

The Fragment of a Model of a Tower House from Kom el-Gir, Central Northwestern Delta

The Journal of Egyptian Archaeology
2023, Vol. 109(1-2) 297–303
© The Author(s) 2023



Article reuse guidelines:
sagepub.com/journals-permissions
DOI: 10.1177/0307513231194649
journals.sagepub.com/home/ega



Robert Schiestl

Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Germany

Abstract

This article discusses a fragment of a model of a tower house found on the surface at Kom el-Gir in the central northwestern Delta. The settlement of Kom el-Gir, which was used at least from the Ptolemaic to the Late Roman Periods, showed a dense occupation of tower houses, based on magnetic prospection. A reconstruction of what the house model may have looked like is suggested and the possible uses of such house models are discussed.

تتناول هذه المقالة جزءًا من نموذج لبرج عُثر عليه في الطبقة السطحية في كوم الجير في وسط شمال غرب الدلتا. أظهرت نتائج المسح المغناطيسي أن مستوطنة كوم الجير، التي كانت مستخدمة على الأقل من العصر البطلمي إلى العصر الروماني المتأخر، كانت تحتوي على كثافة عالية من الأبراج. المقالة تقترح الشكل المحتمل لما قد يبدو عليه نموذج المنزل و تناقش الاستخدامات المحتملة لهذه النماذج.

Keywords

Tower houses, Kom el-Gir, settlements, Delta

Introduction and Context

Tower houses are multistoried buildings on a square or rectangular base. The ground plans are often narrow, giving these tall buildings a slender, tower-like appearance. Such structures were built of sun-dried mudbricks and were equipped with a strong casemate foundation with thick walls. In archaeological contexts, it is often only this casemate foundation that remains. The evidence for tower houses in Egypt are architectural remains,¹ some of which were still preserved multiple stories high at the time of discovery, depictions,² textual references,³ and models of such buildings.⁴ It is becoming clearer that tower houses were once very widespread.⁵ They were being built from the Third Intermediate Period (c. 1072 BCE) to the Middle Ages.⁶ For certain regions of Egypt, particularly the Nile Delta, the evidence suggests this was the dominant domestic house type from at least the Late Period to Roman

times. Although the number of buildings documented by excavation or by means of prospection has grown substantially in recent times, examples of models of tower houses are a much rarer find.

A fragment of such a model found during field work at the site of Kom el-Gir, in the central northwestern Delta, will be briefly presented. In November 2013, this fragment (inv. no. KG S 13/1; figs 1–3) was found on the surface of Kom el-Gir,⁷ a tell about 4 km northeast of Buto (Tell el-Fara^cin), in the governorate of Kafr esh-Shaikh. It was a chance find during the clearing of bushes in the eastern part of the tell in preparation for the magnetic survey conducted that season.⁸ The piece lay together with modern rubbish amongst the bushes which covered this part of the site. Based on the magnetic survey and a later test excavation, there is a monumental fortified structure in this area, which

¹ Arnold 2003; Marouard 2012; Marchi 2014; Lehmann 2020: 49–105.

² Lehmann and Tallis 2019; Lehmann 2020: 85–93.

³ Maehler 1983; Lehmann 2020: 93–97.

⁴ Busch-Sperverstage 2000; Lehmann 2020: 58–85; Thomas and Masson 2019.

⁵ Lehmann 2021.

⁶ Arnold 2003: 172–179; Lehmann 2021: 9–11, table 3.

⁷ SCA No. 090118, EES No. 331, Latitude: 31.224208°, Longitude: 30.774947° <https://www.ees.ac.uk/gir331> (accessed 07.28.2022).

⁸ Schiestl 2013: 3–4, fig. 7a–b.

Corresponding author:

Robert Schiestl, History Department, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Geschwister-Scholl Platz 1, München, D-80539, Germany.
Email: robert.schiestl@lmu.de




Fig. 1 Fragment of a tower house model, limestone, Kom el-Gir, inv. no. KG S 13/1 (drawing: Robert Schiestl and Bettina Kolbe).

was tentatively interpreted as a Late Roman fort.⁹ While the house model in all likelihood had originally once been set up somewhere in Kom el-Gir, its original context is lost.

⁹ Schiestl and Herbich 2013: 28–29; Schiestl 2016; Schiestl 2019: 51–55.

Kom el-Gir is a site first studied as part of a survey of the region around Buto (Tell el-Fara'in), conducted under the auspices of the German Archaeological Institute Cairo (DAIK),¹⁰ and currently being investigated in small scale

¹⁰ Schiestl 2012: 175–190.



