1	Editorial
2	Immunity in Stroke: the next Frontier
3	Ting Li ^{1,2} & Arthur Liesz ^{1, 3*}
4	
	1 Institute for Stroke and Dementia Research (ISD), University Hospital, LMU Munich, Munich, Germany
	2 Gansu Key Laboratory of Biomonitoring and Bioremediation for Environmental Pollution, School of Life Sciences, Lanzhou University,
	Lanzhou, China
	3 Munich Cluster for Systems Neurology (SyNergy), Munich, Germany

5

6 *To whom correspondence should be addressed: arthur.liesz@med.uni-muenchen.de

7

8 Abstract

Translational stroke research has long been focusing on neuroprotective strategies to prevent 9 secondary tissue injury and promote recovery after acute ischemic brain injury. The inflammatory 10 11 response to stroke has more recently emerged as a key pathophysiological pathway contributing to stroke outcome. It is now accepted that the inflammatory response is functionally involved in all 12 phases of the ischemic stroke pathophysiology. The immune response is therefore considered a 13 breakthrough target for ischemic stroke treatment. On one side, stroke induces a local 14 15 neuroinflammatory response, in which the inflammatory activation of glial, endothelial and braininvading cells contributes to lesion progression after stroke. On the other side, ischemic brain injury 16 perturbs systemic immune homeostasis and results in long-lasting changes of systemic immunity. 17 18 Here, we briefly summarize current concepts in local neuroinflammation and the systemic immune 19 responses after stroke, and highlight two promising therapeutic strategies for post-stroke inflammation.

1 Introduction

Stroke is the second largest cause of death after ischemic heart disease worldwide, with ischemic 2 stroke accounting for over 70% of cases depending on regional epidemiology[1-3]. Currently, 3 thrombolysis with recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (rt-PA) and endovascular thrombectomy 4 given in the hyperacute phase after ischemic stroke onset are still the only effective therapies[4, 5]. 5 Due to the narrow therapeutic time window and safety concerns, the clinical indications for 6 thrombolysis and mechanical thrombectomy are limited and most stroke patients do not receive a 7 8 specific acute stroke treatment[6]. In fact, so far no specific therapies have been proven efficient when administrated beyond 24 hours after stroke onset. Post-ischemic inflammation, which persists for a 9 prolonged time period of days to weeks after stroke onset, is considered a potential strategy in 10 expanding the time frame for treatment. The immune system has been consistently proven to play a 11 critical role in stroke pathophysiology [7, 8]. Therefore, inflammatory mediators and immune cells have 12 received increasing attention as promising therapeutic targets for stroke treatment. 13

14

15 The neuroinflammatory response to stroke

After the onset of ischemic stroke, the lack of oxygen and energy failure in the ischemic tissue triggers 16 a series of deleterious cellular and molecular events[9]. In the acute phase, blood platelets adhere 17 and become activated at the site of ischemic vascular injury. Activated platelets interact with T cells 18 and neutrophils to promote thrombus formation and trigger thrombo-inflammation through the 19 activation of the kallikrein-kinin system[10, 11]. As the ischemic cascade progresses, brain cells 20 undergo necrosis in the injured area and release various intracellular components into the 21 extracellular space. Danger associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) are a diverse group of 22 immunoactive molecules, including high mobility group box 1 (HMGB1), ATP, nucleic acids, and 23 24 peroxiredoxin (Prx) family proteins as well as many other nuclear and cytoplasmic molecules, which 25 are important triggers for sterile inflammation after tissue injury[12]. DAMPS are secreted from necrotic and stressed cells but also actively secreted from immune cells as well as the endothelium 26 and neurons[12]. These danger signals activate purinergic receptors and pattern recognition 27 28 receptors (PRRs), such as Toll-like receptors (TLRs), receptor for advanced glycation end products 29 (RAGE), and scavenger receptors, which are widely expressed on immunocompetent brain cells such as microglia, border-associated macrophages, and brain endothelial cells[13, 14]. In murine stroke 30

models. ATP, HMGB1 and Prx family proteins are major DAMPs involved in post-ischemic 1 inflammation[15-17]. High levels of extracellular nucleotides (ATP, UTP) released from injured brain 2 cells are recognized by purinoceptors and function as "find-me" signals for phagocytic cells[18]. 3 HMGB1, an intracellular DNA binding protein, is released early after stroke and its plasma 4 concentrations remain elevated for months after stroke[19]. Recognized by several membrane-bound 5 and intracellular PRRs, HMGB1 is a potent mediator of sterile inflammation which can result in various 6 7 disease-relevant pathophysiological processes such as cytokine-induced sickness behavior, leakage 8 of the blood-brain barrier (BBB) and activation/recruitment of systemic immune cells[20][21][22]. 9 Similar to HMGB1, Prx family proteins released from necrotic cells trigger the production of inflammatory cytokines and promote the activation of infiltrating macrophages through activation of 10 PRR pathways[16, 23, 24]. In addition, other endogenous molecules from damaged tissues, such as 11 nucleic acids, lipids, and extracellular matrix, can also be recognized by PRRs and induce a sterile 12 13 inflammatory response[13, 14].

