NJC

PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: New J. Chem., 2024, 48, 13846

Received 24th June 2024, Accepted 8th July 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4nj02884h

rsc.li/njc

Introduction

Perfluorinated alcohols are of special interest in chemical syntheses. Due to their low nucleophilicity and high hydrogen bond donor strength, they are used as versatile applicable solvents and as precursors for the syntheses of weakly coordinating anions (WCAs).¹⁻⁶ As primary and secondary perfluoroalcohols are thermally unstable due to facile HF-elimination, only a limited number of isolated compounds are reported in the literature.^{7,8} The simplest representative of this compound class, trifluoromethanol, was synthesized first by Seppelt from trifluoromethyl hypochlorite and hydrogen chloride at -110 °C.9 CF₃OH is thermally unstable and decomposes rapidly to COF2 and HF.10 On a laboratory scale, perfluoroalcohols are synthesized by reacting either perfluorinated ketones or acyl fluorides in aHF.⁷ By this method, heptafluorocyclobutanol was prepared by Baxter, and the first crystal structure of an α -fluoroalcohol was elucidated by single-crystal X-ray diffraction.^{11,12} In addition, perfluorinated methanol, ethanol, and n-propanol were synthesized from the respective acyl fluorides, and the temperature dependency of the HF-addition to these compounds was investigated by NMR spectroscopy.¹³ Thus, the respective acyl fluoride is the predominant species at room temperature, but the addition of strong Lewis acids to HF enables the shift of the equilibrium to the side of the perfluorinated alcohols and the stabilization of these compounds as oxonium salts.¹³

 † Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: For full details on vibrational spectroscopy, NMR spectroscopy, X-ray diffraction refinement, and computational details. CCDC 2312629. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/d4nj02884h
 ‡ Prof. Dr Andreas J. Kornath passed away in March 2024.

HF-addition to haloacetyl fluorides in superacidic media[†]

Sebastian Steiner, 10 * Zurwa M. Shafiq, Alexander Nitzer, Dirk Hollenwäger and Andreas J. Kornath‡

The reactions of difluoroacetyl fluoride and trifluoroacetyl fluoride were investigated in the binary superacid HF/SbF₅ by low-temperature NMR spectroscopy. Whereas both haloacetyl fluorides form oxonium species after the addition of HF, the protonated acyl fluorides were not observed. Protonated 1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethanol was isolated as a solid and represents an example of a protonated α -fluoroalcohol. The salt was characterized by low-temperature vibrational spectroscopy and single-crystal X-ray diffraction. [CHF₂CF₂OH₂][SbF₆] crystallizes in the triclinic space group $P\overline{1}$ with two formula units per unit cell. Protonated perfluoroethanol is only stable in solution. The reactivity of both haloacyl fluorides is discussed based on quantum chemical calculations at the MP2/aug-cc-pVTZ-level of theory.

In previous studies of our group, the properties of acetyl fluoride and haloacetyl fluorides were investigated in the binary superacidic systems HF/AsF5 and HF/SbF5. While acetyl fluoride reacts under the formation of stable acetylium salts, the substitution of the CH₃ moiety for electron-withdrawing groups leads to the formation of protonated acvl fluorides, as in the case of chloroacetyl fluoride and fluoroacetyl fluoride.14 When even more electron-withdrawing groups such as the CCl₂H moiety were introduced, dichloroacetyl fluoride showed a different reactivity. Thus, oxonium salts were formed when the Lewis acid SbF₅ was applied in excess. Thereby, the COF moiety is protonated and then HF is added due to an increased electrophilicity of the carbonyl carbon.15 Nevertheless, the intermediate protonated dichloroacetyl fluoride was isolated as a solid and characterized by single-crystal X-ray diffraction.¹⁵ This prompted us to perform investigations on the properties of further haloacetyl fluorides with even more electron-withdrawing groups in superacidic media. It was the aim to investigate their reactivity regarding the addition of HF to their carbonyl bonds and to find out if there is a limit to isolating even more electron-deficient protonated haloacetyl fluorides. We herein report the reactions of difluoroacetyl fluoride and trifluoroacetyl fluoride in the binary superacidic systems HF/SbF5 and DF/SbF5.

Results and discussion

Syntheses and properties

Difluoroacetyl fluoride (F_2AcF) was reacted at -60 °C in the binary superacidic system HF/SbF₅. To trace the reactivity of the haloacetyl fluoride in superacidic media, NMR spectroscopy was performed at -60 °C, whereas one equivalent of the Lewis



View Article Online View Journal | View Issue

Department Chemie, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Butenandtstr. 5-13, 81377 Munich, Germany. E-mail: sebastian.steiner@cup.uni-muenchen.de

acid was applied. Accordingly, the measured ¹H, ¹⁹F, and ¹³C NMR spectra indicate the presence of $[CHF_2CF_2OH_2][SbF_6]$ (1) in the solution, as presented in eqn (1). The oxonium species 1 is formed after the addition of HF to the carbonyl bond of F_2AcF .



