RESEARCH

Open Access

Genotype-specifc efects of elamipretide in patients with primary mitochondrial myopathy: a post hoc analysis of the MMPOWER-3 trial

Amel Karaa^{1*}[®][,](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5781-9824) Enrico Bertini², Valerio Carelli^{3,4}, Bruce Cohen⁵, Gregory M. Ennes⁶, Marni J. Falk⁷, Amy Goldstein⁷, Gráinne Gorman⁸, Richard Haas⁹, Michio Hirano¹⁰, Thomas Klopstock^{11,12,13}, Mary Kay Koenig¹⁴, Cornelia Kornblum¹⁵, Costanza Lamperti¹⁶, Anna Lehman¹⁷, Nicola Longo¹⁸ Maria Judit Molnar¹⁹, Sumit Parikh²⁰, Han Phan²¹, Robert D. S. Pitceathly^{22,23}, Russekk Saneto²⁴, Fernando Scaglia^{25,26,27}, Serenella Servidei²⁸, Mark Tarnopolsky²⁹, Antonio Toscano³⁰, Johan L. K. Van Hove³¹, John Vissing³², Jerry Vockley³³, Jeffrey S. Finman³⁴, Anthony Abbruscato³⁵, David A. Brown³⁵, Alana Sullivan³⁵, James A. Shiffer³⁶, Michelango Mancuso³⁷ and on behalf of the MMPOWER-3 Trial Investigators

Abstract

Background As previously published, the MMPOWER-3 clinical trial did not demonstrate a significant benefit of elamipretide treatment in a genotypically diverse population of adults with primary mitochondrial myopathy (PMM). However, the prespecified subgroup of subjects with disease-causing nuclear DNA (nDNA) pathogenic variants receiving elamipretide experienced an improvement in the six-minute walk test (6MWT), while the cohort of subjects with mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) pathogenic variants showed no diference versus placebo. These published fndings prompted additional genotype-specifc post hoc analyses of the MMPOWER-3 trial. Here, we present these analyses to further investigate the fndings and to seek trends and commonalities among those subjects who responded to treatment, to build a more precise Phase 3 trial design for further investigation in likely responders.

Results Subjects with mtDNA pathogenic variants or single large-scale mtDNA deletions represented 74% of the MMPOWER-3 population, with 70% in the mtDNA cohort having either single large-scale mtDNA deletions or *MT-TL1* pathogenic variants. Most subjects in the nDNA cohort had pathogenic variants in genes required for mtDNA maintenance (mtDNA replisome), the majority of which were in *POLG* and *TWNK*. The mtDNA replisome *post-hoc* cohort displayed an improvement on the 6MWT, trending towards signifcant, in the elamipretide group when compared with placebo (25.2±8.7 m versus 2.0±8.6 m for placebo group; *p*=0.06). The 6MWT results at week 24 in subjects with replisome variants showed a signifcant change in the elamipretide group subjects who had chronic progressive external ophthalmoplegia (CPEO) (37.3±9.5 m versus −8.0±10.7 m for the placebo group; *p*=0.0024). Pharmacokinetic (exposure–response) analyses in the nDNA cohort showed a weak positive correlation between plasma elamipretide concentration and 6MWT improvement.

*Correspondence: Amel Karaa AKARAA@mgh.harvard.edu Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver ([http://creativeco](http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) [mmons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/](http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/)) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data. **Conclusions** Post hoc analyses indicated that elamipretide had a benefcial efect in PMM patients with mtDNA replisome disorders, underscoring the importance of considering specifc genetic subtypes in PMM clinical trials. These data serve as the foundation for a follow-up Phase 3 clinical trial (NuPOWER) which has been designed as described in this paper to determine the efficacy of elamipretide in patients with mtDNA maintenance-related disorders.

Classifcation of evidence Class I

ClinicalTrials.gov identifer NCT03323749

Keywords Elamipretide, PMM, Replisome, Mitochondria, MtDNA maintenance, MtDNA multiple deletions

Background

As a diverse group of genetically confrmed disorders, primary mitochondrial myopathies (PMMs) predominantly, but not exclusively, afect skeletal muscle, adversely impacting physical function and quality of life [[1\]](#page-8-0). Although individual mitochondrial diseases are rare, PMMs are a common manifestation of primary mitochondrial diseases, with an estimated prevalence of 1–2 in 10,000 [\[2](#page-8-1), [3\]](#page-8-2). PMM patients often display muscular weakness, muscle atrophy, limited exercise capacity, and fatigue [\[1,](#page-8-0) [4](#page-8-3), [5\]](#page-8-4), with no currently approved therapies.

The largest Phase 3 clinical trial to date in patients with PMM, the MMPOWER3 trial, was recently completed $[6]$ $[6]$. This trial evaluated the efficacy and safety of daily elamipretide, a mitochondria-targeting peptide, as a treatment for patients with genetically confrmed PMM $[6]$ $[6]$. The trial enrolled a highly heterogeneous population of myopathic patients with a variety of pathogenic variants in either nuclear (nDNA) or mitochondrial (mtDNA) genes [[6\]](#page-8-5). Mitochondria require the coordinated translation of genes encoded by both nDNA and mtDNA, and PMMs can be caused by alterations in either genome. mtDNA encodes a handful of lipophilic electron transport chain subunits, and ribosomal/transfer RNAs used in mtDNA translation. Almost all $({\sim}99\%)$ of the mitochondrial proteome is encoded by nDNA, including all proteins responsible for replicating mtDNA (the mtDNA replisome). Alterations in these proteins, caused by nuclear gene defects, are collectively referred to as mtDNA maintenance disorders, or mtDNA depletion and deletions syndrome (MDDS), with myopathy being a common clinical occurrence [[7\]](#page-8-6).

Although MMPOWER-3 did not meet its primary endpoints assessing changes in the Six-Minute Walk Test (6MWT) and fatigue in the total population, a post hoc subgroup analysis revealed that subjects with nDNA pathogenic variants experienced an improvement in 6MWT compared with placebo [[6\]](#page-8-5). Based on these fndings, further in-depth analysis was warranted to better understand the genotype-specifc responses in the trial, and to enhance the likelihood of success for future clinical trials in individuals with nuclear primary mitochondrial disease (nPMD).