14

Microglia and astrocytes are the key brain resident cell population which participate in the initial 15 16 inflammatory response to the ischemic brain injury. Activated microglia undergo a rapid phenotypic change towards a reactive cell state and promote further inflammatory response[25]. Activated 17 microglia develop a de-ramified morphology with significantly enhanced migratory capacity, 18 phagocytosis, and production of pro-inflammatory mediators[25]. During the early phase after stroke, 19 20 microglia remove cellular debris from damaged tissue through phagocytosis mediated by receptors, including TLRs, triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 2 (TREM2), purinergic receptors and 21 the Tyro3, Axl, and Mer (TAM) tyrosine receptor kinases[26, 27]. Yet, the ischemic activation of 22 23 microglia can induce also a further inflammatory exacerbation of lesion progression by various 24 deleterious mechanisms. Reactive microglia not only phagocytose necrotic cells but also engulf 25 surviving neurons in the perilesional tissue-at-risk[28]. Additionally, perivascular microglia have been demonstrated to engulf vascular endothelial cells which can further promote dysfunction of 26 cerebrovascular integrity[29]. DAMPs in the extracellular space result via activation of PRR pathways 27 28 in the increased secretion of cytokines and chemokines by microglia. On one hand, activated 29 microglia release large amounts of pro-inflammatory mediators that contribute to neuronal apoptosis, and signals that recruit peripheral immune cells to exacerbate inflammation. On the other hand, 30

microglia produce various anti-inflammatory mediators and neurotrophic factors that play an important role in neurogenesis, particularly in the tissue repair process during the chronic phase after ischemia[30, 31]. Overall, activated microglia perform a complex and diverse role in the inflammatory response following cerebral ischemia, many studies have substantiated this "dual function". However, a recent study proposes that an absence of microglia leads to dysregulated neuronal network activity and results in exacerbated stroke outcome, which implies neuroprotective function of microglia despite the plethora of inflammatory cytokines they produce[32].

8

9 Astrocytes, the most abundant glial cells in the brain, are activated in response to signals released from damaged neurons or activated microglia and undergo reactive astrogliosis after ischemic stroke. 10 Reactive astrocytes form a glial scar in the peri-infarct area, which isolates the lesion and restricts the 11 spread of neuroinflammation but also hinders axonal regeneration[33]. Reactive astrocytes crosstalk 12 with microglia to enhance the inflammatory response, and produce various pro-inflammatory 13 mediators and free radicals that cause severe secondary damage to neurons[33, 34]. However, 14 reactive astrocytes also show neuroprotective effects by releasing neurotrophic factors, taking up 15 16 extracellular excitotoxic glutamate, releasing antioxidant endogenous glutathione, and stabilizing extracellular fluid and ionic homeostasis[35-38]. Moreover, astrocytes are essential for maintaining 17 vascular integrity and correct function of the BBB. The astrocytic endfeet wrap around blood vessels 18 19 and are tightly attached to the outer surface of the basal lamina[39]. However, in turn, cytokines and MMPs produced by pericapillary astrocytes results in dysfunction of BBB and vasogenic edema after 20 the ischemic insult[40, 41]. Thus, similar to microglial cells, also reactive astrocytes exert a dualistic 21 22 role in the immune responses to stroke.

23

As another functional component of the BBB, the cerebral endothelium is an important component of the inflammatory reaction after stroke. Since their unique position at the boundary between blood circulation and brain parenchyma, endothelial cells play a vital role in initiating and regulating the recruitment of peripheral inflammatory cells after stroke[39]. When stimulated either directly by hypoxia, DAMPs or cytokines derived from immune cells, endothelial cells express substances with vasoactive and pro-inflammatory properties as well as upregulate cell-adhesion molecules (CAMs) which can facilitate the recruitment of circulating leukocytes to the injured brain[42]. Among the large

group of CAMs, three groups of CAMs have been shown to be particularly relevant for the 1 transvascular leukocyte invasion at the BBB: selectins (p-selectin, e-selectin, and l-selectin), cellular 2 adhesion molecules (ICAM-1 and -2, VCAM-1, and PECAM-1), and integrins[43]. Selectins have been 3 shown to mediate the initial cell-cell adhesion and rolling of leukocytes on the endothelium, while 4 leukocyte integrins interact with cellular adhesion molecules expressed on endothelial cells to make 5 firm attachment and induce the transmigration[44]. Previous studies have demonstrated that inhibition 6 7 or deficiency of adhesion molecules leads to decreased intracerebral leukocyte accumulation, 8 reducing ischemic injury, and improving neurological outcome[45-47].

9

The recruitment of peripheral leukocytes to the injured brain after stroke occurs in a well-orchestrated 10 manner with distinct kinetics for the different leukocyte subpopulations[48]. Myeloid cells (monocytes 11 and neutrophils) are recruited to the injury site within hours after stroke. They are involved in the 12 inflammatory response through phagocytosis of necrotic cell debris and production of cytokines and 13 chemokines. This early cerebral leukocyte accumulation, together with reactive microglia, release pro-14 inflammatory cytokines that stimulate endothelial cells to upregulate adhesion molecules, thereby 15 16 facilitating further leukocyte influx to the brain parenchyma[49, 50]. Activated neutrophils produce inflammatory factors which exacerbate endothelial damage and neuronal cell death. As the 17 neuroinflammatory reaction aggravates, dendritic cells (DCs) increase in the brain parenchyma[48]. 18 Compared to these innate immune cell populations, lymphocytes infiltrate with delayed kinetics after 19 20 only several days but can then persist for more than 30 days in the injured brain[48, 51]. The first T cell subset invading the ischemic tissue are CD8+ cytotoxic T cells, which cause neuronal death and 21 exacerbation of brain damage[52, 53]. Most infiltrated T cells are CD4+ helper T cells, which 22 differentiate into different subtypes (e.g. Th1, Th17 or Treg) and then produce pro- or anti-23 24 inflammatory cytokines[48, 54]. Despite the relatively small number of T cells compared to innate immune cells in the brain, this cell population has been consistently demonstrated to be a major 25 contributor to stroke pathophysiology [55, 56]. Infiltrating helper T cells that acquire either the Th1 or 26 Th17 pro-inflammatory phenotypes after stroke exhibit detrimental effects of aggravating brain injury 27 28 by secreting pro-inflammatory cytokines, including IL-2, IL-17, IL-23 and IFN-y[47, 54]. In contrast, 29 regulatory T cells show a protective role in neuroinflammation at a more delayed stage through the secretion of anti-inflammatory factors and cell-cell contact-dependent mechanisms[57-59]. Therefore, 30

it is very likely that future therapeutic approaches targeting only cellular subpopulations (such as proversus anti-inflammatory T cells) or specific inflammatory mechanisms (such as neutralizing proinflammatory T cell cytokines) would be more efficient than the previously tested approaches aiming
to rather unspecifically block the—at least in part seemingly beneficial—neuroinflammatory response
to stroke.

