(M) \otimes C$$

such that the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathscr{F}(M \otimes (C \otimes D)) & & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad \xi \quad\quad} & & \mathscr{F}(M) \otimes (C \otimes D) \\ \downarrow \mathscr{F}(\beta) & & & & \downarrow \beta \\ \mathscr{F}((M \otimes C) \otimes D) & \xrightarrow{\xi} & \mathscr{F}(M \otimes C) \otimes D & \xrightarrow{\xi \otimes D} & (\mathscr{F}(M) \otimes C) \otimes D \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(M\otimes I) & \xrightarrow{\xi} & \mathcal{F}(M)\otimes I \\ & {\scriptstyle \mathcal{F}(\rho)}\searrow \quad \swarrow{\scriptstyle \rho} & \\ & \mathcal{F}(M) & \end{array}$$

Finally we introduce natural transformations between monoidal functors, resp. between $\mathscr{C}$-functors. Let $\mathscr{F}:\mathscr{C}\to\mathscr{D}$ and $\mathscr{G}:\mathscr{C}\to\mathscr{D}$ be monoidal functors. A natural transformation $\chi:\mathscr{F}\to\mathscr{G}$ is called a *monoidal transformation* if

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathscr{F}(C\otimes D) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \mathscr{F}(C)\otimes\mathscr{F}(D) \\ \downarrow \chi & & \downarrow \chi\otimes\chi \\ \mathscr{G}(C\otimes D) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \mathscr{G}(C)\otimes\mathscr{G}(D) \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(I) & \searrow^{\zeta} & \\ \chi\downarrow & & I \\ \mathcal{G}(I) & \nearrow_{\zeta} & \end{array}$$

commute.

A natural transformation $\psi:\mathscr{F}\to\mathscr{G}$ between $\mathscr{C}$-functors $\mathscr{F}:\mathscr{M}\to\mathscr{N}$ and $\mathscr{G}:\mathscr{M}\to\mathscr{N}$ is called a $\mathscr{C}$*-morphism* if

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathscr{F}(M\otimes C) & \xrightarrow{\xi} & \mathscr{F}(M)\otimes C \\ \downarrow \psi & & \downarrow \psi\otimes C \\ \mathscr{G}(M\otimes C) & \xrightarrow{\xi} & \mathscr{G}(M)\otimes C \end{array}$$

commutes.

Now we can introduce the notion of a right A-object in a right $\mathscr{C}$-category $\mathscr{D}$, where A is a monoid in C. An object $M\in\mathscr{D}$ together with $\nu_M:M\otimes A\to M$ is an *A-object* if the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M\otimes(A\otimes A) & \overset{\beta}{\cong} & (M\otimes A)\otimes A & \xrightarrow{\nu_M\otimes A} & M\otimes A \\ \downarrow M\otimes\mu_A & & & & \downarrow \nu_M \\ M\otimes A & & \xrightarrow{\qquad\nu_M\qquad} & & M \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \overset{\rho}{\cong} M\otimes I & \xrightarrow{M\otimes\eta} & M\otimes A \\ & {\scriptstyle id_M}\searrow & \downarrow \nu_M \\ & & M \end{array}$$

commute. It is clear how *A-morphisms* are to be defined. Thus we get a category of A-objects $\mathscr{D}_A$.

4.1. **Lemma** *Let $\mathscr{F}:\mathscr{D}\to\mathscr{D}'$ be a $\mathscr{C}$-functor. Then $\mathscr{F}$ induces a functor $\mathscr{F}_A:\mathscr{D}_A\to\mathscr{D}'_A$.*

Proof. Let $(M, \nu_M)\in\mathscr{D}_A$. We define $\mathscr{F}_A(M, \nu_M):=(\mathscr{F}(M), \mathscr{F}'(\nu_M))$ where $\mathscr{F}'(\nu_M)$ is the morphism $\mathscr{F}(M)\otimes A\cong\mathscr{F}(M\otimes A)\xrightarrow{\mathscr{F}(\nu_M)}\mathscr{F}(M)$. The diagrams



and



commute. Similarly if $f:M\to N$ is a morphism in $\mathscr{D}_A$ then $\mathscr{F}(f)$ is in $\mathscr{D}'_A$ since

