Subdiffusive Activity Spreading in the Diffusive Epidemic Process

Borislav Polovnikov, Patrick Wilke, and Erwin Frey

Arnold Sommerfeld Center for Theoretical Physics and Center for NanoScience, Department of Physics, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Theresienstrasse 37, D-80333 Munich, Germany

(Received 7 June 2021; revised 3 November 2021; accepted 8 December 2021; published 15 February 2022)

The diffusive epidemic process is a paradigmatic example of an absorbing state phase transition in which healthy and infected individuals spread with different diffusion constants. Using stochastic activity spreading simulations in combination with finite-size scaling analyses we reveal two qualitatively different processes that characterize the critical dynamics: subdiffusive propagation of infection clusters and diffusive fluctuations in the healthy population. This suggests the presence of a strong-coupling regime and sheds new light on a long-standing debate about the theoretical classification of the system.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.078302

Absorbing state phase transitions are an important class of collective phenomena in nonequilibrium physics, and their analysis has led to many conceptual advances that are also important for more detailed and realistic models [1-4]. Here we study the diffusive epidemic process (DEP), a stochastic many-body system introduced to conceptually model the propagation of an epidemic in a fluctuating population [5,6]. It is defined as a reaction-diffusion model on a lattice with two different particle types A and B which diffuse independently with diffusion constant D_A and D_B . The reactions, $A + B \rightarrow 2B$ and $B \rightarrow A$, can be interpreted as an infection of a "healthy" individual (A) by a "sick" one (B) with infection rate λ , and recovery of sick individuals with a typical recovery time τ . Interestingly, it can also be viewed as a minimal model for cell polarity [7,8]. Compared to the epidemic process described by the prominent directed percolation [1], there are two important conceptual differences, namely, that it contains two different types of particles instead of only one, and that the reactions preserve the total number of particles. Both, as we will show, have important consequences for the critical dynamics at the phase transition from an active state with a finite fraction of *B* particles to an absorbing state in which *B* particles are absent.

While we are interested in the full stochastic dynamics of the DEP, it is instructive to first consider the corresponding mean-field description in terms of (mass-conserving) reaction-diffusion equations [9]

$$\partial_t a(x,t) = D_A \nabla^2 a - \lambda a b + b/\tau,$$
 (1a)

$$\partial_t b(x,t) = D_B \nabla^2 b + \lambda a b - b/\tau.$$
 (1b)

Here a(x, t) and b(x, t) denote the time-dependent local densities of individuals of type A and B, respectively. The total particle density n(x, t) = a(x, t) + b(x, t) plays a special role as its spatial average ρ is a conserved quantity and hence can serve as a control parameter for the system's behavior. Upon decreasing the average total density ρ , this mean-field theory shows a transition (transcritical bifurcation) from an active state with a finite (average) density of sick individuals to an absorbing state with b(x, t) = 0at $\rho_c^{\text{mf}} = (\lambda \tau)^{-1}$.

The full stochastic model is formulated in terms of a master equation that can be mapped to a field theory for the corresponding particle densities; for reviews see, e.g., Refs. [4,10,11]. This field theory, which is a genuine extension of the Reggeon field theory describing directed percolation [12], serves as a starting point for a renormalization group (RG) analysis of the dynamics in the vicinity of the absorbing state phase transition [4]. In such RG studies, four different universality classes have been identified, depending on the relative size of the diffusion constants [5,6,13,14]. The special case $D_B >$ $D_A = 0$ was shown to be equivalent to the quenched Edwards-Wilkinson surface growth [13,14], and the cases $D_A = D_B$ and $0 < D_A < D_B$ were both amenable to a perturbative RG calculation close to the upper critical dimension $d_{\rm uc} = 4$. The (biologically more relevant [7,8]) case $D_A > D_B$, however, proved to be theoretically challenging and puzzling, since neither perturbative [6] nor nonperturbative methods [15] have yet found a stable fixed-point structure. The absence of a RG fixed point was initially interpreted as evidence for a fluctuationinduced discontinuous phase transition [16]. However,

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI.

later numerical simulations clearly indicated a continuous transition, but largely disagreed on the values for the critical exponents [17–24].

