DOI: 10.1002/epi4.12859

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Association between retinal thickness and disease characteristics in adult epilepsy: A cross-sectional OCT evaluation

Luisa Delazer¹ | Han Bao^{2,3} | Michael Lauseker² | Livia Stauner¹ | Georg Nübling^{4,5} | Julian Conrad^{4,6} | Soheyl Noachtar^{1,4} | Joachim Havla⁷ | Elisabeth Kaufmann^{1,4}

¹Epilepsy Center, Department of Neurology, LMU University Hospital, LMU Munich, Munich, Germany

²Institute for Medical Information Processing, Biometry, and Epidemiology, Ludwig Maximilians University, Munich, Germany

³Institute for Statistics, Munich, Germany

⁴Department of Neurology, LMU University Hospital, LMU Munich, Munich, Germany

⁵German Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases, Munich, Germany

⁶Division for Neurodegenerative Diseases, Universitätsmedizin Mannheim, University of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany

⁷Institute of Clinical Neuroimmunology, LMU Hospital, LMU Hospital, Ludwig Maximilians University, Munich, Germany

Correspondence

Luisa Delazer, Epilepsy Center, Department of Neurology, LMU University Hospital, LMU Munich, Marchioninistr. 15, 81377 Munich, Germany.

Email: luisa.delazer@hotmail.com

Abstract

Objective: Thinning of the peripapillary retinal nerve fiber layer (p-RNFL), as measured by optical coherence tomography (OCT), was recently introduced as a promising marker for cerebral neuronal loss in people with epilepsy (PwE). However, its clinical implication remains to be elucidated. We thus aimed to (1) systematically characterize the extent of the retinal neuroaxonal loss in a broad spectrum of unselected PwE and (2) to evaluate the main clinical determinants. **Methods:** In this prospective study, a spectral-domain OCT evaluation was performed on 98 well-characterized PwE and 85 healthy controls (HCs) (18–55 years of age). All inner retinal layers and the total macula volume were assessed. Group comparisons and linear regression analyses with stepwise backward selection were performed to identify relevant clinical and demographic modulators of the retinal neuroaxonal integrity.

Results: PwE (age: 33.7 ± 10.6 years; 58.2% female) revealed a significant neuroaxonal loss across all assessed retinal layers (global pRNFL, P=0.001, $\Delta=4.24 \mu m$; macular RNFL, P<0.001, $\Delta=0.05 \text{ mm}^3$; ganglion cell inner plexiform layer, P<0.001, $\Delta=0.11 \text{ mm}^3$; inner nuclear layer, INL, P=0.03, $\Delta=0.02 \text{ mm}^3$) as well as significantly reduced total macula volumes (TMV, P<0.001, $\Delta=0.18 \text{ mm}^3$) compared to HCs (age: 31.2 ± 9.0 years; 57.6% female). The extent of retinal neuroaxonal loss was associated with the occurrence and frequency of tonic–clonic seizures and the number of antiseizure medications, and was most pronounced in male patients. **Significance:** PwE presented an extensive retinal neuroaxonal loss, affecting not only the peripapillary but also macular structures. The noninvasive and economic measurement via OCT bears the potential to establish as a practical tool to inform patient management, as the extent of the retinal neuroaxonal loss reflects aspects of disease severity and sex-specific vulnerability.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2023 The Authors. Epilepsia Open published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of International League Against Epilepsy.

237

Plain Language Summary

The retina is an extension of the brain and closely connected to it. Thus, cerebral alterations like atrophy reflect also on the retinal level. This is advantageous, as the retina is easily accessible and measureable with help of the optical coherence tomography. Here we report that adults with epilepsy have a significantly thinner retina than healthy persons. Especially people with many big seizures and a lot of medications have a thinner retina. We propose that measurement of the retina can be useful as a marker of disease severity and to inform patient management.

K E Y W O R D S

anti-seizure medication, brain atrophy, disease burden, focal to bilateral tonic clonic seizures, optical coherence tomography, sexual dimorphism

1 | INTRODUCTION

People with epilepsy (PwE) do not only suffer from seizures but also from adverse long-term consequences like brain atrophy.¹ However, a sensitive and clinically feasible marker to estimate and monitor the individual disease sequelae is still missing. Thus, patient management is still mainly based on seizure diaries which are known to be highly incorrect.²

Recently, the thickness/volume of the inner retinal layers was suggested as a reliable surrogate marker for cerebral axonal injury in healthy volunteers as well as several neurological conditions: the community based (n=2872) Rhineland study, for example, reported an association between the volumes of the inner retina and the total brain volume, the cerebral gray and white matter volume, as well as the hippocampal volume.³ In inflammatory or neurodegenerative disorders like multiple sclerosis, Alzheimer's and Parkinson's disease, the retinal neuroaxonal alterations further indicated disease activity, severity, and progression.^{4–7} Similar patterns of retinal axonal and neuronal loss were also described in professional collision sports athletes, accompanied by impaired visual function and reduced quality of life.⁸

Of note, the retina and the brain are developmentally evolved from the same neuronal tissue. Thus, they have morphological and physiological similarities and direct synaptic connections exist. Cerebral disorders can thus reflect on retinal level due to trans-synaptic axonal degeneration.⁹ In this context, the innermost retinal layer, the retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL), has been most extensively studied so far. The RNFL contains the unmyelinated axons of retinal ganglion cells which project to the lateral geniculate nucleus and thus best reflect the integrity of the cerebral white matter. However, it is already known that the trans-synaptic retrograde atrophic processes involve not only the RNFL but also the adjacent layers of the inner retina, i.e., the ganglion cell layer (GCL) and inner plexiform layer (IPL), and typically stop

Key points

- Epilepsy was associated with extensive retinal neuroaxonal loss affecting peripapillary (G-pRNFL) and macular structures (mRNFL, GCIP, INL, and TMV).
- The extent of the retinal thinning reflected aspects of disease activity and severity, including the frequency of tonic–clonic seizures and the number of antiseizure medications.
- The study findings suggest a sex-specific vulnerability to neuroaxonal loss to the detriment of male patients.

at the inner nuclear layer (INL).^{9,10} Precise measurements of the thickness/volume of the inner retinal layers are performed using optical coherence tomography (OCT), which is a noninvasive, easy-to-use, and economic technique that can be repeated as often as required.

In PwE, first cross-sectional OCT evaluations were also promising. They described signs of retinal neuroaxonal loss in adults with epilepsy,^{11–13} which correlated with disease duration as well as intellectual disability.¹² Retinal axonal loss was advanced in PwE who proved to be drug-resistant, received polypharmacotherapy, or were treated with certain antiseizure medications (ASM), namely vigabatrin, ethosuximide, primidone, phenytoin, topiramate, and valproate.^{12,14–17} Besides, the main clinical and demographic drivers of retinal thinning in epilepsy are still unknown. Of note, previous OCT studies in epilepsy focused on the peripapillary RNFL only,^{12,15,16,18} were all but one smallscaled,^{11,14} were mostly restricted to certain syndromes¹⁸ or ASM subgroups,^{15–17} and did not take seizure semiology and frequency into account. Furthermore, none of the studies controlled for the time since the last change in ASM and last experienced seizure, and thus disregarded putative acute effects^{8,19,20}: There is ample data that ASM changes, especially sodium channel blockers, can cause focal cerebral edema upon quick withdrawal or reintroduction²¹ and co-occurring retinal changes seem possible. Further, tonic–clonic seizures (TCS) may lead to traumatic optic neuropathy and are followed by a blood–brain barrier leakage.^{22,23} This might have biased the OCT measurements and thus could have contributed to the inconsistent study results in the literature.

This cross-sectional cohort study aimed to (1) confirm the previously reported RNFL loss in an unselected cohort of consecutive adults with epilepsy. The cohort was comprised of PwE with a broad range of disease severity, including PwE with only one prior seizure up to people with drug-resistant epilepsy, as well as PwE who underwent resective surgery and/or neurostimulation. Hypothetically, PwE present a significantly thinner RNFL compared with healthy controls (HC). Further, we aimed to explore (2) the extent of the retinal changes by investigating OCT measures of all inner retinal layers and the total macula volume, as well as (3) the impact of disease characteristics on retinal changes.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Participants and recruitment

Consecutive adults diagnosed with epilepsy were prospectively recruited from the epilepsy outpatient clinic and the epilepsy monitoring unit at the University Hospital, LMU Munich, Germany, from February 2021 to August 2021. HC were recruited from hospital staff and acquaintances.

Only PwE and HC aged between 18 and 55 years with the physical ability to take part in the study examinations were enrolled. Exclusion criteria for both groups encompassed a refractive error of more than +/-4.5 diopters mean sphere or more than 2.5 diopters cylinder, lesions in the central visual pathway, history of eye surgery, or known ocular disease as listed in the OSCAR-IB consensus criteria such as macular degeneration, glaucoma, or history of optic neuritis, as well as current or former vigabatrin intake.²⁴ PwE and HC were also excluded due to pregnancy, diabetes mellitus, untreated arterial hypertension, current or former drug abuse, cognitive disability (as mentioned in medical records and/or IQ < 70in neuropsychological testing), and neurological disease other than epilepsy and migraine. PwE with a change in antiseizure medication within the last 14 days or a recent TCS within the last 48 hours were not included in the study to avoid a potential bias due to assumed acute effects.^{8,19,20} A total of 108 PwE and 90 HC underwent the study protocol. Ten of them were excluded from the analysis due to a delayed identification of exclusion reasons (n = 9): history of vigabatrine intake, history of drug abuse, history of subarachnoidal bleeding (n = 2), history of severe concussion and subdural hemorrhage, increased optic nerve sheath diameter, LGI1 autoimmune encephalitis, postsurgical visual field defects (n = 2), or poor OCT scan quality (n = 1). Healthy controls whose OCT scan quality was low (n = 2), or whose OCT measures differed more than 2.5 standard deviations from the group mean (outliers, n = 3) were excluded as a retinal pathological change cannot be excluded. Four PwE had no reliable seizure records and were thus not considered for the multivariable models.