6

7 Systemic immunity in stroke

8 In addition to the local neuroinflammatory response to tissue injury in the brain, stroke causes also a 9 profound alteration in systemic immune homeostasis. The systemic immune response to stroke can be divided in several phases with a distinct immunological phenotype ranging from early immune 10 activation to subsequent immunosuppression and chronic low-grade inflammation. In the hyperacute 11 phase of cerebral ischemia, the peripheral immune system is over-activated and characterized by a 12 rapid and extensive increase in cytokines from activated splenocytes and lymphoid tissue[60]. 13 Moreover, stroke activates hematopoietic stem cells in the bone marrow, leading to a myeloid-biased 14 emergency hematopoiesis and an increasing output of neutrophils and inflammatory monocytes to 15 16 the circulation[61, 62]. However, this early activation of systemic immunity lasts only for 1-2 days before severe systemic immunosuppression occurs. Immunosuppression in the subacute phase after 17 ischemia is characterized by lymphopenia, reduced functional activity of monocytes, and splenic 18 atrophy[63, 64]. These immunological changes make patients susceptible to infections, which is a key 19 20 factor to the morbidity and mortality of stroke patients during the first month after stroke[65]. Overactivation of the immune system in the hyperacute stage of stroke results in functional exhaustion of 21 22 mature monocytes which leads to apoptosis of lymphocytes[17]. We have recently demonstrated that 23 the activation of innate immune cells via brain-released alarmins and activation of the inflammasome 24 complex in circulating cells is the mechanistic link between early immune activation and subsequent lymphopenia[17, 66]. In the chronic phase after stroke, systemic immune dysfunction can still be 25 observed for several months[19]. The low-grade chronic inflammation can also be observed in stroke 26 patients as a sustained increase in inflammatory blood biomarkers such as C-reactive protein, IL-6, 27 28 IL-8, and TNF- α [67-69]. The persistence of inflammatory factors is associated with cognitive decline 29 and stroke recurrence in patients. Moreover, a long-term increase in circulating leukocytes and changes of lymphocyte subsets are found for several months after stroke[19, 70]. Considering that 30

stroke patients are in a large proportion multimorbid patients with several comorbidities such as atherosclerosis, diabetes, hypertension and others, the contribution of the long-term chronic inflammation to underlying comorbidities, the development of post-stroke complications and poststroke recovery warrants an in-depth analysis of currently unknown mechanisms and therapeutic targets.

6

7 From bench to bedside: therapeutic strategies

8 As far as the current status of ischemic stroke treatment, effective therapies to treat the acute phase 9 and prevent recurrent events are still very limited. Although many molecules have been reported to be neuroprotective in experimental stroke models, all of them have to date failed to clinically improve 10 neurological outcomes in clinical trials. Despite this so far failed translation of primarily neuroprotective 11 agents, many potential strategies are currently under investigation for stroke treatment, particularly 12 those targeting neuroinflammation in stroke. Accumulating evidence suggests that inhibition of 13 14 neuroinflammation in the brain has a beneficial effect for stroke outcome. It has been demonstrated that blockade of lymphocyte trafficking reduces infarct volume and thus improves stroke outcomes in 15 16 experimental stroke models[47, 71]. However, several clinical trials for drug repurposing of compounds already well established for primary autoimmune brain disorders have failed to prove 17 clinical efficacy in stroke patients. Among them, the functional sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P) 18 receptor antagonist FTY720 (fingolimod), an immunomodulatory drug established for treatment of 19 20 multiple sclerosis by reducing the circulation and cerebral T cell infiltration, has attracted great attention. FTY720 significantly reduced ischemic damage and neurological deficits, and promoted 21 22 recovery in animal models[72, 73]. Results from clinical trials show that oral administration of FTY720 23 for three consecutive days after stroke onset reduces microvascular permeability, limits secondary 24 brain injury and improves neurological outcome in patients[74-76]. Despite the reduction of peripheral 25 T cell circulation by FTY720, clinical data show that FTY720-treated patients have relatively mild infection signs that resolved after a brief treatment of antibiotics. In the meantime, no drug-related 26 serious adverse events are observed, suggesting that FTY720 is safe for patients[74, 75]. Therefore, 27 28 FTY720 is currently one of the most promising therapeutic immunomodulatory drugs for ischemic 29 stroke. However, the actual effectiveness of FTY720 is closely related to the type of stroke, the timing and route of administration. Therefore, larger clinical trials are required to ultimately confirm its clinical 30

efficacy and safety for ischemic stroke. Besides this one highlighted example of clinical trials for FTY720 in stroke, other immunomodulatory clinical trials have already concluded or are currently undergoing to test the effectiveness of targeting immune cell migration (e.g. by administration of the CD49-specific antibody Natalizumab), CD18 antagonists to inhibit neutrophil activation or use of the immunomodulatory antibiotic minocycline to reduce microglial activation after stroke[77-79].

