Navigating the Cold: Integrative Transcriptome Sequencing Approach Reveals Ionoregulatory and Whole-Body Responses to Cold Acclimation in *Drosophila ananassae*

Vera Miyase Yılmaz [1], Zhihui Bao [1], Sonja Grath [1,*]

[1]Division of Evolutionary Biology, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Großhaderner Street 2, Planegg-Martinsried 82152, Germany

*Corresponding author: E-mail: grath@bio.lmu.de.

Abstract

Understanding how species adapt to changing environments is a major goal in evolutionary biology and can elucidate the impact of climate change. Climate imposes inevitable effects on the geographical distribution of insects as their body temperature primarily depends on the environment. The vinegar fly *Drosophila ananassae* expanded from its tropical ancestral range to more temperate regions, which requires adaptation to colder climates. Transcriptome and genome-wide association studies focusing on the ancestral-range population identified the targets of selection related to ionoregulatory tissues. However, how cosmopolitan *D. ananassae* adapted to colder environments, where low temperatures last longer, is still unknown. Here, we present a study on the effect of long-term cold exposure on *D. ananassae*, examining the gene expression variation in the whole body and the ionoregulatory tissues, namely the hindgut and the Malpighian tubule. To elucidate molecular mechanisms of cold adaptation during species expansion, we included cold-tolerant and cold-sensitive strains from the ancestral species range and cold-tolerant strains from the derived species range. We show that cold acclimation improves cold tolerance and results in differential expression of more than half of the transcriptome in the ionoregulatory tissues and the whole body. Notably, we provide complementary insight into molecular processes at four levels: strains, populations, phenotypes, and tissues. By determining the biochemical pathways of phenotypic plasticity underlying cold tolerance, our results enhance our understanding of how environmental changes affect thermal adaptation in natural populations.

Graphical abstract

(a) Cold Tolerance Phenotype — tolerant, sensitive; Population: ancestral, derived

(b) Treatment Regime — emergence; cold acclimation: 4 days at 10°C; control: 4 days at rearing temperature; 90-minute recovery; tissue dissection RNA extraction

(c) ♂; ionoregulatory tissues

(d) Whole body — Population-specific response to acclimation; Malpighian tubules — Population-specific response to acclimation; Hindgut — Phenotype-specific response to acclimation

Fatty-acid metabolism — potassium ion transport — active transport — cellular respiration

Key words: thermoregulation, ectothermy, metabolic cold adaptation, gene expression, phenotypic plasticity, ionoregulatory evolution.

Significance

The physiological mechanisms underlying cold tolerance of insects are complex. Our study integrates tissue-specific responses with whole-body responses to cold and compares these responses in different populations, phenotypes, and strains of the vinegar fly *Drosophila ananassae*. The study reveals that the response to extended cold in the renal and intestinal tissues are different, that the tissue-specific responses can be masked in the whole body, and that the transcriptomic response to extended cold can depend on the population of origin, cold tolerance phenotype, or strain. Overall, this study sheds light on insect cold adaptation in terms of the transcriptomic response to cold acclimation in the ionoregulatory tissues and whole bodies.

Introduction

Temperature is a major factor influencing how species adapt to changing environments and colonize new ecosystems. How animals generally cope with thermal stressors and which genes are specifically responsible for cold adaptation has been a topic of intense research for decades in molecular and evolutionary biology. Recent developments in high-throughput sequencing methods allow insights into molecular mechanisms at the whole transcriptome and genome level, but integrating the complementary information of various experimental designs remains challenging. For example, studies on small insects often rely on

either systemic or tissue-specific methodologies or single phenotypes, resulting in incomplete conclusions (Andersen et al. 2015; Hoedjes et al. 2024). Therefore, integrating different experimental designs and phenotypes to study transcriptional responses to cold is critical to uncovering mechanisms underlying physiological adaptation. Elucidating the mechanisms that underlie adaptation to changing environments in natural populations and integrating different approaches can help us understand which species prove to be successful in the future and have potential applications for conservation, agriculture, and pest management.

Many insects evolved and diversified in warm climates (Bale and Hayward 2010). Nevertheless, insects have developed various strategies to cope with thermal stress and to successfully expand their thermal and habitat ranges (Overgaard and MacMillan 2017). Some insects even tolerate freezing and remain active, such as the Antarctic midge *Belgica antarctica* (Teets et al. 2012) or the alpine beetle *Pytho deplanatus* (Ring 1982). However, a wide range of insect species suffer from chilling injuries and eventually die when they are exposed to cold. This chill-susceptibility has been reported for several orders of insects (Overgaard and MacMillan 2017). Chill-susceptible species include some of great economic and ecological importance, such as the honeybee *Apis mellifera* (Free and Spencer-Booth 1960), but also agricultural pests, for instance, the migratory locust *Locusta migratoria* (Bayley et al. 2018) and disease vectors such as mosquitoes (Reinhold et al. 2018).

The physiology of cold stress resistance in insects is highly complex. When insects are exposed to cold, their ion and water homeostasis is disrupted (MacMillan et al. 2015). Additionally, transcriptomic and metabolic profiles are changed (MacMillan et al. 2016). Along with these systemic changes, chilling injuries affect the body at the cellular level as they cause phase changes in the cell membrane, resulting in a stiff membrane with decreased permeability (Steponkus 1984; Drobnis et al. 1993; Koštál 2010). Further, cold exposure results in an imbalance of passive diffusion and active ion transport (Koštál et al. 2004; Zachariassen et al. 2004; MacMillan and Sinclair 2011). An excess of potassium ions in the extracellular space leads to depolarization of the membrane (Hoyle 1953; Findsen et al. 2014), eventually activating the voltage-gated calcium channels (Salkoff and Wyman 1983; Findsen et al. 2016). The cellular influx of calcium ions through voltage-gated channels activates apoptotic and necrotic pathways, leading to chill injury (Koštál et al. 2004, 2007, 2016; Zachariassen et al. 2004; MacMillan et al. 2015, 2016). Consequently, the regulation of ion and water homeostasis has been identified as a key physiological feature for cold tolerance in insects with specifically the ionoregulatory tissues being essential for maintaining the water-ion balance in an insect body (Andersen et al. 2017; Andersen and Overgaard 2020; Des Marteaux et al. 2018; Gerber and Overgaard 2018; MacMillan et al. 2015, 2016, 2017; Yerushalmi et al. 2018).