Fig. 2 Fragment of a tower house model, limestone, Kom el-Gir, inv. no. KG S 13/1 (photo: Robert Schiestl, DAI Cairo; illustration: Thomas Seidler).

excavations. The tell is today about 20 ha large and rises to a maximum of about 5 m above the surrounding fields. Based on pottery from the surface and from auger coring, the settlement's foundation is dated to the Ptolemaic Period and the site was occupied until the Late Roman, possibly Early Arab, Period.¹¹ Magnetic prospection of the site has provided images with numerous outlines of mudbrick houses, many of

which can be interpreted as the remains of tower houses.¹² A large enclosure, provisionally interpreted as a temple enclosure, has been detected in the north of the site, and to its east, a large fortified structure has been discovered by the magnetic survey. A small area of this structure's southwestern corner tower was excavated in 2019¹³ and 2022.

¹¹ Schiestl 2016: 169–196.

¹² Schiestl and Herbich 2013; Schiestl 2016.

¹³ Schiestl 2019: 51–55.




Fig. 3 Reconstruction of the tower house model, limestone, Kom el-Gir, inv. no. KG S 13/1 (drawing: Robert Schiestl and Bettina Kolbe).

Description and Reconstruction

The fragment shows the building's roof with raised corners, the upper most floor with a single central window and the

upper parts of three windows on the floor below (figs 1–3). The corners and very short sections of the walls around the corners are preserved. The façade displays shallow incisions representing layers of mud-brick construction. Such

bricks are also shown on the right lateral wall of the fragment, which represents the façade of the house. The left lateral wall of the house does not show any brick lines at all, suggesting it had been left blank intentionally, most likely to represent the back of the house (fig. 3). Parallels for undecorated, or sparsely decorated, backs are, for example, the limestone house models from Sakha (Xois).¹⁴ The material of the Kom el-Gir model is a soft white limestone, the surface of which is powdery to the touch. The fragment measures 15 cm in height in the middle and 16 cm at the edges with the raised corners. The front is 16.5 cm wide at the top and 17 cm at the base, suggesting a slightly tapering shape. The interior has been roughly hollowed out. The traces of a very fine chisel or drill, with a width of 2 mm, are visible in the interior upper part (fig. 1). The façade's wall has a thickness between 2.5 and 3.8 cm, while the sidewalls' thickness is most likely approximately 3.6 cm at the top and 4.2 cm at the base. This created an inner space of about 8 cm width. The depth of this space, that is, how deeply the model was cut out from above, is not clear due to weathering of the lower part of the interior's surface. Most likely the next floor was also hollowed out, working down from the top. In other limestone models, when windows were open, the interior space had also been carved out. The windows in the row of three were, however, carved from the outside in, as is evident by the funnel shaped section of the aperture. The upper window is completely broken through and measures 2.4 cm in width and 2.9 cm in height. The row of three windows in the floor below had also been broken through entirely; the widths of the windows measure 1.9–2.1 cm. Between the upper window and the lower row of three windows, five horizontal courses of bricks have been incised. The courses are shown as curved, rising towards the corners of the walls. This feature is well known from both actual buildings and models of the Late Period to Roman Periods.¹⁵ These five courses continue around the corner on the right side. The individual bricks on the front have sizes of around 4.2 cm length and 1.2 cm height.

The roofs of tower houses are flat, often surrounded by a low parapet. The parapet's raised corners are depicted in this model and found occasionally on others, such as the limestone model UC 33427¹⁶ or the terracotta model Louvre E11886.¹⁷ The feature is very frequently shown in depictions of tower houses.¹⁸ Peaked corners on walls surrounding open spaces have a long tradition in Egypt. An early example is the Early Dynastic model of a granary from Helwan.¹⁹ They become a common feature of models of granaries in the Old and Middle Kingdoms.²⁰ As flat roofs were also used as storage spaces, a likely reason for the construction

of raised corners was to protect loose material, which had been deposited in corners by wind. In some Roman depictions, the raised corners are shown more akin to crenellations and are considered a feature of fortification.²¹

The reconstruction (fig. 3) serves as an illustration to better understand the placement of the fragment. Based on the blank wall (see figs 1–2), the fragment's preserved façade is considered the representation of a side wall, as discussed above, with a small section of the front façade preserved, that is, the side where one would place the door. The ground plan could be square or rectangular, but as most better-preserved house models have a square plan,²² it was reconstructed here as such. The model's height is speculative. Based on the house model typology established by M. Lehmann, this model falls into her category of type 2.²³ Such models, all made of limestone, generally have three or more stories. The reconstruction here shows four stories, with a resulting height of roughly 54 cm, which falls somewhere between the two examples from Sakha (Xois), which are 40 cm²⁴ and 78 cm²⁵ tall.