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathscr{F}(M)\otimes A & \xrightarrow{\mathscr{F}(f)\otimes A} & \mathscr{F}(N)\otimes A \\ \wr\Vert & & \wr\Vert \\ \mathscr{F}(M\otimes A) & \xrightarrow{\mathscr{F}(f\otimes A)} & \mathscr{F}(N\otimes A) \\ \mathscr{F}(\nu_M)\downarrow & & \downarrow\mathscr{F}(\nu_N) \\ \mathscr{F}(M) & \xrightarrow{\quad\mathscr{F}(f)\quad} & \mathscr{F}(N) \end{array}$$

commutes. So define $\mathscr{F}_A(f):=\mathscr{F}(f)$ in $\mathscr{D}'_A$ and we get a functor $\mathscr{F}_A:\mathscr{D}_A\to\mathscr{D}'_A$.

This proof shows already why we had to require certain coherence conditions for the definition of $\mathscr{C}$-functors. The most important property of $\mathscr{C}$-functors which is very similar to properties of exact functors will be discussed later on. First we need a few additional properties of A-objects in $\mathscr{C}$.

By Theorem 2.2 and the remark following 2.3 Lemma 3 of [12] the following is a difference cokernel of a contractible pair in $\mathscr{C}$

$$A\otimes(A\otimes M)\underset{A\otimes\nu_M}{\overset{(\mu_A\otimes M)\circ\alpha}{\rightrightarrows}}A\otimes M\xrightarrow{\nu_M}M$$

for each A-object M in $\mathscr{C}$. In fact only the contraction morphism $(\eta\otimes(A\otimes M))\cdot\lambda^{-1}:A\otimes M\to A\otimes(A\otimes M)$ is in $\mathscr{C}$, the morphisms of the above sequence are even in ${}_A\mathscr{C}$. So we have a difference cokernel of a $(\mathscr{U}:{}_A\mathscr{C}\to\mathscr{C})$-contractible pair in ${}_A\mathscr{C}$.

4.2. **Theorem.** *Let* $\mathscr{F}: {}_A\mathscr{C} \to {}_B\mathscr{C}$ *be a covariant functor. Equivalent are*

a) $\mathscr{F}$ *is a* $\mathscr{C}$*-functor and* $\mathscr{F}$ *preserves difference cokernels of* $\mathscr{U}$*-contractible pairs.*

b) *There is a* $B-A$*-biobject* Q *which is* A*-coflat (which is unique up to an isomorphism) such that* $\mathscr{F} \cong Q \otimes_A$ *as functors from* ${}_A\mathscr{C}$ *to* ${}_B\mathscr{C}$.

Proof. Assume that a) holds. Then we have a difference cokernel

$$\mathscr{F}(A \otimes (A \otimes M)) \rightrightarrows \mathscr{F}(A \otimes M) \to \mathscr{F}(M).$$

Since $\mathscr{F}$ is a $\mathscr{C}$-functor we get a difference cokernel

$$\mathscr{F}(A) \otimes (A \otimes M) \underset{\mathscr{F}(A) \otimes \nu_M}{\overset{(\mathscr{F}'(\mu_A) \otimes M) \circ \alpha}{\rightrightarrows}} \mathscr{F}(A) \otimes M \xrightarrow{\mathscr{F}(\nu_M) \circ \xi^{-1}} \mathscr{F}(M).$$

By definition of $\mathscr{F}(A) \otimes_A M$ in §3 we get a natural isomorphism $\mathscr{F}(M) \cong \mathscr{F}(A) \otimes_A M$ with the $B-A$-biobject $\mathscr{F}(A) = Q$. If $Q' \otimes_A \cong \mathscr{F}$ then $Q' \otimes_A A \cong Q \otimes_A A$ hence $Q' \cong Q$ as $B-A$-biobjects.