Despite several in-depth studies aiming to resolve this complexity, it remains challenging to identify and functionally characterize specific genes and genetic elements that contribute to the diversity of cold stress-resistant phenotypes (Udaka et al. 2010; MacMillan et al. 2016; von Heckel et al. 2016). With their worldwide distribution, Drosophilids are excellent models for studying these questions.

Flies from the genus *Drosophila* suffer from impaired synaptic transmission and muscular failure when they are exposed to cold; in other words, they lose their neuromuscular coordination and enter a comatose condition referred to as chill coma in which they are not able to move, feed, mate or escape predators (Kelty et al. 1996; MacMillan and Sinclair 2011; Findsen et al. 2014). However, flies can recover from such a coma given that time and temperature of exposure to cold do not exceed certain thresholds. The time the fly needs for recovery from a cold-induced coma (chill coma recovery time, CCRT) is widely used to assess the cold hardiness of insects in the laboratory and serves as a predictor for the climatic condition at the geographical sampling site of a particular species (Gibert et al. 2001). Further, CCRT follows latitudinal clines within *Drosophila* species in Australia (Hallas et al. 2002; Hoffmann et al. 2002; Hoffmann and Weeks 2007), Asia (Sisodia and Singh 2010), Africa and Europe (David et al. 2003). Cold tolerance in Drosophilids is polygenic. Despite this complexity, variation in gene expression of only a few loci is associated with cold tolerance in subtropical populations of *Drosophila ananassae* (Königer and Grath 2018), and variation of just three genomic regions explained more than 60% of the phenotypic variation between cold-tolerant and cold-sensitive strains (Königer et al. 2019). Recently, we provided Cas9-integrated fly strains that facilitate the use of the CRISPR-Cas9 system in this species and allow functional characterization of individual candidate loci (Yılmaz et al. 2023). In sum, *D. ananassae* has been established as a promising model for studying the genetic basis of cold tolerance.

Previous studies mostly neglected the potential of integrating two specific aspects to better inform about cold tolerance in insects. First, how insects respond to low temperatures can be plastic: the ability to tolerate cold and the genes responsible for their survival can change depending on prior exposure to cold. Such acclimation has been shown to alleviate the adverse effects of cold and can increase cold tolerance (Hoffmann and Watson 1993; Watson and Hoffmann 1996; Bubliy et al. 2002; MacMillan et al. 2016, 2017; Enriquez and Colinet 2019; Yılmaz et al. 2023). Cold acclimation triggers substantial changes in the transcriptional response to cold in *Drosophila suzukii* (Enriquez and Colinet 2019). It reorganizes the transcriptome and metabolome of whole animals (MacMillan et al. 2016) and the gut transcriptome in *Drosophila melanogaster* (MacMillan et al. 2017). Second, conclusions on potentially ecologically relevant adaptations should not rely on a single

phenotype (Andersen et al. 2015). Consequently, incorporating distinct cold tolerance phenotypes and systemic and ionoregulatory tissue-specific transcriptome data will allow pinpointing cold-related physiological adaptations.

In this study, we use isofemale lines collected from a population of ancestral origin in Bangkok, Thailand (from here on mentioned as ancestral population), and a derived population originating from Kathmandu, Nepal (Das et al. 2004). We examined the transcriptional response to cold acclimation in both the ancestral and the derived populations to shed light on the genetic mechanisms underlying cold tolerance. In addition, we integrated the original results of this study with previously gained insight into the distinct phenotype of cold shock. To this end, we contrasted the transcriptional response to cold at four levels: (1) population (ancestral vs. derived), (2) strain (cold-sensitive vs. cold-tolerant), (3) tissue (whole-body vs. ionoregulatory tissues, Malpighian tubules, and hindgut), and (4) phenotype (cold acclimation vs. cold shock). We find empirical evidence for the influence of population and tissue on gene expression variation upon cold stress. Using an RNA sequencing approach that combined systemic with tissue-specific transcriptomes, we show that cold acclimation improves cold tolerance and results in more than 50% of the transcriptome being differentially expressed in the whole body and the ionoregulatory tissues.

Our results demonstrate that an RNA sequencing strategy combining a systemic overview with tissue-specific data can be a cost-effective and informative way to elucidate genes that have major effects on a crucial adaptation-related trait, namely, thermoregulation.

Results

Chill Coma Recovery Time Improves With Acclimation to Mild Temperatures

Prior exposure to mild stress conditions can improve the tolerance phenotype. To test the effect of temperature and duration of acclimation on CCRT, we tested four temperatures (6-12°C) for different periods (1-5 d). We used three strains representing fast-recovering Bangkok (BKK12 for FastBKK), slow-recovering Bangkok (BKK13 for SlowBKK), and Kathmandu (KATH14 for KATH) populations. Flies exposed to 6 °C for more than a day showed high mortality, exceeding 50% on day three and reaching 100% on day 5 (Fig. 1a, supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online). Additionally, the surviving flies showed CCRT values higher than the control (Fig. 1b). The remaining temperatures resulted in minimal mortality, reaching an average of 10% at 8 °C and almost no mortality at 10 °C and 12 °C. These temperatures also lead to an improvement in the CCRT phenotype (Fig. 1b, supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material online). Even though the effect of the remaining temperatures did not significantly differ from one another,

the difference to control was largest when the acclimation temperature was 10 °C, and the duration was four days, which was chosen as the acclimation set-up for further experiments.