Dating the Model

As a surface find, the model cannot be dated any closer than the period of use of the settlement, that is, based on current knowledge, the Ptolemaic Period to the Late Roman Period. Refining this date could only be achieved by comparisons with better dated examples; however, no house model has been found in an archaeological context which provides a sound basis for fine dating.²⁶ The models from Naukratis, of which one fragment seems to be a similar type as the piece discussed here,²⁷ have been dated to the Late Period,²⁸ or in Petrie's words 'of a tolerably early date probably',²⁹ however, the reasoning is not cogent. Generally, published models are provided with dates ranging from the Late Period to the Graeco-Roman Period, while some have suggested narrowing the range down to the Late Ptolemaic to Late Roman Periods.³⁰ Limestone models are frequently considered earlier than terracotta models,³¹ with the suggestion that the former date to the Ptolemaic Period and the latter to the Roman Period,³² or, the former to the Late Period and the latter to the Ptolemaic and Roman Periods.³³

Uses of House Models

As the model's interior is hollowed out, it could have served as a receptacle for a very small item. While the function as

¹⁴ Cairo JE 56352, Engelbach 1931: fig. 1; Cairo, JE 50205, Engelbach 1931: 130.

¹⁵ Lehmann 2021: 3.

¹⁶ Lehmann 2020: 72–73, fig. 27.

¹⁷ Lehmann 2020: 74–75, fig. 30.

¹⁸ Lehmann 2020: 89–93, figs 47–50.

¹⁹ Tomb 741 H 5; Saad 1947: 111–112, pl. XIb.

²⁰ Winlock 1955: 25, pls 20, 24, 62; New York, MMA 20.3.11 <https://www.metmuseum.org/art/collection/search/545281> (accessed 03.09.2022); British Museum EA41573 https://www.britishmuseum.org/collection/object/Y_EA41573 (accessed 03.09.2022).

²¹ Lehmann 2020: 92, fig. 49.

²² Lehmann 2020: table 281.

²³ Lehmann 2020: 58, table 3.

²⁴ Cairo, JE 50205, Engelbach 1931: fig. 3.

²⁵ Cairo, JE 56352, Engelbach 1931: figs 1–2, pl. III.

²⁶ Lehmann 2020: 84.

²⁷ British Museum EA68816; Thomas and Masson 2019: 9, fig. 11.

²⁸ Thomas and Masson 2019: figs 11–14.

²⁹ Petrie 1886: 40.

³⁰ Busch-Sperverlage 2000: 25.

³¹ See already Petrie 1886: 40.

³² E.g., Marouard 2012: fig. 2.

³³ Lehmann 2020: 59.

'lamp shrine', that is an object into which a lamp is placed, has been suggested for numerous house models,³⁴ only those models with apertures wide enough to place a lamp also have traces of soot inside.³⁵ In many cases, the apertures into which a lamp could be placed are too small to fit lamps, or the model is solid and there is no opening at all. No traces of soot remain on the interior wall of this model. It has been suggested that in such cases the lamps may have been placed on top of the model.³⁶ While that remains a possibility, it is also evident that none of the tall limestone house models display traces of soot. Another way of approaching function is looking at whether the objects lend themselves to being moved or not. The groups of small limestone models,³⁷ and the terracotta models,³⁸ can be easily carried. Among the tall limestone models³⁹ are some very large and solid examples. While no weight is provided in publications, it can be approximately calculated based on the measurements: The limestone house models from Sakha (Xois) are 40 cm (JE 50205) and 78 cm (JE 56352) tall and only partially hollowed out (JE 56352) or not at all (JE 50205). Their weight can be estimated as between approximately 10 kg (JE 50205) and 45 kg (JE 56352) respectively. Most likely these objects stood in place and were not often moved around. In addition, the tall and narrow shape may have been prone to falling over and better stood against a wall. The undecorated back side may support setting it up that way. Looking at these objects in an Egyptian tradition, they are possibly related to the long tradition of stands in Egypt.⁴⁰ Standing figures of limestone have been found in domestic contexts in the Middle Kingdom, such as in Kahun,⁴¹ and in funerary contexts at Tell el-Dab'a (Avaris).⁴² They are assumed to have served as lamps or offering stands. Numerous examples of ceramic offering stands from the New Kingdom have been found at Amarna.⁴³ Of the bowls on top of the Amarna pieces, some contain signs of burning while some do not.⁴⁴ The latter examples are considered to have been used for food offerings. In the Amarna cases, a similar distinction has been made between small portable stands and large stands, which were difficult to move and most likely stood in place.⁴⁵

