Hot Paper



Please Mind the Gap: Highly Condensed P–N Networks in LiP_4N_7 and $Li_{3-x}P_6N_{11-x}(NH)_x$

Stefanie Schneider,^[a] Sebastian Klenk,^[a] Simon D. Kloss,^[a] and Wolfgang Schnick^{*[a]}

Alkali nitridophosphates AP_4N_7 and $A_3P_6N_{11}$ (A=Na, K, Rb, Cs) have been known for decades. However, their Li homologues have remained elusive. In this work, the highly condensed lithium (imido)nitridophosphates LiP_4N_7 and Li_{3-x}P_6N_{11-x}(NH)_x (x=1.66(3)) were synthesized from LiPN₂ and P₃N₅ in the multianvil press at 10 GPa. They constitute the first lithium nitridophosphates with 3D networks exhibiting a degree of condensation larger than 0.5 and high thermal stability. LiP_4N_7

Introduction

Although nitridophosphates have been thoroughly investigated over the past 30 years, the limits of this compound class have not yet been reached. Research in this field does not just continuously grow outward, there also remain surprising knowledge gaps to be filled.

One way to visualize where there are gaps in the field, is the degree of condensation κ (Figure 1). κ represents the quotient of the number of central atoms to vertex atoms in a tetrahedral network and was originally developed to describe Si–O networks.^[11] Its lower and upper limits are the non-condensed tetrahedron (κ =0.25) and the value of the binary compound. In the case of silicates this is SiO₂ with κ =0.5. Since P–N compounds are formed from PN₄-tetrahedra similarly to SiO₄ in silicates, κ can also be used to describe nitridophosphate structures. Due to κ >0.5 in the upper limit P₃N₅, triply coordinated vertices can be found in nitridophosphates in contrast to silicates.

Comparing silicates and phosphates, some degrees of condensation appear repeatedly. There are several common degrees of condensation that can be observed in nitridophosphates and that occur throughout series of homologs. They are accompanied by structural motifs like non-condensed tetrahedra ($\kappa = 1/4$) in Li₇PN₄,^[2] rings of three or chains of tetrahedra ($\kappa = 1/3$) in Li₁₂P₃N₉, Li₄PN₃ or AE₂PN₃ (AE=Mg, Ca),^[3,4] adaman-

 [a] S. Schneider, S. Klenk, S. D. Kloss, Prof. Dr. W. Schnick Department of Chemistry University of Munich (LMU) Butenandtstraße 5–13 (D), 81377 Munich (Germany) E-mail: wolfgang.schnick@uni-muenchen.de

- Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202303251
- © 2023 The Authors. Chemistry A European Journal published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

Chem. Eur. J. 2024, 30, e202303251 (1 of 7)

crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group $P2_12_12_1$ with a = 4.5846(6) Å, b = 8.0094(11) Å, and c = 13.252(2) Å (Z = 4). Li_{3-x}P₆N_{11-x}(NH)_x crystallizes in the triclinic space group P1 with Z = 2, a = 4.6911(11) Å, b = 7.024(2) Å, c = 12.736(3) Å, a = 87.726(11), $\beta = 80.279(11)$, and $\gamma = 70.551(12)^\circ$. Both compounds are stable against hydrolysis in air.

tane-like supertetrahedra or layers in Li₁₀P₄N₁₀, Li₅P₂N₅ (κ =2/ 5)^[5-7] or *RE*₂P₃N₇ (*RE*=La, Ce, Pr; κ =3/7).^[8] In the range of highly condensed structures ($\kappa \ge 0.5$), motifs are connected to threedimensional networks with N connecting two (κ =1/2) or three (κ =6/11, 4/7) tetrahedra. This is known from compounds like *A*PN₂ (*A*=H, Li, Na),^[9-13] *AE*P₂N₄ (*AE*=Be, Ca, Sr, Ba),^[14-17] *A*P₆N₁₁ (*A*=Na, K, Rb, Cs),^[18,19] and *A*P₄N₇ (*A*=H, Na, Ka, Rb, Cs).^[20-25]

Notably, the two common κ of 6/11 and 4/7 have been described for all alkali metals except for Li. This seems surprising, since Li-containing solids play a major role in today's technologies, mainly as battery materials.^[26-29] However, just this seems to have stunted research of highly condensed lithium nitridophosphates, as high conductivity is rather expected in compounds with a high Li content.^[3,6,7,30]

Surprisingly, the single publication claiming synthesis of LiP₄N₇ stems from a period in which Li batteries have not played such a large role as yet.^[31] In 1985, the compound was obtained as semi-amorphous powder from LiH and PNH₂ in NH₃ gas flow, but the structure could not be elucidated at the time. Theoretical calculations have simulated the structure with models of HP₄N₇ and NaP₄N₇.^[32]