Complementary Elucidation of Transcriptional Response to Cold Acclimation With Systemic Whole Body and Tissue-specific Data

Flies from ancestral and derived populations were previously described as having different sensitivity to cold shock as determined by chill coma recovery time, CCRT (Königer and Grath 2018). Additionally, preliminary tests revealed that acclimation to cold improves tolerance and decreases the chill coma recovery time (see supplementary text, Supplementary Material online). To receive an informative overview of the transcriptional response to cold acclimation in *D. ananassae*, we combined high-throughput RNA sequencing of the whole-body (wb), as well as of two ionoregulatory tissues, Malpighian tubules (Mt) and hindguts (hg). We obtained RNA sequencing data from male flies upon 4-day acclimation at 10 °C. In the whole-body and hindgut samples, 54% of all expressed genes were differentially expressed in response to cold acclimation, and the percentage of DE genes in the Malpighian tubules was 50% (Table 1). The principal component analysis of all samples revealed that they clustered according to the tissue RNA was extracted from, whereas no separation according to the treatment was observed (Fig. 2a). The first principal component explained 68% of the variance, separating the ionoregulatory tissues from the whole-body samples. The second principal component explained 19% of the variance, separating the two ionoregulatory tissues. Though pairwise comparisons revealed that 2952 differentially expressed genes were shared between sample types, a considerable number of genes (ranging from 505 genes that were explicitly up-regulated in Malpighian tubules to 1436 genes that were down-regulated explicitly in the whole body) were specifically up- or down-regulated only in the individual tissues (Fig. 2b-c). Notably, these genes were enriched in distinct GO categories (supplementary tables S3 to S4, Supplementary Material online). These results suggest that the combination of systemic and informed tissue-specific RNA sequencing data allows for complementary conclusions on cold tolerance in *Drosophila*.

Strong Systemic Transcriptional Response to Cold Acclimation

We performed RNA sequencing on whole-body samples to obtain a systemic overview of the transcriptional response to cold acclimation in *Drosophila ananassae*. This approach has the unique advantage of being both cost-efficient and unbiased in terms of preconditions on transcription. Samples of acclimation and control treatments were clustered according to the populations of

Fig. 1. Effect of duration of acclimation on a) mortality and b) chill coma recovery time (CCRT) phenotype. The lines that were used were representatives of the respective population and phenotype. Ten flies per replicate in five replicates were used for mortality measurements and dead flies were discarded. The remaining flies were used for chill coma recovery assays. Colored dots indicate proportional mortality of each replicate and black dots indicate outliers with high or low CCRT.

origin (Fig. 3a). The first principal component explained 58% of the variance. It separated cold-acclimated samples from control samples, while the second principal component explained 14% of the variance and separated the derived population from the ancestral population. Within the ancestral population, the samples also clustered according to their CCRT phenotype (Fig. 3b). We addressed three questions with this data: (1) What is the general, systemic transcriptional response to cold acclimation? (2) How does transcriptional response differ between ancestral and derived populations? (3) How does transcriptional response differ between cold-tolerant and cold-sensitive strains in the ancestral population?

More than half of the transcriptome (54%) was differentially expressed between control and treatment, with 3825 genes significantly up-regulated and 3930 genes significantly down-regulated (Table 1). Gene ontology enrichment

analysis revealed that up-regulated genes were enriched in biological processes related to translation and cell junction organization (Fig. 3c, supplementary table S5, Supplementary Material online). On the contrary, down-regulated genes were enriched in metabolic processes (Fig. 3d, supplementary table S5, Supplementary Material online). These results suggest a solid response to cold acclimation in the whole body, and the transcriptional response of populations differs not only in the presence of cold treatment but also at the basal level.

Systemic Differences Between Populations are Mediated by Genes Involved in Detoxification and Maintaining Ion Balance

To characterize the differences between populations, we contrasted the transcriptional response of ancestral and derived populations. A total of 14300 genes were expressed in

Table 1 Number of differentially expressed genes in different sample types regarding the general, population-specific, phenotype-specific, and line-specific response to cold acclimation

	Total expressed genes	Up-regulated	Down-regulated	Total DE
General response to acclimation				
Whole body	14,304	3,825 (27%)	3,930 (27%)	7,755 (54%)
Malpighian tubule	12,189	3,280 (27%)	2,874 (24%)	6,154 (50%)
Hindgut	13,216	3,835 (29%)	3,483 (26%)	7,318 (55%)
Population-specific response				
Whole body				
BKK	14,300	3,618 (25%)	3,668 (26%)	7,286 (51%)
KATH	14,300	3,414 (24%)	3,497 (24%)	6,911 (48%)
KATH versus BKK[a]	13,191	1,181 (9%)	827 (6.3%)	2,008 (15%)
Malpighian tubule				
BKK	11,721	3,077 (26%)	2,606 (22%)	5,683 (48%)
KATH	11,191	2,288 (20%)	2,174 (19%)	4,462 (40%)
KATH versus BKK[a]	9125	124 (1.4%)	92 (1%)	216 (2.4%)
Phenotype-specific response				
Hindgut				
Fast	12,552	3,401 (27%)	3,224 (26%)	6,625 (53%)
Slow	12,824	3,046 (24%)	2,886 (23%)	5,932 (46%)
Fast versus Slow[a]	11,237	171 (1.5%)	159 (1.4%)	330 (2.9%)
Line-specific response[b]				
Whole body				
FastBKK	14,300	3,333 (23%)	3,434 (24%)	6,767 (47%)
SlowBKK	14,300	3,091 (22%)	3,300 (23%)	6,391 (45%)
FastBKK versus SlowBKK[a]	13,468	508 (3.8%)	472 (3.5%)	980 (7.3%)
Response to cold acclimation and cold shock[c]				
Whole body				
cold acclimation (CA)	14,283	3,475 (24%)	3,486 (24%)	6,961 (49%)
cold shock (CS)	13,285	1,490 (11%)	1,512 (11%)	3,002 (23%)