Methods

Trial design

Full details of MMPOWER-3 have been previously described [\[6](#page-8-5)]. In brief, MMPOWER-3 was a 24-week, randomized (1:1), double-blind, parallel-group, placebocontrolled clinical trial for adult patients with PMM, in which subjects received elamipretide 40 mg subcutaneously once daily or placebo $[6]$ $[6]$. In the original analysis of MMPOWER-3, subjects were stratifed by the type of pathogenic DNA variant (nDNA vs mtDNA) determined to be the primary cause of PMM as approved by the adjudication committee [\[6](#page-8-5)]. Pathogenic DNA variants causing PMM were subclassifed as causing mtDNA or nDNA disorders $[6]$ $[6]$. The prespecified exploratory analysis was conducted to further examine the efects of elamipretide on the change from baseline to week 24 in the 6MWT by genetic subgroups. Subject demographics at baseline have been previously published in detail [\[6](#page-8-5)].

Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consents

MMPOWER-3 was conducted in accordance with international ethics guidelines, including the Declaration of Helsinki, Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences International Ethical Guidelines, ICH GCP guidelines, and all applicable laws and regulations [[6\]](#page-8-5). The trial was approved by institutional review boards, and all subjects provided written informed consent [\[6](#page-8-5)].

Statistical analysis

In the original analysis of MMPOWER-3, the efficacy of elamipretide was analyzed by genetic pathogenic variant subclass (mtDNA vs. nDNA) utilizing a mixed model repeated measures (MMRM) [[6\]](#page-8-5). In the new exploratory analysis, the efect of elamipretide on the least squares (LS) mean change from baseline in distance walked on the 6MWT at 4 weeks, 12 weeks, and end of treatment (week 24) was examined as a function of gene variants using subjects from the MMPOWER-3 per-protocol population who successfully completed the trial. The analysis evaluated 6MWT results by specifc mtDNA and nDNA genotypes. Efficacy in the mtDNA replisome subgroup was further assessed by the presence of the

chronic progressive external ophthalmoplegia (CPEO) as a phenotype.

A pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic analysis was also performed in the nDNA population to assess the absolute change in the 6MWT as a function of steady-state elamipretide area under the plasma

Fig. 1 Genotype breakdown of the mtDNA Replisome cohort from MMPOWER-3 (percentage of the cohort $[N=51]$)

concentration–time curve (AUC). Regression analysis, with corresponding r (correlation coefficient) and p values, and Loess smoothing were performed [\[8\]](#page-8-7).

Results

Genetic subtype data

The mtDNA and nDNA variants within the entire trial population, as well as the fnding that subjects with nDNA pathogenic variants who received elamipretide performed signifcantly better on the 6MWT compared with placebo, have previously been published [\[6](#page-8-5)]. Among the nDNA cohort, almost all subjects had pathogenic variants associated with mtDNA maintenance, depicted in Fig. [1](#page-2-0). Most of these subjects had *POLG* pathogenic variants, followed by pathogenic variants in *TWNK* that encodes the mtDNA helicase Twinkle, and a handful of other genes encoding replisome-related enzymes, including *DGUOK*, *TYMP*, *TK2*, *RRM2B*, *RNASEH1* (see Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0).

As was previously published [[6\]](#page-8-5), in a *post-hoc* analysis, the nDNA cohort ($n=59$) displayed a significantly greater improvement in the 6MWT between elamipretide and placebo (25.2 m versus 0.3 m, respectively, $p=0.03$). The most robust of improvements, however, was observed in the *post-hoc* cohort of subjects who had an mtDNA replisome genotype and a CPEO phenotype (Fig. [2](#page-2-1)). Subjects with CPEO experienced ptosis, ophthalmoplegia, fatigue and some also exhibited proximal muscle weakness. Baseline functional characteristics of these patients is described elsewhere [[6\]](#page-8-5). At week 24, subjects in the replisome CPEO subgroup who received elamipretide $(n=18)$ experienced a mean increase from a baseline (mean of

Fig. 2 6MWT change from baseline (subgroup replisome pathogenic variants and chronic progressive external ophthalmoplegia [CPEO]) phenotype. 6MWT, 6-min Walk Test; CPEO, chronic progressive external ophthalmoplegia; mtDNA, mitochondrial DNA; nDNA, nuclear DNA

 316.5 ± 17.5) of 37.3 ± 9.5 m in the 6MWT, compared with a mean decrease from baseline (324.0 ± 23.4) of −8.0±10.7 m for the placebo group (n=14) (*p*=0.0024).

The analysis conducted in this trial also increased understanding of genotype diferences relating to elamipretide response within the mtDNA population, as presented in Fig. [3.](#page-3-0) Here, in this *post-hoc* analysis, the Least Square Means (LS Means) standard error (SE) change from baseline in distance walked on the 6MWT at week 24 was 14.9 ± 6.4 m in subjects with mtDNA pathogenic variants who received elamipretide $(n=73)$ and 24.1 ± 6.3 m for patients receiving placebo (n=73), representing a 9.2 m between-group diference in favor of placebo. The difference in favor of placebo was heavily infuenced by individuals with *MT-TL1* pathogenic variants (week 24, n=49). In this cohort, placebo-treated subjects $(n=28)$ experienced a mean improvement of 42.4 m in the 6MWT compared to baseline (subjects receiving elamipretide $[n=21]$ walked 25.3 m greater at 24 weeks compared to baseline) (see Fig. [3\)](#page-3-0). Individuals with low heteroplasmy in *MT-TL1* pathogenic variants trended towards having walked signifcantly farther at week 24 (Fig. [4](#page-3-1)). Given the high number of individuals in the trial with *MT-TL1* pathogenic variants, this placebo efect heavily infuenced the overall results of the MMPOWER-3 Phase 3 trial. Individuals with single mtDNA deletions (week 24, n=49) also represented a large portion of the mtDNA cohort (week 24, $n=146$), with no observable diferences at week 24 between elamipretide and placebo-treated subjects.

Fig. 4 Efect of low heteroplasmy in MT-TL1 placebo subjects on 6MWT

Considering the encouraging signal seen in the nDNA cohort, we conducted exposure–response regression analyses to better understand the pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic relationship from the Phase 3 trial. These data are presented in Fig. 5 . There was a weak correlation between plasma elamipretide exposure (expressed as AUC) and 6MWT improvement in this cohort when evaluated as the change from baseline to Week 24 $(r=0.308; p=0.0262)$.