Conversely assume that b) holds. The following commutative diagram indicates that $Q \otimes_A : {}_A\mathscr{C} \to {}_B\mathscr{C}$ is a $\mathscr{C}$-functor:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (Q \otimes (A \otimes M)) \otimes X & \rightrightarrows & (Q \otimes M) \otimes X & \to & (Q \otimes_A M) \otimes X \\ \wr\| & & \wr\| & & \wr\| \\ Q \otimes (A \otimes (M \otimes X)) & \rightrightarrows & Q \otimes (M \otimes X) & \to & Q \otimes_A (M \otimes X). \end{array}$$

The verification of the coherence diagrams for $\mathscr{C}$-functors is left to the reader. Now let

$$M \underset{f_1}{\overset{f_0}{\rightrightarrows}} N \xrightarrow{h} P$$

be a difference cokernel of a $\mathscr{U}$-contractible pair in ${}_A\mathscr{C}$ with contraction $g: N \to M$ in $\mathscr{C}$ and section $k: P \to N$ in $\mathscr{C}$ such that $f_0 g = id_N$, $f_1 g f_0 = f_1 g f_1$, $hk = id_P$ and $kh = f_1 g$. Now $Q \otimes$ preserves the whole situation, so we get a commutative diagram



This implies that

$$Q \otimes M \underset{Q \otimes f_1}{\overset{Q \otimes f_0}{\rightrightarrows}} Q \otimes N \xrightarrow{Q \otimes h} Q \otimes P$$

is a difference kokernel of a $\mathscr{U}$-contractible pair [12, 2.3].
A similar diagram is obtained by tensoring with $Q \otimes A$ on the left. This induces a commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (Q \otimes A) \otimes M & \rightrightarrows & (Q \otimes A) \otimes N & \rightarrow & (Q \otimes A) \otimes P \\ \downdownarrows & & \downdownarrows & & \downdownarrows \\ Q \otimes M & \rightrightarrows & Q \otimes N & \rightarrow & Q \otimes P \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Q \otimes_A M & \rightrightarrows & Q \otimes_A N & \rightarrow & Q \otimes_A P \end{array}$$

where all rows and columns are difference cokernels in ${}_B\mathscr{C}$, due to the fact that f_0, f_1 and h are A-morphisms and that colimits commute with colimits. Hence $Q \otimes_A : {}_A\mathscr{C} \to {}_B\mathscr{C}$ preserves difference cokernels of $\mathscr{U}$-contractible pairs.

It seems that the strong property of being a $\mathscr{C}$-functor rarely occurs. But the following theorem shows that this property is closely related to inner hom functors. Let us first consider the case $\mathscr{C} = \mathbf{Z}-\mathrm{Mod}$. Let $\mathscr{F} : {}_A\mathscr{C} \to {}_B\mathscr{C}$ have a right adjoint $\mathscr{G} : {}_B\mathscr{C} \to {}_A\mathscr{C}$. Then $\mathscr{F}$ and $\mathscr{G}$ preserve colimits resp. limits hence they are additive functors. Now the natural bijection

$${}_B\mathscr{C}(\mathscr{F}(M), N) \cong {}_A\mathscr{C}(M, \mathscr{G}(N)) \quad \text{is composed of}$$

$$\mathscr{G} : {}_B\mathscr{C}(\mathscr{F}(M), N) \to {}_A\mathscr{C}(\mathscr{G}\mathscr{F}(M), \mathscr{G}(N))$$

and

$${}_A\mathscr{C}(\Phi M, \mathscr{G}(N)) : {}_A\mathscr{C}(\mathscr{G}\mathscr{F}(M), \mathscr{G}(N)) \to {}_A\mathscr{C}(M, \mathscr{G}(N)).$$

Both maps are homomorphisms of abelian groups since $\mathscr{G}$ is an additive functor and $\Phi M : M \to \mathscr{G}\mathscr{F}(M)$ is in ${}_A\mathscr{C}$. Thus a pair of adjoint functors between ${}_A\mathscr{C}$ and ${}_B\mathscr{C}$ with $\mathscr{C} = \mathbf{Z}-\mathrm{Mod}$ is automatically such that not only the morphism sets ${}_B\mathscr{C}(\mathscr{F}(M), N)$ and ${}_A\mathscr{C}(M, \mathscr{G}(N))$ are isomorphic in the category of sets but even the inner hom functors ${}_B[\mathscr{F}(M), N]$ and ${}_A[M, \mathscr{G}(N)]$ are isomorphic in $\mathscr{C} = \mathbf{Z}-\mathrm{Mod}$. Unfortunately this is not true in the general case. However the following theorem holds