6

7 Recurrent stroke and other ischemic events are major problems for patients surviving ischemic stroke. 8 Epidemiological data indicate that the stroke recurrence increases over time. The 1-year recurrence rate of ischemic stroke ranges from 6 % to 12%, while the 5-year recurrence rate rises to 16 - 22%[80-9 84], depending on the patients' age, sex, comorbidities and stroke subtype. Standard of care for 10 secondary prevention in stroke patients is mainly focusing on optimizing treatment of the metabolic 11 syndrome (obesity, hypertension, diabetes) which is a common comorbidity, cardiovascular risk factor 12 and often cause of the incident stroke. Therapies for this include antihypertensive, lipid lowering and 13 thrombocyte aggregation inhibiting medication. This treatment has been proven effective and 14 approved for reducing the long-term risk of recurrent cardiovascular events (stroke, myocardial 15 infarction and death of any cause). However, currently approved secondary prevention therapies are 16 only insufficiently preventing early cardiovascular disease (CVD) recurrence. This becomes obvious 17 by the fact that the risk for an acute ischemic event is approximately doubled (hazard ratio 0.67) in 18 19 the acute phase after a stroke despite current standard of care treatment. Epidemiological data from the Oxfordshire Stroke Project showed indeed that patients with atherosclerotic stroke incidence had 20 the highest recurrence rate in the (currently untreated) acute phase (7-day period) with an odds ratio 21 22 of 3.3[85].

23

In order to target this remaining therapeutic window in recurrent stroke prevention, anti-inflammatory therapies have come into focus of translational stroke research [86]. We have previously demonstrated that stroke results in exacerbation of atherosclerotic plaques in experimental stroke models – probably contributing to early recurrent stroke events—via the systemic inflammatory response to brain injury [87]. These observations particularly emphasize the possible contribution of inflammatory mechanisms to early CVD recurrence after ischemic stroke.

8

A promising, currently tested approach for reducing CVD recurrence is the treatment with Colchicine— 1 an anti-inflammatory drug used for decades, primarily for treatment of acute gout. Recent meta-2 analyses provide evidence that colchicine administration significantly reduces the stroke risk in 3 patients with high cardiovascular risk[88, 89]. Colchicine is a microtubule inhibitor with anti-4 inflammatory properties that attenuates inflammasome assembly, IL-1ß activation, inflammatory cell 5 motility, and cytokine secretion[90, 91]. The ongoing CONVINCE (Colchicine for prevention of 6 7 Vascular Inflammation in Non-CardioEmbolic stroke) is a randomized phase III clinical trial of 8 secondary stroke prevention investigating the efficacy and safety of daily low-dose colchicine on the prevention of recurrent stroke and major vascular events. Over 3000 patients in 17 countries will be 9 enrolled in CONVINCE, with clinical trials due to be completed by 2023[92]. Despite the obvious 10 medical need to prevent recurrent ischemic events due to residual inflammatory risk, no other drug 11 candidates are currently in development for this indication to the best of our knowledge. In order to 12 provide novel candidates and therapeutic targets for this relevant pathomechanisms, more insights 13 14 into the mechanisms of systemic immune modulation after stroke and its impact on post-stroke comorbidities are required. 15

16

17 Conclusion

Over the past decades, there has been a massive increase in data which improved our understanding 18 19 of the immune response to stroke. The crucial role of immunity in the pathological development of stroke has been widely recognized and the immune system has emerged as a key target for 20 therapeutic intervention in stroke. Extensive data from clinical and experimental studies suggest 21 22 DAMPs released from brain-injured tissue as initiators of sterile inflammation following ischemic stroke. These danger-signaling molecules cause activation of innate immune cells in the brain and 23 24 recruitment of circulating immune cells, which have a profound effect on neuronal damage and 25 recovery. A complex and prolonged systemic immune response induced through the neuro-immune axis ensues, especially immunosuppression that may cause life-threatening systemic infections. 26 Many elements of the immune system have partially opposing roles in ischemic stroke with both 27 28 beneficial and deleterious phenotypes, which may be time-dependent. Targeting such immunological 29 mechanisms after stroke provides an expanded time window of opportunity and a wide range of applications for therapeutic strategies, from improving neurological outcomes to reducing post-stroke 30

systemic infections, and preventing cognitive decline. Thus, "single-target" therapies may be insufficient to deal with the injuries following ischemia. Effective treatments are most likely to selectively target several cell types in different post-ischemic phases to promote protection and recovery. The ultimate effectiveness of immunomodulatory drugs in treating stroke will depend on further improving our understanding of the bidirectional communication between the CNS and the immune system in order to design specific and highly efficient therapies.

7

8 Figure legend

Figure 1. Overview on key brain-immune interactions after stroke. Ischemic brain injury induces 9 10 a local neuroinflammatory response as well as long-lasting changes in systemic immune homeostasis. Cerebral neuroinflammation includes activation of resident glial cells and infiltration of circulating 11 leukocytes. Initial ischemic events lead to the release of DAMPs from necrotic cells which cause 12 activation of microglia and astrocytes in the brain, resulting in secretion of various inflammatory 13 cytokines and chemokines (1). The activated endothelium upregulates adhesion molecule expression, 14 facilitating the recruitment of circulating leukocytes to the injured brain (2). Blood-derived inflammatory 15 cells such as monocytes, neutrophils, dendritic cells and lymphocytes infiltrate the ischemic area in a 16 well-orchestrated manner, further promoting neuroinflammation (3). The intracerebral injury also 17 induces a multiphasic systemic immune response through brain-released alarmins and the 18 autonomous nervous system. In the hyperacute phase, immune activation is characterized by an 19 increase in cytokine secretion and emergency hematopoiesis resulting in increased counts of 20 circulating monocytes. In the subacute phase, the immune reaction turns to an immunosuppressive 21 22 phenotype, characterized by lymphopenia, splenic atrophy and monocyte exhaustion, increasing the susceptibility of stroke patients to infections. In the chronic phase, low-grade inflammation is clinically 23 24 manifested by a long-lasting change in immune cell function and elevation of inflammatory biomarkers 25 including CRP, HMGB1, IL-6 and TNF- α . The figure highlights two exemplary therapeutic approaches for post-stroke immunomodulation with promising results. FTY720 alleviates stroke injury by inhibiting 26 leukocyte infiltration into brain tissue, and colchicine reduces systemic inflammation and prevents 27 28 recurrent ischemic events. Both drugs are currently investigated in ongoing clinical trials for ischemic 29 stroke patients.