Hence, the nature of the absorbing state phase transition, the values of the critical exponents, and their relation to the limiting case of equal diffusion constants remain important open questions, which we address here by means of largescale numerical simulations of the one-dimensional DEP employing a Gillespie algorithm [25,26]. There are two complementary ways to simulate the critical dynamics of absorbing state phase transitions [1]. In simulations studying the dynamic spreading of activity [1,2,27,28] one initializes seeds of "sick" individuals (B particles) and then statistically analyses the dynamics of the ensuing Bclusters. This approach has previously been employed to obtain highly accurate values for a variety of systems including directed percolation [29-34], pair contact processes [35], branching and annihilating random walks [36], and the triplet annihilation model [37]. The most important observables are the mean number of B particles $\langle N_B(\rho, t) \rangle$ in the cluster, the survival probability $P_{surv}(\rho, t)$ of these clusters and their mean-square radius $\langle R^2(\rho, t) \rangle$, conditional on survival, as a function of total particle density ρ and time t. At a continuous absorbing state phase transition the following scaling relations hold [2,28]:

$$\langle N_B(\rho,t)\rangle = t^{\theta} \hat{N}_B((\rho - \rho_c)^{\nu_{\parallel}} t), \qquad (2a)$$

$$P_{\rm surv}(\rho,t) = t^{-\delta} \hat{P}_{\rm surv}((\rho - \rho_c)^{\nu_\parallel} t), \qquad (2{\rm b})$$

$$\langle R^2(\rho,t)\rangle = t^{2/z} \hat{R^2}((\rho-\rho_c)^{\nu_{\parallel}}t), \qquad (2c)$$

where ρ_c is the critical total density, and θ , ν_{\parallel} , $\delta = \beta'/\nu_{\parallel}$, and $z = \nu_{\parallel}/\nu_{\perp}$ are independent critical exponents. Alternatively, one may simulate the dynamics starting from a system homogeneously filled with *B* particles. In this case one expects a similar scaling relation for the survival probability as above, whereas the average density of sick individuals, $\langle \rho_B(\rho, t) \rangle$, then obeys the scaling form [1,2]

$$\langle \rho_B(\rho, t) \rangle = t^{-\beta/\nu_{\parallel}} \hat{\rho}_B((\rho - \rho_c)^{\nu_{\parallel}} t), \qquad (3)$$

with an exponent β that in general is different from β' . We will measure time in units of the recovery time, setting $\tau = 1$, and length in units of the lattice spacing. For specificity, we fix the infection rate to $\lambda = 0.2$; see the Supplemental Material [38] for details of the numerical implementation.

We start our analysis with the case of equal diffusion constants, $D = D_{A,B}$. Then, the dynamics greatly simplifies as the total density n(x, t) = a(x, t) + b(x, t) strictly obeys a diffusion equation, $\partial_t n = D\nabla^2 n$, and there are other general implications for the critical dynamics: The absence of propagator renormalizations in perturbative RG analyses [5,6] suggests that the dynamic exponent equals z = 2,



FIG. 1. Scaling analysis for equal diffusion constants. (a) Scaling plot for the density of *B* particles $\langle \rho_B \rangle$ using Eq. (3). (b) Mean-square cluster radius $\langle R^2 \rangle$ at the critical density ρ_c and the corresponding scaling plot with z = 2 (inset); a typical simulation run is shown in movie1 [38]. The diffusion constant is set to D = 1.

i.e., the dynamics is *diffusive*, and rapidity reversal symmetry implies $\beta = \beta'$ [2].