2.2 Clinical and demographic parameters

Upon study participation, demographic, and clinical data with possible impact on retinal layer measurements and visual function were obtained from PwE and HC via interview, including sex, age, ethnicity, body mass index (BMI), and presence of treated arterial hypertension. For PwE, a detailed history of medications, including the number of current and total ASM (the latter including current and prior ASM), as well as other medication and for HC the intake of oral contraception was obtained. Furthermore, years of education, disease duration, disease etiology and seizure semiology, history of psychogenic non-epileptic seizures, history of brain surgeries, and use of implantable stimulation devices were recorded. Epileptic seizure were classified according to the 2017 guideline of the International League Against Epilepsy (ILAE).²⁵ The frequency of different seizure types was determined based on medical records. seizure calendars, as well as interviews with PwE and/or companions. The number of all seizures in the last year excluding isolated auras, as well as the highest annual frequency of TCS were assessed as indicators for the individual disease activity. The highest annual frequency of TCS was obtained from medical records or patients' reports.

2.3 | Optical coherence tomography

A Heidelberg Engineering spectral-domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT, SPECTRALIS; Heidelberg Engineering) with automatic real-time (ART) averaging was used for measuring thickness and volumes of retinal layers. The thickness of the global peripapillary RNFL (G-pRNFL) was measured in a peripapillary scan with

239

automatic eye tracking (12°, 3.5 mm ring, $50 \le ART \le 100$). The volume of the macular RNFL (mRNFL), the ganglion cell inner plexiform layer (GCIP), INL, and the total macula volume (TMV) were analyzed in a cylinder scan of six millimeters diameter around the fovea $(20^{\circ} \times 20^{\circ}, 25^{\circ})$ vertical B-scans, $20 \le ART \le 49$). The semi-automatic segmentation of all layers was performed using Eye Explorer (version 1.9.10.0) with viewing module 6.3.4.0 (Heidelberg Engineering). For further statistical analyses, an average measure of the left and right eye was used, and only peripapillary scans with a quality >20 (as defined by SPECTRALIS) and an ART ≥90 and macula scans with a quality >20 and ART >40 were included. All OCT examinations were performed in the NeuroVisionLab of the Institute of Clinical Neuroimmunology, LMU Hospital. All participants were examined by the same investigator (LD). LD also performed quality control according to OSCAR-IB criteria and segmentation of all retinal layers.²⁴

2.4 | Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 26 (IBM SPSS Statistics) and R 4.2.0 (©The R Foundation). Group comparisons were performed via Chi-square test for categorial variables and via two sample independent two-tailed *t*-test or ANOVA for continuous variables followed by Scheffé corrected post hoc tests in case of significant results. Group comparisons of retinal measures (independent *t*-tests and global ANOVAs) were Benjamini–Hochberg corrected.

An explorative multiple linear model was estimated for all OCT measures. Backward stepwise selection was performed using the Akaike information criterion (AIC) to decide which model was best. The following variables were selected based on the literature, as they were described to be associated with the retinal^{12,14,26,27} and/or cerebral volume or thickness^{28–33} and entered as possible predictors: age, sex, disease duration, highest annual frequency of TCS, number of current ASM, and neurostimulation therapy. A multilinear regression model was also estimated for HCs, which included age and sex as possible predictors. Descriptive data is presented as mean and standard deviation or median and interquartile range (IQR). A *P*-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

2.5 | Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consents

This study was approved by the local ethics committee (LMU Munich, No. 21-0014), and all participants provided written informed consent before study participation. The

authors followed the STROBE guideline for the preparation of the manuscript.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Demographic and clinical characteristics

The clinical and demographic characteristics of the epilepsy cohort (n = 98) and the HC group (n = 85) are summarized in Table 1. The epilepsy cohort had a mean disease duration of 12.0 ± 11.8 years and encompassed 19 (19.4%) people with genetic generalized epilepsy, 28 (28.6%) with temporal lobe epilepsy (TLE), 15 (15.3%) with frontal lobe epilepsy (FLE), and 36 (36.7%) people with multifocal or a yet unclassified epilepsy. Most (n = 79, 80.6%) PwE had experienced at least one TCS in their life, while 19.4% (n=19) exclusively reported seizures without bilateral tonic–clonic convulsions. Seven (7.1%) PwE had experienced only one seizure so far (all of which had a bilateral tonic–clonic semiology and an additional EEG and/or MRI pathology).

3.2 | Retinal measures in epilepsy versus healthy controls

PwE(n = 98) had significantly decreased volumes of all assessed inner retinal layers as well as a significantly reduced total macula volume compared to HC (n=85) (Table 2A, Figure 1). The two groups differed in BMI and years of education (Table 1), but neither BMI nor age-corrected years of education were significantly associated with the retinal measures in any of the two groups. Of note, a significant retinal neuroaxonal loss was observed in the subgroup of PwE with a history of at least one TCS (n = 79, age: 32.5 ± 10.5 years, 45 female; TCS per year: mean \pm std 8.9 ± 16.2 ; median 2 [1–10]) compared to HC (Table 2B, Figure 2). In contrast, PwE who never had a TCS (n=19,age: 38.7 ± 10.2 years, 12 female) did not significantly differ in their retinal measures from a HC subgroup with comparable age and sex distribution (n = 19, age: 38.1 ± 9.7 years, 12 female) nor from the subgroup with at least one TCS. The subgroup of PwE without TCS had a comparable disease duration and number of ASM like those with TCS and were even significantly older.

3.3 | Models for retinal neuroaxonal loss

The results of the multivariate analyses are summarized in Table 3: according to our model, the G-pRNFL was

TABLE 1Cohort characteristics.