30

1 Acknowledgements

- 2 The authors would like to thank Antonia Weingart for help in graphical illustration of the figure. This
- 3 study was supported by the European Research Council (ERC-StGs 802305), the National Natural
- 4 Science Foundation of China (#31600831 and #81771324) and the German Research Foundation
- 5 (DFG) under Germany's Excellence Strategy (EXC 2145 SyNergy ID 390857198).
- 6

7 Declaration of interests

- 8 The authors declare to have no competing interests.
- 9

10 **References**

- 1 1. Collaborators GS. Global, regional, and national burden of stroke, 1990-2016: a systematic analysis for the Global
 Burden of Disease Study 2016. Lancet Neurol 2019; 18: 439-458.
- 2 Barthels D, Das H. Current advances in ischemic stroke research and therapies. Biochimica et biophysica acta
 Molecular basis of disease 2020; 1866: 165260. DOI: 10.1016/j.bbadis.2018.09.012
- 3 3. Wang W, Jiang B, Sun H et al. Prevalence, Incidence, and Mortality of Stroke in China: Results from a Nationwide
 Population-Based Survey of 480 687 Adults. Circulation 2017; 135: 759-771. DOI: 10.1161/circulationaha.116.025250
- 17 4 4. Hankey GJ. Stroke. Lancet 2017; 389: 641-654.
- 5 5. Phipps MS, Cronin CA. Management of acute ischemic stroke. BMJ (Clinical research ed) 2020; 368: I6983. DOI:
 10.1136/bmj.I6983
- 20 6 6. Powers WJ. Acute Ischemic Stroke. The New England journal of medicine 2020; 383: 252-260.
- 7 7. ladecola C, Anrather J. The immunology of stroke: from mechanisms to translation. Nat Med 2011; 17: 796-808. DOI:
 10.1038/nm.2399
- 8 8. Jian Z, Liu R, Zhu X et al. The Involvement and Therapy Target of Immune Cells After Ischemic Stroke. Frontiers in
 immunology 2019; 10: 2167. DOI: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.02167
- 9 9. Levine SR. Pathophysiology and therapeutic targets for ischemic stroke. Clin Cardiol 2004; 27: II12-24.
- 26 10 10. De Meyer SF, Denorme F, Langhauser F et al. Thromboinflammation in Stroke Brain Damage. Stroke 2016; 47:
 27 1165-1172. DOI: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.115.011238
- 11 11. Stoll G, Nieswandt B. Thrombo-inflammation in acute ischaemic stroke implications for treatment. Nat Rev
 Neurol 2019; 15: 473-481. DOI: 10.1038/s41582-019-0221-1
- 30 12 12. Gong T, Liu L, Jiang W et al. DAMP-sensing receptors in sterile inflammation and inflammatory diseases. Nat
 31 Rev Immunol 2020; 20: 95-112. DOI: 10.1038/s41577-019-0215-7
- 32 13 13. Gelderblom M, Sobey CG, Kleinschnitz C et al. Danger signals in stroke. Ageing Res Rev 2015; 24: 77-82. DOI:
 33 10.1016/j.arr.2015.07.004
- 34 14 14. Shichita T, Ito M, Yoshimura A. Post-ischemic inflammation regulates neural damage and protection. Frontiers
 35 in cellular neuroscience 2014; 8: 319.
- 36 15 15. Wang W, Hu D, Feng Y et al. Paxillin mediates ATP-induced activation of P2X7 receptor and NLRP3
 37 inflammasome. BMC biology 2020; 18: 182. DOI: 10.1186/s12915-020-00918-w
- 38 16 16. Shichita T, Hasegawa E, Kimura A et al. Peroxiredoxin family proteins are key initiators of post-ischemic
 39 inflammation in the brain. Nat Med 2012; 18: 911-917. DOI: 10.1038/nm.2749