In this work, we present the synthesis and structural characterization of LiP₄N₇ and Li_{3-x}P₆N_{11-x}(NH)_x. They are the first representatives in the Li–P–N system with $\kappa > 0.5$, closing the obvious gaps in the series AP_4N_7 (A = H, Na, K, Rb, Cs), and $A_3P_6N_{11}$ (A = Na, K, Rb, Cs) phases.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis

LiP₄N₇ and Li_{3-x}P₆N_{11-x}(NH)_x were obtained upon reaction of LiPN₂ and P₃N₅ at 10 GPa and 1150 °C, using a multianvil press with a modified Walker-type module. Single crystals were obtained of both phases. Optimization of synthesis procedures showed short reaction durations to promote formation of LiP₄N₇, whereas longer duration promotes formation of

 $[\]ensuremath{\mathbb C}$ 2023 The Authors. Chemistry - A European Journal published by Wiley-VCH GmbH

Research Article doi.org/10.1002/chem.202303251



Figure 1. Visualization of the degree of condensation κ . Shown are the structural motifs of Li_7PN_4 , $^{[2]}Li_{12}P_3N_9$, $^{[3]}Li_3PN_4$, $^{[3]}Li_5P_2N_5$, $^{[7]}Ce_2P_3N_7$, $^{[8]}LiPN_2$, $^{[12]}N_3P_6N_{11}$, $^{[23]}N_3P_6N_{11}$,

 $Li_{3-x}P_6N_{11-x}(NH)_x$. Due to the close similarity in reaction conditions, no phase pure product could be obtained. Syntheses of these phases is very sensitive against small changes in reaction parameters and do not follow idealized reaction Equations (1) and (2).

$$P_3N_5 + LiPN_2 \rightarrow LiP_4N_7 \tag{1}$$

$$P_3N_5 + 3 \text{ LiPN}_2 \rightarrow \text{Li}_3P_6N_{11} \tag{2}$$

 LiP_4N_7 was obtained as main phase from stoichiometric use of starting materials. However, formation of $LiPN_2$ and $Li_{3-x}P_6N_{11-x}(NH)_x$ side phases could not be omitted.

Instead of formation of Li₃P₆N₁₁, H was incorporated into the structure. Possibly, the H source was superficially hydrolyzed crucible material *h*BN. Stoichiometric use of starting materials according to equation (2) in a dried *h*BN crucible did not yield Li_{3-x}P₆N_{11-x}(NH)_x, but LiPN₂ and LiP₄N₇. Formation of LiPN₂ and LiP₄N₇ side phases could not be omitted.

Possibly, use of different precursors might enable more different reaction conditions following other synthesis strategies for nitrides like the azide route^[19,23] or lithium nitride self-flux.^[3,6,7,30] For example, HPN₂ could be used for targeted introduction of H.

Samples with the highest phase content of LiP₄N₇ and Li_{3-x}P₆N_{11-x}(NH)_x were used for Rietveld refinement (Figures 2, S1, S2, Table S8), to corroborate the structural models as obtained from single-crystal X-ray diffraction data.

Crystal Structure

The crystal structures of LiP_4N_7 and $\text{Li}_{3-x}P_6N_{11-x}(\text{NH})_x$ were determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction (scXRD). The structures were solved using direct methods and Li positions were determined by difference Fourier synthesis.



Figure 2. Rietveld refinement of the samples with highest content of LiP_4N_7 (top) and $Li_{3-x}P_6N_{11-x}$ (NH)_x (bottom). Measured with Cu K_{u1} radiation. Measured intensities are shown with black crosses, calculated and residual intensities are shown as red and gray lines, respectively. Possible reflection positions are shown with markers according to the legends in the graphs.

LiP₄N₇: LiP₄N₇ crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group $P2_{12_12_1}$ (no. 19) with a=4.5846(6), b=8.0094(11), c=13.252(2) Å, and Z=4. Additional crystallographic data are listed in Table 1. The structure consists of four P positions that are tetrahedrally coordinated by N. All seven N positions connect at least two tetrahedra, with two N positions, N3 and N6, connecting three tetrahedra (Figure 3a). The sole Li position is embedded into this network (Figure 3e).

The network can be most easily explained by following the connectivity of N3 and N6, the two N positions connecting three tetrahedra to propeller-like motifs similar to those in β -