Figure 1(a) shows our simulation results for the total density $\langle \rho_B \rangle$ of *B* particles, starting from a spatially uniform state. A scaling analysis of the raw data for $\langle \rho_B \rangle$ using Eq. (3) yields the critical density $\rho_c = 6.995(10)$, and the critical exponents $\beta/\nu_{\parallel} = 0.087(6)$ and $\nu_{\parallel} = 4.05(40)$, with the error margins estimated from the breakdown of a reasonable data collapse; see Supplemental Material [38]. At the critical density ρ_c , the mean-square radius $\langle R^2 \rangle$ of a cluster of B particles seeded at the origin exhibits powerlaw behavior $\langle R^2 \rangle \sim t^{2/z}$ with z = 2.00(4), which saturates at a characteristic timescale $t_h(L)$ that depends on the system size L [Fig. 1(b)]. Finite size scaling is consistent with $\langle R^2 \rangle(t,L) = t^{2/z} F(t/L^z)$, i.e., the saturation of cluster growth at $t_h(L) \sim L^z$ shows the same scaling behavior as cluster growth itself. This is precisely what one would expect if the critical dynamics of the DEP is characterized by a single timescale, which conclusively shows that the epidemic process for equal diffusion constants is diffusive, consistent with earlier simulation results [20,22] and RG analyses [5,6,43]. Within the error margins, the above results are also consistent with the correlation length exponent $\nu_{\perp} = 2/d$, which was argued to be exact due to symmetries of the underlying field theory [6,43], but has originally [44] and recently been questioned by a nonperturbative functional RG study [15].

Finally, we performed a scaling analysis of $\langle N_B \rangle$ and P_{surv} and find $\theta = 0.32(2)$ and $\beta' = \beta$, respectively; see Supplemental Material [38]. These results affirm the rapidity reversal symmetry and the ensuing hyperscaling relation $\theta \nu_{\parallel} = d\nu_{\parallel}/z - \beta - \beta'$; see Refs. [1].

Next, we studied the case where the sick individuals diffuse more slowly than the healthy ones, $D_B < D_A$. For the time being, we set the diffusion constants to $D_A = 1$ and $D_B = 0.5$, which corresponds to a situation where, during a typical recovery period, the mean-square distance traveled by both types of particles is of the order of the lattice spacing.



FIG. 2. Scaling analysis for unequal diffusion constants, $D_A > D_B$, using simulation data for a set of total densities ρ as indicated in the graph (different colors): (a)-(c) The meansquare radius $\langle R^2 \rangle$, mean number $\langle N_B \rangle$ of B particles, and survival probability P_{surv} of a cluster evolving from an initial seed of B particles placed in the center of the lattice, respectively. The straight dashed line in (a) indicates a power-law growth $\langle R^2 \rangle \sim t^{2/z}$ with the dynamical exponent z = 3.0. The insets show scaling collapses using Eq. (2). (d) The mean B-particle density in a system starting from a homogeneously filled lattice with the inset showing the scaling collapse using Eq. (3). The system size is L = 4096 and the ensemble size is 50 000 and 4000 for simulations starting from a seed (a)–(c) or a spatially uniform system (d), respectively. For typical simulation runs starting from a seed or homogeneous state please see movie2 and movie3 in Ref. [38].

All of our data (Fig. 2) are consistent with the scaling forms given by Eqs. (2) and (3), clearly showing that the corresponding absorbing state phase transition is continuous. In the activity spreading simulations, we get the best statistics for the mean number $\langle N_B \rangle$ of B particles in the cluster and the cluster survival probability P_{surv} , since these quantities are obtained by averaging over all 50 000 realizations [Figs. 2(b) and 2(c)]. From a scaling analysis of these simulation data we determine accurate estimates of the critical density $\rho_c = 6.765(5)$ and the critical exponents $\delta = \beta' / \nu_{\parallel} = 0.66(3), \theta = -0.38(4), \text{ and } \nu_{\parallel} = 3.8(5).$ The scaling analysis of the mean density of B particles $\langle \rho_B \rangle$, obtained from simulations starting from a homogeneous initial condition, confirms the estimate for the critical density and yields the estimates $\beta/\nu_{\parallel} = 0.47(3)$ and $\nu_{\parallel} =$ 3.8(5) [Fig. 2(d)]. The value for the exponent β/ν_{\parallel} is in accordance with earlier computational studies analysing the steady states [20], while the exponents δ and θ have not been measured previously. In particular, our data for the survival probability in the dynamic spreading simulations clearly show that for $D_A \neq D_B$ the rapidity reversal



FIG. 3. Dynamic spreading simulation data for $\langle R^2 \rangle(t)$ at the critical density $\rho_c = 6.765$ for varying system sizes L as indicated in the graph in the regime $D_A > D_B$. (a) The raw data for the mean-square radius shows a crossover from diffusive growth $(z_s = 2)$ to asymptotic subdiffusive spreading $(z_s \approx 3)$ before it saturates at $\langle R^2 \rangle \sim L^{\alpha}$ with $\alpha = 2z_b/z_s \approx 4/3$. (b) Finite size scaling analysis using the scaling law given in Eq. (4). The scaling collapse is best for $z_b = 2.0(1)$ and $z_s = 3.0(1)$. The parameters of the simulations were as specified in the main text with an ensemble size of 50 000.

symmetry is broken $(\beta \neq \beta')$ as expected from field theory [6].