Open Access

PenalePenale with epilepsy (n= 98)Healthy controls (n= 85)PenaleSx	TABLE I Cohort characteristics.			
Fenale57 (58.2%)49 (57.6%)Male41 (1.8%)36 (42.4%)Ehnicity0.9050 (76.9%)Caucasian97 (99.0%)11 (1.2%)Afro American11 (0.0%)11 (1.2%)Chines0.00.0%)11 (1.2%)Age [years]3.7 ±10.631.2 ±9.0Order1.9 ±2.9-0.000 flYears of education1.9 ±2.9-0.000 flSimoker2.0 (22.4%)16 (18.8%)No7.6 (77.6%)0.9 (81.2%)Pide ymass index [kg/m²]2.5 ± ± 4.92.3 ± ± 1.4Oddrigation [years]1.9 ± 1.8Ephilepsy Catasida-Toroporal bloc epilepsy28 (28.6%)Frontal lobe epilepsy28 (28.6%)Forcal onset epilepsis21 (1.5 3%)Other (millical, undefined)3 (6 6.7%)Focal avers estimur (PAS)2 (22.4%)Focal avers estimur (PAS)2 (22.4%)Foc		People with epilepsy (n = 98)	Healthy controls (n = 85)	P-value
Male 41 (41.8%) 36 (42.4%) Rthnisity 0.6% Causalan 97 (99.0%) 83 (97.6%) Afro-American (10.0%) 11 (1.2%) Chinese 00.05% 11 (2.5%) Age [years] 33.7 ±10.6 31.2 ±9.0 0.09 ³ Smoker 0.005 10 (1.2%) 0.001 ⁴ Smoke 22 (22.4%) 16 (18.8%) 0.001 ⁴ No 76 (77.6%) 69 (81.2%) 0.001 ⁴ Disease duration (years) 12 (9 ±1.8) 0.001 ⁴ Temporal loos epilepsy 15 (15.3%) 0.001 ⁴ Orber (multificied, undefined) 36 (26.5%) 0.001 ⁴ Frontal lobe epilepsy 15 (15.3%) 0.001 ⁴ Other (multificied, undefined) 36 (26.5%) 0.001 ⁴ Focal aware seizure (FAS) 26 (26.5%) 0.001 ⁴ Focal aware seizure (Sex			0.94 ^c
Ethnicity 0.56' Caucasian 97 (99.0%) 83 (97.6%) Afro American 1 (1.0%) 1 (1.2%) Chinese 0 (0.0%) 1 (1.2%) Age [yars] 33.7±10.6 31.2±9.0 0.09'' Years of education 11.9±2.9 15.6±2.9 <0.001 ^d Smoker 0.36' 37.2±9.0 0.00 ^d Yes 22 (22.4%) 16 (18.8%) No No 76 (77.6%) 69 (81.2%) 0.001 ^d Body mass index [kg/m ²] 25.4±4.9 23.7±4.1 <0.001 ^d Disease duration [years] 12.0±11.8 F F Finitesy classification 1 1.9±%? F Genetic generalized epilepsy 19 (19.4%) T F Temporal lobe cpilepsy 28 (28.6%) F F Frontal lobe cpilepsis 31 (31.6%) Kight hemispheric onset 31 (31.6%) Right hemispheric onset 31 (31.6%) Kight hemispheric onset 20 (22.4%) Focal mapaired awareness seizure (FLS) 26 (26.5%) F F Generalized nono-motor seizure (GTCS) 16 (13.	Female	57 (58.2%)	49 (57.6%)	
Currention 97 (99,0%) 83 (97,6%) Afro-American 1 (1.0%) 1 (1.2%) Chinese 0 (0.0%) 1 (1.2%) Age (pars) 33.7 ± 10.6 31.2 ± 9.0 0.00 ⁴ Smoker 0.37 ± 10.6 31.2 ± 9.0 0.00 ⁴ Smoker 0.36° 0.97 0.06° Yes 22 (22.4%) 16 (18.8%) 0.00 ⁴ No 76 (77.6%) 69 (81.2%) 0.00 ⁴ Disease duration (years] 12.0 ± 11.8 - - Fpileps classification - - - Genetic generalized epilepsy 28 (28.6%) - - Frontal lobe epilepsy 28 (28.6%) - - Focal oware epilepsies - - - I.eft hemispheric onset 31 (31.6%) - - Right hemispheric onset 32 (22.4%) - - Pocal oware seizure (FAS) 22 (22.4%) - - Other (hemispheric, undefined) 38 (28.6%) - - S	Male	41 (41.8%)	36 (42.4%)	
Afro-American 1 (1.0%) 1 (1.2%) Chinese 0 (0.0%) 1 (1.2%) Age (years) 33.7±10.6 31.2±9.0 0.00 ⁴ Smoker 0.36° 4.001 ⁴¹ 5.001 ⁴¹ Smoker 22 (22.4%) 16 (18.8%) - No 767 (77.6%) 69 (81.2%) - Body mass index [kg/m ²] 25.4±4.9 23.7±4.1 <0.001 ⁴¹ Disease duration [years] 12.0±11.8 - - Figlepsy classification - - - Genetic generalized opliepsy 19 (19.4%) - - Temporal lobe epilepsy 26 (28.6%) - - Focal onst toplicpsics - - - I eth hemispheric undefined) 26 (28.6%) - - Seizure semiology (number of people with epilepsy) 23 (28.6%) - - Gene anized tonic-clonic seizure (FIAS) 26 (26.5%) - - Focal onst scizure (FIAS) 26 (26.5%) - - - Generalized toni	Ethnicity			0.56 ^c
Afro-American 1 (1.0%) 1 (1.2%) Chinese 0 (0.0%) 1 (1.2%) Age (years) 33.7±10.6 31.2±9.0 0.00°1 Smoker 0.36° .00°1 Years of culcation 11.9±2.9 15.6±2.9 .000°1 Smoker 22 (22.4%) 16 (18.8%) .00°1 Point Singer S	Caucasian	97 (99.0%)	83 (97.6%)	
Chinese 0(0.0%) 1(1.2%) Age [years] 33.7 ± 10.6 31.2 ± 9.0 0.09 ⁴ Years of education 11.9 ± 2.9 15.6 ± 2.9 <0.001 ⁴ Smoker				
Age [yars] 33.7 ± 10.6 31.2 ± 9.0 0.09 ^d Years of education 11.9 ± 2.9 15.6 ± 2.9 <0.001 ^d Smoker 0.36 ^c 0.36 ^c 0.36 ^c Yes 22 (22.4%) 16 (18.8%) 0.00 ^d Body mass index [kg/m ²] 25.4 ± 4.9 23.7 ± 4.1 <0.001 ^d Disease duration [years] 12.0 ± 11.8 Epilepsy classification Genetic generalized epilepsy 19 (19.4%) Temporal lobe epilepsy 28 (28.6%) Focal onset epilepsies 15 (15.3%) Code of tepilepsies 20 (20.4%) Scieture sendosy (number of poople with epilepsy) 28 (28.6%) Scieture sendosy (number of poople with epilepsy) 20 (20.4%) Focal impaired awareness esizare (FAS) 22 (22.4%) Generalized tonic-clonic seizare (GTCS) <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>				
Years of education 11.9 \pm 2.9 15.6 \pm 2.9 <0.001 ⁴ Smoker 0.36 ⁵ Yes 22 (22.4%) 16 (18.8%) No 76 (77.6%) 69 (81.2%) Body mass index [kg/m ²] 25.4 \pm 4.9 23.7 \pm 4.1 <0.001 ⁴ Discase duration [years] 12.0 \pm 11.8 Epilepsy classification Genetic generalized epilepsy 28 (28.6%) Protal lobe epilepsy 28 (28.6%) Cocal onset epilepsis Left hemispheric onset 20 (20.4%) Seizure semiology (number of people with epilepsy) Eof (25.5%) Focal avare seizure (FAS) 26 (26.5%) Generalized non-clonic seizure 5 (51.5%)				0.09 ^d
Smoker 0.06 ⁶ Yes 22 (22.4%) 16 (18.8%) No 76 (77.6%) 69 (81.2%) Body mass index [kg/m ²] 25.4 ± 4.9 23.7 ± 4.1 <0.001 ⁴ Disease duration [years] 12.0 ± 11.8 Epilepsy classification		11.9 ± 2.9		
No76(77.6%)69(81.2%)Body mass index [kg/m²]25.4 ± 4.923.7 ± 4.1<0.0014				0.36 ^c
No 76(77.6%) 69(81.2%) Body mass index [kg/m ²] 25.4 ± 4.9 23.7 ± 4.1 <0001 ⁴ Discase duration [years] 120.18 Epilepsy classification <td>Yes</td> <td>22 (22.4%)</td> <td>16 (18.8%)</td> <td></td>	Yes	22 (22.4%)	16 (18.8%)	
Disease duration [years] 12.0 ± 11.8 Epilepsy classificationGenetic generalized epilepsy $19(19.4\%)$ Temporal lobe epilepsy $28(28.6\%)$ Frontal lobe epilepsy $15(15.3\%)$ Other (multifocal, undefined) $36(36.7\%)$ Focal onset epilepsies $1(31.6\%)$ Left hemispheric onset $31(31.6\%)$ Right hemispheric onset $20(20.4\%)$ Other (bihemispheric, undefined) $28(28.6\%)$ Focal aware seizure (FAS) $22(22.4\%)$ Focal aware seizure (FAS) $22(22.4\%)$ Focal aware seizure (FAS) $26(26.5\%)$ Focal to bilateral tonic-clonic seizure (FBTCS) $66(67.3\%)$ Generalized tonic-clonic seizure (FBTCS) $66(67.3\%)$ Generalized non-motor seizure $8(8.2\%)$ Other (unknown onset / unclassified) $15(1.0\%)$ Overall seizure frequency in the last year (n=94 ^b)Median [IQR] $9.5[1-48]$ Mean ± std 41.4 ± 94.8 Min-max $0-50^{\circ}$ Maximum annual frequency of TCS (n=98)Median [IQR] $2[1-6]$ Mean ± std 12 ± 14.9	No		69 (81.2%)	
Epilepsy classification Genetic generalized epilepsy 19 (19.4%) Temporal lobe epilepsy 28 (28.6%) Frontal lobe epilepsy 15 (15.3%) Other (multifocal, undefined) 36 (36.7%) Focal onset epilepsies 11 (31.6%) Right hemispheric onset 20 (20.4%) Other (bihemispheric, undefined) 28 (28.6%) Seizure semiology (number of people with epilepsy) 5 Focal aware seizure (FAS) 22 (22.4%) Focal impaired awareness seizure (FISS) 26 (36.5%) Focal aware seizure (FAS) 22 (22.4%) Focal impaired awareness seizure (FISS) 26 (36.5%) Focal aware seizure (FAS) 22 (22.4%) Focal impaired awareness seizure (FISS) 26 (36.5%) Focal aware seizure (FAS) 22 (22.4%) Generalized tonic-clonic seizure (BTCS) 66 (67.3%) Generalized non-motor seizure (BTCS) 13 (13.3%) Generalized monoton seizure (BTCS) 13 (13.9%) Other (unknown onset / unclassified) 15 (19.48) Mean [LQR] 9.5 [1-48] Mean ±std 46.8 ± 94.4 Min-max 0-500° Frequency of	Body mass index [kg/m ²]	25.4 ± 4.9	23.7 ± 4.1	< 0.001 ^d
Genetic generalized epilepsy 19 (19.4%) Temporal lobe epilepsy 28 (28.6%) Frontal lobe epilepsy 15 (15.3%) Other (multifocal, undefined) 36 (36.7%) Focal onset epilepsies 11 (31.6%) Right hemispheric onset 20 (20.4%) Other (bihemispheric, undefined) 28 (28.6%) Seizure semiology (number of people with epilepsy) 5 Focal aware seizure (FAS) 22 (22.4%) Focal bilateral tonic-clonic seizure (FITCS) 66 (67.3%) Generalized tonic-clonic seizure (FITCS) 66 (67.3%) Generalized non-motor seizure (GTCS) 13 (13.3%) Generalized non-motor seizure (GTCS) 13 (13.3%) Generalized non-motor seizure (GTCS) 13 (13.3%) Other (unknown onset / unclassified) 15 (1.0%) Other (unknown onset / unclassified) 9.5 [1-48] Mean [1QR] 0.500° Frequency of all seizures except TCS in the last year (n=94 ^b) Median [1QR] 1.5 [0-36] Mean ± std 4.8 ± 94.4 Min-max 0.500° Mean ± std 4.1 ± 94.8 Min-max <td>Disease duration [years]</td> <td>12.0 ± 11.8</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Disease duration [years]	12.0 ± 11.8		
Temporal lobe epilepsy 28 (28.6%) Frontal lobe epilepsy 15 (15.3%) Other (multifocal, undefined) 36 (36.7%) Focal onset epilepsis 31 (31.6%) Right hemispheric onset 31 (31.6%) Right hemispheric onset 28 (28.6%) Other (blhemispheric, undefined) 28 (28.6%) Seizure semiology (number of people with epilepsy) Focal aware seizure (FAS) Focal aware seizure (FAS) 22 (22.4%) Focal obliateral tonic-clonic seizure (FBTCS) 66 (67.3%) Generalized nonic-clonic seizure (FBTCS) 66 (67.3%) Generalized non-clonic seizure (GTCS) 13 (13.3%) Generalized non-motor seizure (GTCS) 13 (13.3%) Generalized non-motor seizure (GTCS) 15 (1.0%) Other (unknown onset / unclassified) 15 (1.0%) Verall seizure frequency in the last year (n = 94 ^b) Median [1QR] Median [1QR] 9.5 [1-48] Min-max 0-500 ^a Frequency of all seizures except TCS In the last year (n = 94 ^b) Median [1QR] 15 (0-36] Mean ± std 41.4 ± 94.8 Min-max 0-50 ^a	Epilepsy classification			
Frontal lobe epilepsy15 (15.3%)Other (multifocal, undefined)36 (36.7%)Focal onset epilepsies11 (31.6%)Left hemispheric onset20 (20.4%)Other (bihemispheric, undefined)28 (28.6%)Seizure semiology (number of people with epilepsy)22 (22.4%)Focal aware seizure (FAS)22 (22.4%)Focal impaired awareness seizure (FIAS)26 (26.5%)Focal to bilateral tonic-clonic seizure (FBTCS)66 (67.3%)Generalized tonic-clonic seizure (FBTCS)13 (11.3%)Generalized non-motor seizure8 (8.2%)Other (unknown onset / unclassified)15 (1.0%)Overall seizure frequency in the last year (n=94 ^b)Median [IQR]Median [IQR]9.5 [1-48]Mean ± std46.8 ± 94.4Min-max0-500 ^a Frequency of all seizures except TCS in the last year (n=94 ^b)Median [IQR]15 (0-36]Mean ± std41.4 ± 94.8Min-max0-500 ^a Frequency of TCS (n=98)Median [IQR]2 [1-6]Mean ± std7.2 ± 14.9	Genetic generalized epilepsy	19 (19.4%)		