4.3. **Theorem.** a) *Let $\mathscr{C}$ be a closed monoidal category and $\mathscr{F} : {}_A\mathscr{C} \to {}_B\mathscr{C}$ and $\mathscr{G} : {}_B\mathscr{C} \to {}_A\mathscr{C}$ be functors. $\mathscr{F}$ is left adjoint to $\mathscr{G}$ and a $\mathscr{C}$-functor if and only if there is a natural isomorphism*

$${}_B[\mathscr{F}(M), N] \cong {}_A[M, \mathscr{G}(N)]$$

for all $M \in {}_A\mathscr{C}$ and $N \in {}_B\mathscr{C}$.

b) *Let $\mathscr{C}$ be a coclosed monoidal category and $\mathscr{F} : {}_A\mathscr{C} \to {}_B\mathscr{C}$ and $\mathscr{G} : {}_B\mathscr{C} \to {}_A\mathscr{C}$ be functors. $\mathscr{F}$ is right adjoint to $\mathscr{G}$ and a $\mathscr{C}$-functor if and only if there is a natural isomorphism*

$${}_B\langle \mathscr{F}(M), N \rangle \cong {}_A\langle M, \mathscr{G}(N) \rangle$$

for all $M \in {}_A\mathscr{C}$ and $N \in {}_B\mathscr{C}$.

PROOF. First assume that there is a natural isomorphism

$$\Phi : {}_B[\mathscr{F}(M), N] \cong {}_A[M, \mathscr{G}(N)].$$

Then $\mathscr{F}$ is left adjoint to $\mathscr{G}$ by ${}_B\mathscr{C}(\mathscr{F}(M), N) \cong {}_B\mathscr{C}(\mathscr{F}(M)\otimes I, N) \cong \cong \mathscr{C}(I, {}_B[\mathscr{F}(M), N]) \cong \mathscr{C}(I, {}_A[M, \mathscr{G}(N)]) \cong {}_A\mathscr{C}(M\otimes I, \mathscr{G}(N)) \cong {}_A\mathscr{C}(M, \mathscr{G}(N))$. Call this isomorphism φ. It is clear that φ is natural in M and N.

Now define $\xi : \mathscr{F}(M\otimes C) \cong \mathscr{F}(M)\otimes C$ by ${}_B\mathscr{C}(\xi, N)$ as ${}_B\mathscr{C}(\mathscr{F}(M)\otimes C, N) \cong \cong \mathscr{C}(C, {}_B[\mathscr{F}(M), N]) \cong \mathscr{C}(C, {}_A[M, \mathscr{G}(N)]) \cong {}_A\mathscr{C}(M\otimes C, \mathscr{G}(N)) \cong {}_B\mathscr{C}(\mathscr{F}(M\otimes C), N)$. Again ξ is clearly a natural transformation in M and C.

To check the two properties for a $\mathscr{C}$-functor denote by

$$\psi_A : {}_A\mathscr{C}(M\otimes C, M') \cong \mathscr{C}(C, {}_A[M, N'])$$

$$\psi_B : {}_B\mathscr{C}(N\otimes C, N') \cong \mathscr{C}(C, {}_B[N, N'])$$

the adjointness isomorphisms of 3.10. Then the diagram



commutes, the outer hexagon by definition of φ, the lower pentagon by definition of ξ, the upper right hand quadrangle since φ is a natural transformation and the upper left hand triangle since all morphisms of the diagram are isomorphisms. Hence we get a commutative diagram in ${}_B\mathscr{C}$



For the second more complicated diagram for $\mathscr{C}$-functors observe first from § 3 that we have natural isomorphisms

$${}_A[M\otimes C, M'] \cong [C, {}_A[M, M']] \quad \text{and} \quad {}_B[N\otimes C, N'] \cong [C, {}_B[N, N']]$$