The measured NMR spectra also display an equilibrium in the solution between F_2AcF and 1, with the oxonium species being predominant at -60 °C. Protonated F_2AcF is not observed under these conditions. To isolate 1 in the solid-state phase, all volatile components were subsequently removed in a dynamic vacuum at -78 °C, and $[CHF_2CF_2OH_2][SbF_6]$ (1) was obtained as a colorless solid. To obtain salts of the analog D-isotopomeric species, F_2AcF was reacted at -60 °C in the binary superacidic system DF/SbF₅. As presented in eqn (2), $[CHF_2CF_2OD_2][SbF_6]$ (2) was obtained as a colorless solid after the removal of all volatile components at -78 °C.



1 and 2 are salts of protonated 1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethanol and show thermal decomposition at $-55\ ^\circ\text{C}.$

To investigate the reactivity of trifluoroacetyl fluoride (F_3AcF) in HF/SbF₅, NMR spectroscopy was performed at -70 °C with one equivalent of SbF₅ being applied. Analog to the observed reactivity of F_2AcF in superacidic media, the measured NMR spectra indicate the presence of [CF₃CF₂OH₂][SbF₆] (**3**) in the solution (see eqn (3)), but also the formation of an equilibrium between F_3AcF and the oxonium species **3**. As previously reported by Christe,¹³ the equilibrium is strongly shifted to the side of **3**. Here, as in the case of F_2AcF , protonated F_3AcF is also not observed under these conditions.



Subsequently, all volatile components were removed in a dynamic vacuum at -78 °C. However, the isolation of 3 as a solid was not possible and only HF/SbF₅ remained in the reactor. We assume that this is due to the selected temperature of -78 °C, at which the volatile components are removed in the dynamic vacuum. Since -78 °C is the lowest temperature feasible for removing HF due to its melting point, the isolation of 3 from HF/SbF₅ at lower temperatures is not possible. As HF and F₃AcF, which are both present in the equilibrium in the solution, are in a liquid and gaseous state, respectively, at -78 °C, they are removed from the equilibrium in the dynamic vacuum. The equilibrium is then consequently shifted to the reactant side according to Le Chatelier's principle, which prevents the isolation of 3 as a solid.

NMR spectroscopy

To trace the reactivity of difluoroacetyl fluoride and trifluoroacetyl fluoride in aHF and the binary superacidic system HF/SbF₅, ¹H, ¹⁹F, and ¹³C NMR spectra were measured at -60 °C and -70 °C, respectively, with acetone-d₆ as an external standard. In Table 1, the observed NMR shifts of both starting materials, 1, and 3 are summarized. The measured NMR spectra and the complete NMR data of F₂AcF, F₃AcF, 1, and 3 are listed in the ESI† (Fig. S5–S14).

The ¹H, ¹⁹F, and ¹³C NMR spectra of F_2AcF dissolved in aHF display an equilibrium in the solution between the acyl fluoride and the corresponding α -fluoroalcohol that is formed after HF-addition. As depicted in Scheme 1, the acyl fluoride is the predominant species under these conditions as the equilibrium is strongly shifted to the side of F_2AcF (see Fig. S5 and S6, ESI†).

By first dissolving equimolar amounts of SbF₅ compared to F_2AcF in HF and then adding the acyl fluoride, **1** is formed. The ¹H NMR spectrum shows a triplet at 5.60 ppm, which is assigned to the CHF₂ moiety, and a singlet at 9.81 ppm for the OH₂⁺ group. The ¹⁹F NMR spectrum displays a singlet at -90.62 ppm and a doublet at -141.33 ppm for the CF₂OH₂ and CHF₂ moieties, respectively. The NMR resonance of the CF₂OH₂ moiety is consistent with values reported in the literature.^{13,15} In the ¹³C NMR spectrum two triplets of triplets are observed at 115.6 ppm and 105.8 ppm for the CF₂OH₂ and CHF₂ moieties, respectively. Further, in the ¹⁹F NMR spectrum, the resonance at -129.19 ppm is assigned to the anion [SbF₆]⁻.¹⁶

The ¹H, ¹⁹F, and ¹³C NMR spectra of F_2AcF dissolved in HF/SbF₅ also show an equilibrium between the oxonium species **1** and F_2AcF in the solution (see Fig. S7–S9, ESI†). According to

Fable 1	Selected	¹ H, ¹⁹	F, and	¹³ C NMR	chemical	shifts	[ppm]	of F_2A	NcF, F₃Ac	.F, 1 ,	, and 3^{1}	5
---------	----------	-------------------------------	--------	---------------------	----------	--------	-------	-----------	-----------	----------------	---------------	---