Surprisingly, our activity spreading simulations show that the mean-square cluster radius at the critical density ρ_c grows *subdiffusively*, $\langle R^2 \rangle \sim t^{2/z_s}$, with a dynamic exponent $z_s = 3.0(1)$. This appears to be in conflict with previous simulations that obtained $z \approx 2$ by measuring the average extinction time of homogeneously initialized lattices [20,22]. Perturbative RG studies also find z = 2 [6,43]: it is argued that in a perturbative calculation, independent of D_A and D_B , there are no terms that would renormalize the diffusion terms. This indicates that our numerical results point to a strong coupling behaviour that is inaccessible to perturbation theory. To investigate this further, we now resort to a finite-size scaling analysis for the mean-square cluster radius at the critical density (Fig. 3). We make two key observations: (i) At early times, clusters spread diffusively with $z_s = 2$, followed by a crossover to an asymptotic critical behavior with $z_s \approx 3$. (ii) Depending on the system size L, the mean-square radius $\langle R^2 \rangle$ saturates at some characteristic timescale $t_h(L)$. This raises two central questions. What is the dynamic process that leads to this saturation and is it different from the process that drives the spreading of the cluster? If the processes are different and both are associated with the critical dynamics of the DEP, then the data should obey the following generalized finite size scaling law,

$$\langle R^2 \rangle(t,L) = t^{2/z_s} F(t/L^{z_b}), \tag{4}$$

with different dynamic exponents for cluster growth (z_s) and the dynamics responsible for the *saturation* of cluster growth (z_b) . This is indeed what we find [Fig. 3(b)]: the scaling collapse works best for $z_b = 2.0(1)$ and $z_s = 3.0(1)$.

We also performed a finite size scaling analysis of the simulation data obtained for systems initialized with a homogeneous distribution of B particles. Figure 4(a) shows



FIG. 4. Finite size scaling analysis for homogeneous initial state at criticality, $D_A > D_B$. (a) Simulation data for the density $\langle \rho_B \rangle$ (open circles) and the survival probability P_{surv} (dashed lines) as a function of time *t* at $\rho_c = 6.765$ and a set of system sizes *L* as indicated in the graph. (b) A finite size scaling analysis for the density of *B* particles using Eq. (5) produces a data collapse for $z_b = 2.0(1)$ and $\beta / \nu_{\parallel} = 0.46(3)$. The ensemble size is 10 000.

the time evolution of $\langle \rho_B \rangle(t)$ and $P_{\text{surv}}(t)$ at the critical density $\rho_c = 6.765$ for different system sizes. The mean density exhibits a power law decay $\langle \rho_B \rangle \sim t^{-\beta/\nu_{\parallel}}$ with the exponent $\beta/\nu_{\parallel} = 0.46(3)$ until finite size effects set in, which lead to an exponential decay into the absorbing state.

The survival probability P_{surv} exhibits two qualitatively different regimes. For small times, $P_{surv} \approx 1$, indicating mean-field behavior; note that the actual critical density ρ_c is above the respective mean-field value $\rho_c^{\rm mf} = 5$, so that there is a stable fixed point in this mean-field regime corresponding to a finite density of B particles. The subsequent power law regime corresponds to critical behavior with the same exponent as observed in the initial seed simulations, namely $\beta'/\nu_{\parallel} = 0.67(2)$. This is consistent with the intuition gained from individual simulation runs showing that an initially homogeneous spatial distribution of B particles always evolves into a set of wellseparated B clusters, and is further corroborated by measuring the autocorrelation function of B particles which also spreads according to the power law $t^{1/3}$ (see Supplemental Material [38] and movie5). Together, this suggests that the dynamics of systems with homogeneous initial conditions is governed by that of individual B-clusters; compare movie2 and movie3 [38].