[a]We used DESeq2 to calculate the interaction effect between treatment (control vs. cold acclimation) and the phenotype or population (Duda et al. 2023). For these comparisons, the columns show the number of genes that are significantly differentially expressed between the compared groups. Up- and down-regulated columns indicate direction regarding the effect of acclimation on the former group compared to the latter group. BKK = Bangkok, KATH = Kathmandu. [b]Only isofemale lines of the ancestral population (BKK) are taken into consideration. The terms "fast" and "slow" indicate faster and slower recovery, respectively. [c]Only isofemale lines of the ancestral population (BKK) are taken into consideration.

each population (Table 1). In the ancestral population, 51% of these genes were differentially expressed upon cold acclimation, while 3618 genes were significantly up- and 3668 down-regulated, respectively. In comparison, 48% of these genes were differentially expressed in the derived population, while 3414 genes were significantly up-regulated, and 3497 genes were significantly down-regulated. Although the populations shared over half of the differentially expressed genes (supplementary fig. S1a, Supplementary Material online), 2008 genes showed significant differential expression between populations in response to cold acclimation. The GO analysis suggested that the genes with differential expression between the populations were mainly located in muscle tissues and enriched in cilium movement and various metabolic processes, including fatty acid metabolism (supplementary fig. S1b, Supplementary Material online). Notably, these genes were also enriched in molecular functions related to ion binding, ion channel, and oxidoreductase activity (supplementary table S6, Supplementary Material online). Overall, these results suggest the difference between the

acclimation response of populations ground on ion transport and detoxification.

The Actin Cytoskeleton Differentiates the Acclimation Response of Tolerant and Sensitive Strains in the Ancestral Population

To address the question of how the transcriptional response differs within the ancestral population between cold-tolerant and cold-sensitive strains, we compared gene expression upon cold acclimation in both types of strains. For the cold-tolerant strains, 3333 genes were significantly up-regulated, and 3434 genes were significantly down-regulated (Table 1). For the sensitive strains, 3091 genes were significantly up-regulated, and 3300 genes were significantly down-regulated. We used DESeq2 to calculate the interaction effect between treatment (control vs. cold acclimation) and the phenotype (fast vs. slow) to determine whether the phenotype itself affects the treatment effect (Duda et al. 2023). Notably, 980 genes were differentially expressed between cold-sensitive and

Fig. 2. Transcriptomic response to cold acclimation in ionoregulatory tissues and the whole body. a) Principal component analysis. The samples are shown according to the tissue (color) and treatment (shape). Whole body (Wb), Malpighian tubule (Mt), and hindgut (Hg) tissues are represented by purple, blue, and green colors, respectively. The control samples are represented by circles and the cold-acclimated samples are represented by triangles. b) Venn diagram showing the number of DE genes specific to or shared among sample types: Whole body, Malpighian tubule, and Hindgut samples exhibited shared, as well as exclusive differentially expressed genes. c) UpSet plot showing differentially expressed genes and their overlap between the three different sample types. Black, horizontal bars indicate the total number of differentially expressed genes that were significantly up- or down-regulated in a sample in response to cold acclimation. A black circle indicates that a sample and direction (up/down) combination is included in an intersection class. Intersection classes with a single circle are comprised of genes significantly differentially expressed in a single tissue and direction. Circles connected by a line indicate intersection classes comprised of multiple tissue and direction combinations. Vertical bars correspond to the number of genes in each intersection class, colors represent the respective sections of the Venn diagram.

cold-tolerant strains. GO enrichment analysis revealed significant enrichment of these genes in various biological processes related to the actin cytoskeleton. (supplementary table S7, Supplementary Material online).

Adaptive Transcriptional Response is Evident in the Renal Tissues

Malpighian tubules (Mt) are the renal equivalent of mammals in insects and contribute to maintaining homeostasis, which can be disrupted by cold exposure. Therefore, we investigated the transcriptional response of Mt to characterize the impact of cold acclimation on renal tissues in *D. ananassae*. Like the whole-body samples, RNA samples extracted from Mt of acclimated and control flies were

clustered according to the populations of origin, suggesting that the populations are distinct in their response to acclimation and at the basal level of gene expression (Fig. 4a). Principal component analysis indicated that 37% of the variation can be explained by the first principal component, which separated cold-acclimated and control samples, and 14% of the variation can be explained by the second principal component, which separated the ancestral and derived populations. Therefore, we investigated the general transcriptional response of Mt to cold acclimation and the population-specific response.

In the Mt samples, 3280 genes were up-regulated, and 2874 were down-regulated (Table 1). Similar to the whole-body samples, up-regulated genes of Mt were enriched in GO terms related to translation and transcription

Fig. 3. Transcriptomic response to cold acclimation in the whole body. a) Principal component analysis. The samples are shown according to the pooled strains (color) and treatment (shape). FastBKK, SlowBKK, and KATH sample pools are represented by purple, blue, and green colors, respectively. The control samples are represented by circles and the cold-acclimated samples are represented by triangles. b) Heatmap for the sample pools. Sample clusters are shown on the left and top of the heatmap. The letters indicate the sample pools FastBKK (F), SlowBKK (S), and Kath (K). Cold-acclimated samples are indicated by delta (Δ) sign. The numbers correspond to the replicates. The similarity matrix indicates high (red) and low (blue) similarity of samples. Gene ontology enrichment analysis results for genes c) up-regulated and d) down-regulated in the whole body in response to cold acclimation. Biological processes, cellular components, and molecular functions are shown in separate panels.