| Sum formula | LiP ₄ N ₇ | Li _{1.34} P ₆ N _{9.34} (NH) _{1.66} | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| Formula weight/g · mol ^{−1} | 228.89 | 350.90 | | |
| Crystal system | Orthorhombic | Triclinic | | |
| Space group | <i>P</i> 2 ₁ 2 ₁ 2 ₁ (no. 19) | <i>P</i> 1 (no. 2) | | |
| Lattice parameters/Å, ° | a = 4.5823(9) b = 8.0066(9) c = 13.2407(18) | a = 4.6911(11) b = 7.024(2) c = 12.736(3) $\alpha = 87.726(11)$ $\beta = 80.279(11)$ $\gamma = 70.551(12)$ | | |
| Cell volume/Å ³ | 485.78(13) | 390.0(2) | | |
| Formula units per unit cell Z | 4 | 2 | | |
| Density/g · cm ^{−3} | 3.130 | 2.988 | | |
| μ/mm^{-1} | 1.465 | 1.374 | | |
| Crystal size/mm ³ | 0.04×0.015×0.015 | 0.03×0.03×0.02 | | |
| Radiation (λ/Å) | Mo K $_{\!\alpha}\lambda\!=\!0.71073$ Å | | | |
| Temperature/K | 113(2) | 111(2) | | |
| heta-range/° | $2.973 \le 	heta \le 31.518$ | $3.1 \leq \theta \leq 32.0$ | | |
| Total no. of reflections | 6132 | 7400 | | |
| Independent reflections | 1626 | 2693 | | |
| Refined parameters | 109 | 169 | | |
| R_{int} , R_{σ} | 0.0375; 0.0364 | 0.0428; 0.0558 | | |
| R1 (all data); R1 ($F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)$) | 0.0302; 0.0271 | 0.0602; 0.0410 | | |
| wR2 (all data); wR2 ($F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)$) | 0.0650; 0.0642 | 0.0989; 0.0932 | | |
| Goodness of fit (χ^2) | 1.159 | 1.028 | | |
| Δho_{max} ; Δho_{min} /e·Å ⁻³ | 0.494; -0.406 | 0.695; -1.097 | | |

HP₄N₇. N6 connects two instances of P4 tetrahedra and one additional P3 tetrahedron (Figure 3a). N6 occurs as two vertices of the P4 tetrahedron, resulting in a P4–N6–P4–N6 backbone with one P3 tetrahedron connected to each N6. These P3 tetrahedra share another vertex with one P4 tetrahedron. This leads to bands of propeller-like motifs that share both P4 tetrahedra and are additionally connected by one vertex. The resulting *dreier*-rings (*Liebau* nomenclature) are connected along [100] (Figure 3b).^[1]

N3 connects P1, P2, and P3 tetrahedra. This triplet shares the N4 vertex with symmetrically identical tetrahedra along [100] (Figure 3c). These bands of triplets are in turn connected along [010] by N1 and N7 of the P1 tetrahedron, forming further *dreier*-rings (Figure 3d) and resulting in layers of triplets around N3 in the (001) plane. Along [001], these layers are connected by the tetrahedra around P4–N6–P4–N6 backbone (Figure 3e). The network has the hitherto unknown topological point symbol $\{3^2.4.5^3.6^4\}\{3^2.4^2.5^2.6^4\}\{3^4.4^3.5^4.6^4\}\{3^4.4^4.5^5.6^2\}$, which was calculated using ToposPro.^[34]

The single crystallographic Li position has a distorted octahedral coordination sphere which shares two opposite faces with symmetrically identical LiN₆-octahedra, forming strands along [100] (see Figure 3f+g). Possibly, Li is slightly



Figure 3. Network structure of LiP₄N₇. a) Triply coordinated N6 (dark blue, left) and N3 (light blue, right). b) Connectivity of P4 tetrahedra (yellow) around N6 (dark blue). c) Connectivity of P1, P2, and P3 tetrahedra (dark green, green and bright green, respectively) around N3 (light blue) along [100]. d) Connectivity of P1, P2, and P3 bands along [010]. e) Network structure of LiP₄N₇ in and around the unit cell. Li cations are shown in gray. f) Coordination polyhedron around Li. g) Columns of LiN₆ octahedra along [100].

mobile, both within the large coordination polyhedron, and along strands of face-sharing LiN_6 octahedra. This could explain its large displacement (0.129(9) Å²). In principle, it is possible that instead of full occupancy of Li, H or O is incorporated into the structure. However, difference Fourier analysis did not show residual electron density at suitable distances to N to support presence of H. Furthermore, since no phase pure sample could be obtained, bulk methods like Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy or nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy cannot unequivocally prove presence of H. Thus, we have chosen to use the stoichiometric notation LiP_4N_7 .

 $Li_{3-x}P_6N_{11-x}(NH)_x$: $Li_{3-x}P_6N_{11-x}(NH)_x$ crystallizes in the triclinic

space group P_1 (no. 2) with a=4.6911(11), b=7.024(2), c=12.736(3) Å, $\alpha=87.726(11)$, $\beta=80.279(11)$, $\gamma=70.551(12)^{\circ}$, and Z=2. Crystallographic details are listed in Table 1. As in LiP₄N₇, vertex-sharing PN₄-tetrahedra constitute the 3D-network. All N atoms connect at least two tetrahedra, with N1 and N7 connecting three tetrahedra.