While various types of house models are known from different parts of the ancient world and have a long history,⁴⁶ such tower house models remain unique to Egypt. The frequent depictions of tower houses in scenes of Egypt

outside of Egypt, whether Assyrian or Roman, suggest that such buildings were perceived as remarkable and signified 'Egyptianness'.⁴⁷ Based on prospection at Kom el-Gir, it is clear that this type of building was locally of great importance and such local examples may have served as points of reference for the model. Most models of tower houses have been acquired on the art market and have no archaeological context.⁴⁸ Within the very small group with provenances, those from sites in the Delta, such as Sakha (Xois)⁴⁹ and Naukratis⁵⁰ are notable. As actual tower houses seem to have played a particularly important role in the Delta,⁵¹ models of such houses may also have been more common here.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank the authorities of the Egyptian Ministry of Tourism and Antiquities, and the inspectorates at Kafresh-Shaikh and at Tell el-Fara'in (Buto).

Funding

Funding for the field work was provided by the Fritz Thyssen Foundation and the German Archaeological Institute Cairo. Further support for the graphic work was provided by the Alexander von Humboldt foundation.

ORCID iD

Robert Schiestl  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8295-1835>

Author biography

Robert Schiestl studied Egyptology and history at the University of Vienna, Austria, where he received his PhD in 2003. He has worked at the Austrian Academy of Sciences in Vienna, the Freie Universität Berlin and the German Archaeological Institute Cairo and is currently based at the Department of Ancient History at the Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität in Munich, where he heads the field work at Kom el-Gir, in the central northwestern Delta.

References

- Arnold, F. 2003. *Elephantine XXX: Die Nachnutzung des Chnumtempelbezirks. Wohnbebauung der Spätantike und des Frühmittelalters*. AV 116. Mainz.
- Busch-Sperveslage, A. 2000. Hausmodelle im ptolemäisch-römischen Ägypten. In: Kozok, M. (ed.), *Architektur. Struktur. Symbol. Streifzüge durch die Architekturgeschichte von der Antike bis zur Gegenwart, Festschrift für Cord Meckseper zum 65. Geburtstag*. Petersberg: 11–26.
- Engelbach, R. 1931. Four models of Graeco-Roman buildings, *ASAE* 31: 129–131.
- Forstner-Müller, I. 1997. Vorbericht der Grabung im Areal A/II in Tell el-Dab'a, *Ä&L* 11: 197–220.
- Hulin, L. C. 1984. Pottery cult vessels from the Workmen's Village. In: Kemp, B. (ed.), *Amarna Reports I*. London: 165–177.
- Lehmann, M. 2020. *Die materielle Kultur der Spät- und Ptolemäerzeit im Delta Ägyptens am Beispiel von Tell el-Dab'a*. *Tell el-Dab'a XXV*. Denkschriften der Gesamtkademie 87,

³⁴ Petrie 1886: 40, pl. XVIII.3; Engelbach 1931: 129–131; Busch-Sperveslage 2000: 11–26; Lehmann 2020; Marouard 2014: 105–133.

³⁵ Thomas and Masson 2019: 10–11, figs 12–14.

³⁶ Thomas and Masson 2019: 10.

³⁷ Lehmann 2020: 60–66, type 1.

³⁸ Lehmann 2020: 74–80, type 3.

³⁹ Lehmann 2020: 66–74, type 2.

⁴⁰ On the formal relationship between tower houses and stands, see Lehmann 2020: 93.

⁴¹ Petrie 1891: 11, pl. VI, 9, 10.

⁴² Forstner-Müller 1997: fig. 22.

⁴³ Hulin 1984: 165–177.

⁴⁴ Hulin 1984: 175–176.

⁴⁵ Hulin 1984: 172–174.

⁴⁶ Muller 2001; Muller 2016; Schattner 1990.

⁴⁷ Lehmann 2020: 93.

⁴⁸ Busch-Sperveslage 2000; Lehmann 2020: 59.

⁴⁹ Engelbach 1931: 129–131.

⁵⁰ Thomas and Masson 2019.

⁵¹ Marouard 2014: 105–133; Lehmann 2021: 8, table 1.