Fig. 4. Transcriptomic response to cold acclimation in the Malpighian tubules. a) Principal component analysis. The samples are shown according to the pooled strains (color) and treatment (shape). FastBKK, SlowBKK, and KATH sample pools are represented by purple, blue, and green colors, respectively. The control samples are represented by circles and the cold-acclimated samples are represented by triangles. b) Venn diagram showing the number of DE genes specific to or shared between populations: Bangkok and Kathmandu populations exhibited both shared, as well as exclusive differentially expressed genes in response to acclimation. Gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis results for genes c) up-regulated and d) down-regulated in the Malpighian tubules of the Kathmandu population compared to the Bangkok population in response to cold acclimation. Biological processes (red bars), cellular components (blue bars), and molecular functions (yellow bars) are shown in separate panels.

(supplementary fig. S2a, Supplementary Material online; supplementary table S8, Supplementary Material online), and the down-regulated genes were enriched in processes related to fatty acid degradation (supplementary fig. S2b, Supplementary Material online; supplementary table S8, Supplementary Material online). However, contrary to the whole-body samples, the down-regulated genes of the Mt were also enriched in chromatin remodeling. Additionally, a major part of the down-regulated genes was located in the mitochondrion and, more specifically, the respiratory chain complex.

Differences in Renal Tissues of Populations are Mediated by Genes Involved in Ion Transport and Lipid Metabolism

In order to understand the differences in metabolic processes involved in cold adaptation between the ancestral and derived populations, we compared the transcriptional response to cold acclimation in flies from the Bangkok and Kathmandu populations. In the ancestral population, 11721 genes were expressed, with 3077 up-regulated and 2606 down-regulated (Fig. 4b, Table 1). In the derived population, 11191 genes were expressed, with 2288 up-regulated and 2174 down-regulated. Among these DE genes, 216 genes showed differential expression between the populations. We conducted a GO analysis to explore the functions of these genes and found GO terms related to transposition. To enhance the analysis, we performed separate analyses for gene sets: genes up-regulated in the derived population compared to the ancestral one, and vice versa. The analysis showed that genes up-regulated in the Kathmandu population compared to Bangkok were enriched in molecular functions related to ion transport (Fig. 4c, supplementary table S9, Supplementary Material online). Conversely, genes up-regulated in the ancestral population compared to the derived one were enriched in processes and functions related to transposition (Fig. 4d, supplementary table S9, Supplementary Material online). Additionally, this analysis revealed an enrichment in molecular functions related to fatty acid ligase activity.

Hindgut Responds to Cold Acclimation With Respect to Phenotype, but not to Population

Along with the Malpighian tubules, hindguts (hg) are essential in regulating ion and water balance. Therefore, we investigated the tissue-specific transcriptional response to cold acclimation in the hindgut of *D. ananassae* flies to elucidate molecular mechanisms of cold adaptation in insects. Initial principal component analysis (PCA) revealed two clusters and an outlier sample (Fig. 5a). When the outlier was removed to increase the resolution, PC1 explained 48% of the variance, and PC2 explained 12%, separating the treatments and phenotypes, respectively (supplementary fig. S3a, Supplementary Material online).

The acclimated samples clustered according to their cold tolerance phenotype, in other words, according to their recovery time from chill coma. However, as opposed to the whole body and MT, the control samples showed no apparent separation, which suggests that the strains do not differ at their basal gene expression level according to their cold tolerance phenotype or population of origin. In other words, under control conditions, the hindgut expression profile cannot be separated according to phenotypes or population. Therefore, we addressed two questions with this data to investigate acclimation responses: (1) What is the general response to cold acclimation in the hindguts? (2) How does transcriptional response differ between cold-tolerant and cold-sensitive phenotypes? Note that the first question only considers the effect of treatment, whereas the second question additionally includes the phenotype and the interaction of treatment with phenotype.

In the hindgut samples, we observed a significant up-regulation of 3835 genes and a down-regulation of 3483 genes in response to cold acclimation (Table 1). Like the whole body and Malpighian tubules, the up-regulated genes in the hindguts were enriched in GO terms related to translation and transcription (supplementary fig. S3b, Supplementary Material online; supplementary table S10, Supplementary Material online). In contrast, the down-regulated genes showed enrichment in biological processes related to cellular respiration and lipid metabolism, with many of these genes located in the mitochondrial membrane (supplementary fig. S3c, Supplementary Material online; supplementary table S10, Supplementary Material online).

Cellular Respiration and Chitin Metabolism Play Roles in the Acclimation Response of Tolerant and Sensitive Strains in the Hindgut

We characterized the transcriptional response to cold acclimation in cold-tolerant and cold-sensitive strains with differential gene expression analysis. In the cold-sensitive strains, 3046 genes were significantly up-regulated, and 2886 genes were significantly down-regulated in response to acclimation (Table 1). On the other hand, in the cold-tolerant strains, 3401 genes were significantly up-regulated, and 3224 genes were significantly down-regulated in response to cold acclimation. Between the tolerant and sensitive strains, 330 genes were differentially expressed. GO enrichment analysis revealed that these genes were enriched in biological processes related to translation and ribosome biogenesis and in molecular functions related to chitin binding. We separately investigated the genes up-regulated in the cold-tolerant strains compared to the sensitive strains and vice versa. Genes significantly up-regulated in the cold-tolerant strains compared to the cold-sensitive strains were enriched in GO terms related to cuticle development, respiration, and metabolism (Fig. 5b,

Fig. 5. Transcriptomic response to cold acclimation in the hindguts. a) Principal component analysis. The samples are shown according to the pooled strains (color) and treatment (shape). FastBKK, SlowBKK, and KATH sample pools are represented by purple, blue, and green colors, respectively. The control samples are represented by circles and the cold-acclimated samples are represented by triangles. Gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis results for genes b) up-regulated and c) down-regulated in the hindguts of tolerant flies (fast phenotype) compared to sensitive flies (slow phenotype) in response to cold acclimation. Biological processes, cellular components, and molecular functions are shown in separate panels.