Other (multifocal, undefined)36 (36.7%)Focal onset epilepsies31 (31.6%)Right nemispheric onset20 (20.4%)Other (bihemispheric, undefined)28 (28.6%)Seizure semiology (number of people with epilepsy)56 (20.5%)Focal aware seizure (FAS)22 (22.4%)Focal aware seizure (FAS)26 (26.5%)Focal to bilateral tonic-clonic seizure (FBTCS)66 (67.3%)Generalized tonic-clonic seizure (FBTCS)13 (13.3%)Generalized non-motor seizure8 (8.2%)Other (unknown onset / unclassified)15 (1.0%)Overall seizure frequency in the last year (n=94 ^b)46.8 ± 94.4Median [IQR]9.5 [1-48]Mean ± std46.8 ± 94.4Min-max0-500 ^a Frequency of all seizures except TCS in the last year (n=94 ^b)Median [IQR]5.10 ^a /3Maximum annual frequency of TCS (n=98)Median [IQR]2 [1-6]Mean ± std2 2 [1-6]Median [IQR]2 [1-6]Median [IQR]2 14.9	Temporal lobe epilepsy	28 (28.6%)		
Focal onset epilepsiesLeft hemispheric onset31 (31.6%)Right hemispheric onset20 (20.4%)Other (bihemispheric, undefined)28 (28.6%)Seizure semiology (number of people with epilepsy)Focal aware seizure (FAS)Focal aware seizure (FAS)22 (22.4%)Focal impaired awareness seizure (FIAS)26 (26.5%)Focal to bilateral tonic-clonic seizure (FBTCS)66 (67.3%)Generalized tonic-clonic seizure (GTCS)13 (13.3%)Generalized non-motor seizure5 (5.1%)Generalized non-motor seizure8 (8.2%)Other (unknown onset / unclassified)15 (10%)Overall seizure frequency in the last year (n=94 ^b)Median [1QR]9.5 [1-48]Mean ± std46.8 ± 94.4Min-max0-500 ^a Frequency of all seizures except TCS in the last year (n=94 ^b)Median [IQR]1.5 [0-36]Mean ± std41.4 ± 94.8Min-max0-500 ^a Maximum annual frequency of TCS (n =98)Median [IQR]2 [1-6]Mean ± std7.2 ± 14.9	Frontal lobe epilepsy	15 (15.3%)		
Left hemispheric onset 31 (31.6%) Right hemispheric onset 20 (20.4%) Other (bihemispheric, undefined) 28 (28.6%) Seizurer semiology (number of people with epilepsy) Focal aware seizure (FAS) 22 (22.4%) Focal aware seizure (FAS) 26 (26.5%) Focal to bilateral tonic-clonic seizure (BTCS) 66 (67.3%) Generalized tonic-clonic seizure (GTCS) 13 (13.3%) Generalized myoclonic seizure 5 (5.1%) Generalized myoclonic seizure 8 (8.2%) 0 0 Other (unknown onset / unclassified) 9.5 [1-48] 0 Mean ± std 6-6.50.4 0 Frequency of all seizures except TCS in the last year (n=94 ^b) 0 0 Mean ± std 0 0 0 Mean ± std 1.5 [0-36] 0 0 Min-max 0 0 0 0 Min-max 0 0 0 0 Min-max 0 0 0 0 Maan ± std 1.4 ± 94.8 0 0 0 Min-max 0	Other (multifocal, undefined)	36 (36.7%)		
Right hemispheric onset 20 (20.4%) Other (bihemispheric, undefined) 28 (28.6%) Seizurre semiology (number of people with epilepsy) Focal aware seizure (FAS) 22 (22.4%) Focal impaired awareness seizure (FIAS) 26 (26.5%) Focal to bilateral tonic-clonic seizure (FBTCS) 66 (67.3%) Generalized tonic-clonic seizure (GTCS) 13 (13.3%) Generalized non-motor seizure 5 (5.1%) Generalized non-motor seizure 8 (8.2%) Other (unknown onset / unclassified) 15 (1.0%) Verall seizure frequency in the last year (n=94 ^b) Median [IQR] 9.5 [1-48] Man ± std 46.8 ± 94.4 Min-max 0-500 ^a Frequency of all seizures except TCS in the last year (n=94 ^b) Median [IQR] 1.5 [0-36] Mean ± std 1.4 ± 94.8 Min-max 0-500 ^a Main_max 0-500 ^a Median [IQR] 2[1-6] Median [IQR] 2[1-6] Median [IQR] 2[1-6] Median [IQR] 2[1-6]	Focal onset epilepsies			
Other (bihemispheric, undefined)28 (28.6%)Seizure semiology (number of people with epilepsy)Focal aware seizure (FAS)22 (22.4%)Focal aware seizure (FAS)26 (26.5%)Focal to bilateral tonic-clonic seizure (BTCS)66 (67.3%)Generalized tonic-clonic seizure (GTCS)13 (13.3%)Generalized non-motor seizure8 (8.2%)Other (unknown onset / unclassified)15 (1.0%)Overall seizure frequency in the last year (n=94 ^b)Median [IQR]9.5 [1-48]Median [IQR]0.500 ^a Frequency of all seizures except TCS in the last year (n=94 ^b)Median [IQR]1.5 [0-36]Mean ± std41.4 ± 94.8Min-max0-500 ^a Frequency of TCS (n=98)Median [IQR]2 [1-6]Median [IQR]2 [1-6]	Left hemispheric onset	31 (31.6%)		
Seizure semiology (number of people with epilepsy)Focal aware seizure (FAS) $22 (22.4\%)$ Focal impaired awareness seizure (FIAS) $26 (26.5\%)$ Focal to bilateral tonic-clonic seizure (FBTCS) $66 (67.3\%)$ Generalized tonic-clonic seizure (GTCS) $13 (13.3\%)$ Generalized myoclonic seizure $5 (5.1\%)$ Generalized non-motor seizure $8 (8.2\%)$ Other (unknown onset / unclassified) $15 (1.0\%)$ Overall seizure frequency in the last year (n=94 ^b)Median [IQR] $9.5 [1-48]$ Men ± std 46.8 ± 94.4 Min-max $0-500^a$ Frequency of all seizures except TCS in the last year (n=94 ^b)Median [IQR] $1.5 [0-36]$ Mean ± std 41.4 ± 94.8 Min-max $0-500^a$ Maximum annual frequency of TCS (n=98)Median [IQR] $2 [1-6]$ Mean ± std 7.2 ± 14.9	Right hemispheric onset	20 (20.4%)		
Focal aware seizure (FAS)22 (22.4%)Focal impaired awareness seizure (FIAS)26 (26.5%)Focal to bilateral tonic-clonic seizure (BTCS)66 (67.3%)Generalized nonic-clonic seizure (GTCS)13 (13.3%)Generalized myoclonic seizure5 (5.1%)Generalized non-motor seizure8 (8.2%)Other (unknown onset / unclassified)15 (1.0%)Overall seizure frequency in the last year (n=94 ^b)Median [IQR]9.5 [1-48]Mean ± std46.8± 94.4Min-max0-500 ^a Frequency of all seizures except TCS in the last year (n=94 ^b)Median [IQR]1.5 [0-36]Mean ± std41.4± 94.8Min-max0-500 ^a Maximum annual frequency of TCS (n=98)Median [IQR]2 [1-6]Median [IQR]2 [1-6]Median [IQR]2 [1-6]Median [IQR]2 [1-6]Median [IQR]2 [1-6]Mean ± std7.2 ± 14.9	Other (bihemispheric, undefined)	28 (28.6%)		
Focal impaired awareness seizure (FIAS)26 (26.5%)Focal to bilateral tonic-clonic seizure (FBTCS)66 (67.3%)Generalized tonic-clonic seizure (GTCS)13 (13.3%)Generalized myoclonic seizure5 (5.1%)Generalized non-motor seizure8 (8.2%)Other (unknown onset / unclassified)15 (1.0%)Overall seizure frequency in the last year (n=94 ^b)Median [IQR]9.5 [1-48]Mean \pm std46.8 \pm 94.4Min-max0-500 ^a Frequency of all seizures except TCS in the last year (n= \pm 4 ^b)Median [IQR]1.5 [0-36]Mean \pm std41.4 \pm 94.8Min-max0-500 ^a Maximum annual frequency of TCS (n=98)Median [IQR]2 [1-6]Median [IQR]2 [1-6]	Seizure semiology (number of people with epilepsy)			
Focal to bilateral tonic-clonic seizure (FBTCS) $66 (67.3\%)$ Generalized tonic-clonic seizure (GTCS) $13 (13.3\%)$ Generalized myoclonic seizure $5 (5.1\%)$ Generalized non-motor seizure $8 (8.2\%)$ Other (unknown onset / unclassified) $15 (1.0\%)$ Overall seizure frequency in the last year (n=94 ^b)Median [IQR] $9.5 [1-48]$ Mean ± std 46.8 ± 94.4 Min-max $0-500^a$ Frequency of all seizures except TCS in the last year (n=9+ ^b)Median [IQR] $1.5 [0-36]$ Mean ± std 41.4 ± 94.8 Min-max $0-500^a$ Maximum annual frequency of TCS (n=98)Median [IQR] $2 [1-6]$ Mean ± std 7.2 ± 14.9	Focal aware seizure (FAS)	22 (22.4%)		
Generalized tonic-clonic seizure (GTCS)13 (13.3%)Generalized myoclonic seizure5 (5.1%)Generalized non-motor seizure8 (8.2%)Other (unknown onset / unclassified)15 (1.0%)Overall seizure frequency in the last year (n=94 ^b)15 (1.0%)Median [IQR]9.5 [1-48]Mean ± std46.8 ± 94.4Min-max0-500 ^a Frequency of all seizures except TCS in the last year (n=9+ ^b)Median [IQR]1.5 [0-36]Mean ± std41.4 ± 94.8Min-max0-500 ^a Main max0-500 ^a Mean ± std2[1-6]Median [IQR]2[1-6]Mean ± std7.2 ± 14.9	Focal impaired awareness seizure (FIAS)	26 (26.5%)		
Generalized myoclonic seizure5 (5.1%)Generalized non-motor seizure8 (8.2%)Other (unknown onset / unclassified)15 (1.0%)Overall seizure frequency in the last year (n=94 ^b)Median [IQR]9.5 [1-48]Mean ± std46.8 ± 94.4Min-max0-500 ^a Frequency of all seizures except TCS in the last year (n=94 ^b)Median [IQR]1.5 [0-36]Mean ± std41.4 ± 94.8Min-max0-500 ^a Maximum annual frequency of TCS (n=98)Median [IQR]2 [1-6]Mean ± std7.2 ± 14.9	Focal to bilateral tonic–clonic seizure (FBTCS)	66 (67.3%)		
Generalized non-motor seizure $8 (8.2\%)$ Other (unknown onset / unclassified) $15 (1.0\%)$ Overall seizure frequency in the last year (n=94 ^b)Median [IQR] $9.5 [1-48]$ Mean ± std 46.8 ± 94.4 Min-max $0-500^a$ Frequency of all seizures except TCS in the last year (n=94 ^b)Median [IQR] $1.5 [0-36]$ Mean ± std 41.4 ± 94.8 Min-max $0-500^a$ Maximum annual frequency of TCS (n=98)Median [IQR] $2 [1-6]$ Mean ± std 7.2 ± 14.9	Generalized tonic-clonic seizure (GTCS)	13 (13.3%)		
Other (unknown onset / unclassified) 15 (1.0%) Overall seizure frequency in the last year (n=94 ^b) Median [IQR] 9.5 [1-48] Mean ± std 46.8 ± 94.4 Min-max 0-500 ^a Frequency of all seizures except TCS in the last year (n=94 ^b) Median [IQR] 1.5 [0-36] Mean ± std 1.5 [0-36] Mean ± std 0-500 ^a Mean ± std 0-500 ^a 0-500 ^a Maximum annual frequency of TCS (n=98) 0-500 ^a Median [IQR] 2 [1-6] Mean ± std 2 [1-6] Mean ± std 7.2 ± 14.9	Generalized myoclonic seizure	5 (5.1%)		
Overall seizure frequency in the last year (n = 94 ^b)Median [IQR]9.5 [1-48]Mean \pm std46.8 \pm 94.4Min-max0-500 ^a Frequency of all seizures except TCS in the last year (n = 94 ^b)Median [IQR]1.5 [0-36]Mean \pm std41.4 \pm 94.8Min-max0-500 ^a Maximum annual frequency of TCS (n = 98)Median [IQR]2 [1-6]Mean \pm std7.2 \pm 14.9	Generalized non-motor seizure	8 (8.2%)		
Median [IQR]9.5 [1-48]Mean \pm std46.8 \pm 94.4Min-max0-500°Frequency of all seizures except TCS in the last year (n=>+b)Median [IQR]1.5 [0-36]Mean \pm std41.4 \pm 94.8Min-max0-500°Maximum annual frequency of TCS (n=98)0-500°Median [IQR]2 [1-6]Mean \pm std7.2 \pm 14.9	Other (unknown onset / unclassified)	15 (1.0%)		
Mean ± std 46.8 ± 94.4 Min-max $0-500^a$ Frequency of all seizures except TCS in the last year $(n = y4^b)$ Median [IQR] $1.5 [0-36]$ Mean ± std 41.4 ± 94.8 Min-max $0-500^a$ Maximum annual frequency of TCS $(n = 98)$ Median [IQR] $2 [1-6]$ Mean ± std 7.2 ± 14.9	Overall seizure frequency in the last year $(n = 94^{b})$			
Min-max 0-500 ^a Frequency of all seizures except TCS in the last year (n=y4 ^b) Median [IQR] 1.5 [0-36] Mean ± std 41.4 ± 94.8 Min-max 0-500 ^a Murin-max 0-500 ^a Median [IQR] 2 [1-6] Mean ± std 7.2 ± 14.9	Median [IQR]	9.5 [1-48]		
Frequency of all seizures except TCS in the last year $(n=94^b)$ Median [IQR]1.5 [0-36]Mean ± std41.4 ± 94.8Min-max0-500^aMaximum annual frequency of TCS $(n=98)$ -500^a Median [IQR]2 [1-6]Mean ± std7.2 ± 14.9	Mean ± std	46.8 ± 94.4		
Median [IQR] 1.5 [0-36] Mean ± std 41.4 ± 94.8 Min-max 0-500 ^a Maximum annual frequency of TCS (n=98) 0 Median [IQR] 2 [1-6] Mean ± std 7.2 ± 14.9				
Mean ± std 41.4 ± 94.8 Min-max 0-500 ^a Maximum annual frequency of TCS (n=98) [] Median [IQR] 2 [1-6] Mean ± std 7.2 ± 14.9	Frequency of all seizures except TCS in the last year (n	=94 ^b)		
Min-max 0-500 ^a Maximum annual frequency of TCS (n=98) Median [IQR] 2 [1-6] Mean±std 7.2±14.9	Median [IQR]	1.5 [0–36]		
Maximum annual frequency of TCS (n=98)Median [IQR]2 [1-6]Mean±std7.2±14.9	Mean ± std			
Median [IQR] 2 [1-6] Mean±std 7.2±14.9		0–500 ^a		
Mean \pm std 7.2 \pm 14.9				
Min-max 0-90				
	Min-max	0–90		