The onset of finite size effects coincides for the survival probability and the *B*-particle density. Similar as for the mean-square radius, we make the finite size scaling ansatz

$$\rho_B(L,t) = t^{-\beta/\nu_{\parallel}} \tilde{\rho}_B(t/L^{z_b}), \tag{5}$$

and find $z_b = 2.0(1)$; see Fig. 4(b). This suggests that the characteristic processes that determine density fluctuations in systems initialized from a spatially homogeneous state are diffusive; we had already anticipated this by denoting this exponent as z_b . Taken together with the dynamic spreading data, this shows that there are—in a sense yet to be specified—two qualitatively distinct dynamic processes,



FIG. 5. Dynamic spreading simulation data in the regime $D_A > D_B$ for systems (of size L = 512) initialized with a small cluster of *B* particles at the origin, averaged over an ensemble of size of 100 000: Average densities of (a) *A* and *B* particles and of (b) the total density n(x, t) for a set of times *t* indicated in the graph.

one responsible for the spreading of clusters and the other for density fluctuations.

Consider a dynamic spreading simulation starting with a small B cluster in a background of A particles. Since $D_B < D_A$, B particles spread slower than A particles. Moreover, as sick individuals (B) infect healthy individuals (A) the "background" field a(x, t) is reduced in the vicinity of the B cluster; for an illustration see Fig. 5(a) and movie2 in Ref. [38]. Now, in stark contrast to the case of equal diffusion constants, the total density n(x, t) shows a non-Gaussian profile: While in the center of the spreading cluster the density is above the critical density ρ_c , it then drops significantly below ρ_c and approaches ρ_c from below at large distances. These "depletion zones" with $n(x, t) < \rho_c$ suppress the spreading of the B cluster since they correspond to spatial regimes which are in the absorbing phase (movie4 [38]). In this regime, the density of B particles is driven exponentially fast to zero, creating a kind of "selftrapping" effect. We hypothesize that this is the origin of the observed subdiffusive spreading with $\langle R^2 \rangle \sim t^{0.66(3)}$.

This leaves the question of why the saturation time for cluster growth scales as $t_b \sim L^2$. Since the A particles spread faster than the *B* particles $(D_A > D_B)$ and the *B* particles show self-trapping, this must be linked with the dynamics of the A particles. Cluster growth depends on the influx of A particles supplied by a diffusion process from the reservoir outside the cluster. For an infinite system, this reservoir will not deplete and remain at the critical density ρ_c . However, for a finite system, the reservoir will be depleted due to the continued influx of A particles into the cluster on a time scale $\sim L^2/D_A$, so that the total density at the boundary of the system eventually falls below the critical density. Once below the critical density the system will be driven exponentially fast towards the absorbing state as we observe in our simulations (Fig. 3). In summary, the diffusive dynamics of the A particles outside of the cluster drives saturation of cluster growth.

Finally, the question remains how the dynamics reduces to one with a single timescale in the limit $D_B \rightarrow D_A$. Our simulations for $\langle R^2 \rangle$ show that for all $D_B \neq D_A$ there is the same crossover from diffusive $(z_s = 2)$ to subdiffusive $(z_s = 3)$ spreading as found in Fig. 3(a), with the crossover time t_{\times} increasing as D_B approaches D_A (see Supplemental Material [38]). This suggests that $t_{\times} \to \infty$ as $D_B \nearrow D_A$ and that for unequal diffusion constants there is a crossover from a "diffusive" fixed point to a "strong-coupling" fixed point that has so far escaped renormalization group analysis.

In summary, our stochastic simulations show that in the DEP spreading of clusters containing sick individuals is subdiffusive with $z_s \approx 3$ while the density fluctuations of the background of healthy individuals remain diffusive. It is the extinction dynamics of these clusters-independent of the initial conditions-that dominates the survival of the active states and that determines the corresponding critical exponents. These results strongly suggest that when diffusion constants are unequal with sick individuals spreading slower than healthy individuals, the dynamics are governed by a strong coupling fixed point. This puts the DEP process in the same class as other strongly coupled phenomena in nonequilibrium physics, such as surface growth [45]. We hope that our work will stimulate mathematical, possibly nonperturbative approaches that would help to decipher the observed anomalous dynamics.