Fig. 6. UpSet plot showing differentially expressed genes and their overlap between the cold shock (CS) and cold acclimation (CA) assays. Black, horizontal bars indicate the total number of differentially expressed genes that were significantly up- or down-regulated in a sample in response to cold acclimation. A black circle indicates that a sample and direction (up/down) combination is included in an intersection class. Intersection classes with a single circle are comprised of genes significantly differentially expressed in a single treatment and direction. Circles connected by a line indicate intersection classes comprised of multiple treatment and direction combinations. Vertical bars correspond to the number of genes in each intersection class.

supplementary table S11, Supplementary Material online). Additionally, the products of these genes were localized mainly to the membrane and extracellular matrix. Genes significantly up-regulated in the cold-sensitive strains compared to the cold-tolerant strains were enriched in GO terms related to translation (Fig. 5c, supplementary table S11, Supplementary Material online).

Distinct Transcriptional Response to Cold Acclimation and Cold Shock

To receive a comprehensive overview of cold tolerance measured by distinct phenotypes, we contrasted our previous results of the transcriptional response to cold shock as measured by chill coma recovery time upon cold shock (Königer and Grath 2018) with the transcriptome data from this study. To this end, we considered the genes differentially expressed in the cold-tolerant and cold-sensitive strains of the ancestral population from Bangkok, Thailand, in two conditions: (1) cold shock and (2) cold acclimation.

Our findings reveal the intricate nature of gene expression in response to cold shock and cold acclimation (Fig. 6). We observed that more genes are differentially expressed in response to cold acclimation than cold shock (Table 1), indicating a more complex regulatory network in the former. To understand these responses, we investigated the up- and down-regulated genes in both treatments and performed a GO enrichment analysis.

The genes up-regulated in response to both treatments were enriched in actin cytoskeleton-related GO terms

(supplementary table S12, Supplementary Material online). In contrast, the down-regulated ones showed enrichment in various metabolic processes such as organic acid, lipid, and carbohydrate metabolic processes (supplementary table S12, Supplementary Material online). We also investigated the genes with opposite expression (i.e. up-regulated in one treatment but down-regulated in the other) in response to treatments. Among the 1932 genes that showed differential expression in both studies, 390 genes had opposite directions (Fig. 6). The genes up-regulated upon cold shock but down-regulated upon acclimation were enriched in the immune response (supplementary table S13, Supplementary Material online). On the other hand, the genes that were up-regulated in response to acclimation but down-regulated after cold shock showed enrichment in biological processes related to transcription and translation (supplementary table S13, Supplementary Material online).

Finally, we investigated the genes that show differential expression in response to only one of the treatments. Over 5000 genes were up- or down-regulated upon acclimation, but only 1070 genes were uniquely expressed after cold shock. The genes differentially expressed only upon the cold shock treatment were enriched in protein folding, actin cytoskeleton organization, replication, and glycosylation (supplementary table S14, Supplementary Material online). The genes that were differentially expressed upon acclimation showed enrichment in translation and transcription as well as amino acid metabolism and copulation (supplementary table S14, Supplementary Material online).

Discussion

Understanding the differences between the ancestral and derived populations and the variance within the ancestral Bangkok population sheds light on the adaptive processes leading to increased cold tolerance of the species. The two populations were tested for their acclimation response. Both populations showed improved cold tolerance upon acclimating to mild temperatures as expected, but the underlying mechanisms were unknown. Comparative transcriptomics using the whole-body samples provided the systemic overview to understand the differences in the acclimation response between the two populations and within the ancestral population. Additionally, the tissue-specific response to acclimation provided further insight into population and phenotypic differences.

In a complex phenotype, such as a cold response, transcriptomic analysis of a whole body might lead to missing information (reviewed in Hoedjes et al. 2024). However, tissue-specific RNA-Seq can unmask details otherwise lost in the systemic approach. Both whole-body and tissue-specific approaches were used in this study to increase the strength of the comparison of populations, phenotypes, and strains. The DE genes in the Malpighian tubule samples enriched in hypoxia response and heat shock protein (HSP) binding activity are not differentially expressed in the whole-body samples. Similarly, the DE genes in the hindgut samples enriched in sodium channel activity and cuticle structure are not differentially expressed in the whole body. On the other hand, even though the genes enriched in cellular respiration were up-regulated in the whole body, they were down-regulated in both ionoregulatory tissues. Taken together, combining whole-body and tissue-specific RNA-Seq allowed combining advantages while eliminating the disadvantages of each approach.

Previous studies on the cold acclimation response of other *Drosophila* species suggest that the percentage of differentially expressed genes in response to acclimation decreases as the species becomes more tolerant to cold (Parker et al. 2015, 2021; MacMillan et al. 2016; Enriquez and Colinet 2019). Even though these differences can be due to technological advancements, acclimation conditions, or analysis methods, the current study's large-scale differential expression aligns with this claim. In addition, the general response to cold acclimation consisted of differential expression of genes related to translation, transcription, and metabolism. Over-expression of translation-related genes parallels the high number of DE genes responding to acclimation. The populations also showed a slight difference in DE gene percentage, supporting the previous suggestion.

Exposure to low temperatures decreases membrane fluidity (Steponkus 1984; Drobnis et al. 1993; Koštál 2010). Homeoviscous adaptation suggests that the organism can adjust its membrane fluidity by increasing the proportion of unsaturated fatty acids or the ratio of short-chain fatty acids to long-chain fatty acids (Sinensky 1974; Koštál 2010). Insects can adjust their membrane composition through fatty acid metabolism to keep the membrane fluidity consistent, which may increase cold tolerance during acclimation (reviewed in Teets and Denlinger 2013). Aquaporins, essential membrane proteins supporting cold tolerance in many insects (Zhao et al. 2023), were suggested to regulate cold shock response by modulating water balance and fatty acid metabolism in ticks (Wang et al. 2025). In our study, the fatty acid elongation was down-regulated in response to acclimation in both populations, preventing a decrease in the short-chain-to-long-chain ratio. However, the differential expression analysis between the populations suggested that the down-regulation of genes related to fatty acid elongase activity was significantly higher in the Bangkok population than in the Kathmandu population. These results suggest that the ancestral population prevents the elongation of short-chain fatty acids into long-chain ones to maintain the membrane fluidity. In contrast, the acclimation response of the derived population does not solely depend on membrane structure.

