

Oral inflammation and exhaled nitric oxide fraction: a crosssectional study

Copyright ©The authors 2023

This version is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial Licence 4.0. For commercial reproduction rights and permissions contact permissions@ersnet.org

Received: 22 Nov 2022 Accepted: 24 Nov 2022 To the Editor:

Exhaled nitric oxide fraction ($F_{\rm ENO}$) is a quantitative and noninvasive marker of respiratory inflammation and airway hyperresponsiveness [1]. Nitric oxide is formed by cells of the airway mucosa and via the inducible nitric oxide synthase with L-arginine as a substrate [2]. Measurements of $F_{\rm ENO}$ can provide an indicator of type 2 airway inflammation, and might be used for diagnosis and management of diseases, especially asthma [1], although this notion was not fully supported by a recent randomised controlled trial [3].

 $F_{\rm ENO}$ levels have several well-established and suggested determinants, including physiological factors, such as age and sex, as well as diet, smoking, medication and infection [1]. Despite the mounting studies on $F_{\rm ENO}$ per se and its clinical application, only one small interventional study with adult asthmatic patients showed decreased $F_{\rm ENO}$ levels after oral care (gargle with water and brush teeth) [4]. However, the role of oral inflammation and $F_{\rm ENO}$ in the general population is unclear.

Periodontal diseases, like gingivitis or periodontitis, could trigger low-grade systemic inflammation [5]. In addition, aspiration of dental plaque or bacterial components and circulation of periodontal bacteria may ignite the succeeding inflammatory response [6]. Our previous research found that poor oral health is associated with declining lung function in adolescents [7]. On this line of thought, it is plausible to explore whether oral inflammation could also affect $F_{\rm ENO}$ levels in children and adolescents, which are generally healthy populations. Considering the existing results [4], we hypothesised that poor oral condition could result in higher $F_{\rm ENO}$ levels.

Our study was based on healthy, full-term German newborns that were recruited from 1995 to 1999 in the Munich study centre of the two German birth cohorts: GINIplus (German Infant Study on the Influence of a Nutritional Intervention Plus Environmental and Genetic Influences on Allergy Development) and LISA (Influence of Lifestyle Factors on the Development of the Immune System and Allergies in East and West Germany). Details of these two population-based cohorts have been previously described [8]. Relevant ethical approval and written informed consent regarding the studies were acquired beforehand.

The present cross-sectional analysis in nonasthmatics included 449 children aged 10 years and 891 adolescents aged 15 years with complete information on $F_{\rm ENO}$ and dental examination. $F_{\rm ENO}$ was measured using the NIOX MINO (Aerocrine, Sweden) as previously described [9, 10]. The dental examination has also been described previously in detail [11]. Briefly, a blunt probe was used to measure the sulcus bleeding index (SBI) and a total score was determined according to the sulcus bleeding status of each sexstant; we did not check the periodontal pockets because of the young age of the participants. The SBI ranged from 0, meaning no bleeding, to 6, referring to all sextants being affected, indicating possibly severe inflammation.

 $F_{
m ENO}$ data were ln-transformed (natural logarithm) to normalise the distribution. We built linear regression models to analyse the association between $F_{
m ENO}$ and oral inflammation (represented by SBI categorised into 0, 1–3 and 4–6 affected sextants). The models were adjusted for study, sex, age, body mass index and parental educational level. Sensitivity analyses excluded active smokers in the 15-year group and participants with $F_{
m ENO}$ levels close to the lower detection limit of the device (<10%; which corresponded







Shareable abstract (@ERSpublications)

Oral inflammation is not associated with increased $F_{\rm ENO}$ in nonasthmatic children and adolescents. The observed inverse association implies that gingival bleeding might decrease $F_{\rm ENO}$ but this needs more study to be confirmed. https://bit.ly/3IDb5nv

Cite this article as: Zhao T, Thiering E, Jörres RA, et al. Oral inflammation and exhaled nitric oxide fraction: a cross-sectional study. ERJ Open Res 2023; 9: 00640-2022 [DOI: 10.1183/23120541.00640-2022].

to $F_{\rm ENO}$ 6 ppb, near the device lower detection limit of 5 ppb). In addition, we stratified the main model by three levels of high sensitivity serum C-reactive protein (CRP), a marker of systemic inflammation. All analyses were performed using R 4.1.3 (https://www.R-project.org/).