■ Placebo Elamipretide 40 mg

Fig. 3 6MWT Change from baseline in the overall mtDNA population and among the mtDNA subgroups. Other tRNA pathogenic variants, as depicted in the graph on the far right, included those found in the transfer tRNAs that encode for the following amino acids: tyrosine (Y), valine (V), glutamic acid (E), isoleucine (I), serine (S), and threonine (T). ETC, electron transport chain; 6MWT, 6-Minute Walk Test; mtDNA, mitochondrial DNA; tRNA, transfer RNA

AUC ($ng / mL x days$)

Fig. 5 Exposure–response analysis (nDNA cohort at week 24). Change in 6MWT nDNA pathogenic variants as a function of elamipretide steady-state AUC. Placebo subjects are shown with AUC=0. Symbols indicate sex; colors indicate age bracket. A regression line (and the corresponding P and r values) and a smoother (Loess) are displayed for the elamipretide group. The green smoother excludes values below the limit of quantifcation

Discussion

Elamipretide is the frst experimental therapeutic compound progressing to a Phase 3 clinical trial in patients with PMM (MMPOWER-3) $[6]$ $[6]$. This trial followed the Phase 1/2 (MMPOWER-1) [\[9](#page-8-8)] and Phase 2 (MMPOWER-2) [\[10\]](#page-8-9) clinical trials, in which treatment with elamipretide was analyzed in patients with PMM. Genetic variants within the MMPOWER-3 trial population (i.e., both mtDNA and nDNA) have previously been published, along with the fnding that subjects with nDNA pathogenic variants who received elamipretide performed signifcantly better on the 6MWT in the trial compared with placebo [\[6](#page-8-5)]. Although MMPOWER-3 trial did not meet its primary endpoints, post hoc analysis of results by genetic subtype have emphasized the importance of considering specifc disease genotypes and phenotypical presentation in the design of interventional clinical trials. As previously published, the *post-hoc* genetic subgroup analysis on the co-primary endpoint in MMPOWER3, Total Fatigue Score on the Primary Mitochondrial Myopathy Symptom Assessment (PMMSA TFS), did not demonstrate a diferential efect when the nDNA and mtDNA cohorts were compared $[6]$ $[6]$. The reason a signifcant diferential efect with daily elamipretide was seen between the nDNA and mtDNA cohorts in 6MWT and not with the PMMSA TFS outcome measure is not known. Fatigue is known to be a signifcant burden for many patients with PMM; however, the diferent types or components of fatigue contributing to overall fatigue in patients is not well understood and was not differentiated in the trial.

This manuscript presents new analyses and highlights novel fndings of interest to the feld. First, there was signifcant improvement and a diferential response in 6MWT in subjects with mtDNA replisome pathogenic variants, an exciting fnding that may help enrich future interventional studies in PMM. Second, the signifcant placebo efect in individuals with *MT-TL1* pathogenic variants profoundly infuenced the overall results of the MMPOWER-3 trial given the relatively high proportion of subjects with this mtDNA genotype in the trial. Although the factors that led to this placebo efect are not fully understood, variability among this mtDNA cohort appears to have contributed. A number of individuals with low heteroplasmy in *MT-TL1* and randomized to placebo walked farther at this timepoint, which greatly contributed to the observed placebo effect. Third, an exposure–response relationship in the nDNA cohort suggested a weak (albeit signifcant) positive correlation between plasma elamipretide levels and pharmacodynamic response in the 6MWT. These data were used as a partial justifcation for increasing to a 60 mg dose in NuPOWER. Finally, based on these data, a follow-up trial has been designed and initiated with a more specifc trial population, an enrichment strategy that may increase the likelihood for success in treating PMM [\[11\]](#page-8-10).

The mtDNA replisome pathogenic variant subgroup contained genes responsible for mtDNA replication and maintaining the mitochondrial nucleotide pool. Our analyses revealed no placebo efect in this cohort, which was reassuring and consistent with placebo arms from earlier trials using elamipretide [\[9](#page-8-8), [10](#page-8-9)].

The majority of subjects in the mtDNA replisome cohort had pathogenic variants in *POLG*, the most commonly afected nuclear gene in the North American Mitochondrial Disease Consortium Registry [\[12](#page-8-11)]. Although still rare, *POLG* is a nuclear gene that encodes the sole mitochondrial DNA polymerase enzyme. *POLG* pathogenic variants are among the more common causes of inherited mitochondrial diseases [\[13\]](#page-8-12). The *POLG* enzyme contains proof-reading, polymerase, and linker domains, making this enzyme important for both replication and fdelity of mtDNA copies [\[14](#page-8-13)]. Our analyses revealed that individuals with *POLG* pathogenic variants responded similarly to the mtDNA replisome cohort as a whole, and elamipretide did not appear to discriminate between the locus of *POLG* pathogenic variants and the improvement in 6MWT in the trial (data not shown). *POLG* pathogenic variants were seen across the endonuclease, linker, and polymerase regions of the enzyme, and represented similarly between the elamipretide and placebo-treated groups.

The prevalence of *POLG* pathogenic variants in the overall Phase 3 MMPOWER-3 trial was roughly 13%

of the population (majority being monoallelic, causing dominant disease), within the previously-reported range of 4% to 26% across various studies [[13](#page-8-12), [15](#page-8-14), [16](#page-8-15)]. *POLG* pathogenic variants lead to a continuum broad spectrum of clinical features that can present at any age; however, age at disease onset can provide information regarding diagnosis and outcome. For example, the onset of CPEO dominates the *POLG* clinical spectrum in older patients (>40 years); occipital epilepsy tends to occur in younger patients (<12 years); and peripheral neuropathy and ataxia most often occurs between 12 and 40 years of age [\[17](#page-8-16)]. Notably, our results suggest that CPEO involvement was associated with greater clinical beneft of elamipretide, suggesting certain nDNA phenotypes (i.e., adult-onset myopathies in patients>40 years of age) may be more likely to respond to treatment with elamipretide. Similar improvements were observed in individuals with *TWNK* pathogenic variants, all of whom had CPEO.

Interestingly, the clinical trial results may also advance our mechanistic insight of targeting cardiolipin with elamipretide in PMM. mtDNA replication is essential for maintaining energy homeostasis, and there is a direct correlation between mtDNA copy number and the biosynthesis of the mitochondrial respiratory chain enzyme complexes [\[18](#page-8-17)]. As previously described, all of the enzymes responsible for mtDNA maintenance encoded by nDNA are synthesized in the cytoplasm [[6\]](#page-8-5), and therefore must be transported across the inner mitochondrial membrane, which is enriched with cardiolipin [\[6,](#page-8-5) [19](#page-8-18)[–21](#page-8-19)]. Metabolite and nucleotide transporters depend on cardiolipin, the signature phospholipid of the mitochondrial inner membrane, for their assembly and activity [[6,](#page-8-5) [22](#page-8-20)]. Cardiolipin is also known to stabilize mtDNA packaging into nucleoids, providing maintenance of mtDNA integrity and respiratory function [\[23](#page-8-21)]. Elamipretide is hypothesized to afect the mtDNA replisome, at least partly, via a reduction in the leak of reactive oxygen species (ROS) by helping to colocalize electron transport complexes. Since mtDNA replisome components are packaged into mitochondrial nucleoids that are in close proximity to the electron transport chain [[24\]](#page-8-22), the mtDNA replisome is likely susceptible to ROS produced in close proximity to the electron transport chain [\[25](#page-8-23)]. In addition, since elamipretide stabilizes cardiolipin [\[26](#page-8-24)], elamipretide may enhance cardiolipin-dependent functions including inner mitochondrial membrane protein import/assembly, metabolite/nucleotide transport, and mtDNA stability. These presumptions are supported by preclinical work in which elamipretide improved various aspects of mitochondrial function and morphology [[23](#page-8-21), [27](#page-8-25)[–30](#page-9-0)].