1 17 17. Liesz A, Dalpke A, Mracsko E et al. DAMP signaling is a key pathway inducing immune modulation after brain 2 injury. J Neurosci 2015; 35: 583-598. 3 18 18. Cockram TOJ, Dundee JM, Popescu AS et al. The Phagocytic Code Regulating Phagocytosis of Mammalian Cells. 4 Frontiers in immunology 2021; 12: 629979. DOI: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.629979 5 19 19. Schulze J, Zierath D, Tanzi P et al. Severe stroke induces long-lasting alterations of high-mobility group box 1. 6 Stroke 2013; 44: 246-248. DOI: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.112.676072 7 20 20. Nishibori M, Wang D, Ousaka D et al. High Mobility Group Box-1 and Blood-Brain Barrier Disruption. Cells 2020; 8 9. 9 21 21. Schuhmann MK, Kollikowski AM, März AG et al. Danger-associated molecular patterns are locally released 10 during occlusion in hyper-acute stroke. Brain, behavior, & immunity - health 2021; 15: 100270. 11 22 22. Ye Y, Zeng Z, Jin T et al. The Role of High Mobility Group Box 1 in Ischemic Stroke. Frontiers in cellular 12 neuroscience 2019; 13: 127. DOI: 10.3389/fncel.2019.00127 13 23 Kuang X, Wang LF, Yu L et al. Ligustilide ameliorates neuroinflammation and brain injury in focal cerebral 23. 14 ischemia/reperfusion rats: involvement of inhibition of TLR4/peroxiredoxin 6 signaling. Free Radic Biol Med 2014; 71: 15 165-175. DOI: 10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2014.03.028 16 24 24. Riddell JR, Wang XY, Minderman H et al. Peroxiredoxin 1 stimulates secretion of proinflammatory cytokines by 17 binding to TLR4. Journal of immunology (Baltimore, Md : 1950) 2010; 184: 1022-1030. DOI: 10.4049/jimmunol.0901945 Xiong XY, Liu L, Yang QW. Functions and mechanisms of microglia/macrophages in neuroinflammation and 18 25 25. 19 neurogenesis after stroke. Prog Neurobiol 2016; 142: 23-44. DOI: 10.1016/j.pneurobio.2016.05.001 20 26 26. Jia J, Yang L, Chen Y et al. The Role of Microglial Phagocytosis in Ischemic Stroke. Frontiers in immunology 2021; 21 12: 790201. DOI: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.790201 22 27 27. Leitner GR, Wenzel TJ, Marshall N et al. Targeting toll-like receptor 4 to modulate neuroinflammation in central 23 nervous system disorders. Expert opinion on therapeutic targets 2019; 23: 865-882. DOI: 24 10.1080/14728222.2019.1676416 25 28 Neher JJ, Emmrich JV, Fricker M et al. Phagocytosis executes delayed neuronal death after focal brain ischemia. 28. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2013; 110: E4098-4107. 26 27 29 Jolivel V, Bicker F, Biname F et al. Perivascular microglia promote blood vessel disintegration in the ischemic 29. 28 penumbra. Acta neuropathologica 2015; 129: 279-295. DOI: 10.1007/s00401-014-1372-1 29 30 Xu S, Lu J, Shao A et al. Glial Cells: Role of the Immune Response in Ischemic Stroke. Frontiers in immunology 30. 30 2020; 11: 294. DOI: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.00294 Ma Y, Wang J, Wang Y et al. The biphasic function of microglia in ischemic stroke. Prog Neurobiol 2017; 157: 31 31 31. 32 247-272. DOI: 10.1016/j.pneurobio.2016.01.005 33 32 32. Szalay G, Martinecz B, Lenart N et al. Microglia protect against brain injury and their selective elimination 34 dysregulates neuronal network activity after stroke. Nature communications 2016; 7: 11499. DOI: 35 10.1038/ncomms11499 36 33 33. Liu Z, Chopp M. Astrocytes, therapeutic targets for neuroprotection and neurorestoration in ischemic stroke. 37 Prog Neurobiol 2016; 144: 103-120. DOI: 10.1016/j.pneurobio.2015.09.008 38 34 Liddelow SA, Guttenplan KA, Clarke LE et al. Neurotoxic reactive astrocytes are induced by activated microglia. 34. Nature 2017; 541: 481-487. DOI: 10.1038/nature21029 39 40 35 Mahmoud S, Gharagozloo M, Simard C et al. Astrocytes Maintain Glutamate Homeostasis in the CNS by 35. 41 Controlling the Balance between Glutamate Uptake and Release. Cells 2019; 8. DOI: 10.3390/cells8020184 Shen LH, Li Y, Chopp M. Astrocytic endogenous glial cell derived neurotrophic factor production is enhanced by 42 36 36. 43 bone marrow stromal cell transplantation in the ischemic boundary zone after stroke in adult rats. Glia 2010; 58: 1074-44 1081.

- 37 37. Guo X, Jiang Q, Tuccitto A et al. The AMPK-PGC-1α signaling axis regulates the astrocyte glutathione system to
 protect against oxidative and metabolic injury. Neurobiol Dis 2018; 113: 59-69. DOI: 10.1016/j.nbd.2018.02.004
- 3 38 38. Min R, van der Knaap MS. Genetic defects disrupting glial ion and water homeostasis in the brain. Brain Pathol
 4 2018; 28: 372-387. DOI: 10.1111/bpa.12602
- 5 39 39. Langen UH, Ayloo S, Gu C. Development and Cell Biology of the Blood-Brain Barrier. Annual review of cell and
 developmental biology 2019; 35: 591-613. DOI: 10.1146/annurev-cellbio-100617-062608
- 7 40 40. Shan Y, Tan S, Lin Y et al. The glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonist reduces inflammation and blood-brain
 barrier breakdown in an astrocyte-dependent manner in experimental stroke. J Neuroinflammation 2019; 16: 242. DOI:
 10.1186/s12974-019-1638-6
- 41 41. Amantea D, Bagetta G, Tassorelli C et al. Identification of distinct cellular pools of interleukin-1beta during the
 evolution of the neuroinflammatory response induced by transient middle cerebral artery occlusion in the brain of rat.
 Brain Res 2010; 1313: 259-269. DOI: 10.1016/j.brainres.2009.12.017
- 42 42. Stanimirovic D, Satoh K. Inflammatory mediators of cerebral endothelium: a role in ischemic brain inflammation.
 Brain pathology (Zurich, Switzerland) 2000; 10: 113-126. DOI: 10.1111/j.1750-3639.2000.tb00248.x
- 43 43. Engelhardt B, Ransohoff RM. Capture, crawl, cross: the T cell code to breach the blood-brain barriers. Trends
 Immunol 2012; 33: 579-589. DOI: 10.1016/j.it.2012.07.004
- 44 44. Wang Q, Tang XN, Yenari MA. The inflammatory response in stroke. Journal of neuroimmunology 2007; 184:
 53-68. DOI: 10.1016/j.jneuroim.2006.11.014
- 45 45. Ma XJ, Cheng JW, Zhang J et al. E-selectin deficiency attenuates brain ischemia in mice. CNS neuroscience &
 therapeutics 2012; 18: 903-908.
- 21 46 46. Edwards DN, Salmeron K, Lukins DE et al. Integrin alpha5beta1 inhibition by ATN-161 reduces
 22 neuroinflammation and is neuroprotective in ischemic stroke. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2020; 40: 1695-1708.
- 47 47. Liesz A, Zhou W, Mracsko E et al. Inhibition of lymphocyte trafficking shields the brain against deleterious
 neuroinflammation after stroke. Brain 2011; 134: 704-720.
- 48 48. Gelderblom M, Leypoldt F, Steinbach K et al. Temporal and spatial dynamics of cerebral immune cell
 accumulation in stroke. Stroke 2009; 40: 1849-1857. DOI: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.108.534503
- 49 49. Ludewig P, Winneberger J, Magnus T. The cerebral endothelial cell as a key regulator of inflammatory processes
 in sterile inflammation. J Neuroimmunol 2019; 326: 38-44. DOI: 10.1016/j.jneuroim.2018.10.012
- 29 50 50. Wu F, Liu L, Zhou H. Endothelial cell activation in central nervous system inflammation. J Leukoc Biol 2017; 101:
 30 1119-1132. DOI: 10.1189/jlb.3RU0816-352RR
- Stubbe T, Ebner F, Richter D et al. Regulatory T cells accumulate and proliferate in the ischemic hemisphere for
 up to 30 days after MCAO. Journal of cerebral blood flow and metabolism : official journal of the International Society
 of Cerebral Blood Flow and Metabolism 2013; 33: 37-47. DOI: 10.1038/jcbfm.2012.128
- Society of Cerebral Blood Flow and Metabolism 2014; 34: 450-459. DOI: 10.1038/jcbfm.2013.217
- 37 53 53. Mracsko E, Liesz A, Stojanovic A et al. Antigen dependently activated cluster of differentiation 8-positive T cells
 38 cause perforin-mediated neurotoxicity in experimental stroke. J Neurosci 2014; 34: 16784-16795. DOI:
 39 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1867-14.2014
- 40 54 54. Filiano AJ, Gadani SP, Kipnis J. How and why do T cells and their derived cytokines affect the injured and healthy
 41 brain? Nat Rev Neurosci 2017; 18: 375-384. DOI: 10.1038/nrn.2017.39
- 425555.Gu L, Xiong X, Zhang H et al. Distinctive effects of T cell subsets in neuronal injury induced by cocultured43splenocytes in vitro and by in vivo stroke in mice. Stroke 2012; 43: 1941-1946. DOI: 10.1161/strokeaha.112.656611
- 44 56 56. Lei TY, Ye YZ, Zhu XQ et al. The immune response of T cells and therapeutic targets related to regulating the