Regarding the children aged 10 years, geometric mean \pm sD $F_{\rm ENO}$ were 15.9 \pm 1.9, 13.1 \pm 2.2 and 13.3 \pm 2.2 ppb for the three SBI categories (0, 1–3, and 4–6, respectively), and 20.5 \pm 1.8, 19.3 \pm 1.9 and 20.9 \pm 1.8 ppb, respectively, for those aged 15 years.

Crude comparisons showed that $F_{\rm ENO}$ levels did not increase with the elevated SBI categories or oral inflammation levels either for the 10-years-old children or the 15-years-old adolescents. The adjusted models also showed that SBI categories were not correlated with increased $F_{\rm ENO}$ (table 1). They were even statistically significantly associated with decreased $F_{\rm ENO}$ in 10-year-old children. The effect estimates for the 15-year-old adolescents did not exceed the statistical significance threshold.

The association was not qualitatively affected by any of the sensitivity analyses (data not shown).

Our results were not in line with our primary hypothesis that oral inflammation may be associated with increased levels of $F_{\rm ENO}$. However, we should be cautious about concluding any opposite association for several reasons. First, the observed significant inverse association between poor oral health and $F_{\rm ENO}$ was strongly driven by subjects with very low $F_{\rm ENO}$, which was <6 ppb. The exclusion of those subjects with such $F_{\rm ENO}$ concentrations tuned the association down to null. Thus, the significant inverse association might be interpreted as an artefact because no plausible explanation for the finding in this subgroup of very low $F_{\rm ENO}$ could be identified. However, the artificial exclusion of the low- $F_{\rm ENO}$ subjects without any sound reasons may bias the overall association.

Second, a recent systematic review of three studies reported higher saliva nitric oxide levels in a group of adults with chronic periodontitis compared to a periodontally healthy group [12]. It is also known that $F_{\rm ENO}$ is lower in smokers due to a potential negative feedback effect of the nitric oxide from cigarette smoke [13, 14]. Hence, one might speculate that our observed association might be a result of a potential negative feedback mechanism of oral cavity-originated nitric oxide. However, we were unable to differentiate the nitric oxide sources and failed to verify this hypothesis.

Third, type 2 or eosinophilic inflammation is linked with $F_{\rm ENO}$, while neutrophilic inflammation usually links to the production of oxidant species that may react with endogenous nitric oxide and reduce its concentration [15]. Unfortunately, our data were insufficient to differentiate between eosinophilic and neutrophilic inflammation, even though our stratified analysis for the low, medium and high CRP levels showed similar associations between oral inflammation and $F_{\rm ENO}$ levels across strata.

Our study exhibits two main strengths: a large sample size consisting of an almost nonsmoking population and robust associations across several sensitivity analyses. Nevertheless, the limitations of the cross-sectional design and potential selection bias cannot be neglected. The 5-ppb lower detection limit precluded us from checking the association at all $F_{\rm ENO}$ levels. Moreover, we were uncertain about the clinical meaning of the generally low $F_{\rm ENO}$, and the generalisability of our study might be limited to children or adolescents. Hence, more longitudinal population-based studies and well-designed trials are warranted, thereby clarifying the association between oral inflammation and $F_{\rm ENO}$.