Pharmacokinetic analyses in the nDNA cohort also showed a trend among subjects with higher elamipretide exposure (measured in plasma) and improved 6MWT. These data are encouraging and implicate a possible pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic relationship in this cohort.

Taken together, these data have provided the foundation for a subsequent Phase 3 clinical trial enriched with this population and using a 60 mg dose of elamipretide (depicted in supplemental Fig. [6](#page-5-0)), which has been initiated and fully enrolled at this time (NuPOWER Clinical Trial, SPIMD-301, NCT05162768) [[11](#page-8-10)]. NuPOWER was designed to evaluate the efficacy and tolerability of elamipretide in nPMD subjects, with the primary efficacy endpoint being distance walked (meters) on the 6MWT [\[11](#page-8-10)]. Elamipretide was also studied in subjects with Barth Syndrome (TAZPOWER, SPIBA-201, NCT03098797), which

*Consists of 90 subjects who have nPMD with replisome-related pathogenic variants and up to 40 additional subjects with non-replisome nDNA pathogenic variants + CPEO

Fig. 6 Phase 3 trial design of NuPOWER enrolling subjects with replisome-related nDNA pathogenic variants and CPEO¹⁰

is an X-linked mitochondrial disease caused by defects in *TAZ*, a gene responsible for cardiolipin remodeling [\[31](#page-9-1)]. After approximately 36-weeks in the 168-week openlabel phase, elamipretide was associated with signifcant and consistent improvements in 6MWT (n=8, 95.9 m, $p=0.02$) and BTHS–SA TFS [\[31](#page-9-1)]. There were also signifcant improvements in secondary endpoints including knee extensor strength (skeletal muscle), patient global impression of symptoms, and some cardiac parameters (specifcally stroke volume and cardiac output) [[31](#page-9-1)].

Another consequence of the analyses presented here is a better understanding of the genotype-specifc responses in the mtDNA alteration cohort. The prominent placebo effect in the MMPOWER-3 trial [\[6\]](#page-8-5) was unexpected and not predicted by the Phase 2 trial (MMPOWER-2) [\[9](#page-8-8)]. The mtDNA cohort accounted for about three-quarters of the subjects within the overall Phase 3 trial $[6]$. The majority of these subjects (approximately 70%) had either single large-scale mtDNA deletions or pathogenic variants in *MT-TL1.*

There are several limitations that must be acknowledged. Primary mitochondrial disease is both genetically and phenotypically heterogenous. We have previously acknowledged that "basket" trial designs may induce insurmountable heterogeneity in rare disease clinical trials [\[6\]](#page-8-5), leading to cautious optimism from our post hoc genotype analysis in this small cohort of individuals. Furthermore, the 6MWT was the primary endpoint examined in the subgroup analysis and the only measure to demonstrate a strong diferential efect relative to the nDNA and mtDNA cohorts. The lack of differences in other endpoints and the existence of helpful (but not defnitive) and universally accepted biomarkers in adults with PMM also leave room for caution. The ongoing work to further understand the genotype/phenotype relationship within the heterogeneous family of mitochondrial disease, the emergence of additional objective endpoints (eg, Mitochondrial Myopathy-Composite Assessment Tool [\[32\]](#page-9-2)), reliable biomarkers, and predictive pre-clinical models will all strengthen the design of interventional clinical trials and bolster PMM treatments in the years ahead.

Conclusions

This analysis suggests that elamipretide has a beneficial efect on ambulatory exercise capacity in patients with PMM with nuclear gene-encoded mtDNA replisome disorders. The data highlight the importance of considering genetic subtypes in PMM. The benefit was particularly relevant in those with replisome pathogenic variants and CPEO. These findings emphasize the challenge of developing therapies for the broadly heterogeneous class of mitochondrial diseases and reinforce the importance of

focusing on genetic subgroups when developing treatments for individuals with PMM, as well as providing insights into various genetic abnormalities and the likelihood of responding to elamipretide for patients with PMM. Based on the observations from this post hoc analysis, a trial to evaluate the efficacy and safety of elamipretide in subjects with primary mitochondrial disease resulting from nDNA mutations (NuPOWER) was designed and is now fully enrolled [\[11\]](#page-8-10).

Appendix 1

See Table [1.](#page-7-0)

Abbreviations

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the subjects, investigators, healthcare providers, research staff, and caregivers who participated in and made the MMPOWER-3 trial possible.

The authors wish to thank the following staff who have significantly contributed to the trial: Erica Lynn Kelly and Michele Guyette, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA.

The authors thank the Mito Action and United Mitochondrial Disease Foundation for helping with recruitment. The project described was supported by Grant Number 1UL1TR001102 at the TCRC/Harvard Catalyst [\(https://catalyst.](https://catalyst.harvard.edu) [harvard.edu\)](https://catalyst.harvard.edu).

The University College London Hospitals/University College London Queen Square Institute of Neurology sequencing facility receives a proportion of funding from the Department of Health's National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Centres funding scheme. The clinical and diagnostic 'Rare Mitochondrial Disorders' Service in London is funded by the UK NHS Highly Specialised Commissioners. R.D.S.P. is funded by The Lily Foundation, Muscular Dystrophy UK (MDUK), a seedcorn award from the Rosetrees Trust and Stoneygate Foundation, and the LifeArc Centre to Treat Mitochondrial Diseases (LAC-TreatMito). R.D.S.P. is also supported by a Medical Research Council (UK) Clinician Scientist Fellowship (MR/S002065/1), the Medical Research Council (UK) award MC_PC_21046 to establish a National Mouse Genetics Network Mitochondria Cluster (MitoCluster), and the Medical Research Council (UK) strategic award MR/S005021/1 to establish an International Centre for Genomic Medicine in Neuromuscular Diseases (ICGNMD).