As discussed previously, cold exposure increases potassium ion concentration in the extracellular space, resulting in membrane depolarization (Hoyle 1953; Findsen et al. 2014; reviewed in Overgaard and MacMillan 2017 and in Overgaard et al. 2021). As acclimation increases tolerance, adjustments are expected to be made to improve the maintenance of the water-ion balance. Hence, the up-regulation of genes regulating ion transport mechanisms can improve the cold tolerance phenotype. The genes significantly up-regulated in the derived population compared to the ancestral one showed enrichment in ion transport and channel activity. Specifically, the up-regulation of genes regulating the potassium channel activity in the Kathmandu population indicates that the derived population takes advantage of preventing depolarization by maintaining the potassium concentration. On the other hand, in the Malpighian tubules, the ion transport genes were responsible for the active transmembrane transport rather than ion-specific channels. As active transport is affected more than passive diffusion in the case of cold exposure (Koštál et al. 2004; Zachariassen et al. 2004; MacMillan and Sinclair 2011), maintaining the ion balance in response to acclimation depends on regulating the active transport mechanism.

Taken together, these results suggest that the ancestral population maintains the membrane fluidity to prevent the loss of water-ion balance, whereas the derived population can maintain the active transport in the Malpighian tubules and regulate the potassium ion concentration in the extracellular space in response to acclimation, improving the cold tolerance. Therefore, maintaining ion transport plays a crucial role in the adaptation of the derived Kathmandu population to cold temperatures.

Considering the phenotypic variance within and between populations, comparative transcriptomic analysis of the cold acclimation response also sheds light on the differences between the phenotypes. The analysis focused on the hindgut tissue, where cellular respiration and lipid metabolism-related genes were down-regulated in response to acclimation, whereas cuticle development was up-regulated.

A previous study showed that prolonged exposure to cold reduces insect respiration rate (Colinet et al. 2017). However, the same study also suggested that high tolerance can be achieved by maintaining the energy requirement under cold stress. In line with these suggestions, even though the respiration-related genes were down-regulated in response to acclimation in both phenotypes, the difference between the tolerant and sensitive phenotypes was significant: sensitive lines showed significant down-regulation of these genes compared to the tolerant lines. These indications suggest that maintaining the respiration-related processes can be associated with fast recovery from chill coma.

Together with respiration, lipid metabolism-related genes were significantly down-regulated in hindguts in response to cold acclimation. As discussed above, low temperatures affect the lipid bilayer structure of the membrane, and these impacts can be overcome by homeoviscous adaptation. Even though the genes associated with fatty acid elongase activity were down-regulated, no phenotype-specific difference was observed.

Previous studies showed that reabsorption in the hindgut plays a crucial role in cold tolerance of *Drosophila* species (Andersen et al. 2017; Andersen and Overgaard 2020). Therefore, any adaptation preventing or minimizing the leak in the hindgut is expected to increase tolerance to cold. As the cuticle structure of the hindgut prevents water loss (Phillips 1970), activation of related pathways is expected to be observed in cold-tolerant strains. Interestingly, genes related to cuticle development and chitin metabolism were up-regulated in the hindguts of all strains in response to cold acclimation. However, the up-regulation significantly differed between the phenotypes. As expected, tolerant strains showed more up-regulation of related genes than the sensitive strains, suggesting that cuticle formation plays a vital role in the cold tolerance level of the species.

The comparative transcriptomic analysis of the whole-body cold acclimation response also explains the variation within the ancestral population. Differences were observed in GO terms related to actin cytoskeleton and calcium channels between the fast and slow-recovering ancestral strains. Genes related to both were up-regulated in response to acclimation, but the up-regulation was significantly more in the tolerant fast-recovering strains.

Actin cytoskeleton plays roles in various biological processes, including cell structure, shape, migration, and signaling. Previous studies suggested that the actin cytoskeleton is essential in cold tolerance (Cottam et al. 2006; Kim et al. 2006; von Heckel et al. 2016; Chen et al. 2017; Bowman et al. 2018; Des Marteaux et al. 2018). One of these studies also showed that the tolerant and sensitive Bangkok strains differed in actin polymerization: six genes had differential expression in response to cold shock (Königer and Grath 2018). On the other hand, five out of six genes were up-regulated in both phenotypes in response to acclimation. However, they did not show phenotype-specific differential expression even though the initial expression levels were significantly lower in the sensitive phenotype.

In addition to the roles above, the actin cytoskeleton also acts as an anchor to ion channels and regulates ion transport (reviewed in Denker and Barber 2002). Previous studies showed that together with microtubules, actin cytoskeleton dynamics affect the calcium ion influx. Calcium ion concentration is shown to be affected by cold temperatures (Salkoff and Wyman 1983; Findsen et al. 2016) and plays an essential role in the activation of apoptotic and necrotic pathways (Koštál et al. 2004, 2007, 2016; Zachariassen et al. 2004; MacMillan et al. 2015, 2016). Genes related to calcium channels were also up-regulated in response to acclimation in the ancestral population. However, the differential expression analysis between the two phenotypes of the ancestral population showed that the calcium transport-related genes were significantly more up-regulated in the fast-recovering phenotype than in the slow-recovering one. These results suggest that the acclimation response of the two phenotypically distinct ancestral pools differ in actin cytoskeleton and ion transport, focusing on calcium ions.