Summarising the strengths and weaknesses of this study, and the attempts to interpret the findings, we conclude that oral inflammation is not associated with increased F_{ENO} levels in nonasthmatic children and

TABLE 1 Linear regression results on association between oral inflammation and exhaled nitric oxide fraction						
SBI categories	10 years of age			15 years of age		
	Subjects	Means ratio (95% CI)	p-value	Subjects	Means ratio (95% CI)	p-value
0	449	Ref.		891	Ref.	
1-3	327	0.80 (0.73-0.88)	<0.001	148	0.94 (0.85-1.04)	0.232
4-6	258	0.82 (0.73-0.92)	< 0.001	100	0.99 (0.89-1.12)	0.982

Adjusted for study, sex, age, body mass index and parental educational level. Means ratios were back-transformed from the natural logarithm transformation of the exhaled nitric oxide fraction. Their interpretation is similar to that of odds ratios. SBI: sulcus bleeding index. Bold indicates statistically significant results.

adolescents. In addition, we deem that more studies are needed before drawing a sound conclusion about the inverse association.

Tianyu Zhao $0^{1,2,3,7}$, Elisabeth Thiering^{3,4,7}, Rudolf A. Jörres^{1,2}, Marie Standl^{2,3}, Jan Kühnisch 0^5 and Joachim Heinrich $0^{1,2,6}$

¹Institute and Clinic for Occupational, Social and Environmental Medicine, University Hospital, LMU Munich, Munich, Germany. ²Comprehensive Pneumology Center Munich (CPC-M), German Center for Lung Research (DZL), Munich, Germany. ³Institute of Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum München - German Research Center for Environmental Health, Neuherberg, Germany. ⁴Ludwig Maximilians University of Munich, Dr von Hauner Children's Hospital, Munich, Germany. ⁵Department of Operative Dentistry and Periodontology, University Hospital, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Munich, Germany. ⁶Allergy and Lung Health Unit, Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia. ⁷These authors contributed equally.

Corresponding author: Tianyu Zhao (tianyu.zhao@med.uni-muenchen.de)

Provenance: Submitted article, peer reviewed.

Acknowledgements: We thank all participants and their families for taking part in the study, the obstetric units for allowing recruitment and the GINIplus and LISA study team for its excellent work.

The GINIplus 15 Study Group: Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Institute of Epidemiology I, Neuherberg, Germany (J. Heinrich, I. Brüske, H. Schulz, M. Standl, M. Schnappinger, M. Ferland, E. Thiering, C. Tiesler, C. Flexeder and C. Zeller); Department of Pediatrics, Marien Hospital Wesel, Research Institute, Wesel, Germany (D. Berdel, A. von Berg and B. Filipiak-Pittroff); Dr. von Hauner Children's Hospital, Ludwig Maximilians University Munich, Munich, Germany (S. Koletzko and K. Werkstetter); Department of Pediatrics, Technical University of Munich, Munich, Germany, and Deutsche Rentenversicherung Bayern Süd (C.P. Bauer and U. Hoffmann); and IUF – Leibniz Research Institute for Environmental Medicine, Dusseldorf, Germany (T. Schikowski, E. Link, C. Klümper, U. Krämer and D. Sugiri). The LISA 15 Study Group was made up of the following: Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Institute of Epidemiology I, Neuherberg, Germany (J. Heinrich, I. Brüske, H. Schulz, M. Standl, M. Schnappinger, M. Ferland, E. Thiering, C. Tiesler, C. Flexeder and C. Zeller); Department of Pediatrics, Research Institute, Marien Hospital Wesel, Wesel, Germany (A. von Berg); Pediatric Practice, Bad Honnef, Germany (G. Schaaf); Department of Pediatrics, Technical University Munich, Munich, Germany (U. Hoffmann); Department of Environmental Immunology/Core Facility Studies, Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research – UFZ, Leipzig, Germany (I. Lehmann, M. Bauer, G. Herberth, J. Müller, S. Röder and M. Schilde); Department of Pediatrics, Municipal Hospital "St. Georg", Leipzig, Germany (M. Borte, U. Diez, C. Dorn and E. Braun); and ZAUM - Center for Allergy and Environment, Technical University of Munich, Munich, Germany (M. Ollert and J. Grosch).