1 levels of T helper cells after ischaemic stroke. J Neuroinflammation 2021; 18: 25. DOI: 10.1186/s12974-020-02057-z

2 57 57. Liesz A, Suri-Payer E, Veltkamp C et al. Regulatory T cells are key cerebroprotective immunomodulators in acute
 a experimental stroke. Nat Med 2009; 15: 192-199. DOI: 10.1038/nm.1927

4 58 58. Liesz A, Hu X, Kleinschnitz C et al. Functional role of regulatory lymphocytes in stroke: facts and controversies.
5 Stroke 2015; 46: 1422-1430. DOI: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.114.008608

6 59 59. Shi L, Sun Z, Su W et al. Treg cell-derived osteopontin promotes microglia-mediated white matter repair after
7 ischemic stroke. Immunity 2021; 54: 1527-1542 e1528. DOI: 10.1016/j.immuni.2021.04.022

8 60 60. Offner H, Subramanian S, Parker SM et al. Experimental stroke induces massive, rapid activation of the
 9 peripheral immune system. Journal of cerebral blood flow and metabolism : official journal of the International Society
 10 of Cerebral Blood Flow and Metabolism 2006; 26: 654-665. DOI: 10.1038/sj.jcbfm.9600217

11 61 61. Courties G, Herisson F, Sager HB et al. Ischemic stroke activates hematopoietic bone marrow stem cells.
 12 Circulation research 2015; 116: 407-417.

13 62 62. Denes A, McColl BW, Leow-Dyke SF et al. Experimental stroke-induced changes in the bone marrow reveal
14 complex regulation of leukocyte responses. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2011; 31: 1036-1050. DOI:
15 10.1038/jcbfm.2010.198

16 63 63. Haeusler KG, Schmidt WU, Foehring F et al. Immune responses after acute ischemic stroke or myocardial
 infarction. Int J Cardiol 2012; 155: 372-377. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2010.10.053

18 64 64. Liu Q, Jin WN, Liu Y et al. Brain Ischemia Suppresses Immunity in the Periphery and Brain via Different
 19 Neurogenic Innervations. Immunity 2017; 46: 474-487. DOI: 10.1016/j.immuni.2017.02.015

- 20 65 65. Shim R, Wong CHY. Complex interplay of multiple biological systems that contribute to post-stroke infections.
 21 Brain Behav Immun 2018; 70: 10-20.
- Roth S, Cao J, Singh V et al. Post-injury immunosuppression and secondary infections are caused by an AIM2
 inflammasome-driven signaling cascade. Immunity 2021; 54: 648-659 e648. DOI: 10.1016/j.immuni.2021.02.004

24 67 67. Boehme AK, McClure LA, Zhang Y et al. Inflammatory Markers and Outcomes After Lacunar Stroke: Levels of
 25 Inflammatory Markers in Treatment of Stroke Study. Stroke 2016; 47: 659-667. DOI: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.115.012166

26 68 68. Narasimhalu K, Lee J, Leong YL et al. Inflammatory markers and their association with post stroke cognitive
 27 decline. International journal of stroke : official journal of the International Stroke Society 2015; 10: 513-518. DOI:
 28 10.1111/ijs.12001

69 69. Kliper E, Bashat DB, Bornstein NM et al. Cognitive decline after stroke: relation to inflammatory biomarkers and
 hippocampal volume. Stroke 2013; 44: 1433-1435. DOI: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.111.000536

70 70. Li S, Huang Y, Liu Y et al. Change and predictive ability of circulating immunoregulatory lymphocytes in long term outcomes of acute ischemic stroke. Journal of cerebral blood flow and metabolism : official journal of the
 International Society of Cerebral Blood Flow and Metabolism 2021; 41: 2280-2294. DOI: 10.1177/0271678X21995694