DELAZER ET AL.		Epilepsia Open [®]	241
TABLE 1 (Continued)		Ope	n Access
	People with epilepsy (n = 98)	Healthy controls (n = 85)	P-value
Latency of acute (<3 months) TCS ($n = 19$) and study end	xamination [weeks]		
Median [IQR]	2.0 [0.4–6.0]		
Mean ± std	3.3 ± 3.0		
Latency of remote (3–12 months) TCS ($n = 37$) and stud	ly examination [months]		
Median [IQR]	6.0 [4.5–10.0]		
Mean±std	7.2 ± 3.2		
Number of current ASM			
Mean ± std	1.9 ± 1.1		
0 ASM	4		
1 ASM	39		
2 ASM	33		
3 ASM	14		
4 ASM	6		
5 ASM	2		
Number of total ASM (i.e., current + prior)			
mean ± std	4.07 ± 3.03		
Surgical history			
Prior resective surgery/neurostimulation current	22/1		
VNS/DBS treatment	7/3		

Abbreviations: ASM, anti-seizure medication; DBS, deep brain stimulation; IQR, inter-quartile range; max, maximum; min, minimum; std, standard deviation; TCS, tonic-clonic seizure; VNS, vagal nerve stimulation.

^aOne subject had FIAS several times a day.

^bFour patients were excluded due to imprecise seizure documentation.

^cChi-square test.

^dIndependent sample *t*-test (two-tailed).

thinner the higher the number of current ASM, and the higher the maximum annual TCS frequency. Further, the G-pRNFL thinning was more pronounced in case of male sex. Tests on collinearity were unsuspicious for any of the variables, i.e., the variance inflation factors were at maximum 1.6. Figure 3 summarizes the main findings on G-pRNFL, i.e., the sex-dependent G-pRNFL thickness with regard to the number of current ASM.

Similarly, all retinal layers except the INL were thinner the higher the number of current ASM. Neurostimulation therapy was thereby positively associated with the mRNFL volume and had a negative impact on the volumes of GCIP and INL. Disease duration had no significant impact on these retinal measures in the multiple linear model. The R^2 of the models, though, were small, suggesting that yet unconsidered parameters substantially contribute to the retinal neuroaxonal loss. The residuals of the model were close to a normal distribution.

In HC, a sex effect was observed for the GCIP ($\beta = 0.01$, P = 0.004) and INL ($\beta = 0.03$, P = 0.017) thickness, as well as the TMV ($\beta = 0.18$, P = 0.028) with higher measures in

men compared to women. Of note, no sexual dimorphism was observed for the G-pRNFL thickness. Age had no significant impact on the retinal measures.

4 | DISCUSSION

This prospective OCT study systematically characterized the extent of the retinal changes in a broad range of wellcharacterized adults with epilepsy, revealing a significant retinal neuroaxonal loss across the inner retinal layers (G-pRNFL, mRNFL, GCIP, and INL), as well as a reduced total macula volume. This finding is in line with previous reports on RNFL thinning in adults with epilepsy,^{11–14,16} and complements the few available smaller-scaled studies on GCIP,^{11,14,18} and TMV.¹⁸ Besides age as known driver of neuroaxonal loss in epilepsy,^{12,16} we identified a significant association between the retinal neuroaxonal loss and the frequency of TCS, as well as the number of ASM. In addition, our findings suggest a sex-specific vulnerability to the detriment of men. **TABLE 2** Group comparison regarding the mean measures of the retinal layers and the total macula volume in (A) people with epilepsy (PwE) and healthy controls (HC) and (B) PwE with no history of a tonic–clonic seizure (PwE without TCS), PwE with a history of at least one tonic–clonic seizure (PwE with TCS) and HC.

Α									
								Independent <i>t</i> -test (tv	vo-tailed)
		PwE	(n=98)		HC (n=85)			P-value	Δ
G-pRNFL [µm]		97.30	± 8.97		101.54 ± 6.91			0.001	4.24
mRNFL [mm ³]		0.86	± 0.09		0.91 ± 0.09	1		0.002	0.05
GCIP [mm ³]		1.99	± 0.14		2.10 ± 0.15	i		0.003	0.11
INL [mm ³]		0.96	± 0.05		0.98 ± 0.06	i		0.03	0.02
TMV [mm ³]		8.66	± 0.32		8.84 ± 0.37	,		0.005	0.18
В									
	PwE without	TCS	PwE with T	CS			'A global	_	
	(n=19)		(n = 79)		HC (n=85)	test st	atistics	Post-hoc tests	
	Δ to HC		Δ to HC		F (2, 180)		<i>P</i> -value	Significant group differences	<i>P</i> -value
G-pRNFL [µm]	99.87 ± 10.14	1.67	96.68 ± 8.62	4.86	101.54 ± 6.91	7.52	0.002	PWE with TCS < HC	< 0.001
mRNFL [mm ³]	0.86 ± 0.12	0.05	0.86 ± 0.09	0.05	0.91 ± 0.09	8.23	0.003	PWE with TCS < HC	< 0.001
GCIP [mm ³]	2.02 ± 0.17	0.08	1.99 ± 0.13	0.11	2.10 ± 0.15	12.53	0.005	PWE with TCS < HC	< 0.001
INL [mm ³]	0.95 ± 0.06	0.03	0.96 ± 0.05	0.02	0.98 ± 0.06	4.48	0.03	n.s.	
TMV [mm ³]	8.69 ± 0.38	0.15	8.65 ± 0.30	0.19	8.84 ± 0.37	6.42	0.003	PWE with TCS < HC	0.003

Abbreviations: FLE, frontal lobe epilepsy; GCIP, ganglion cell inner plexiform layer; G-pRNFL, global retinal nerve fiber layer; HC, healthy control; INL, inner nuclear layer; mRNFL, macular RNFL; PwE, People with epilepsy; TCS, tonic–clonic seizure; TLE, temporal lobe epilepsy; TMV, total macular volumes.