F_2AcF^a	1^{b}	F_3AcF^a	3 ^{b 13}
5.72 (td) (CHF ₂)	9.81 (s) (CF_2OH_2) 5.60 (t) (CHF_2)	_	9.82 (s) (CF_2OH_2)
17.74 (t) (COF) -132.65 (dd) (CHF ₂)	-90.62 (s) (CF ₂ OH ₂) -141.33 (d) (CHF ₂)	11.07 (q) (COF) -78.86 (d) (CF ₂)	-88.53 (s) (CF ₂ OH ₂) -90.97 (s) (CF ₂)
155.1 (dt) (COF) 104.7 (td) (CHF ₂)	115.6 (tt) (CF_2OH_2) 105.8 (tt) (CHF_2)	147.0 (dq) (COF) 112.6 (qd) (CF ₃)	115.9 (qt) (CF_3) 113.3 (tq) (CF_2OH_2)
	$\begin{array}{c} F_2 A c F^a \\ \hline 5.72 \ (td) \ (CHF_2) \\ 17.74 \ (t) \ (COF) \\ -132.65 \ (dd) \ (CHF_2) \\ 155.1 \ (dt) \ (COF) \\ 104.7 \ (td) \ (CHF_2) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} F_2AcF^a & 1^b \\ \hline 5.72 \ (td) \ (CHF_2) & 9.81 \ (s) \ (CF_2OH_2) \\ 5.60 \ (t) \ (CHF_2) \\ 17.74 \ (t) \ (COF) & -90.62 \ (s) \ (CF_2OH_2) \\ -132.65 \ (dd) \ (CHF_2) & -141.33 \ (d) \ (CHF_2) \\ 155.1 \ (dt) \ (COF) & 115.6 \ (tt) \ (CF_2OH_2) \\ 104.7 \ (td) \ (CHF_2) & 105.8 \ (tt) \ (CHF_2) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c cccc} F_2 A C F^a & 1^b & F_3 A C F^a \\ \hline 5.72 \ (td) \ (CHF_2) & 9.81 \ (s) \ (CF_2 O H_2) & - \\ & 5.60 \ (t) \ (CHF_2) & \\ 17.74 \ (t) \ (COF) & -90.62 \ (s) \ (CF_2 O H_2) & 11.07 \ (q) \ (COF) \\ & -132.65 \ (dd) \ (CHF_2) & -141.33 \ (d) \ (CHF_2) & -78.86 \ (d) \ (CF_3) \\ 155.1 \ (dt) \ (COF) & 115.6 \ (tt) \ (CF_2 O H_2) & 147.0 \ (dq) \ (COF) \\ & 104.7 \ (td) \ (CHF_2) & 105.8 \ (tt) \ (CHF_2) & 112.6 \ (qd) \ (CF_3) \\ \hline \end{array}$

^{*a*} aHF as solvent. ^{*b*} In HF/SbF₅.



Scheme 2, the equilibrium is strongly shifted to the side of the protonated α -fluoroalcohol **1**.

Interestingly, protonated F₂AcF is not observed under these conditions. This contrasts with the observed reactivity of dichloroacetyl fluoride (Cl₂AcF) in the binary superacidic system HF/ SbF₅. Here, an equilibrium between the analog oxonium species [CCl₂HCF₂OH₂][SbF₆] and protonated Cl₂AcF was observed in the superacidic solution at -60 °C.¹⁵ This is discussed in the theoretical section below.

In the case of F_3AcF , similar results to those of F_2AcF were found. The observed NMR resonances are in accordance with values previously reported by Christe.¹³ The measured NMR spectra and the complete NMR data of F_3AcF dissolved in aHF and HF/SbF₅ are listed in the ESI† (see Fig. S10–S14).

Vibrational Spectra of [CHF₂CF₂OH₂][SbF₆] (1) and [CHF₂CF₂OD₂][SbF₆] (2)

The low-temperature Raman (Ra) and Infrared (IR) spectra of $[CHF_2CF_2OX_2][SbF_6](1, 2) (X = H, D)$ and F_2AcF are illustrated in Fig. 1. In Table 2, selected observed Raman and IR frequencies of 1 and 2 are listed together with quantum chemically calculated frequencies of the HF-complexed cation $[CHF_2CF_2OH_2]^+$. 2HF, which is discussed later. The complete vibrational frequencies of 1 and 2 as well as difluoroacetyl fluoride are provided in the ESI† (Fig. S1 and Tables S1–S3).

For the $[CHF_2CF_2OH_2]^+$ cation with C_1 symmetry 24 fundamental vibrational modes are expected, all of which are Raman and IR active. The ν (O–H) is superposed by condensed water in the IR spectra due to the measuring method. Further, the O–H stretching vibration shows low intensity in the Raman spectra due to the poor polarizability of the O–H group, which does not apply to the O–D group.¹⁷ The O–D stretching vibrations of the Disotopomeric species **2** are observed at 2403 cm⁻¹ and 2286 cm⁻¹ in the Raman spectra and at 2403 cm⁻¹ and 2330 cm⁻¹ in the IR spectra. The O–D stretching vibrations are in good agreement with values reported in the literature.^{14,15} The C–F stretching vibrations of the CF₂ moiety appear significantly blue-shifted by up to 110 cm⁻¹ in comparison to the neutral compound at 1362 cm⁻¹, 1251 cm⁻¹ (**1**), 1359 cm⁻¹, and 1251 cm⁻¹ (**2**) (Ra) as