Author contributions

All authors (AK, EB, VC, BC, GE, MF, AG, GG, RH, MH, TK, MKK, CK, CL, AL, NL, MJM, SP, HP, RP, RS, FS, SS, MT, AT, JLV, JV, JV, JF, AA, DB, AS, JS, MM) and the funder of this trial participated in trial design. All authors participated in the data collection, data interpretation, and writing of the clinical study report. AK had full access to the totality of the trial data. The remainder of the authors

Table 1 MMPOWER-3 Trial Co-investigator Members

were provided with an aggregate data analysis. All authors participated in the development and critical review of the manuscript, approved submission of the manuscript for publication, and are accountable for the accuracy and integrity of the work.

Funding

Trial funded by Stealth BioTherapeutics, Newton, MA. All payments from Stealth BT were directly pertaining to travel for investigator meetings and the conduct of this clinical trial. Manuscript preparation and submission was also funded by Stealth BioTherapeutics.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets supporting the conclusions of the *post-hoc* analysis described in this article are included within the article. Data from the MMPOWER-3 study and the *post-hoc* analysis not published within this article will be made available by request from the corresponding author. Full datasets from the MMPOWER-3 clinical trial are available at: [https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/](https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT03323749?tab=results) [NCT03323749?tab](https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT03323749?tab=results)=results. Anonymized data not published within this article will be made available by request from any qualifed investigator. Additional data may also be found at: Study Record | Beta ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT NCT02976038).

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

MMPOWER-3 was conducted in accordance with international ethics guidelines, including the Declaration of Helsinki, Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences International Ethical Guidelines, ICH GCP guidelines, and all applicable laws and regulations. The trial was approved by institutional review boards, and all subjects provided written informed consent.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Author details

¹ Massachusetts General Hospital, Genetics Division Harvard Medical School Boston, Boston, MA, USA. ² Neuromuscular Unit, Bambino Gesù Ospedale Pediatrico, IRCCS, Rome, Italy. ³IRCCS Istituto Delle Scienze Neurologiche Di Bologna, Programma Di Neurogenetica, Bologna, Italy. ⁴ Department of Biomedical and Neuromotor Sciences, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy.⁵ Akron Children's Hospital, Rebecca D. Considine Research Institute, Akron, OH, USA. ⁶ Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, USA.
⁷ Division of Human Gonotics, Department of Podiatrics, Children's Hospital. ⁷ Division of Human Genetics, Department of Pediatrics, Children's Hospital

of Philadelphia and University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Mitochondrial Medicine Frontier Program, Philadelphia, PA, USA. ⁸Royal Victoria Infirmary, Newcastle Upon Tyne, England. ⁹University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA. 10Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, NY, USA. ¹¹ Department of Neurology, LMU Hospital, Friedrich-Baur-Institute, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität Munich, Munich, Germany. 12German Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases (DZNE), Munich, Germany. 13Munich Cluster for Systems Neurology (SyNergy), Munich, Germany. 14Department of Pediatrics, Division of Child and Adolescent Neurology, Center for the Treatment of Pediatric Neurodegenerative Disease, University of Texas McGovern Medical School, Houston, TX, USA.¹⁵Department of Neurology, University Hospital of Bonn, Neuromuscular Diseases Section, Bonn, Germany. 16Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Neurologico Carlo Besta, Milan, Italy. 17Vancouver General Hospital, Vancouver, BC, Canada. ¹⁸University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, USA.
¹⁹Institute of Genomic Medicine and Rare Disorders, Semmelweis University, Budapest, Hungary. 20Cleveland Clinic Neurological Institute, Cleveland, OH, USA.²¹ Rare Disease Research, Atlanta, GA, USA.²² Department of Neuromuscular Diseases, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, London, UK.²³NHS Highly Specialised Service for Rare Mitochondrial Disorders, Queen Square Centre for Neuromuscular Diseases, The National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery, London, UK. ²⁴Seattle Children's Hospital, Seattle, WA, USA.
²⁵Department of Molecular and Human Genetics, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA. 26Texas Children's Hospital, Houston, TX, USA. 27Joint BCM-CUHK Center of Medical Genetics, Prince of Wales Hospital, Sha Tin, Hong Kong SAR, China. ²⁸Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli and Istituto Di Neurologia, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Rome, Italy. 29Division of Neuromuscular and Neurometabolic Disorders, McMaster University Children's Hospital, Hamilton, ON, Canada. ³⁰ Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, ERN-NMD Center for Neuromuscular Disorders of Messina, University of Messina, Messina, Italy. ³¹ University of Colorado and Children's Hospital Colorado, Aurora, CO, USA. ³²Copenhagen Neuromuscular Center, Rigshospitalet, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark. 33Children's Hospital of Pittsburgh, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, PA, USA. ³⁴Jupiter Point Pharma Consulting, LLC, Jupiter, CT, USA. ³⁵Stealth Bio-Therapeutics, Needham, MA, USA. 36Write On Time Medical Communications, LLC, Medford, NJ, USA.³⁷Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, Neurological Institute, University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy.

Received: 12 July 2024 Accepted: 20 October 2024 Published online: 21 November 2024