We would like to thank Fridtjof Brauns and Uwe Täuber for stimulating and helpful discussions. We acknowledge financial support by the Deutsche Foschungsgemeinschaft through the Excellence Cluster ORIGINS under Germany's Excellence Strategy (EXC-2094-390783311), and the funding initiative "What is life?" of the VolkswagenStiftung.

*Corresponding author. frey@lmu.de

- [1] H. Hinrichsen, Non-equilibrium critical phenomena and phase transitions into absorbing states, Adv. Phys. **49**, 815 (2000).
- [2] M. Henkel, H. Hinrichsen, S. Lübeck, and M. Pleimling, *Non-Equilibrium Phase Transitions* (Springer, New York, 2008), Vol. 1.
- [3] G. Ódor, Universality classes in nonequilibrium lattice systems, Rev. Mod. Phys. 76, 663 (2004).
- [4] U. C. Täuber, M. Howard, and B. P. Vollmayr-Lee, Applications of field-theoretic renormalization group methods to reaction-diffusion problems, J. Phys. A 38, R79 (2005).
- [5] R. Kree, B. Schaub, and B. Schmittmann, Effects of pollution on critical population dynamics, Phys. Rev. A 39, 2214 (1989).
- [6] F. Van Wijland, K. Oerding, and H. J. Hilhorst, Wilson renormalization of a reaction–diffusion process, Physica (Amsterdam) 251A, 179 (1998).
- [7] S. J. Altschuler, S. B. Angenent, Y. Wang, and L. F. Wu, On the spontaneous emergence of cell polarity, Nature (London) 454, 886 (2008).
- [8] F. Brauns, J. Halatek, and E. Frey, Phase-Space Geometry of Mass-Conserving Reaction-Diffusion Dynamics, Phys. Rev. X 10, 041036 (2020), 1812.08684.

- [9] E. Frey and F. Brauns, Self-organisation of Protein Patterns, arXiv:2012.01797.
- [10] K. Jörg Wiese, Coherent-state path integral versus coarsegrained effective stochastic equation of motion: From reaction diffusion to stochastic sandpiles, Phys. Rev. E 93, 042117 (2016).
- [11] M. F. Weber and E. Frey, Master equations and the theory of stochastic path integrals, Rep. Prog. Phys. 80, 046601 (2017).
- [12] J. L. Cardy and R. L. Sugar, Directed percolation and Reggeon field theory, J. Phys. A 13, L423 (1980).
- [13] P. Le Doussal and K. J. Wiese, Exact Mapping of the Stochastic Field Theory for Manna Sandpiles to Interfaces in Random Media, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 110601 (2015).
- [14] H.-K. Janssen and O. Stenull, Directed percolation with a conserved field and the depinning transition, Phys. Rev. E 94, 042138 (2016).
- [15] M. Tarpin, F. Benitez, L. Canet, and N. Wschebor, Nonperturbative renormalization group for the diffusive epidemic process, Phys. Rev. E 96, 022137 (2017).
- [16] K. Oerding, F. Van Wijland, J.-P. Leroy, and H. Jan Hilhorst, Fluctuation-induced first-order transition in a nonequilibrium steady state, J. Stat. Phys. 99, 1365 (2000).
- [17] J. E. de Freitas, L. S. Lucena, L. R. da Silva, and H. J. Hilhorst, Critical behavior of a two-species reaction-diffusion problem, Phys. Rev. E 61, 6330 (2000).
- [18] U. L. Fulco, D. N. Messias, and M. L. Lyra, Critical behavior of a one-dimensional diffusive epidemic process, Phys. Rev. E 63, 066118 (2001).
- [19] D. Bertrand, Y. Siqueira, M. L. Lyra, I. Gleria, and C. Argolo, Critical behavior of a two-species reaction-diffusion problem in 2d, Physica (Amsterdam) 386A, 748 (2007).
- [20] D. Souza Maia and R. Dickman, Diffusive epidemic process: Theory and simulation, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 19, 065143 (2007).
- [21] D. Souza Maia and R. Dickman, The nature of the absorbing-state phase transition in the diffusive epidemic process, J. Phys. A 41, 405002 (2008).
- [22] A. M. Filho, G. Corso, M. L. Lyra, and U. L. Fulco, Critical properties of the diffusive epidemic process obtained via an automatic search technique, J. Stat. Mech. (2010) P04027.
- [23] C. Argolo, V. Tenório, and M. L. Lyra, Stationary and dynamical critical behavior of the three-dimensional diffusive epidemic process, Physica (Amsterdam) 517A, 422 (2019).
- [24] C. Argolo, V. Tenório, and S. S. Albuquerque, Diffusive epidemic process in 3D: a two-species reaction–diffusion phase transition, J. Stat. Mech. (2021) 033217.
- [25] D. T. Gillespie, Stochastic simulation of chemical kinetics, Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 58, 35 (2007).
- [26] M. A. Gibson and J. Bruck, Efficient exact stochastic simulation of chemical systems with many species and many channels, J. Phys. Chem. A 104, 1876 (2000).
- [27] P. Grassberger and A. de la Torre, Reggeon field theory (schlögl's first model) on a lattice: Monte carlo calculations of critical behaviour, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **122**, 373 (1979).
- [28] S. Lübeck, Universal scaling behavior of non-equilibrium phase transitions, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 18, 3977 (2004).