Fig. 1 Low-temperature Raman and IR spectra of $[CHF_2CF_2OX_2][SbF_6]$ (1, 2) (X = H, D) and vibrational spectra of CHF_2COF.

well as 1364 cm⁻¹, 1256 cm⁻¹ (1), 1358 cm⁻¹, and 1256 cm⁻¹ (2) (IR).¹⁸ They are in good agreement with the values reported for $[CCl_2HCF_2OH_2][SbF_6]$.¹⁵ Further, the formation of the oxonium species is indicated by the C–O stretching vibration at 836 cm⁻¹ and 816 cm⁻¹ (2) in the Raman spectra and at 835 cm⁻¹ (1) and 814 cm⁻¹ (2) in the IR spectra. The ν (C–O) is in accordance with values reported for protonated alcohols in the literature^{19,20} but significantly red-shifted by approximately 200 cm⁻¹ compared to the ν_s (C–O) of the analog oxonium salt $[CCl_2HCF_2OH_2][SbF_6]$.¹⁵ The ν (C–C) of 2 is observed at 758 cm⁻¹ (Ra) and 756 cm⁻¹ (IR) red-shifted by nearly 100 cm⁻¹ compared to F₂AcF.¹⁸

For the anion [SbF₆]⁻ with ideal octahedral symmetry more vibrations are observed than expected due to interionic interactions leading to a symmetry distortion.¹⁷

Crystal structure of [CHF₂CF₂OH₂][SbF₆] (1)

 $[CHF_2CF_2OH_2][SbF_6]$ (1) crystallizes in the triclinic space group $P\bar{1}$ with two formula units per unit cell. The molecular unit of 1 is illustrated in Fig. 2. Crystal data and structure refinement are provided in the ESI† (Table S4). Selected geometric data are listed in Table 3.

The bond length C1–O1 (1.424(4) Å) is significantly elongated compared to difluoroacetyl fluoride $(1.180(5) \text{ Å})^{18,21}$ and is in the range of a formal C–O single bond $(1.432 \text{ Å}).^{22}$ The C1–O1 bond length is consistent with the corresponding C–O bond length reported for [CCl₂HCF₂OH₂][SbF₆] $(1.418(3) \text{ Å})^{15}$ but significantly shorter than values of oxonium species or protonated alcohols reported in the literature.^{19,23,24} The bond lengths C1–F1 (1.318(4) Å) and C1–F2 (1.325(4) Å) are slightly shortened compared to the starting material $(1.342(7) \text{ Å})^{18,21}$ and are in accordance with the C–F bond lengths of [CCl₂HCF₂OH₂][SbF₆] $(1.325(2) \text{ Å}).^{15}$ Further, the bond C1–C2 (1.524(5) Å) remains unchanged compared to F₂AcF $(1.514(7) \text{ Å}).^{18,21}$

The Sb–F bond lengths of $[SbF_6]^-$ are in the range between 1.853(2) Å and 1.925(2) Å and correspond with values reported

Table 2 Selected experimental vibrational frequencies $[cm^{-1}]$ of $[CHF_2CF_2OH_2][SbF_6]$ (1) and $[CHF_2CF_2OD_2][SbF_6]$ (2) as well as calculated vibrational frequencies $[cm^{-1}]$ of $[CHF_2CF_2OH_2]^+ \cdot 2HF$

$[CHF_2CF_2OH_2][SbF_6] (1)$		$[CHF_2CF_2OD_2][SbF_6] (2)$		[CHE CE OH] ⁺ .2HE			
Raman	IR	Raman	IR	calc. ^{<i>ab</i>} $(IR/Raman)^c$	Assignments		
3055(25)		3055(50)	3053 m	2983(5/81)	v_1	А	v(C-H)
1362(13)	1364 s	1359(19)	1358 w	1406(14/1)	v_5	Α	v(C-F)
1251(11)	1256 s	1251(12)	1256 m	1235(236/2)	v_6	Α	v(C-F)
1164(5)	1151 s	1144(6)		1152(181/2)	v_9	Α	v(C-F)
1124(13)		1125(15)	1121 m	1102(6/4)	v_{10}	Α	v(C-F)
836(34)	835 s	816(30)	814 m	1045(89/3)	v_{12}	Α	$\nu (C - O)$
. ,		758(3)	756 w	755(67/5)	v_{14}	Α	v(C-C)

^{*a*} Calculated at ω B97XD/aug-cc-pVTZ-level of theory. ^{*b*} Frequencies are scaled with a factor of 0.956. ^{*c*} IR intensity in [km mol⁻¹] and Raman intensity in [Å⁴ u⁻¹]. Abbreviations for IR intensities: vs = very strong, s = strong, m = medium, w = weak, vs = very weak.



Fig. 2 Molecular unit of $[CHF_2CF_2OH_2][SbF_6]$ (1) (displacement ellipsoids with 50% probability).