There are two crystallographically different propeller-like motifs, comparable to those in $BaP_6N_{10}NH$ (Figure 4a).^[35] N1 is coordinated by three P atoms: P1, P2, and P3. This triplet of tetrahedra is connected to crystallographically identical motifs, forming bands of *dreier*-rings along [100] (Figure 4b). These bands are stacked along [010], connected by P2 and P3 tetrahedra. This leads to *vierer*-rings of P2 and P3 tetrahedra, with P1 tetrahedra protruding along [100] (Figure 4c). P1 and P3 form *vierer*-rings again, connecting these stacks to layers in

Research Article doi.org/10.1002/chem.202303251



Figure 4. Structure of $Li_{3-x}P_6N_{11-x}(NH)_{x}$. a) Propeller-like motifs around N1 (light blue, green tetrahedra, left) and N7 (dark blue, yellow tetrahedra, right). b) Propeller-like structure motifs are connected along [100] via two N (blue). c) Bands of propeller-motifs are connected to stacks with P1 tetrahedra protruding to each side, forming *vierer*-rings along [010]. d) Connection of stacks along [010] forming layers in the (001) plane. e) Layers of crystallographically different propeller motifs are connected along [001]. f) Distorted LiN₆ octahedron around Li1. g) Distorted LiN₆ octahedron around Li2. h) Edge-sharing LiN₆ octahedra connected along [100].

the a,b-plane. The layers are connected along [001] to crystallographically different layers with the same constitution to form a three-dimensional network with large gaps containing Li-ions and H (Figure 4d). The point symbol for the net is $\{3^2.4^2.5^2.6^2.7.8\}$ $\{3^2.4^2.5^2.6^4\}\{3^2.4^4.5^3.7\}$ and was calculated using ToposPro.^[34] No network with this point symbol has been reported as yet.

Li positions were determined using difference Fourier synthesis and imply full and partial occupancy of Li1 and Li2, respectively. The distorted LiN₆ octahedra are connected by edges along [100] (Figure 4e-g). Possibly, more than one H per formula unit can be inserted in the structure, which is also corroborated by the presence of several resonances visible in NMR spectra. Since the position of H could not be determined unequivocally, its positions were constrained onto a singly bridging N atom with the largest possible distance to Li (N5) and an N position with remaining electron density at an appropriate distance to N (N4). The occupancy of the latter H position was constrained to partial occupancy of the Li2 position. With full and partial occupancies for H1 and H2, respectively, scXRD suggests an H content of x = 1.66(3). However, for quantitative analysis of H content in the bulk, phase-pure samples are necessary. Thus, the notation indicating a phase-width was chosen: $Li_{3-x}P_6N_{11-x}(NH)_x$.

In other $AE_3P_6N_{11}$ (AE = Na, K), boiling in concentrated acids was found to replace metal positions with oxonium ions. Heating of the compounds with metal chlorides can replace ions, since cations are only coordinated weakly.^[24] A similar mechanism could be conceivable for Li_{3-x}P₆N_{11-x}(NH)_x. Furthermore, by ion exchange, it might be possible to synthesize other highly condensed networks like a $Li_3P_6N_{11}$ phase with the structure type and network topology of $Na_3P_6N_{11}$ or $K_3P_6N_{11}$ or vice versa.

Nuclear Magnetic Resonance and Fourier-Transform Infrared Spectroscopy

Due to the low electron density of hydrogen, its presence in the structure cannot be confirmed unequivocally by XRD methods. Therefore, nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy were employed. However, since no phase pure samples were available, the informative value of these investigations has to be considered carefully.

 31 P, coupled 31 P{ 1 H} and 7 Li NMR spectra of two samples with LiP₄N₇ and Li_{3-x}P₆N_{11-x}(NH)_x as main P-containing phases were measured (Figures S7–S12). However, due to unavailability of phase pure samples, assignment of signals to crystallographic positions is not possible.

 31 P NMR spectra were compared in order to assign the resonances to the respective phases (Figure S13a). 31 P resonances of LiP₄N₇ occur at -8.4 and -15.9 ppm, those of Li_{3-x}P₆N_{11-x}(NH)_x at 0.8 and -3.0 ppm. Possibly, the signal at -15.9 ppm contains portions of both LiP₄N₇ and Li_{3-x}P₆N_{11-x}-(NH)_x.

The ³¹P{¹H} coupled spectrum shows presence of H in the sample with $Li_{3-x}P_6N_{11-x}(NH)_x$ as main P-containing phase (Figure S11). However, no quantitative information can be derived from the spectrum. In the ³¹P{¹H} coupled spectrum of a sample consisting mainly of LiP_4N_7 , there are also smaller signals. However, since the side phase contains H, its presence cannot be confirmed or excluded definitely.

 ^7Li spectra each show a single signal at -0.3 and -0.2 ppm. These signals are very similar and in the range of other chemical shifts for $^7\text{Li}.^{[3,6,7,30]}$

The FTIR spectrum of a sample consisting mainly of $\text{LiP}_{4}N_7$ (69% LiP_4N_7 , 19% $\text{Li}_{3-x}P_6N_{11-x}(\text{NH})_{xr}$, 12% LiPN_2) shows no significant absorption bands in the area around 2500–3500 cm⁻¹, in which N–H or O–H absorption bands would be expected (Figure 5, black line). The small signal at 3340 cm⁻¹ can be explained by 19% of H-containing side phase $\text{Li}_{3-x}P_6N_{11-x}(\text{NH})_x$ or superficial hydrolysis, indicating that no significant amount of H is present in the sample. Increased noise in the area from 2400–1900 cm⁻¹ is due to C–C bonds in the diamond window of the ATR unit. The spectrum shows three mayor groups of absorption bands, which is in accordance with literature.^[31] However, comparison to literature has low resolution and because no phase pure samples could be obtained.