References

- Mancuso M, McFarland R, Klopstock T, Hirano M, (2017) Consortium on Trial Readiness in Mitochondrial Myopathies. International Workshop: outcome measures and clinical trial readiness in primary mitochondrial myopathies in children and adults. Consensus recommendations. 16–18 November 2016, Rome, Italy. Neuromuscul Disord; 27(12):1126–37.
- 2. Gorman GS, Schaefer AM, Ng Y, Gomez N, Blakely EL, Alston CL, et al. Prevalence of nuclear and mitochondrial DNA mutations related to adult mitochondrial disease. Ann Neurol. 2015;77(5):753–9.
- 3. National Organization for Rare Disorders. Mitochondrial Myopathy (MM). 2016. [https://rarediseases.org/physician-guide/mitochondrial-myopa](https://rarediseases.org/physician-guide/mitochondrial-myopathy/) [thy/.](https://rarediseases.org/physician-guide/mitochondrial-myopathy/) Accessed May 15, 2024.
- 4. Ahuja AS. Understanding mitochondrial myopathies: a review. PeerJ. 2018;6: e4790.
- 5. Tarnopolsky M. Exercise testing as a diagnostic entity in mitochondrial myopathies. Mitochondrion. 2004;4(5–6):529–42.
- 6. Karaa A, Bertini E, Carelli V, Cohen BH, Enns GM, Falk MJ, et al. Efficacy and safety of elamipretide in individuals with primary mitochondrial myopathy: the MMPOWER-3 randomized clinical trial. Neurology. 2023;101(3):e238–52.
- 7. Lopez-Gomez C, Camara Y, Hirano M, Marti R, (2022) 232nd ENMC Workshop Participants 232nd ENMC international workshop: Recommendations for treatment of mitochondrial DNA maintenance disorders. 16–18 June 2017, Heemskerk, The Netherlands. Neuromuscul Disord; 32(7):609–20
- 8. Austin PC, Steyerberg EW. Graphical assessment of internal and external calibration of logistic regression models by using loess smoothers. Stat Med. 2014;33(3):517–35.
- 9. Karaa A, Haas R, Goldstein A, Vockley J, Cohen BH. A randomized crossover trial of elamipretide in adults with primary mitochondrial myopathy. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle. 2020;11(4):909–18.
- 10. Karaa A, Haas R, Goldstein A, Vockley J, Weaver WD, Cohen BH. Randomized dose-escalation trial of elamipretide in adults with primary mitochondrial myopathy. Neurology. 2018;90(14):e1212–21.
- 11. Study to Evaluate Efficacy and Safety of Elamipretide in Subjects with primary mitochondrial disease from Nuclear DNA Mutations (nPMD) (NuPOWER). 2023. [https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT05162768.](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT05162768) Accessed May 15, 2024.
- 12. Barca E, Long Y, Cooley V, Schoenaker R, Emmanuele V, DiMauro S, et al. Mitochondrial diseases in North America: an analysis of the NAMDC Registry. Neurol Genet. 2020;6(2): e402.
- 13. Deepha S, Govindaraj P, Sankaran BP, Chiplunkar S, Kashinkunti C, Nunia V, et al. Clinico-pathological and molecular spectrum of mitochondrial polymerase gamma mutations in a cohort from India. J Mol Neurosci. 2021;71(11):2219–28.
- 14. Rahman S, Copeland WC. POLG-related disorders and their neurological manifestations. Nat Rev Neurol. 2019;15(1):40–52.
- 15. Nuzhnyi E, Seliverstov Y, Klyushnikov S, Krylova T, Tsygankova P, Bychkov I, et al. POLG-associated ataxias can represent a substantial part of recessive and sporadic ataxias in adults. Clin Neurol Neurosurg. 2021;201: 106462.
- 16. Woodbridge P, Liang C, Davis RL, Vandebona H, Sue CM. POLG mutations in Australian patients with mitochondrial disease. Intern Med J. 2013;43(2):150–6.
- 17. Hikmat O, Naess K, Engvall M, Klingenberg C, Rasmussen M, Tallaksen CM, et al. Simplifying the clinical classifcation of polymerase gamma (POLG) disease based on age of onset; studies using a cohort of 155 cases. J Inherit Metab Dis. 2020;43(4):726–36.
- 18. Oliveira MT, Pontes CB, Ciesielski GL. Roles of the mitochondrial replisome in mitochondrial DNA deletion formation. Genet Mol Biol. 2020;43(1 suppl. 1): e20190069.
- 19. Brown DA, Sabbah HN, Shaikh SR. Mitochondrial inner membrane lipids and proteins as targets for decreasing cardiac ischemia/reperfusion injury. Pharmacol Ther. 2013;140(3):258–66.
- 20. Chicco AJ, Sparagna GC. Role of cardiolipin alterations in mitochondrial dysfunction and disease. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol. 2007;292(1):C33-44.
- 21. El-Hattab AW, Craigen WJ, Scaglia F. Mitochondrial DNA maintenance defects. Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Basis Dis. 2017;1863(6):1539–55.
- 22. Gebert N, Joshi AS, Kutik S, Becker T, McKenzie M, Guan XL, et al. Mitochondrial cardiolipin involved in outer-membrane protein biogenesis: implications for Barth syndrome. Curr Biol. 2009;19(24):2133–9.
- 23. Luévano-Martínez LA, Forni MF, dos Santos VT, Souza-Pinto NC, Kowaltowski AJ. Cardiolipin is a key determinant for mtDNA stability and segregation during mitochondrial stress. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2015;1847(6–7):587–98.
- 24. Rajala N, Gerhold JM, Martinsson P, Klymov A, Spelbrink JN. Replication factors transiently associate with mtDNA at the mitochondrial inner membrane to facilitate replication. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014;42(2):952–67.
- 25. Shokolenko I, Venediktova N, Bochkareva A, Wilson GL, Alexeyev MF. Oxidative stress induces degradation of mitochondrial DNA. Nucleic Acids Res. 2009;37(8):2539–48.
- 26. Birk AV, Liu S, Soong Y, Mills W, Singh P, Warren JD, et al. The mitochondrial-targeted compound SS-31 re-energizes ischemic mitochondria by interacting with cardiolipin. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2013;24(8):1250–61.
- 27. Allen ME, Pennington ER, Perry JB, Dadoo S, Makrecka-Kuka M, Dambrova M, et al. The cardiolipin-binding peptide elamipretide mitigates fragmentation of cristae networks following cardiac ischemia reperfusion in rats. Commun Biol. 2020;3(1):389.
- 28. Pharaoh G, Kamat V, Kannan S, Stuppard RS, Whitson J, Martín-Pérez M, et al. The mitochondrially targeted peptide elamipretide (SS-31) improves ADP sensitivity in aged mitochondria by increasing uptake through the adenine nucleotide translocator (ANT). Geroscience. 2023;45(6):3529–48.
- 29. Sabbah HN, Gupta RC, Singh-Gupta V, Zhang K. Efects of elamipretide on skeletal muscle in dogs with experimentally induced heart failure. ESC Heart Fail. 2019;6(2):328–35.
- 30. Zhao H, Li H, Hao S, Chen J, Wu J, Song C, et al. Peptide SS-31 upregulates frataxin expression and improves the quality of mitochondria: implications in the treatment of Friedreich ataxia. Sci Rep. 2017;7(1):9840.
- 31. Thompson R, Manuel R, Aiudi A, Jones JJ, Carr J, Hornby B, Vernon H (2020) Elamipretide in patients with barth syndrome: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial followed by 36-week openlabel extension. JACC; 75 (11, Supplement 1):957
- 32. Flickinger J, Fan J, Wellik A, Ganetzky R, Goldstein A, Muraresku CC, et al. Development of a mitochondrial myopathy-composite assessment tool. JCSM Clin Rep. 2021;6(4):109–27.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

Amel Karaa : received research grant, reimbursement for travel, and consulting payments from Stealth BT, Sanof, and Takeda; received research grant and reimbursement for travel from Protalix; received research grants from Sanof, Astellas, PTC therapeutics received consulting payments from Astellas, Abliva, Reneo, UCB, Amicus, Chiesi, Pharmanovia, Khondrion, Tisento, Precision, Pretzel, and Nanna Therapeutics, is the Chair of the scientifc and medical advisory board of the United Mitochondrial Disease Foundation; is a founder and board member of the mitochondrial care network, immediate past-President of the Mitochondrial Medicine Society; Chair and Founder of TREAT MITO, is an investigator in the North American Mitochondrial Disease Consortium and has support from the NIH (U54 NS078059).