FIGURE 1 Thickness and volumes of retinal layers in people with epilepsy (PwE) and healthy controls (HC). The box-plot figure represents the median (plus first and third quartile, minimum and maximum) thickness and volume of the different retinal layers in PwE (n=98) compared to HC (n=85). Outliers are indicated as separate dots. PwE had a significantly thinner global retinal nerve fiber layer (G-pRNFL) as well as lower volume measures for the macular RNFL (mRNFL), ganglion cell inner plexiform layer (GCIP), inner nuclear layer (INL), and lower total macular volumes (TMV). The respective means and standard deviations are summarized in Table 2.



FIGURE 2 Thickness of global peripapillary retinal nerve fiber layer (G-pRNFL) with regard to the occurrence of tonic–clonic seizures. The box-plot figure visualizes the median, the first and third quartile, minimum and maximum thickness of the G-pRNFL in people with epilepsy (PwE) with (n = 79) and without (n = 19) tonic–clonic seizures (TCS) in comparison to healthy controls (HC, n = 85). **P < 0.01.

4.1 Association between retinal neuroaxonal loss and clinical parameters

In our study, it was the presence and frequency of TCS that emerged as a relevant determinant of the retinal neuroaxonal loss. The retinal measures in PwE without TCS did not significantly differ from HCs even though they had a comparable disease duration, number of ASM and were significantly older than PwE with TCS. Their retinal measures were in-between the HC group and PwE with TCS, suggesting more subtle retinal changes than in PwE with TCS. This analysis, though, was probably underpowered considering the small size of the subgroup without TCS (n=19). Only one previous, smaller-scaled (n=43) OCT study also evaluated the impact of seizure frequency on the thickness of the inner retinal layers.¹¹ However, they did not differentiate between seizure semiologies, what might have contributed to the negative study result. Our findings suggest that a significant retinal neuroaxonal loss primarily occurs in PwE with high disease activity and severity as reflected by the occurrence and frequency of TCS.

In addition, more active and severe epilepsies often require *polytherapy*, defined as a combination of at least two ASM. We thus hypothesize that the observed association of retinal neuroaxonal loss with the current number of ASM also reflects the impact of disease activity and severity. However, we cannot rule out that the ASM intake itself (besides seizure frequency and severity) contributes to the retinal neuroaxonal loss.

Likewise, *neurostimulation* should be understood as an indicator of severe and long-standing epilepsy as it is typically used to treat patients with pharmacoresistant epilepsy who are no candidate or failed resection. However, the results on neurostimulation must be interpreted with caution, as the subgroup size was small, and the observed statistical effects limited and inconsistent between retinal layers. There is only one previous report on a negative univariate association of RNFL thickness and VNS implant.¹² However, this was probably biased by a high collinearity between VNS and pharmacoresistant epilepsy.¹²

4.2 | Sex-specific vulnerability

Remarkably, the retinal neuroaxonal loss was significantly more pronounced in males compared to females with epilepsy - independent of age, disease duration, the number of ASM, and seizure frequency. In contrast, no significant sex-specific effects were observed for pRNFL and mRNFL in our HC cohort. Of note, male HC revealed even significantly higher values for GCIP, INL, and TMV than female HC in our study. Thus, the retinal neuroaxonal loss associated with epilepsy might have been partially covered by the physiological sex-specific differences. Our findings substantiate and extend a previous report on sex differences in RNFL thickness in PwE¹² and are in line with a structural MRI study describing a larger vulnerability to seizure-associated brain atrophy in men compared to women with TLE.³⁴ Despite the increasing interest in sex-specific differences in epilepsy, knowledge on sex-specific effects and the underlying pathomechanisms is still scarce. Sexual dimorphism in epilepsy includes not only differences in cortical thickness and functional activity³⁴⁻³⁶ but also neurotransmitter signaling^{37,38} and neurosteroid expression.^{39,40} These factors might contribute to more severe peri-ictal hypoxia and thus larger neuroaxonal damage in men, but their assessment was beyond the scope of our study.

4.3 | Pathophysiological considerations

In PwE, the neuronal loss clearly exceeded the physiological expected, age-dependent retinal involution, suggesting that epilepsy is associated with accelerated neuronal loss, which can be measured as retinal thinning. Its cause, though, remains to be elucidated. As argued above and in analogy to studies on brain atrophy in epilepsy,^{30,32,41} retinal neuroaxonal loss seems to reflect the disease activity and severity, i.e., the long-term sequelae of repeated seizures and extensive therapies.

In the absence of further evidence, it remains speculative whether the observed retinal changes occur secondarily to seizure-associated head trauma and cerebral neuroaxonal loss comparable to collision sport athletes,⁴² or whether cerebral and retinal changes are caused by the same (non-traumatic) mechanisms and manifest in parallel. The latter seems more likely, considering that

ÿ.
bs
je
pi.
e.
th
1
5
pl
eol
pe
ц
s i
er
Ň
12
al
ii.
et
E O
Ъ
ft
0
Ge
un
VO
nd
ar
SS
ē
5
<u>[]</u>
Εŀ
ē
th
Ľ
£
ls
це
õ
Ξ
ğ
Cté
le
Sel
3
Щ
Г
BL
\mathbf{A}
E

	G-pRNFL		mRNFL		GCIP		INL		TMV	
Predictors	Estimates	Ρ	Estimates	Ρ	Estimates	Ρ	Estimates	Ρ	Estimates	Ρ
(Intercept)	110.25 (104.33 to 116.16)	<0.001	0.94 (0.88 to 01.01)	<0.001	2.16 (2.06 to 2.25)	<0.001	0.99 (0.96 to 1.03)	<0.001	8.84 (8.72 to 8.97)	<0.001
Sex: male	-5.35 (-8.64 to -2.06)	0.002			-0.04 (-0.09 to 0.01)	0.137				
Age	-0.15 (-0.31 to -0.008)	0.062	-0.001 (-0.003 to 0.0004)	0.123	-0.002 (-0.004 to -0.0007)	0.160	-0.001 (-0.002 to -0.0001)	0.050		
Max. annual frequency of TCS	-0.14 (-0.25 to -0.03)	0.012					0.0005 (-0.0002 to 0.0012)	0.142		
Number of current ASM	-2.44 (-4.03 to -0.84)	0.003	-0.03 (-0.05 to -0.005)	0.015	-0.04 (-0.07 to -0.01)	0.006			-0.09 (-0.15 to -0.03)	0.003
Neurostimulation therapy: yes			0.08 (0.008 to 0.15)	0.031	-0.089 (-0.19 to -0.013)	0.085	-0.04 (-0.07 to -0.003)	0.033		
Disease duration										
Observations	94		94		94		94		94	
R^2/R^2 adjusted	0.301/0.269		0.103/0.074		0.246/0.212		0.113/0.083		0.091/0.081	
	<i>F</i> -statistic: 9.57 on 4 and 89 DF, <i>P</i> <0.001	n 4 and	F-statistic: 3.46 on 3 and 90 DF, P = 0.020	1 3 and 90 DF,	F-statistic: 7.24 on 4 and 89 DF, $P < 0.001$	89 DF,	F-statistic: 3.82 on 3 and 90 DF, P=0.013	and 90 DF,	<i>F</i> -statistic: 9.17 on 1 and 92 DF, $P = 0.003$	on 1 and
<i>Note</i> : Bold indicates statistically significant value ($P < 0.05$). Abbreviations: GCIP canolion cell inner plexiform laver: G	ically significant valuation cell inner plexification	e (<i>P</i> < 0.05). rm laver: G-r	RNFI . dohal retinal r	l nerve fiher laver. I	Note: Bold indicates statistically significant value (P < 0.05). Abbreviations: GCIP canolion cell inner nleviform laver: G.a.RNH. clobal retinal nerve fiber laver: INI. inner nuclear laver: mRNFI. macular RNFI. PwF. Peomle with enilensev: TCS. tonic-clonic seizure: TMV. total	JEL macular	RNFL: Pw/F. Peonle with e	nilensv: TCS fr	onic_clonic seizure.	TMV total

Abbreviations: GCIP, ganglion cell inner plexiform layer; G-pRNFL, global retinal nerve fiber layer; INL, inner nuclear layer; mRNFL, macular RNFL; PwE, People with epilepsy; TCS, tonic-clonic seizure; TMV, total macular volumes.

110

80

70

G-pRNFL [µm]

50



sex

ASM

female male

245



age [years]

20

30

40

50

60

60

FIGURE 3 Age-dependent G-pRNFL thickness with regard to sex and the anti-seizure medication (ASM) load. The graphs reflect the average and confidence intervals of the age-dependent and sex-specific global peripapillary retinal nerve fiber layer (G-pRNFL) thickness in healthy controls (HC) as well as people with epilepsy (PwE). The graphs for the epilepsy cohort were calculated for a man and woman (body mass index: 25.8 kg/m²) with temporal lobe epilepsy with an annual frequency of six tonic–clonic seizures. For better group comparison, the average G-pRNFL thickness of HC with a comparable age and sex distribution is also displayed as black dashed line. The G-pRNFL was significantly thinner in PwE compared to HC, with more pronounced effects in men than in women. Further, the G-pRNFL was thinner, the higher the number of anti-seizure medications (ASM) taken at the time of study examination.

only a minority of TCS goes along with a relevant head trauma.^{43,44} We hypothesize that the neuroaxonal loss is mainly driven by additional seizure-accompanying mechanisms, above all the peri-ictal hypoperfusion and hypoxia: an hypoperfusion can be found in the cerebral regions expressing seizure activity, not only during the late stage of seizures but also postictally. It is caused by vaso-constriction and accompanied by significant hypoxia,⁴⁵

40

which might lead to an increased blood-brain barrier permeability, glial activation, central inflammation and neuronal loss. Of note, the peri-ictal hypoperfusion/hypoxia is severer the longer the seizure duration^{45,46} and positively associated with the extent of cerebral atrophy in epilepsy.⁴⁶ Besides, Valsalva retinopathy might contribute to the observed retinal alterations.⁴⁷ However, PwE report rarely visual loss or scotoma after an experienced TCS,

i.e., the typical symptoms of Valsalva retinopathy, and it is thought to heal without traces. Thus, it seems unlikely that Valsalva retinopathy is a relevant determinant of the retinal alterations, except maybe in single cases or on a yet undescribed subclinical level.