- Untersuchungen der Zweigstelle Kairo des Österreichischen Archäologischen Instituts 40. Wien.
- Lehmann, M. 2021. Tower houses. In: Wendrich, W. (ed.), *UCLA Encyclopedia of Egyptology*. Los Angeles. <http://digital2.library.ucla.edu/viewItem.do?ark=21198/zz002kp5c6> (accessed 03.09.2022).
- Lehmann, M. and Tallis, N., with Camurcuoglu, D., and Pereira-Pardo, L. 2019. Esarhaddon in Egypt: An Assyrian-Egyptian battle scene on glazed tiles from Nimrud, *BMSAES* 25: 1–100.
- Maehler, H. 1983. Häuser und ihre Bewohner im Fayum in der Kaiserzeit. In: Grimm, G., Heinen, H., and Winter, E. (eds), *Das Römisch-Byzantinische Ägypten: Akten des Internationalen Symposions 26.-30. September*. Aegyptiaca Treverensia 2. Mainz: 119–137.
- Marchi, S. (ed.), 2014. *Les maisons-tours en Égypte durant la Basse-Époque, les périodes ptolémaïque et romaine*. NeHeT : Revue numérique d'Égyptologie 2. Paris.
- Marouard, G. 2012. Les quartiers d'habitat dans les fondations et refondations lagides dans la chôra égyptienne : Une révision archéologique. In: Ballet, P. (ed.), *Grecs et Romains en Égypte : Territoires, espaces de la vie et de la mort, objets de prestige et du quotidien*. BdE 157. Cairo: 121–140.
- Marouard, G. 2014. Maisons-tours et organisations des quartiers domestiques dans les agglomérations du Delta : L'exemple de Bouto de la Basse Époque aus premiers Lagides. In: Marchi, S. (ed.), *Les maisons-tours en Égypte durant la Basse-Époque, les périodes ptolémaïque et romaine*. NeHeT : Revue numérique d'Égyptologie 2. Paris: 105–133.
- Muller, B. (ed.), 2001. *Maquettes architecturales de l'Antiquité : Regards croisés ; Proche-Orient, Égypte, Chypre, bassin égéen et Grèce, du Néolithique à l'époque hellénistique ; actes du colloque de Strasbourg 3–5 décembre 1998*. Paris.
- Muller, B. 2016. *Maquettes antiques d'Orient : De l'image d'architecture au symbole*. Paris.
- Petrie, W. M. F. 1886. *Naukratis I, 1884–5*. MEEF 3. London.
- Petrie, W. M. F. 1891. *Illahun, Kahun and Gurob, 1889–90*. London.
- Saad, Z. 1947. *Excavations at Helwan: 1941–1945*. SASAE 3. Cairo.
- Schattner, T. 1990. *Griechische Hausmodelle: Untersuchungen zur frühgriechischen Architektur*. Berlin.
- Schiestl, R. 2012. Field boundaries and ancient settlement sites: Observations from the regional survey around Buto, western Delta, *MDAIK* 68: 175–190.
- Schiestl, R. 2013. Report on the sixth season of the regional survey in the governorate of Kafr esh-Sheikh. https://www.academia.edu/5981124/Regional_Survey_around_Buto_Report_2013 (accessed 03.02.2022).
- Schiestl, R. 2016. Prospektion am Kom el-Gir: eine Einführung in eine neue Siedlung des Deltas, mit einem Beitrag von D. Rosenow, *MDAIK* 72: 169–196.
- Schiestl, R. 2019. Gouvernorat Kafr esch-Scheich, Ägypten. Landschaftsarchäologie und regionale Siedlungsnetzwerke um den Fundplatz Tell el-Fara'in im Nildelta und Untersuchungen am antiken Fundplatz Kom el-Gir. Die Arbeiten des Jahres 2018 und Frühjahr 2019, *e-Forschungsberichte des DAI 2019* 2: 51–55 <https://publications.dainst.org/journals/efb/2242/6680> (accessed 03.02.2022).
- Schiestl, R., and Herbich, T. 2013. Kom el-Gir in the western Delta, *EA* 42: 28–29.
- Thomas, R., and Masson, A. 2019. Altars, sundials, minor architectural objects and models. In: Villing, A., Bergeron, M., Bourgiannis, G., Johnston, A., Leclère, F., Masson, A., and Thomas, R., with von Recklinghausen, D., Spencer, J., Smallwood, V., Webb, V., and Woodford, S., *Naukratis: Greeks in Egypt. The British Museum*. London. https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/ukgwa/20180308234639/http://www.britishmuseum.org/research/online_research_cat/alogues/ng/naukratis_greeks_in_egypt/material_culture_of_naukratis/altars_sundials_models.aspx (accessed 03.09.2022).
- Winlock, H. E. 1955. *Models of Daily Life in Ancient Egypt: From the Tomb of Meket-Re at Thebes*. Publications of the Metropolitan Museum of Art Egyptian Expedition 18. Cambridge.