71 71. Neumann J, Riek-Burchardt M, Herz J et al. Very-late-antigen-4 (VLA-4)-mediated brain invasion by neutrophils
 leads to interactions with microglia, increased ischemic injury and impaired behavior in experimental stroke. Acta
 neuropathologica 2015; 129: 259-277. DOI: 10.1007/s00401-014-1355-2

- 39 73 73. Qin C, Fan WH, Liu Q et al. Fingolimod Protects Against Ischemic White Matter Damage by Modulating Microglia
 40 Toward M2 Polarization via STAT3 Pathway. Stroke 2017; 48: 3336-3346. DOI: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.117.018505
- 41 74 74. Zhu Z, Fu Y, Tian D et al. Combination of the Immune Modulator Fingolimod With Alteplase in Acute Ischemic
 42 Stroke: A Pilot Trial. Circulation 2015; 132: 1104-1112. DOI: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.115.016371
- 43 75 75. Fu Y, Zhang N, Ren L et al. Impact of an immune modulator fingolimod on acute ischemic stroke. Proc Natl Acad
 44 Sci U S A 2014; 111: 18315-18320. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1416166111

^{37 72 72.} Wei Y, Yemisci M, Kim HH et al. Fingolimod provides long-term protection in rodent models of cerebral ischemia.
38 Ann Neurol 2011; 69: 119-129. DOI: 10.1002/ana.22186

76 76. Zhang S, Zhou Y, Zhang R et al. Rationale and design of combination of an immune modulator Fingolimod with
 Alteplase bridging with Mechanical Thrombectomy in Acute Ischemic Stroke (FAMTAIS) trial. International journal of
 stroke : official journal of the International Stroke Society 2017; 12: 906-909. DOI: 10.1177/1747493017710340

- 4 77 77. Krams M, Lees KR, Hacke W et al. Acute Stroke Therapy by Inhibition of Neutrophils (ASTIN): an adaptive dose response study of UK-279,276 in acute ischemic stroke. Stroke 2003; 34: 2543-2548.
- 6 78 78. Amiri-Nikpour MR, Nazarbaghi S, Hamdi-Holasou M et al. An open-label evaluator-blinded clinical study of
 7 minocycline neuroprotection in ischemic stroke: gender-dependent effect. Acta neurologica Scandinavica 2015; 131:
 8 45-50.
- 9 79 79. Elkind MSV, Veltkamp R, Montaner J et al. Natalizumab in acute ischemic stroke (ACTION II): A randomized,
 placebo-controlled trial. Neurology 2020; 95: e1091-e1104.
- 80. Amarenco P, Lavallée PC, Labreuche J et al. One-Year Risk of Stroke after Transient Ischemic Attack or Minor
 Stroke. The New England journal of medicine 2016; 374: 1533-1542. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1412981
- 1381Stang Y, Guan Y, Zhang Y et al. Recurrence Rate and Relevant Associated Factors of Stroke among Patients with14Small Artery Occlusion in Northern China. Scientific reports 2019; 9: 2834. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-019-39207-0
- 15 82 82. Rücker V, Heuschmann PU, O'Flaherty M et al. Twenty-Year Time Trends in Long-Term Case-Fatality and
 Recurrence Rates After Ischemic Stroke Stratified by Etiology. Stroke 2020; 51: 2778-2785. DOI:
 10.1161/strokeaha.120.029972
- 18 83 83. Pennlert J, Eriksson M, Carlberg B et al. Long-term risk and predictors of recurrent stroke beyond the acute
 phase. Stroke 2014; 45: 1839-1841. DOI: 10.1161/strokeaha.114.005060
- 20 84 84. Amarenco P, Lavallée PC, Monteiro Tavares L et al. Five-Year Risk of Stroke after TIA or Minor Ischemic Stroke.
 21 The New England journal of medicine 2018; 378: 2182-2190.
- 85 85. Lovett JK, Coull AJ, Rothwell PM. Early risk of recurrence by subtype of ischemic stroke in population-based
 incidence studies. Neurology 2004; 62: 569-573. DOI: 10.1212/01.wnl.0000110311.09970.83
- 86 86. Kelly PJ, Lemmens R, Tsivgoulis G. Inflammation and Stroke Risk: A New Target for Prevention. Stroke 2021; 52:
 2697-2706. DOI: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.121.034388
- 26 87 87. Roth S, Singh V, Tiedt S et al. Brain-released alarmins and stress response synergize in accelerating
 27 atherosclerosis progression after stroke. Science translational medicine 2018; 10. DOI: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aao1313
- 28 88 88. Katsanos AH, Palaiodimou L, Price C et al. Colchicine for stroke prevention in patients with coronary artery
 29 disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. European journal of neurology 2020; 27: 1035-1038. DOI:
 30 10.1111/ene.14198
- 89 89. Masson W, Lobo M, Molinero G et al. Role of Colchicine in Stroke Prevention: An Updated Meta-Analysis.
 Journal of stroke and cerebrovascular diseases : the official journal of National Stroke Association 2020; 29: 104756.
 DOI: 10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2020.104756
- 34 90 90. Stack J, Ryan J, McCarthy G. Colchicine: New Insights to an Old Drug. American journal of therapeutics 2015;
 35 22: e151-157. DOI: 10.1097/01.mjt.0000433937.07244.e1
- 36 91 91. Leung YY, Yao Hui LL, Kraus VB. Colchicine--Update on mechanisms of action and therapeutic uses. Seminars in
 37 arthritis and rheumatism 2015; 45: 341-350. DOI: 10.1016/j.semarthrit.2015.06.013
- 38 92 92. Kelly P, Weimar C, Lemmens R et al. Colchicine for prevention of vascular inflammation in Non-CardioEmbolic
 39 stroke (CONVINCE) study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. European stroke journal 2021; 6: 222-228. DOI:
 40 10.1177/2396987320972566
- 41
- 42
- 43
- 44