Table 3 Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°] of $[CHF_2CF_2OH_2][SbF_6]$ (**1**) and donor-acceptor distances. Symmetry codes: i = -1 + x, y, z; ii = 1 - x, -y, 2 - z; iii = 1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z; iv = x, 1 + y, z; v = 1 - x, 1 - y, 2 - z

Rond lengths [Å]			
C1-C2	1.524(5)	C2-F3	1341(4)
C1-F1	1.318(4)	C2-F4	1.346(4)
C1-F2	1.325(4)	C1-O1	1.424(4)
- 1 1 [0]			
Bond angles [°]			
C2-C1-O1	109.1(3)	F1-C1-O1	106.3(3)
F1-C1-C2	111.9(3)	F1-C1-F2	110.0(3)
F3-C2-C1	108.1(3)	F3-C2-F4	108.5(3)
Dihedral angles [°]			
F3-C2-C1-F1	55.0(3)	F3-C2-C1-O1	-62.4(3)
F3-C2-C1-F2	178.0(2)	F4-C2-C1-F1	171.8(2)
Internationale contracto	Å 1		
Interatornic contacts [A	4] 0.471(0)	01 50!!	a coo(a)
O1(-H1)···F61	2.4/1(3)	01	2.689(3)
$O1(-H2) \cdots F5$	2.452(3)	F5···F3v	2.888(3)
C2(−H3)· · · F7iii	3.129(4)	F5···F9iv	2.890(2)
C2(−H3)···F10iv	3.166(4)		

in the literature.^{25–27} Due to solid-state effects, the anion displays distorted octahedral symmetry. The bonds Sb1–F5 and Sb1–F6 are significantly longer than the other Sb–F bonds because they are involved in hydrogen bonding.

In the crystal structure of **1** the ions are arranged into chains along the *a*-axis by the strong hydrogen bonds $O1(-H1)\cdots F6i$

(2.471(3) Å) and O1(-H2)···F5 (2.452(3) Å) (see Fig. 3 and Fig. S2, ESI[†]).²⁸ The ions also form chains along the *c*-axis by the weak hydrogen bond C2(-H3)···F7ii (3.129(4) Å) and the O···F interaction O1···F9iv (2.689(3) Å) (see Fig. 3 and Fig. S3, ESI[†]). Further, dimers of cation–anion pairs are formed by the intermolecular F···F contacts F5···F3v (2.888(3) Å) and F5···F9iv (2.890(2) Å) as well as the weak hydrogen bond C2(-H3)···F10iv (3.166(4) Å) (see Fig. S4, ESI[†]). All interatomic O···F and F···F contacts are below the sum of their van der Waals radii (2.99 Å, and 2.94 Å).²⁹ Interatomic distances are listed in Table 3.

Theoretical calculations

Quantum chemical calculations were performed at the ω B97XD/ aug-cc-pVTZ-level of theory.³⁰ F₂AcF, F₃AcF, and [CHF₂CF₂OH₂]⁺. 2HF were calculated in the gas phase for a better assignment of the vibrational modes and to compare the theoretical and experimentally determined geometric parameters. Solid-state effects and intermolecular interactions were simulated by adding additional HF molecules to the cation in the gas phase.³¹ In the ESI⁺ (Fig. S15), [CHF₂CF₂OH₂]⁺.2HF is illustrated with selected bond lengths and angles in comparison to the crystal structure. The calculated values of the HF-complexed cation are in good agreement with the experimentally obtained data.

As observed in the experimental section, the NMR spectra of F_2AcF and F_3AcF dissolved in HF/SbF₅ both show equilibria between the oxonium species **1** and **3**, respectively, and their



Fig. 3 Interionic contacts of **1** (displacement ellipsoids with 50% probability). Symmetry codes: i = -1 + x, y, z; ii = 1 - x, -y, 2 - z; iii = 1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z; iv = x, 1 + y, z.

corresponding haloacetyl fluorides. In both cases, the protonated haloacetyl fluorides were not observed. This is in contrast with recent studies of our group on the reactivity of dichloroacetyl fluoride in the binary superacidic system HF/SbF₅.¹⁵ Here, the measured NMR spectra of Cl₂AcF dissolved in HF/SbF5 at -60 °C displayed an equilibrium between [CCl₂HCF₂OH₂][SbF₆] and protonated Cl₂AcF.¹⁵ The addition of HF to the carbonyl bond of Cl₂AcF was also only observed after the protonation of the C=O bond, as the carbonyl carbon displayed an increased electrophilicity due to the protonation.¹⁵ To get a more detailed insight into the versatile reactivity of the haloacetyl fluorides in HF/SbF₅, quantum chemical calculations were performed at the MP2/aug-cc-pVTZ-level of theory. Therefore, Cl₂AcF, F₂AcF, F₃AcF, as well as [CCl₂HC(OH)F]⁺·HF, [CHF₂C(OH)F]⁺·HF, and [CF₃C(OH)F]⁺·HF were optimized and molecular electrostatic potentials (MEPs) were calculated alongside natural population analysis charges (NPAs) (see Tables S6, S7 and S9-S13, ESI⁺). The calculated MEPs are shown in Fig. 4 and the calculated NPA charges are listed in Table S13 (ESI⁺). As illustrated, the MEPs of the haloacetyl fluorides as well