and that the diagram



and the corresponding diagram with respect to ${}_B\mathscr{C}$ commute. Thus we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
{}_A[M\otimes(C\otimes D),\mathscr{G}(N)] & \cong & {}_A[(M\otimes C)\otimes D,\mathscr{G}(N)] & \cong & [D,{}_A[M\otimes C,\mathscr{G}(N)]] \\
\wr\| & & & & \wr\| \\
[C\otimes D,{}_A[M,\mathscr{G}(N)]] & & \longrightarrow & & [D,[C,{}_A[M,\mathscr{G}(N)]]] \\
\wr\| & & & & \wr\| \\
[C\otimes D,{}_B[\mathscr{F}(M),N]] & & \longrightarrow & & [D,[C,{}_B[\mathscr{F}(M),N]]] \\
\wr\| & & & & \wr\| \\
{}_B[\mathscr{F}(M)\otimes(C\otimes D),N] & \cong & {}_B[(\mathscr{F}(M)\otimes C)\otimes D,N] & \cong & [D,{}_B[\mathscr{F}(M)\otimes C,N]].
\end{array}$$

Observe now the following diagram



The outer frame commutes, since the previous diagram commutes.

The left quadrangle is commutative since Φ is a natural transformation, the right hand square since ψ_B is a natural transformation, the three outer pentagons by the definition of ξ. Since all morphisms are isomorphisms, the inner pentagon commutes also. Hence we get

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathscr{F}(M\otimes(C\otimes D)) & \longrightarrow & \mathscr{F}(M)\otimes(C\otimes D) \\
\downarrow \mathscr{F}(\beta) & & \downarrow \beta \\
\mathscr{F}((M\otimes C)\otimes D) \xrightarrow{\xi} \mathscr{F}(M\otimes C)\otimes D & \xrightarrow{\xi\otimes D} & (\mathscr{F}(M)\otimes C)\otimes D
\end{array}$$

commutative. This proves one direction of part *a)* of the theorem.

Conversely, let $\mathscr{F}$ be a $\mathscr{C}$-functor and left adjoint to $\mathscr{G}$. Then we have isomorphisms

$$\mathscr{C}(C,{}_B[\mathscr{F}(M),N])\cong{}_B\mathscr{C}(\mathscr{F}(M)\otimes C,N)\cong{}_B\mathscr{C}(\mathscr{F}(M\otimes C),N)\cong$$
$${}_A\mathscr{C}(M\otimes C,\mathscr{G}(N))\cong\mathscr{C}(C,{}_A[M,\mathscr{G}(N)])$$

natural in $C\in\mathscr{C}$, $M\in{}_A\mathscr{C}$ and $N\in{}_B\mathscr{C}$. Hence ${}_B[\mathscr{F}(M), N]\cong{}_A[M, \mathscr{G}(N)]$ is a natural isomorphism.

The proof of part *b)* of the theorem is essentially dual to the proof of part *a)* and is left to the reader.

4.4. **Corollary:** *Let* $\mathscr{F}: {}_A\mathscr{C}\to{}_B\mathscr{C}$ *and* $\mathscr{G}: {}_B\mathscr{C}\to{}_A\mathscr{C}$ *be functors such that there is a natural isomorphism* ${}_B[\mathscr{F}(M), N]\cong{}_A[M, \mathscr{G}(N)]$. *Then there is an A-coflat object* $Q\in{}_B\mathscr{C}_A$ *(unique up to a isomorphism) such that*

$$\mathscr{F}(M)\cong Q\otimes_A M \quad and \quad \mathscr{G}(N)\cong{}_B[Q, N]$$

natural in M *resp.* N.

Proof: Since $\mathscr{F}$ is a $\mathscr{C}$-functor and preserves colimits, Theorem 4.2. implies $\mathscr{F}(M)\cong Q\otimes_A M$. By Proposition 3.11 we also get $\mathscr{G}(N)\cong{}_B[Q, N]$.

If $\mathscr{C}=\mathbf{Z}$-Mod we know in the situation of Theorem 4.3 that $\mathscr{F}$ is an additive functor. This holds more generally.