Enrico Bertini : received funds for consulting and Advisory Board from PTC, Roche, Novartis, Biogen, and Sarepta, and is responsible for an HCP that is part of both the European Rare Neurological Disorders (ERN RND) and the ERN-NMD (neuromuscular disorders) network; serves the scientifc advisory board of MITOCON.

Valerio Carelli : received research grant from Stealth BioTherapeutics; consulting payments for Advisory Board and speaker honoraria from Chiesi Farmaceutici; clinical trial funding from Stealth BioTherapeutics, Santhera Pharmaceuticals, and GenSight Biologics; research grants from the Italian Ministry of Health, Italian Ministry of University and Research, Telethon-Italy, and European Union (Horizon 2020 program); research funding from patients donations and serves the scientifc advisory board of IFOND and MITOCON.

Bruce Cohen received personal compensation serving as a consultant for Reneo Pharmaceuticals, Neuroene, CoA Therapeutics/ BioBridge, Stealth BioTherapeutics, Abliva/NeuroVive, Modis/Zogenix/UCB, PTC, Precision Biosciences and Astellas. His institution has received research support from Stealth BioTherapeutics, PTC, Astellas, Reneo Pharmaceuticals and Abliva. He receives support from an NIH U54 grant (North American Mitochondrial Disease Consortium). Dr. Cohen has received publishing royalties from Elsevier Publishing. Dr. Cohen has received personal compensation in the range of \$500-\$4,999 for serving as a Consultant with US DOJ. Dr. Cohen has received personal compensation serving as a CPT Advisor with AAN; serves in uncompensated positions on the board of directors of the AAN, Child Neurology Society, Child Neurology Foundation and the United Mitochondrial Disease Foundation.

Gregory M. Ennes Consulting –Evvia Therapeutics/co-founder, AllStripes/consultant, Glycomine/consultant, Hemoshear/consultant, Homology Medicines/consultant, Moderna Therapeutics/consultant, M6P Therapeutics/consultant, Ultragenyx/consultant. DMC member

– Amicus Therapeutics, Audentes Therapeutics, Biomarin, Modis Therapeutics, Paradigm Biopharmaceuticals, Passage Bio, RegenxBio. Clinical Trials (site-PI) –Aeglea Biotherapeutics, Artcurus Therapeutics, Astellas Pharma, Biomarin, LogicBio, Moderna, PTC Therapeutics, Stealth BioTherapeutics, University of Florida.

Marni J. Falk is engaged with several companies involved in mitochondrial disease therapeutic pre-clinical and/or clinical stage development not directly related to the work, including as co-founder and chief scientifc advisor of Rarefy Therapeutics; an advisory board member with equity interest in RiboNova, Inc.; scientifc board member as paid consultant with Khondrion and Larimar Therapeutics; as paid consultant (Astellas [formerly Mitobridge] Pharma Inc., Casma Therapeutics, Cyclerion Therapeutics, Epirium Bio, HealthCap VII Advisor AB, Imel Therapeutics, Minovia Therapeutics, MiMo Therapeutics, Mission Therapeutics, NeuroVive Pharmaceutical AB, Precision Bio-Sciences, Primera Therapeutics, Reneo Therapeutics, Stealth BioTherapeutics, Vincere Bio, Zogenix Inc.) and/or as a sponsored research collaborator (AADI Bioscience, Astellas Pharma Inc., Cyclerion Therapeutics, Epirium Bio (formerly Cardero Therapeutics), Imel Therapeutics, Khondrion, Minovia Therapeutics Inc., Mission Therapeutics, NeuroVive Pharmaceutical AB, PTC Therapeutics, Raptor Therapeutics, REATA Inc., Reneo Therapeutics, RiboNova Inc., Saol Therapeutics, Standigm Inc., and Stealth BioTherapeutics). MJF also has received royalties from Elsevier, speaker fees from Agios Pharmaceuticals and Genomind, and an educational honorarium from PlatformQ.

Gráinne Gorman received research grant, consulting payments for Advisory Board and clinical trial funding from Stealth BioTherapeutics.

Richard Haas received research grant, reimbursement for travel, and consulting payments from Stealth BT; is on the scientifc and medical advisory board of the United Mitochondrial Disease Foundation and the advisory board for MitoBridge; received clinical trial funding from Edison Pharmaceuticals, Stealth BioTherapeutics, Horizon Pharma (previously Raptor), Reneo Pharmaceuticals, PTC Therapeutics, Acadia Pharmaceuticals and Sarepta Therapeutics; and received grant funding through the FDA Orphan Products Clinical Trials Grants Program (previously Orphan Products Grants; #1RO1FD004147) and the NIH (U54 NS078059).

Michio Hirano received research support, honoraria, or both from Stealth BioTherapeutics, Entrada Therapeutics, and Modis Therapeutics (wholly owned subsidiary of Zogenix) as well as grant support from the NIH (U54 NS078059 and P01 HD32062), Department of Defense (FPA W81XWH2010807), Muscular Dystrophy Association (577,392) and J. Willard and Alice S. Marriott Foundation. Columbia University has a patent for deoxynucleoside therapies for mitochondrial DNA depletion syndrome, including TK2 defciency, which is licensed to Modis Therapeutics, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Zogenix Inc.; this relationship is monitored by an unconficted external academic researcher. Dr. Hirano is a co-inventor of this patent. CUIMC has received royalty payments related to the development and commercialization of the technology; Dr. Hirano has received shares of the royalty payments following Columbia University policies. He is on the scientifc and medical advisory board of the United Mitochondrial Disease Foundation and Barth Syndrome Foundation and the Research Advisory Committee of the Muscular Dystrophy Association.

Thomas Klopstock received clinical trial funding from Stealth BioTherapeutics, Santhera Pharmaceuticals, Khondrion, and Gen-Sight Biologics; received reimbursement for travel and consulting payments from Santhera Pharmaceuticals, GenSight Biologics, and Chiesi GmbH; is the Speaker of the German network for mitochondrial disorders (mitoNET). Thomas Klopstock is supported by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF, Bonn, Germany) through grants to the German Network for Mitochondrial Disorders (mitoNET, 01GM1906A) and to the E-Rare project GENOMIT (01GM1920B).

Mary Kay Koenig receives research/grant support from Stealth BT, EryDel S.p.A., Ultragenyx Pharmaceuticals, BioElectron Technology Corporation/PTC Therapeutics, NIH, People Against Leigh Syndrome, Marinus Pharmaceuticals, TEVA Pharmaceuticals, Esai Pharmaceuticals, and Reneo Pharmaceuticals; serves on speaker's bureau/advisory board for Novartis Pharmaceuticals, Greenwich Pharmaceuticals, Stealth BT, Taysha Gene Therapies, Modis Therapeutics; serves on scientifc & medical advisory board for the Mitochondrial Medicine Society, Tuberous Sclerosis Complex Alliance, TANGO2 Research Foundation, and People Against Leigh Syndrome; co-inventor of "Topical Rapamycin Therapy" licensed to LAM Therapeutics.