as of the protonated species show electron-deficient moieties,

so-called π -holes, in the region of the carbonyl carbon.^{14,15,32–35} These indicate that electrophilic regions of the molecules are located at the carbon atoms. To quantify the influence of the electron-withdrawing substituents on the electrophilicity of Cl₂AcF, F₂AcF, and F₃AcF as well as the protonated species, the associated MEP values at the π -holes of the calculated molecules are highlighted in Fig. 4. Accordingly, the most positive MEP values that were found on the MEP surfaces of the haloacyl fluorides are 73.2 kJ mol⁻¹ (Cl₂AcF), 113.9 kJ mol⁻¹ (F₂AcF), and 140.8 kJ mol⁻¹ (F₃AcF). Thus, the π -holes become significantly larger the more electron-withdrawing the adjacent substituent is. Further, the electrophilicity of the carbonyl carbon is therefore significantly increased the more electronwithdrawing the adjacent substituent is.

In the case of the protonated species, the observed MEP values at the π -holes are significantly higher than for the acyl fluorides.^{14,15,35} Thus, the electrophilicity of the haloacetyl fluorides is significantly increased due to the protonation.14,15,35 Interestingly, as depicted in Fig. 4, the MEP value for the π hole of $[CHF_2C(OH)F]^+$ ·HF is significantly higher than for



Open Access Article. Published on 16 July 2024. Downloaded on 9/4/2024 6:49:02 AM.

(top), [CCl₂HC(OH)F]⁺·HF, [CHF₂C(OH)F]⁺·HF, and [CF₃C(OH)F]⁺·HF (bottom). Calculated at the MP2/aug-cc-pVTZ-level of theory.

Paper

 $[CF_3C(OH)F]^+$ ·HF. We assume that this is due to the stabilizing effects of CF₃ moieties due to hyperconjugation and was observed before for CF₃-substituted carbocations.³⁶ In Table S13 (ESI[†]), the NPA charges of the calculated protonated species are listed. Accordingly, as observed before for protonated acyl fluorides, the NPA charges of the fluorine atoms that are bound to the carbonyl groups are strongly increased compared to the neutral compounds. This indicates that the positive charges located at the carbon atoms are stabilized by electron-donation of the directly bound fluorine atoms ("+R-effect").14,15,32-35 To further examine these trends and to quantify the influence of the electron-withdrawing substituents on the back-donation of the fluorine lone-pair electrons, NBO analyses were performed at the MP2/aug-cc-pVTZ-level of theory for Cl₂AcF, F₂AcF, F₃AcF, and all protonated species. Thus, for all calculated molecules strong interactions between the $\pi^*(C==O)$ and the in-plane fluorine lone-pairs were found.^{14,15,35} The stabilization energies of these interactions, according to the second-order perturbation theory analyses, are summarized in Table 4.

As expected, the stabilization energies are significantly higher in the case of the protonated acyl fluorides compared to the neutral compounds due to the increased back-donation of fluorine lone-pair electrons.^{14,15,35} Furthermore, for both the acyl fluorides and the protonated species, the stabilization energies of the $n(F) \rightarrow \pi^*(C=O)$ interactions increase the more electron-withdrawing the adjacent substituents become. Only in the case of F₂AcF and F₃AcF, approximately the same stabilization energies were found, which is probably again due to the hyperconjugation of the CF₃ moiety.³⁶ Thus, these trends also suggest that the π -holes and therefore the electrophilicities of the C=O moieties increase as the neighboring substituents become more electron-withdrawing.

We therefore consequently assume that the reactivity of Cl_2AcF , F_2AcF , and F_3AcF dissolved in HF/SbF_5 is directly correlated to the electrophilicity of their carbonyl carbons. As illustrated in Fig. 4, the substitution of the CCl_2H moiety for the CHF_2 and CF_3 moieties leads to a significantly increased electrophilicity of the neutral compounds as well as the protonated species. This results in the favoring of the HF-addition to the carbonyl bond and consequently the shift of the observed equilibria in HF/SbF_5 to the side of the protonated oxonium species. Since the π -hole in the case of protonated Cl_2AcF is significantly lower than in the cases of protonated F_2AcF or F_3AcF , the addition of HF to the C=0 bond is less favored and

Haloacetyl fluoride	Stabilization $energy^{a} [kJ mol^{-1}]$				
Cl ₂ AcF	167.7				
F ₂ AcF	173.0				
F ₃ AcF	172.0				
[CCl ₂ HC(OH)F] ⁺ ·HF	270.4				
[CHF ₂ C(OH)F] ⁺ ·HF	283.7				
[CF ₃ C(OH)F] ⁺ .HF	296.4				

^a Calculated at the MP2/aug-cc-pVTZ-level of theory.

proceeds much slower. This enables the observation of the protonated intermediate in the solution and even the isolation of the species as a solid.¹⁵ In the cases of protonated F_2AcF and F_3AcF , the significantly increased electrophilicity of the species leads to a more favored and faster HF-addition to the carbonyl bonds. Therefore, the protonated haloacyl fluorides were not observed in the solutions even at low temperatures. Accordingly, the substitution of the CCl₂H moiety for the CHF₂ moiety represents the limit that allows the isolation of electron-deficient protonated haloacetyl fluorides from the binary super-acidic system HF/SbF₅.