Against this background, we hypothesize that the extent of the retinal neuroaxonal loss is explained as the cumulative sequelae of repeated peri-ictal hypoperfusion/ hypoxia events: in our study, (1) a significant retinal neuroaxonal loss was only observed in people with history of tonic–clonic seizures and (2) it was more pronounced in people prone to have longer or more frequent TCS, namely men^{48,49} with increasing age.⁵⁰

The pRNFL appears to be the first predilection site of retinal neuroaxonal loss with broadest associations with parameters of disease activity and severity. The GCIP and INL thinning might occur consecutively^{9,10} in long-standing severe epilepsies. However, longitudinal OCT studies are needed for further clarification.

4.4 | Potential clinical relevance of the study findings

Considering that the retinal changes seem to reflect aspects of the disease activity and severity, the OCT measurement of the retinal layers could bear a great clinical potential for the diagnostic and treatment of PwE: In detail, the easy-to-handle, quick, cost-effective, and non-invasive OCT measurement could be included in the clinical routine to (1) provide the treating physician with an integrative measure of the individual disease burden. It does not only reflect the cerebral integrity and cognitive ability,¹² but could (2) potentially identify persons at risk for cognitive decline, and (3) serve as a prognostic marker for surgery or neurostimulation outcome. Further, it might serve as a future tool to (4) assess the progression rate of the neuroaxonal loss, which could help to monitor the disease activity and treatment response. This could be of great importance in people who are not able to document their seizures to decide on treatment adjustments. The retinal thickness could thereby be an objective and more complex parameter than the so far used seizure frequency. Longitudinal OCT studies will be needed to determine the dynamic of the retinal changes and to prove the formulated hypotheses.

4.5 | Limitations

The presented study encompasses a wide range of epilepsies, disease severity states, and drug regimens and is thus representative for the variety of patients managed in tertiary epilepsy centers. This setting also explains the relatively high percentage of people with multifocal epilepsies, because they are precisely characterized, which might have elevated the number of study participants with identified multifocal seizure onset zones. In addition, our center manages many people with difficult to treat epilepsies including those with neurostimulation, i.e., an epilepsy subgroup with an outstanding percentage of multifocal or undefined epilepsies. People with diagnosed genetic aberrations or syndromes (other than genetic generalized epilepsies) were not included in our study as this might have biased the pathophysiology and extent of neurodegenerative processes and should be evaluated separately. The downside of the variety of patient characteristics is, that it strongly limits subgroup analyses, although we performed an OCT examination of one of the biggest epilepsy-control cohorts.

In consideration of the findings of prior OCT studies in epilepsy,^{11,12,14} we chose and studied an explorative set of parameters and their impact on neuroaxonal loss. We thereby confirmed and extended previous findings. However, the R^2 was always <0.3, indicating that our models only partially explain the observed retinal neuroaxonal loss and that other, yet unconsidered parameters substantially contribute to the retinal alterations. Further, seizure frequency was used as an estimate for disease activity. We are aware that seizure frequency is prone to underreporting due to postictal amnesia, cognitive impairment, and unrecognized nocturnal seizures.^{2,51} However, there is still no tool available for reliable seizure detection that could have been used. Seizure detection devices might help to assess prominent motor seizures,⁵²⁻⁵⁴ but are still not capable of reliably detecting more subtle seizures, i.e., focal aware seizure (FAS), FIAS, and generalized non-motor seizures. Moreover, an ophthalmological examination was not part of the study protocol. Instead, study participants were interviewed about visual aids, eye disease, and eye surgeries, and there was a comparable proportion of spectacle/contact lense wearers in the epilepsy (49%) and control (46%) group.

Further, HCs had significantly more years of education than study participants with epilepsy, most likely due to the recruitment from hospital staff and acquaintances. The different cognitive abilities, though, might have biased group comparisons, as the RNFL thickness is known to decrease with declining cognitive function.⁵⁵ The intellectual ability, though, was not specifically assessed in our study, but people with cognitive impairment (as mentioned in medical records and/or IQ < 70 in previous neuropsychological testing) were excluded from the study. Due to organizational reasons, it was not possible to blind the OCT examiner (LD).

5 | CONCLUSION

People with epilepsy are characterized by an extensive retinal neuroaxonal loss across the layers of the inner retina as well as the macula. Thereby, male patients on polytherapy experiencing frequent TCS seem to be at highest risk. OCT is a noninvasive, risk-free technique that may provide a unique opportunity for studying pathophysiological mechanisms of the neuroaxonal loss in epilepsy. However, longitudinal studies are still needed to assess the significance of the retinal changes for therapy monitoring and patient management.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

LD collected the data, conducted statistical analyses, drafted the manuscript, and designed figures 1 and 2; HB and ML performed statistical analyses, created figure 3, and critically revised the manuscript; LS, GN, JC, and SN contributed to the patient recruitment and critically revised the manuscript; JH helped in the study design, advised the OCT acquisitions and analyses, and critically revised the manuscript; EK was responsible for the study design, data analysis, as well as manuscript drafting and revision.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are indebted to the patients and HCs for their participation in this study. We thank Tara Christmann for the support of the neurovisual examination.

FUNDING INFORMATION

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors. J.H. was (partially) funded by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research [Grant Numbers 01ZZ1603[A-D] and 01ZZ1804[A-H] (DIFUTURE)]. The NeuroVisionLab (JH) was partially funded by grants for OCT research from Merck and Horizon.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

We confirm that we have read the Journal's position on issues involved in ethical publication and affirm that this report is consistent with those guidelines.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available on reasonable request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available because it contains information that could compromise the privacy of research participants.

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES

L. Delazer, H. Bao, L. Stauner, G. Nübling, and J. Conrad report no disclosures relevant to the manuscript. M.

Epilepsia Open[®]

Lauseker received honoraria from Celgene and financial support for research from Novartis, all outside of the submitted work. J. Havla reports grants from Friedrich-Baur-Stiftung, Merck, and Horizon; personal fees and nonfinancial support from Alexion, Horizon, Roche, Merck, Novartis, Biogen, B.M.S., and Janssen; and nonfinancial support from the Guthy-Jackson Charitable Foundation and The Sumaira Foundation. S. Noachtar received speaker honoraria and financial compensation for travel expenses from Medtronic, UCB, Desitin, GlaxoSmithKline, Sanofi-Aventis and Eisai, has participated in advisory boards and clinical trials for Desitin, Eisai, Medtronic, Pfizer, UCB, Glaxo-Smith-Kline, Pfizer, and Precisis and received financial support for research from Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (NO 419/2-1), Bundesministerium for Bildung und Forschung (BMBF) (16Meo185) and Hertha-Riehr-Stiftung, all outside the submitted work. E. Kaufmann received speaker honoraria and financial compensation for travel expenses from Medtronic, UCB, Livanova, and Eisai and has participated in clinical trials for Medtronic, UCB and Precisis, all unrelated to the submitted work. She is partially funded by the Munich Clinical Scientist Program (MCSP).

ORCID

Luisa Delazer https://orcid.org/0009-0002-1330-3628 Soheyl Noachtar https://orcid. org/0000-0003-1314-6327 Elisabeth Kaufmann https://orcid. org/0000-0002-7582-2215

REFERENCES

- 1. Sutula TP, Hagen J, Pitkänen A. Do epileptic seizures damage the brain? Curr Opin Neurol. 2003;16(2):189–95.
- 2. Hoppe C, Poepel A, Elger CE. Epilepsy: accuracy of patient seizure counts. Arch Neurol. 2007;64(11):1595–9.
- 3. Mauschitz MM, Lohner V, Koch A, Stöcker T, Reuter M, Holz FG, et al. Retinal layer assessments as potential biomarkers for brain atrophy in the Rhineland Study. Nat Sci Rep. 2022;12(1):1–7.
- Ucak T, Alagoz A, Cakir B, Celik E, Bozkurt E, Alagoz G. Analysis of the retinal nerve fiber and ganglion cell – inner plexiform layer by optical coherence tomography in Parkinson's patients. Parkinsonism Relat Disord. 2016;31:59–64.
- Bayhan HA, Aslan Bayhan S, Tanik N, Gürdal C. The association of spectral-domain optical coherence tomography determined ganglion cell complex parameters and disease severity in Parkinson's disease. Curr Eye Res. 2014;39(11):1117–22.
- Sari ES, Koc R, Yazici A, Sahin G, Ermis SS. Ganglion cell-inner plexiform layer thickness in patients with Parkinson disease and association with disease severity and duration. J Neuroophthalmol. 2015;35(2):117–21.
- 7. Chrysou A, Jansonius NM, van Laar T. Retinal layers in Parkinson's disease: a meta-analysis of spectral-domain optical

coherence tomography studies. Parkinsonism Relat Disord. 2019;64:40-9.