The P–N vibration modes in the fingerprint area of $Li_{3-x}P_6N_{11-x}(NH)_x$ are very similar to those of LiP_4N_7 (Figure 5, gray line). However, absorption of N–H vibrational modes is visible at 3220 cm⁻¹, corroborating the presence of H.

Chemistry Europe

European Chemical Societies Publishing Research Article doi.org/10.1002/chem.202303251

5213765,



Figure 5. FTIR spectrum of a sample with LiP₄N₇ as main phase (69% LiP₄N₇, 19% Li_{3-x}P₆N_{11-x}(NH)_x, 12% LiP₁N₂, black line), and Li_{3-x}P₆N_{11-x}(NH)_x (83% Li_{3-x}P₆N_{11-x}(NH)_x, 11% LiP₄N₇, 6% LiPN₂, gray line). The spectrum was measured under Ar atmosphere with an ATR unit with a diamond window. Increased background in the area around 1900–2300 cm⁻¹ results from C–C vibrations in the diamond window.

Thermal Stability

The thermal stability of LiP₄N₇ and Li_{3-x}P₆N_{11-x}(NH)_x was investigated using variable-temperature XRD. For LiP₄N₇, no decomposition was observed up to 900 °C, solely a small thermal shift of lattice parameters is visible. Above 900 °C, additional reflections of low intensity appear that could not be assigned to known phases (Figure 6a). Thermal expansion



Figure 6. Thermal stability measurements and thermal expansion. a) Variable temperature powder X-ray diffractograms of LiP₄N₇ measured from 40–1000 °C with Mo K_{a1} radiation. An arrow marks beginning decomposition. b) Variable temperature powder X-ray diffractogram of Li_{3-x}P₆N_{11-x}(NH)_x measured from 60–900 °C with Ag K_{a1} radiation. An arrow marks beginning decomposition.

coefficients were calculated using lattice parameters from Rietveld refinement at temperatures from room temperature up to 1000 °C. The expansion of the *a* and *b* lattice parameters is very similar with $\alpha_a = 4.42(5) \times 10^{-6}$ and $4.4(2) \times 10^{-6}$ K⁻¹. This might be a result of the high connectivity along [100] and [010]. Along [001], the structure is more open, which is reflected in the larger thermal expansion along this direction ($\alpha_c = 8.5(2) \times 10^{-6}$ K⁻¹). The volumetric expansion coefficient $\alpha_v = 17.5(4) \times 10^{-6}$ K⁻¹ (Figure 6c), is in a range comparable to other nitridophosphates with $\kappa = 4/7$.^[36]

At 800 °C, incipient decomposition of Li_{3-x}P₆N_{11-x}(NH)_x is observed. Only Li₃P is visible in the diffraction pattern at 840 °C and above (Figure 6b). Li₃P can often be observed in lithium nitridophosphate syntheses at high temperatures and is a common decomposition product.^[6,7]

The thermal expansion coefficient was calculated as described above (Figure 6d). Thermal expansion along [100] could not be reliably determined in the temperature range available ($\alpha_a = 0.2(3) \times 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-1}$). This might be due to the highly condensed dreier-rings along [100] that cannot be stretched as much as the more open features along [010] and [001]. Expansion along [010] and [001] are 9.2(5)×10⁻⁶ and 16.9(4)×10⁻⁶ K⁻¹, respectively. The volumetric expansion coefficient of $\alpha_{\rm V} = 25.2(8) \times 10^{-6} \, {\rm K}^{-1}$ is comparable to other nitridophosphates with $\kappa = 6/11$ like BaP₆N₁₀NH ($\alpha_V = 22.2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-1}$),^[35] $Rb_{3}P_{6}N_{11}$ ($\alpha_{V} = 13.9 \times 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-1}$),^[19] or $Cs_3P_6N_{11}$ $(\alpha_{\nu} =$ $13.0 \times 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-1}$).^[19] The error margin in Li_{3-x}P₆N_{11-x}(NH)_x is higher and deviation of values larger than in $\text{LiP}_4N_7\text{,}$ since the determination of lattice parameters with Ag $K_{\alpha 1}$ is less exact than with Mo $K_{\alpha 1}$.

The high degree of condensation accounts for the high stability of the compounds. Both LiP_4N_7 and $\text{Li}_{3-x}P_6N_{11-x}(\text{NH})_x$ are stable against hydrolysis and can be stored under ambient conditions indefinitely.