In addition to the calculations relating to the HF-addition, quantum chemical calculations were performed to evaluate the stability of the oxonium species 1. As observed in the crystallographic section, the solid-state phase of 1 is strongly influenced by $O(-H) \cdots F$ and $C(-H) \cdots F$ hydrogen bonds. Interestingly, the optimization of the free cation $[CHF_2CF_2OH_2]^+$ in the gas phase as an energetic minimum was only possible with a strongly extended C-O bond length compared to the crystal structure of 1. Only the addition of HF molecules to the cation in the gas phase to simulate the strong hydrogen bonds enabled the optimization with an appropriate C-O bond length. This indicates that the intermolecular contacts have a significant influence on the bond lengths of the cation of 1 as well as on the stabilization of the compound in the solid-state phase. To further investigate this, a Hirshfeld surface analysis^{37,38} of the intermolecular contacts was performed for the cation of 1. In Fig. 5, the mapped Hirshfeld surface with d_{norm} of the $[CHF_2CF_2OH_2]^+$ cation in **1** is shown, whereas the strong hydrogen bonds O1(-H1)···F6i (2.471(3) Å)



Fig. 5 Interatomic contacts and Hirshfeld surface of the $[CHF_2CF_2OH_2]^+$ cation in **1** (mapped with d_{norm}).^{37,38} Color coding of the Hirshfeld surface: white (distance *d* equals VDW), blue (*d* exceeds VDW distance), and red (*d* is smaller than VDW distance). For the hydrogen bond C2(-H3)···F7ii, only the contacting fluorine atom is shown for a better visualization. Symmetry codes: i = -1 + x, *y*, *z*; ii = 1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z.

and O1(-H2)···F5 (2.452(3) Å) as well as the weak hydrogen bond C2(-H3)···F7ii (3.129(4) Å) are highlighted. The 2D fingerprint plots of the intermolecular contacts are depicted in the ESI† (see Fig. S18).

As illustrated, the $O(-H) \cdots F$ and $C(-H) \cdots F$ hydrogen bonds are the predominant intermolecular contacts in the coordination sphere of the cation in **1**, with their distances being below the sum of their van der Waals radii (2.99 Å, 3.17 Å).²⁹ This is confirmed by the 2D fingerprint plots of the intermolecular contacts (see Fig. S18, ESI†). Thus, although the F \cdots F contacts occur more often in the coordination sphere of the cation in **1** in terms of area, they have a significantly smaller influence on the structure and stability of the cation, due to their distances being equal or exceeding the van der Waals radii (2.94 Å).²⁹ The H \cdots F contacts occur less than the F \cdots F contacts in terms of area, but are stronger and thus more relevant for the crystal structure of **1**.

Conclusions

The reactivity of difluoroacetyl fluoride and trifluoroacetyl fluoride was investigated in aHF and the binary superacidic system HF/SbF5. As indicated by low-temperature NMR spectroscopy, the haloacetyl fluorides form equilibria with their corresponding α-fluoroalcohols in aHF. While the acyl fluorides are the predominant species in aHF at low temperatures, the addition of the strong Lewis acid SbF₅ enables the shift of the equilibria to the side of the α-fluoroalcohols and the stabilization of these compounds as oxonium salts. Protonated 1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethanol was isolated as a solid and characterized by low-temperature vibrational spectroscopy as well as single-crystal X-ray diffraction. Protonated perfluoroethanol is only stable in solution. Quantum chemical calculations reveal that the substitution of the CCl₂H moiety for the CHF₂ and CF₃ moieties leads to a significant increase in the electrophilicity of the protonated acyl fluorides and represents the limit that allows the isolation of electron-deficient protonated acyl fluorides from HF/SbF₅. The O(-H)···F and C(-H)···F hydrogen bonds have a significant influence on the structure and stability of protonated tetrafluoroethanol, as demonstrated by Hirshfeld surface analysis of the intermolecular contacts.

Data availability

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the ESI.† For full details on vibrational spectroscopy, NMR spectroscopy, X-ray diffraction refinement, and computational details. Crystallographic data for [CHF₂CF₂OH₂][SbF₆] has been deposited at the CCDC under CCDC 2312629† and can be obtained from https://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the Department of Chemistry of the Ludwig Maximilian University, the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), and F-Select GmbH for the financial support of this work. Special thanks go to Prof. Dr Konstantin Karaghiosoff for the help with this work after Prof. Dr Andreas J. Kornath passed away.