Cornelia Kornblum received travel funding and/or speaker honoraria from Sanofi Genzyme, Novartis, Santhera, Fulcrum Therapeutics, and acknowledges fnancial support as an advisory board member and/or primary investigator for Stealth BioTherapeutics, Inc., Sanof Genzyme, Amicus Therapeutics, Roche Pharma AG, Hormosan, Fulcrum Therapeutics, and receives research support from the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF), and is a member of the European Reference Network for neuromuscular diseases (EURO-NMD) and co-coordinator of mitoNET (BMBF-funded).

Costanza Lamperti received a research grant from and is supported by the E-Rare project GENOMIT (01GM1920B), GENOMIT 4, Italian Ministry of Health MitoMyOmics, PNRR-MR1-2022–12376617, Mitosign, RF-2021–12373111, and Chiesi faramceuticis, member of the European Reference Network for Neuromuscular Diseases (EURO-NMD). She has received consulting payments from UCB and OMEICOS.

Anna Lehman received research grants, reimbursement for travel, or consulting payments from Shire-Takeda, Sanof-Genzyme, Ultragenyx, Horizon Pharma, and Amicus.

Nicola Longo received speaker honoraria from Alnylam, Amicus Therapeutics, ACI Clinical trials, BioMarin, BridgeBio/CoA Ther, Censa/ PTC Ther., Chiesi/Protalix, CTI-Clinical Trial, Genzyme/Sanof, Hemoshear, Horizon Pharma, Jaguar Gene Therapy, Leadiant Biosciences, Moderna, Nestle' Pharma, Recordati, Reneo, Shire/Takeda, Synlogic, and Ultragenix. Also receives clinical trials support from Aeglea, Amicus Therapeutics, Audentes/Astellas, AvroBio, BioMarin, Censa/PTC Ther., Chiesi/Protalix, Genzyme/Sanof, Hemoshear, Homology, Horizon Pharma, Moderna, Nestle' Pharma, Pfizer, Reneo, Retrophin, Shire/ Takeda, Stealth BioTherapeutics, Synlogic, Ultragenix.

Maria Judit Molnar received research grant, reimbursement for travel, and consulting payments from Stealth BT, Sanof Genzyme, Biogen, Amicus Therapeutics, PTC Therapeutics, Novartis Pharma, Takeda and Richter Gedeon Plc. She is a member of the European Reference Network for neuromuscular diseases (EURO-NMD) and Rare Neurological Disorders (RND). Molnar MJ is supported by the HUN-REN and National Research and Innovation Office.

Sumit Parikh has no relevant disclosures to report.

Han Phan received research grants, reimbursement for travel, and consulting payments from Stealth BT, Shire, Sarepta, Fibrogen, Pfzer, Ovid, Emalex, GeneTx, Genetech, Applied Therapeutics, Teva, Italofarmaco, and Eisai.

Robert D. S. Pitceathly received reimbursement for travel and consulting payments from Stealth BioTherapeutics; a research grant, reimbursement for travel, and consulting payments from Reneo Pharmaceuticals; and consulting payments from Abliva.

Russekk Saneto received research grant and reimbursement for travel and consulting payments from Stealth Bio Therapeutics, received clinical trial funding from Edison Pharmaceuticals, and served on the DSMB board for REATA Pharmaceuticals.

Fernando Scaglia received research grants from Stealth BT, Reata Pharmaceuticals, PTC Therapeutics (Edison Pharma), Horizon Pharma (Raptor Pharma), Entrada Therapeutics, Astellas Pharma, Modis Therapeutics, FDA, and the NIH (U54 NS078059 and U54 NS115198). He has received consulting payments from Acer Therapeutics, Precision BioSciences, Tisento Therapeutics, and UCB. He has received research support from the Mervar Foundation and the Courage for a Cure Foundation. He is on the Board of the Mitochondrial Medicine Society; and is an investigator for the North American Mitochondrial Disease Consortium and the Frontiers in Congenital Disorders of Glycosylation Consortium.

Serenella Servidei responsible for an HCP that is part of the European Rare Neurological (ERN) NMD network.

Mark Tarnopolsky received speaker honoraria from Sanof-Genzyme. He is the president and CEO of Exerkine Corporation that produces nutraceuticals that target mitochondrial dysfunction in obesity and aging.

Antonio Toscano received reimbursement for educational activities from Sanof Genzyme and Amicus, and honorarium as component of Sanof Genzyme Pompe Disease European Board.

Johan L. K. Van Hove received reimbursement for travel from Stealth BT and received grant funding from the NIH (U54 NS078059).

John Vissing received research and/or travel support, and/or speaker honoraria from UCB Pharma, Edgewise Therapeutics, Sanofi/ Genzyme, Fulcrum Therapeutics, Argenx, Biogen, Lupin Limited and Alexion Pharmaceuticals, and served on advisory boards or as consultant for Argenx, Roche, Horizon Therapeutics, Biogen, Amicus Therapeutics, Sanof/Genzyme, Fulcrum Therapeutics, ML Bio Solutions, Sarepta Therapeutics, Novartis Pharma AG, Regeneron, UCB Pharma, and Lupin Limited.

Jerry Vockley received research grant, reimbursement for travel, and consulting payments from Stealth BioTherapeutics.

Jeffrey S. Finman is SVP, Chief of Biostatistics of Cognitive Research Corporation and a paidconsultant and statistician for Stealth BioTherapeutics.

Anthony Abbruscato is a full-time employee of Stealth BioTherapeutics, who sponsored the clinical trial.

David A. Brown is a full-time employee of Stealth BioTherapeutics, who sponsored the clinical trial.

Alana Sullivan is a full-time employee of Stealth BioTherapeutics, who sponsored the clinical trial.

James A. Shiffer is president of Write On Time Medical Communications LLC and a paid consultant for Stealth BioTherapeutics.

Michelango Mancuso received research grant, reimbursement for travel, and consulting payments from Stealth BT, Takeda, Sanof Genzyme, Khondrion, Abliva, Reneo, Zogenix, Precision Biosciences. Mancuso is supported by the Telethon (GSP16001) and by the E-Rare project GENOMIT (01GM1920B) and Italian Ministry of Health (2022B9WY4A). Mancuso is part of the European Reference Networks EURO NMD and RND.