This is consistent with the general correlation of stability and the degree of condensation that can be found in other nitridophosphates and silicates. Whereas Li_7PN_4 is highly sensitive against air and moisture and can only be handled in inert conditions,^[2] $Li_{10}P_4N_{10}$ can be washed with dry ethanol^[6] and $LiPN_2$ is stable against acids and bases.^[10] LiP_4N_7 and $Li_{3-x}P_6N_{11-x}(NH)_x$ were washed with concentrated acids without indication of decomposition.

Conclusions

The two highly condensed lithium (imido)nitridophosphates LiP_4N_7 and $Li_{3-x}P_6N_{11-x}(NH)_x$ were synthesized and characterized. Their structures were solved and refined from scXRD data. The high degree of condensation is realized by N atoms triply coordinated by P. In LiP_4N_7 , one of these N atom positions occurs twice in one tetrahedron, resulting in a band of propeller-like motifs similar to those in β -HP₄N₇, sharing tetrahedra. The resulting highly condensed band of *dreier*-rings is connected to additional propeller-like motifs. $Li_{3-x}P_6N_{11-x}(NH)_x$ exhibits two triply by P coordinated N atom positions. Here, both form propeller-like motifs, which share corners to other

15213765,

In comparison to other lithium nitridophosphates, both compounds show high thermal and chemical stability, which is likely due to their highly condensed network structure. Both compounds are stable against hydrolysis and can be stored at ambient conditions indefinitely. The degree of condensation is also expressed in the relatively low thermal expansion coefficient.

In further research, the questions of H content and positions, ionic conductivity, and potential synthesis at milder conditions will be addressed. Synthesis of these compounds fills the gap of nitridophosphates with the formula type AP_4N_7 (A=H, Na, K, Rb, Cs) and $A_3P_6N_{11}$ (A=Na, K, Rb, Cs).

Experimental Section

Synthesis of P₃**N**₅: P₄S₁₀ (Sigma Aldrich, 99%) was saturated with NH₃ for 4 h in a dried silica tube. Then it was heated to 1125 K at 5 Kmin⁻¹ in an NH₃ gas flow for 4 h following the procedure described by Stock et al.^[37] The resulting powder was washed with water, ethanol, and acetone and dried under vacuum. Phase purity was confirmed by powder XRD, FTIR and CHNS combustion analysis.

Synthesis of LiPN₂: P₃N₅ and Li₃N (Rockwood Lithium, 94%) in a molar ratio of 1:1.2 were ground in an agate mortar under inert atmosphere in an Ar-filled glovebox (Unilab, MBraun, Garching, $O_2 < 1$ ppm, $H_2O < 0.1$ ppm) and transferred to a Ta crucible within a silica tube in Ar atmosphere. The sealed silica tube was heated to 800 °C. The product was washed with diluted hydrochloric acid, water, and ethanol, and dried under vacuum.

High-pressure synthesis of LiP_4N_7 and $\text{Li}_{3-x}P_6N_{11-x}(\text{NH})_x$: For highpressure synthesis, a modified Walker-type multianvil press was used. $^{[38,39]}$ P₃N₅ and LiPN₂ were ground in a 1:1 ratio (1:3 for $Li_{3-x}P_6N_{11-x}(NH)_x$) and packed in a hexagonal boron nitride crucible (Henze, Kempten), which was closed with a hBN lid. The crucible was placed in the center of an MgO octahedron (doped with 5% Cr₂O₃, edge length 18 mm, Ceramic Substrates & Components Ltd, Isle of Wight) using MgO spacers (Cesima Ceramics, Wust-Fischbach). Resistance heating using two graphite furnaces (Schunk Kohlenstofftechnik GmbH, Zolling) that were contacted to the anvils of the multianvil press by Mo discs ensured heating of the sample. A ZrO₂ tube (Cesima Ceramics, Wust-Fischbach) provided thermal insulation. The octahedron was placed between WC cubes (doped with 7 % Co, Hawedia, Marklkofen, Germany) with truncated edges (edge length 11 mm), and separated with pyrophillite gaskets (Ceramic Substrates & Components, Isle of Wight, UK). Additional details about the multianvil press and its experimental setup is given in literature.[39]

The samples were first compressed to 10 GPa. For LiP₄N₇, the samples were then heated to 1150 °C over a period of 30 min, held for 15 min and cooled down over a period of 30 min. For Li_{3-x}P₆N_{11-x}(NH)_x, the temperature was raised to 1150 °C over 60 min, held for 60 min, and cooled down over 60 min. Eventually, the pressure was slowly decreased. The resulting gray product was isolated and washed with water.

Single crystal X-ray diffraction: A D8 Venture diffractometer (Bruker, Billerica MA, USA) was used with Mo K_{α} radiation from a rotating anode source to obtain scXRD data. Data was corrected for

absorption with SADABS and integrated using the APEX3 program package.^[40,41] ShelXT was used to solve the structure and ShelXL was used for refinement.^[42] P and N atoms, as well as one Li position in Li_{3-x}P₆N_{11-x}(NH)_x were refined anisotropically. Li positions were found by difference fourier synthesis. Diamond3 was used for crystal structure visualization.^[43]

Deposition Number(s) CSD2290091 and CSD2290093 contain(s) the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data are provided free of charge by the joint Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe Access Structures service.