4.5. **Proposition:** *Let* $\mathscr{C}=K$-Mod *with the usual tensor-product. Let* $\mathscr{F}: {}_A\mathscr{C}\to{}_B\mathscr{C}$ *be a* $\mathscr{C}$*-functor. Then* $\mathscr{F}$ *is K-additive, i.e. for all* $f, g\in{}_A\mathscr{C}(M, N)$, $\varkappa\in K$ *we have*

$$\mathscr{F}(f+g)=\mathscr{F}(f)+\mathscr{F}(g) \quad and \quad \mathscr{F}(\varkappa f)=\varkappa\mathscr{F}(f).$$

Proof. First show that there is a natural isomorphism $\mathscr{F}(M\oplus M)\cong\mathscr{F}(M)\oplus\mathscr{F}(M)$ (natural in $M\in{}_A\mathscr{C}$) such that

$$(*)\qquad \begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathscr{F}(M\oplus M)\\ & \overset{\mathscr{F}(q_i)}{\nearrow} & \\ \mathscr{F}(M) & & \wr\|\\ & \underset{q_i}{\searrow} & \\ & & \mathscr{F}(M)\oplus\mathscr{F}(M)\end{array} \qquad and \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathscr{F}(M\oplus M) & & \\ & \overset{\mathscr{F}(p_i)}{\searrow} & \\ \wr\| & & \mathscr{F}(M)\\ & \underset{p_i}{\nearrow} & \\ \mathscr{F}(M)\oplus\mathscr{F}(M) & & \end{array}$$

for $i=1, 2$ commute, where q_i are the i-th injections and p_i are the i-th projections of the direct sum. The isomorphism is given by $\mathscr{F}(M\oplus M)\cong\mathscr{F}(M\otimes(K\oplus K))\cong\mathscr{F}(M)\otimes(K\oplus K)\cong\mathscr{F}(M)\oplus\mathscr{F}(M)$. It is clearly natural in $M\in{}_A\mathscr{C}$. The commutativity of the first diagram follows from

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathscr{F}(M) & & & \xrightarrow{\quad id_{\mathscr{F}(M)}\quad} & & & \mathscr{F}(M)\\ & \searrow\!\cong & & & & \cong\!\swarrow & \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle\mathscr{F}(q_i)} & & \mathscr{F}(M\otimes K) & \cong & \mathscr{F}(M)\otimes K & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle q_i}\\ & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle\mathscr{F}(M\otimes q_i)} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle\mathscr{F}(M)\otimes q_i} & & \\ \mathscr{F}(M\oplus M) & \cong & \mathscr{F}(M\otimes(K\oplus K)) & \cong & \mathscr{F}(M)\otimes(K\oplus K) & \cong & \mathscr{F}(M)\oplus\mathscr{F}(M)\end{array}$$

The other diagram follows similarly.

The diagrams $(*)$ imply immediately the commutativity of

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathscr{F}(M) & \\ \overset{\mathscr{F}(\Delta)}{\swarrow} & & \overset{\Delta}{\searrow}\\ \mathscr{F}(M\oplus M) & \cong & \mathscr{F}(M)\oplus\mathscr{F}(M)\end{array} \qquad and \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathscr{F}(M\oplus M) & \cong & \mathscr{F}(M)\oplus\mathscr{F}(M)\\ \underset{\mathscr{F}(\nabla)}{\searrow} & & \underset{\nabla}{\swarrow}\\ & \mathscr{F}(M) & \end{array}$$

Furthermore they imply the commutativity of

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathscr{F}(M\oplus M) & \xrightarrow{\mathscr{F}(f\oplus g)} & \mathscr{F}(N\oplus N) \\ \wr\Vert & & \wr\Vert \\ \mathscr{F}(M)\oplus\mathscr{F}(M) & \xrightarrow{\mathscr{F}(f)\oplus\mathscr{F}(g)} & \mathscr{F}(N)\oplus\mathscr{F}(N). \end{array}$$

Hence



commutes, where the first horizontal arrow is $\mathscr{F}(f+g)=\mathscr{F}(f)+\mathscr{F}(g)$.

To show that $\mathscr{F}$ is K-linear, observe that for $f\in{}_A\mathscr{C}(M, N)$ and $\varkappa\in K$ the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M\otimes K & \xrightarrow{f\otimes\varkappa} & N\otimes K \\ \wr\Vert & & \wr\Vert \\ M & \xrightarrow{\varkappa} & N \end{array}$$

commutes. Hence the diagram



commutes, where the lower horizontal arrow is $\mathscr{F}(\varkappa f)=\varkappa\mathscr{F}(f)$.

Bibliography

B. Pareigis, Non-additive Ring and Module Theory I. General Theory of Monoids. *Publ. Math. (Debrecen), this vol.* 189—204.

(Received November 20, 1975.)