- [29] P. Grassberger, Directed percolation in 2 + 1 dimensions, J. Phys. A 22, 3673 (1989).
- [30] I. Jensen, H. C. Fogedby, and R. Dickman, Critical exponents for an irreversible surface reaction model, Phys. Rev. A 41, 3411 (1990).
- [31] I. Jensen, Universality class of a one-dimensional cellular automaton, Phys. Rev. A 43, 3187 (1991).
- [32] I. Jensen, Critical behavior of the three-dimensional contact process, Phys. Rev. A 45, R563 (1992).
- [33] P. Grassberger and Y.-C. Zhang, Self-organized formulation of standard percolation phenomena, Physica (Amsterdam) 224A, 169 (1996).
- [34] C. A. Voigt and R. M. Ziff, Epidemic analysis of the secondorder transition in the ziff-gulari-barshad surface-reaction model, Phys. Rev. E 56, R6241 (1997).
- [35] J. Dong Noh and H. Park, Universality class of absorbing transitions with continuously varying critical exponents, Phys. Rev. E 69, 016122 (2004).
- [36] I. Jensen, Critical exponents for branching annihilating random walks with an even number of offspring, Phys. Rev. E 50, 3623 (1994).
- [37] R. Dickman, Nonequilibrium critical behavior of the triplet annihilation model, Phys. Rev. A 42, 6985 (1990).
- [38] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/ supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.078302 for descriptions of the provided movies, details on the

numerical implementation of the stochastic simulations as well as supporting graphs and data for both regimes of $D_A = D_B$ and $D_A > D_B$, which includes Refs. [1,2,6,17,18,20,22,26,39–42].

- [39] D. T. Gillespie, A general method for numerically simulating the stochastic time evolution of coupled chemical reactions, J. Comput. Phys. **22**, 403 (1976).
- [40] D. T. Gillespie, Exact stochastic simulation of coupled chemical reactions, J. Phys. Chem-US 81, 2340 (1977).
- [41] T. H. Cormen, C. E. Leiserson, R. L. Rivest, and C. Stein, *Introduction to Algorithms* (MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 2009).
- [42] E. Perlsman and S. Havlin, Method to estimate critical exponents using numerical studies, Europhys. Lett. 58, 176 (2002).
- [43] H.-K. Janssen, Comment on "Critical behavior of a twospecies reaction-diffusion problem", Phys. Rev. E 64, 058101 (2001).
- [44] J. E. De Freitas, L. S. Lucena, L. R. da Silva, and H. J. Hilhorst, Reply to "Comment on 'Critical behavior of a twospecies reaction-diffusion problem", Phys. Rev. E 64, 058102 (2001).
- [45] M. Kardar, G. Parisi, and Y.-C. Zhang, Dynamic Scaling of Growing Interfaces, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 889 (1986).