References

- 1 T. W. Bentley and P. V. R. Schleyer, *Adv. Phys. Org. Chem.*, 1977, 14, 1.
- 2 W. J. Middleton and R. V. Lindsey, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1964, 86, 4948.
- 3 I. Krossing, Chem. Eur. J., 2001, 7, 490.
- 4 T. J. Barbarich, S. T. Handy, S. M. Miller, O. P. Anderson, P. A. Grieco and S. H. Strauss, *Organometallics*, 1996, **15**, 3776.
- 5 J. J. Rockwell, G. M. Kloster, W. J. DuBay, P. A. Grieco, D. F. Shriver and S. H. Strauss, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1997, 263, 195.
- 6 U. P. Preiss, G. Steinfeld, H. Scherer, A. M. T. Erle,
 B. Benkmil, A. Kraft and I. Krossing, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 2013, 639, 714.
- 7 W. A. Sheppard and C. M. Sharts, *Organic Fluorine Chemistry*, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, 1969.
- 8 M. T. Nguyen, M. H. Matus, V. T. Ngan, R. Haiges,
 K. O. Christe and D. A. Dixon, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2008, 112, 1298.
- 9 K. Seppelt, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1977, 16, 322.
- 10 K. O. Christe, J. Hegge, B. Hoge and R. Haiges, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 6155.
- 11 S. Andreades and D. C. England, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1961, 83, 4670.
- 12 A. F. Baxter, J. Schaab, K. O. Christe and R. Haiges, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, 57, 8174.
- 13 A. F. Baxter, J. Schaab, J. Hegge, T. Saal, M. Vasiliu, D. A. Dixon, R. Haiges and K. O. Christe, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2018, 24, 16737.
- 14 S. Steiner, C. Jessen and A. J. Kornath, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 2022, 648, e202200060.
- 15 S. Steiner, A. Nitzer, C. Jessen and A. J. Kornath, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 2024, 650, e202400013.
- 16 P. A. W. Dean and R. J. Gillespie, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1969, 91(26), 7260.
- 17 J. Weidlein, U. Müller and K. Dehnicke, *Schwingungsspektroskopie. Eine Einführung*, Thieme, Stuttgart, 1988.
- 18 J. R. Durig, G. A. Guirgis and T. A. Mohamed, J. Mol. Struct., 1998, 444, 165.
- 19 R. Minkwitz and S. Reinemann, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 1999, 625, 121.
- 20 R. Minkwitz and V. Gerhard, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 1991, 603, 95.
- 21 B. P. van Eijck, J. Mol. Struct., 1977, 37, 1.
- 22 F. H. Allen, O. Kennard, D. G. Watson, L. Brammer, A. G. Orpen and R. Taylor, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.* 2, 1987, S1.

- 23 R. Minkwitz and S. Schneider, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 1998, 624, 1989.
- 24 A. Karmakar, L. M. D. R. S. Martins, M. F. C. G. da Silva, S. Hazra and A. J. L. Pombeiro, *Catal. Lett.*, 2015, **145**, 2066.
- 25 R. Minkwitz, N. Hartfeld and C. Hirsch, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 1999, 625, 1479.
- 26 R. Minkwitz and S. Schneider, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1999, 38, 210.
- 27 R. Minkwitz, C. Hirsch and T. Berends, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 1999, (12), 2249.
- 28 G. A. Jeffrey, *An introduction to hydrogen bonding*, Oxford University Press, New York, 1997.
- 29 A. Bondi, J. Phys. Chem., 1964, 68, 441.
- 30 M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery, J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene,
- J. E. Klene, J. E. Know, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. O. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzweski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski and D. J. Fox, *Gaussian16, Revision C.01*, Gaussian Inc., Wallingford CT, 2016.
- 31 T. Soltner, N. Goetz and A. J. Kornath, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, 5429.
- 32 I. Alkorta, J. Elguero and A. Frontera, Crystals, 2020, 10, 180.
- 33 G. Frenking, W. Koch and H. Schwarz, J. Comput. Chem., 1986, 7(4), 406.
- 34 G. A. Olah, G. Liang and Y. K. Mo, J. Org. Chem., 1974, 39(16), 2394.
- 35 M. Bayer, C. Kremser, C. Jessen, A. Nitzer and A. J. Kornath, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2022, **28**(15), e202104422.
- 36 A. J. Fernandes, A. Panossian, B. Michelet, A. Martin-Mingot, F. R. Leroux and S. Thibaudeau, *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.*, 2021, 17, 343.
- 37 S. K. Wolff, D. J. Grimwood, J. J. McKinnon, M. J. Turner, D. Jayatilaka and M. A. Spackman, *CrystalExplorer 17.5, Revision: f4e298a*, University of Western Australia, 2017.
- 38 M. A. Spackman and D. Jayatilaka, CrystEngComm, 2009, 11, 19.