- Leong D, Morettin C, Messner LV, Steinmetz RJ, Pang Y, Galetta SL, et al. Visual structure and function in collision sport athletes. J Neuroophthalmol. 2018;38(3):285–91.
- 9. Jindahra P, Petrie A, Plant GT. Retrograde trans-synaptic retinal ganglion cell loss identified by optical coherence tomography. Brain. 2009;132(3):628–34.
- de Vries-Knoppert WA, Baaijen JC, Petzold A. Patterns of retrograde axonal degeneration in the visual system. Brain. 2019;142(9):2775–86.
- 11. Tak AZA, Şengül Y, Ekmekçi B, Karadağ AS. Comparison of optic coherence tomography results in patients with diagnosed epilepsy: findings in favor of neurodegeneration. Epilepsy Behav. 2019;92:140–4.
- Balestrini S, Clayton LMS, Bartmann AP, Chinthapalli K, Novy J, Coppola A, et al. Retinal nerve fibre layer thinning is associated with drug resistance in epilepsy. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2016;87(4):396–401.
- González de la Aleja J, Guerrero-Molina M, Saíz-Díaz RA, López-Muñoz F, Raga-Martínez I, Hernández-Gallego J, et al. Peripapillary retinal nerve fibre layer thinning in genetic generalized epilepsy. Seizure. 2019;71:201–6.
- Bayraktar Bilen N, Titiz AP, Bilen S, Polat Gultekin B, Sahin Hamurcu M, Kalayci D. Optical coherence tomography and neurodegeneration in epilepsy. Eur J Ophthalmol. 2021;31(1):252–7.
- Akçakaya AA, Gökçeer S, Erbil HH, Işik N, Özdöker L, Salar S, et al. Detecting retinal vigabatrin toxicity in patients with partial symptomatic or cryptogenic epilepsy. Eur J Ophthalmol. 2010;20(4):763–9.
- 16. Xiong W, Lu L, Zhang Y, Xiao Y, Gao H, Zhang M, et al. Attenuation of retinal nerve fibre layer in people with epilepsy receiving valproate. Epilepsy Res. 2019;154:144–8.
- 17. Origlieri C, Geddie B, Karwoski B, Berl MM, Elling N, McClintock W, et al. Optical coherence tomography to monitor vigabatrin toxicity in children. J AAPOS. 2016;20(2):136–40.
- Gomceli YB, Dogan B, Genc F, Uygur E, Turgut Coban D, Erdal A, et al. Optical coherence tomography parameters in patients with photosensitive juvenile myoclonic epilepsy. Seizure. 2016;35:36–40.
- 19. Sung JY, Lee HM, Lee SB, Kim KN, Lee YH. Progression of optic atrophy in traumatic optic neuropathy: retrograde neuronal degeneration in humans. Neurol Sci. 2021;43(2):1351–8.
- 20. Childs C, Barker LA, Gage A, Loosemore M. Investigating possible retinal biomarkers of head trauma in olympic boxers using optical coherence tomography. Eye Brain. 2018;10:101–10.
- Zöllner JP, Rosenow F, Wagner M, Hattingen E, Müller-Eschner M, Strzelczyk A. Rapid discontinuation of sodium channelblocking antiseizure drugs evokes focal edema in the splenium corporis callosi: a matched case-control study. Epilepsia. 2019;60(7):1353–64.
- 22. Cudna A, Bronisz E, Jopowicz A, Kurkowska-Jastrzębska I. Changes in serum blood-brain barrier markers after bilateral tonic-clonic seizures. Seizure. 2023;106:129–37.
- 23. Rüber T, David B, Lüchters G, Nass RD, Friedman A, Surges R, et al. Evidence for peri-ictal blood-brain barrier dysfunction in patients with epilepsy. Brain. 2018;141(10):2952–65.
- 24. Tewarie P, Balk L, Costello F, Green A, Martin R, Schippling S, et al. The OSCAR-IB consensus criteria for retinal OCT quality assessment. PLoS One. 2012;7(4):1–7.

- 25. Fisher RS, Cross JH, D'Souza C, French JA, Haut SR, Higurashi N, et al. Instruction manual for the ILAE 2017 operational classification of seizure types. Epilepsia. 2017;58(4):531–42.
- 26. Wong ACM, Chan CWN, Hui SP. Relationship of gender, body mass index, and axial length with central retinal thickness using optical coherence tomography. Eye. 2005;19(3):292–7.
- 27. Xiong W, Lu L, Chen Q, Xiao Y, An D, Sander JW, et al. Reduction of retinal thickness ipsilateral to hippocampal sclerosis in epilepsy. Front Neurol. 2021;12:1–6.
- Whelan CD, Altmann A, Botía JA, Jahanshad N, Hibar DP, Absil J, et al. Structural brain abnormalities in the common epilepsies assessed in a worldwide ENIGMA study. Brain. 2018;141(2):391–408.
- 29. Conrad BN, Rogers BP, Abou-Khalil B, Morgan VL. Increased MRI volumetric correlation contralateral to seizure focus in temporal lobe epilepsy. Epilepsy Res. 2016;126:53–61.
- Bernhardt BC, Worsley KJ, Kim H, Evans AC, Bernasconi A, Bernasconi N. Longitudinal and cross-sectional analysis of atrophy in pharmacoresistant temporal lobe epilepsy. Neurology. 2009;72(20):1747–54.
- Briellmann RS, Newton MR, Wellard RM, Jackson GD. Hippocampal sclerosis following brief generalized seizures in adulthood. Neurology. 2001;57(2):315–7.
- 32. Briellmann RS, Berkovic SF, Syngeniotis A, King MA, Jackson GD. Seizure-associated hippocampal volume loss: a longitudinal magnetic resonance study of temporal lobe epilepsy. Ann Neurol. 2002;51(5):641–4.
- Caciagli L, Bernasconi A, Wiebe S, Koepp MJ, Bernasconi N, Bernhardt BC. A meta-analysis on progressive atrophy in intractable temporal lobe epilepsy: time is brain? Neurology. 2017;89(5):506–16.
- Briellmann RS, Berkovic SF, Jackson GD. Men may be more vulnerable to seizure-associated brain damage. Neurology. 2000;55(10):1479–85.
- Nielsen JA, Zielinski BA, Ferguson MA, Lainhart JE, Anderson JS. An evaluation of the left-brain vs. right-brain hypothesis with resting state functional connectivity magnetic resonance imaging. PLoS One. 2013;8(8):e71275.
- Nickel J, Jokeit H, Wunderlich G, Ebner A, Witte OW, Seitz RJ. Gender-specific differences of hypometabolism in mTLE: implication for cognitive impairments. Epilepsia. 2003;44(12):1551–61.
- 37. Parsey R, Oquendo M, Simpson N, Ogden RT, Van Heertum R, Arango V, et al. Effects of sex, age, and aggressive traits in man on brain serotonin 5-HT1A receptor binding potential measured by PET using [C-11]WAY-100635. Brain Res. 2002;954(2):173–82.
- Lavalaye J, Booij J, Reneman L, Habraken JBA, van Royen EA. Effect of age and gender on dopamine transporter imaging with [1231]FP-CIT SPET in healthy volunteers. Eur J Nucl Med. 2000;27(7):867–9.
- Lawrence C, Martin BS, Sun C, Williamson J, Kapur J. Endogenous neurosteroid synthesis modulates seizure frequency. Ann Neurol. 2010;67(5):689–93.
- 40. Reddy DS. The role of neurosteroids in the pathophysiology and treatment of catamenial epilepsy. Epilepsy Res. 2009;85(1):1–30.
- Vaughan DN, Raffelt D, Curwood E, Tsai MH, Tournier JD, Connelly A, et al. Tract-specific atrophy in focal epilepsy: disease, genetics, or seizures? Ann Neurol. 2017;81(2):240–50.
- 42. Koerte IK, Kaufmann D, Hartl E, Bouix S, Pasternak O, Kubicki M, et al. A prospective study of physician-observed concussion during a varsity university hockey season: white matter

integrity in ice hockey players. Part 3 of 4. Neurosurg Focus. 2012;33(6):E3.

- Tan M, Boston R, Cook MJ, D'Souza WJ. Risk factors for injury in a community-treated cohort of patients with epilepsy in Australia. Epilepsia. 2019;60(3):518–26.
- Buck D, Baker GA, Jacoby A, Smith DF, Chadwick DW. Patients' experiences of injury as a result of epilepsy. Epilepsia. 1997;38(4):439–44.
- 45. Farrell JS, Gaxiola-Valdez I, Wolff MD, David LS, Dika HI, Geeraert BL, et al. Postictal behavioural impairments are due to a severe prolonged hypoperfusion/hypoxia event that is COX-2 dependent. Elife. 2016;5:e19352.
- 46. Allen LA, Harper RM, Vos SB, Scott CA, Lacuey N, Vilella L, et al. Peri-ictal hypoxia is related to extent of regional brain volume loss accompanying generalized tonic-clonic seizures. Epilepsia. 2020;61(8):1570–80.
- 47. Duane TD. Valsalva hemorrhagic retinopathy. Trans Am Ophthalmol Soc. 1972;70:298–313.
- 48. Kishk N, Mourad H, Ibrahim S, Shamloul R, al-Azazi A, Shalaby N. Sex differences among epileptic patients: a comparison of epilepsy and its impacts on demographic features, clinical characteristics, and management patterns in a tertiary care hospital in Egypt. Egypt J Neurol Psychiatry Neurosurg. 2019;55(1):39.
- Janszky J, Schulz R, Janszky I, Ebner A. Medial temporal lobe epilepsy: gender differences. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2004;75(5):773–5.
- Kaufmann E, Seethaler M, Lauseker M, Fan M, Vollmar C, Noachtar S, et al. Who seizes longest? Impact of clinical and demographic factors. Epilepsia. 2020;61(7):1376–85.

- Elger CE, Hoppe C. Diagnostic challenges in epilepsy: seizure under-reporting and seizure detection. Lancet Neurol. 2018;17(3):279–88.
- 52. Ojanen P, Knight A, Hakala A, Bondarchik J, Noachtar S, Peltola J, et al. An integrative method to quantitatively detect nocturnal motor seizures. Epilepsy Res. 2020;169:106486.
- 53. Shum J, Friedman D. Commercially available seizure detection devices: a systematic review. J Neurol Sci. 2021;428:117611.
- Naganur V, Sivathamboo S, Chen Z, Kusmakar S, Antonic-Baker A, O'Brien TJ, et al. Automated seizure detection with noninvasive wearable devices: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Epilepsia. 2022;63(8):1930–41.
- 55. Ko F, Muthy ZA, Gallacher J, Sudlow C, Rees G, Yang Q, et al. Association of retinal nerve fiber layer thinning with current and future cognitive decline: a study using optical coherence tomography. JAMA Neurol. 2018;75(10):1198–205.

How to cite this article: Delazer L, Bao H, Lauseker M, Stauner L, Nübling G, Conrad J, et al. Association between retinal thickness and disease characteristics in adult epilepsy: A cross-sectional OCT evaluation. Epilepsia Open. 2024;9:236–249. https://doi.org/10.1002/epi4.12859