Powder X-ray diffraction: The powder was sealed into a glass capillary (Ø = 0.5 mm, wall thickness 0.1 mm, Hilgenberg GmbH). A Stoe STADI P diffractometer (Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany) with Cu K_{a1} radiation (λ = 1.5406 Å), Ge(111) monochromator and a Mythen 1 K detector (Dectris, Baden, Switzerland) in parafocusing Debye–Scherrer geometry was used to analyze the sample. The TOPAS 6 program package was used for Rietveld refinements.^[44]

Variable-temperature XRD was performed on a Stoe STADI P diffractometer (Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany) with Mo K_{a1} radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å, for Li_{3-x}P₆N_{11-x}(NH)_x Ag K_{a1} radiation, $\lambda = 0.5594075$ Å). The diffractometer is equipped with a Ge(111) monochromator. A IP PDS plate detector was used for data acquisition. Rietveld refinement was performed with the TOPAS 6 program package.⁽⁴⁴⁾

Solid-state magic angle spinning (MAS) nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy: ³¹P, coupled ³¹P{¹H}, and ⁷Li spectra were measured using a DSX Avance spectrometer (Bruker) with a magnetic field of 11.7 T. The rotors containing the samples (\emptyset = 2.5 mm) were spun with a rotation frequency of 20 kHz on a commercial MAS probe (Bruker). Topspin (Bruker) was used for analyzation of the spectra.^[45]

Fourier-transform Infrared (FTIR) Spectroscopy: Measurement of FTIR spectra was performed on a Bruker FTIR Alpha II compact spectrometer with diamond ATR unit in Ar atmosphere. Data was obtained from 400–4000 cm⁻¹ using the OPUS program package.^[46]

Elemental analyses: Due to the presence of side phases in all samples, elemental analysis is difficult in the case of LiP_4N_7 and $\text{Li}_{3-x}P_6N_{11-x}$ (NH)_x. Furthermore, Li, P, N, and H cannot be observed simultaneously with a single method. Li and H cannot be observed in energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectroscopy, CHNS cannot measure P or Li. Thus, even the combination of methods offers only vague results. Thus, elemental analysis was only used to confirm that no additional elements are present in the samples.

Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX): A scanning electron microscope (SEM) JSM-6500F (Jeol, Tokyo, Japan; maximum acceleration voltage 30 kV) was used to examine a carbon-coated sample. An energy dispersive spectrometer (Model 7418, Oxford Instruments, Abingdon, United Kingdom) was used for qualitative and semiquantitative elemental analysis. The obtained data was analyzed with INCA.^[47]

Mass spectrometry (CHNS): CHNS spectrometry data was acquired using a Vario MICRO Cube device (Elementar, Langenselbold, Germany).

Supporting Information

The authors have cited additional references within the Supporting Information. $^{\left[3,6,7,30\right] }$

Chem. Eur. J. 2024, 30, e202303251 (6 of 7)



Acknowledgements

The authors thank Dr. Lisa Gamperl, Christian Minke (both at Department of Chemistry of LMU), and Armin Schulz (MPI FKF Stuttgart) for SEM/EDX, NMR, and Raman measurements, respectively. Funding support from the Deutsche Forschungs-gemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany's Excellence Strategy–EXC 2089/1-390776260 (e-Conversion) is gratefully acknowledged. Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available in the supplementary material of this article.

| Keywords: high-pressure | chemistry | • | lithium | • |
|--|-----------|---|---------|---|
| nitridophosphates · solid-state structures | | | | |

- F. Liebau, Structural Chemistry of Silicates, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 1985.
- [2] W. Schnick, J. Luecke, J. Solid State Chem. 1990, 87, 101–106.
- [3] E.-M. Bertschler, R. Niklaus, W. Schnick, Chem. Eur. J. 2017, 23, 9592– 9599.
- [4] V. Schultz-Coulon, W. Schnick, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. **1997**, 623, 69–74.
- [5] W. Schnick, U. Berger, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1991, 30, 830–831; Angew. Chem. 1991, 103, 857–858.
- [6] E.-M. Bertschler, C. Dietrich, T. Leichtweiß, J. Janek, W. Schnick, Chem. Eur. J. 2018, 24, 196–205.
- [7] E.-M. Bertschler, R. Niklaus, W. Schnick, Chem. Eur. J. 2018, 24, 736–742.
- [8] S. D. Kloß, N. Weidmann, R. Niklaus, W. Schnick, Inorg. Chem. 2016, 55, 9400–9409.
- [9] H. Jacobs, R. Nymwegen, S. Doyle, T. Wroblewski, W. Kockelmann, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1997, 623, 1467–1474.
- [10] P. Eckerlin, C. Langereis, I. Maak, A. Rabenau, Angew. Chem. 1960, 7, 19561.
- [11] R. Marchand, P. L'Haridon, Y. Laurent, J. Solid State Chem. **1982**, 43, 126–130.
- [12] W. Schnick, J. Lücke, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1990, 588, 19–25.
- [13] K. Landskron, S. Schmid, W. Schnick, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2001, 627, 2469–2472.
- [14] F. J. Pucher, S. Rebecca Römer, F. W. Karau, W. G. Schnick, Chem. Eur. J. 2010, 16, 7208–7214.