Conflict of interest: All authors have nothing to disclose.

Support statement: The 15-year follow-up examination of the GINIplus and LISA studies was partially supported by the Commission of the European Communities, the 7th Framework Programme and the MeDALL project, as well as by the companies Mead Johnson and Nestlé. The dental examination was funded by grants obtained from the German Research Foundation (KU-2518/1-1, KU-2518/1-2, HE-3294/7-1 and HE-3294/7-2). GABA GmbH, Lörrach, Germany, supported this study by providing oral healthcare packages for all the participating adolescents as incentives. This work was supported by the Comprehensive Pneumology Center Munich as a member of the German Center for Lung Research. Funding information for this article has been deposited with the Crossref Funder Registry.

References

- 1 Rupani H, Kent BD. Using fractional exhaled nitric oxide measurement in clinical asthma management. *Chest* 2022; 161: 906–917.
- 2 Lane C, Knight D, Burgess S, *et al.* Epithelial inducible nitric oxide synthase activity is the major determinant of nitric oxide concentration in exhaled breath. *Thorax* 2004; 59: 757–760.
- 3 Turner S, Cotton S, Wood J, et al. Reducing asthma attacks in children using exhaled nitric oxide (RAACENO) as a biomarker to inform treatment strategy: a multicentre, parallel, randomised, controlled, phase 3 trial. Lancet Respir Med 2022; 10: 584–592.

- 4 Kamimura M, Ibe T, Fukusumi M, et al. Influence of oral care on fractional exhaled nitric oxide. Asia Pac Allergy 2018; 8: e23.
- 5 D'Aiuto F, Graziani F, Tetè S, et al. Periodontitis: from local infection to systemic diseases. Int J Immunopathol Pharmacol 2005; 18: 1–11.
- 6 Linden GJ, Lyons A, Scannapieco FA. Periodontal systemic associations: review of the evidence. J Clin Periodontol 2013; 40: Suppl. 14, S8–S19.
- 7 Heinrich J, Thiering E, Jorres RA, et al. Lung function and oral health in adolescents. Eur Respir J 2019; 53: 1801951.
- 8 Heinrich J, Brüske I, Cramer C, et al. GINIplus and LISAplus design and selected results of two German birth cohorts about natural course of atopic diseases and their determinants. Allergol Select 2017; 1: 85–95.
- 9 American Thoracic Society, European Respiratory Society. ATS/ERS recommendations for standardized procedures for the online and offline measurement of exhaled lower respiratory nitric oxide and nasal nitric oxide, 2005. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2005; 171: 912–930.
- 2 Zhao T, Markevych I, Standl M, et al. Short-term exposure to ambient ozone and inflammatory biomarkers in cross-sectional studies of children and adolescents: results of the GINIplus and LISA birth cohorts. Environ Pollut 2019; 255: 113264.
- 11 Pitchika V, Thiering E, Metz I, et al. Gingivitis and lifestyle influences on high-sensitivity C-reactive protein and interleukin 6 in adolescents. J Clin Periodontol 2017; 44: 372–381.
- 12 Chen M, Cai W, Zhao S, et al. Oxidative stress-related biomarkers in saliva and gingival crevicular fluid associated with chronic periodontitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Clin Periodontol 2019; 46: 608–622.
- 13 Malinovschi A, Janson C, Holmkvist T, et al. Effect of smoking on exhaled nitric oxide and flow-independent nitric oxide exchange parameters. Eur Respir J 2006; 28: 339–345.
- 14 Hoyt JC, Robbins RA, Habib M, et al. Cigarette smoke decreases inducible nitric oxide synthase in lung epithelial cells. Exp Lung Res 2003; 29: 17–28.
- 15 Wewel AR, Crusius JA, Gatzemeier U, et al. Time course of exhaled hydrogen peroxide and nitric oxide during chemotherapy. Eur Respir J 2006; 27: 1033–1039.