- [15] F. J. Pucher, A. Marchuk, P. J. Schmidt, D. Wiechert, W. Schnick, Chem. Eur. J. 2015, 21, 6443–6448.
- [16] F. W. Karau, L. Seyfarth, O. Oeckler, J. Senker, K. Landskron, W. Schnick, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2007, 13, 6841–6852.
- [17] F. W. Karau, W. Schnick, J. Solid State Chem. 2005, 178, 135–141.
- [18] H. Jacobs, R. Nymwegen, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. **1997**, 623, 429–433.
- [19] K. Landskron, W. Schnick, J. Solid State Chem. 2001, 156, 390–393.
- [20] S. Horstmann, E. Irran, W. Schnick, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1997, 36, 1992– 1994; Angew. Chem. 1997, 109, 2085–2087.
- [21] D. Baumann, W. Schnick, Inorg. Chem. 2014, 53, 7977–7982.
- [22] D. Baumann, W. Schnick, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 14490–14493; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 14718–14721.
- [23] K. Landskron, E. Irran, W. Schnick, Chem. Eur. J. 1999, 5, 2548–2553.
- [24] A. A. Vitola, J. Ronis, T. Millers, *Izv. Akad. Nauk Latv. SSR Seriya Khimicheskaya* **1990**, *1*, 35–39.
- [25] J. Ronis, B. Bondars, A. A. Vitola, T. Millers, Latv. PSR Zinat. Akad. Kim. Serija1 1990, 3, 299–301.
- [26] M. Park, X. Zhang, M. Chung, G. B. Less, A. M. Sastry, J. Power Sources 2010, 195, 7904–7929.
- [27] T. H. Kim, J. S. Park, S. K. Chang, S. Choi, J. H. Ryu, H. K. Song, Adv. Energy Mater. 2012, 2, 860–872.
- [28] N. Boaretto, I. Garbayo, S. Valiyaveettil-SobhanRaj, A. Quintela, C. Li, M. Casas-Cabanas, F. Aguesse, J. Power Sources 2021, 502, 1–34.
- [29] J. Li, J. Fleetwood, W. B. Hawley, W. Kays, Chem. Rev. 2022, 122, 903– 956.
- [30] E.-M. Bertschler, C. Dietrich, J. Janek, W. Schnick, Chem. Eur. J. 2017, 23, 2185–2191.
- [31] A. Vitola, V. Avotins, I. Lange, G. Smilškalne, T. Millers, Latv. J. Chem. 1985, 3, 288–292.
- [32] Y. A. Du, N. A. W. Holzwarth, Phys. Rev. B 2010, 81, 1-15.
- [33] S. Horstmann, E. Irran, W. Schnick, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1998, 624, 620–628.
- [34] V. A. Blatov, A. P. Shevchenko, *Topos Prov. 5.1.5.7*, TOPOS Expert n.d.
- [35] S. Wendl, L. Eisenburger, M. Zipkat, D. Günther, J. P. Wright, P. J. Schmidt, O. Oeckler, W. Schnick, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2020, 26, 5010–5016.
- [36] S. Wendl, L. Eisenburger, P. Strobel, D. Günther, J. P. Wright, P. J. Schmidt, O. Oeckler, W. Schnick, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2020, *26*, 7292–7298.
- [37] A. Stock, B. Hoffmann, Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 1903, 36, 314–319.
- [38] D. Walker, Am. Mineral. **1991**, 76, 1092–1100.
- [39] H. Huppertz, Z. Kristallogr. **2004**, *219*, 330–338.
- [40] Bruker, SADABS, Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, WI, USA 2009.
- [41] Bruker, APEX3 V2018.1-0 2018.
- [42] G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. C 2015, 71, 3–8.
- [43] K. Brandenburg, Diamond Version 3.2k, Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany 2014.
- [44] A. A. Coelho, TOPAS Academic Version 6, Coelho Software, Brisbane, Australia 2016.
- [45] Bruker, Topspin v.3.0 Pl 3, Bruker Biospin GmbH, Germany 2010.
- [46] Bruker, OPUS Version 8.7, Bruker Optik GmbH, Ettlingen, Germany 2021.
 [47] INCA Version 4.02, Oxford Instruments, Analytical Limited, Abingdon, United Kingdom n.d.

Manuscript received: October 4, 2023 Accepted manuscript online: October 24, 2023 Version of record online: November 20, 2023

Chem. Eur. J. 2024, 30, e202303251 (7 of 7)