In this study, we showed that acclimation to mild temperatures profoundly affected the cold tolerance of *D. ananassae* and investigated the mechanisms leading to increased tolerance. We showed that different populations had distinct transcriptomic responses to acclimation in the whole body and the Malpighian tubules. The differences between populations manifested through ion transport and lipid metabolism. In addition, the cold tolerance phenotype affected the acclimation response in the hindgut: tolerant strains could better maintain the cellular respiration that may generate the energy required by the active transport mechanism and took advantage of the cuticle structure that prevents water loss. Finally, we showed that the phenotypic variation within the ancestral population resulted in differences in the acclimation response, including actin cytoskeleton and calcium channel-related processes.

Further studies should be performed to validate these differences between the two phenotypes, focusing on the function of the hindgut and cellular respiration. Mitochondria activity has been described to undergo various changes under cold stress in insects, both to minimize cell damage as well as due to a decline in mitochondrial homeostasis caused by cell

injuries and also depending on experimental conditions, what should be investigated further (Lubawy et al. 2022). Hindgut reabsorption functional assays were previously used in larger insects such as grasshoppers (Gerber et al. 2021) but also successfully performed in other *Drosophila* species (Andersen et al. 2017; Andersen and Overgaard 2020). Similarly, cellular respiration measurements were successful in other species (Colinet et al. 2017) and can be used in *D. ananassae*. In addition to functional assays, candidate genes arising from further analyses can be edited through CRISPR-*Cas9* technology previously established in the species (Yılmaz et al. 2023) for functional validation.

Materials and Methods

Fly Strains

This study used eight *D. ananassae* isofemale lines from Thailand (Bangkok, BKK) and three isofemale lines from Nepal (Kathmandu, KATH). The lines from Bangkok were the same as used in previous studies (Königer and Grath 2018; Königer et al. 2019). All lines were collected in 2002 (Das et al. 2004). The fly strains were maintained under standard laboratory conditions (rearing temperature— RT: 22 °C ± 1 °C 14:10 h light:dark cycle) at low density on corn-molasses medium. Experiments were performed on flies that were expanded in large vials for two generations under the same conditions.

Cold Acclimation Assays to Assess Conditions for Transcriptome Study

To determine the temperature and duration of acclimation, we tested five time periods (1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 d) and four temperatures (6 °C, 8 °C, 10 °C, and 12 °C) using BKK12, BKK13, and KATH14, representing FastBKK, SlowBKK, and KATH populations, respectively. The ranges of temperature and duration were determined based on acclimation studies on other *Drosophila* species (e.g. MacMillan et al. 2016; Enriquez and Colinet 2019). The flies were controlled for age and the effect of acclimation was tested using the survival of acclimated flies and chill coma recovery time (CCRT) upon acclimation. Five replicates were used for each acclimation regime. On the day of emergence, 10 male flies were collected and placed into 50ml vials with 5 ml food at room temperature. On the following day, test flies were flipped into new vials that were kept at the acclimation temperature and placed into cold incubators for 5-, 4-, 3-, 2-, and 1-day acclimation. The control flies were kept at a rearing temperature (22 ± 1 °C). After acclimation, the flies were placed back to room temperature for 24 h for recovery, after which the dead flies were counted and removed from the vials, whereas the surviving flies were flipped into empty vials and placed on melting ice for 3 h for CCRT measurement. At the end of 3 h, the vials

were placed at room temperature, and the recovery time of each fly was measured. The temperature and duration that lead to minimal mortality and improved CCRT were determined. To assess for differences in mortality and CCRT, an analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey's Honestly Significant Difference (HSD) tests were applied.

Cold Acclimation Assay

Acclimation conditions for the transcriptome study were determined via the preparatory tests described above. Acclimation vials contained standard food and were kept at 10 °C for 24 h prior to the experiment to allow the food to cool down to acclimation temperature. Male flies were pooled per population and phenotype on the day of emergence. After 24 h, flies were transferred to vials containing standard medium as described above. The vials were kept at 10 °C for 4 d, followed by 90-min recovery time at rearing temperature.

RNA Extraction and Sequencing

Ten individual males per sample were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen for whole-body samples, and RNA was extracted using MasterPure™ RNA Purification Kit (Epicentre, Madison, WI, USA) following the manufacturer's protocol. For hindgut and Malpighian tubule samples, tissues from 60 males per sample were dissected, and the tissues were collected into 1.5-mL Eppendorf tubes containing 10-20 µl 1× PBS. RNA extraction from tissues was performed using RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen). RNA quantity and quality for all samples were confirmed with NanoDrop© (ND 1000, VWR International, Radnor, PA, USA) and a bioanalyzer (Bioanalyzer 2001, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA, provided by the Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität (LMU) genomics service unit). All samples were sent for sequencing to an external facility (Novogene, Cambridge, UK), which performed poly-A mRNA purification, reverse transcription, and high-throughput using a Novaseq 6000 sequencer (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) to generate 150-bp paired-end reads. On average, 88 million reads were generated per sample. An average of 73 million reads (83%) were successfully mapped to the *D. ananassae* transcriptome (dana_r1.05_FB2016_01) using NextGenMap (version 0.5.2) (Sedlazeck et al. 2013) and the annotation of FlyBase release 1.05. This release was used to compare the results of the present study with previously described data on transcriptome response following cold shock (Königer and Grath 2018). All subsequent analyses were performed in R (version 4.2.2) (R Core Team 2022).

Differential Gene Expression Analysis

Differentially expressed genes were called using DESeq2 (version 1.38.3) (Love et al. 2014). The general response to treatment was assessed using ~Treatment as the design

formula. The differences between other variables (populations, phenotypes, or strains) were assessed using the design formula ~Variable + Treatment + Variable:Treatment. Significant log2 fold changes between control and cold-acclimated samples were determined using a Wald test and a subsequent *P*-value multiple testing correction according to Benjamini-Hochberg. Genes with a corrected *P*-value less than 0.05 were considered as significantly differentially expressed.

Gene Ontology (GO) Enrichment Analysis

GO analysis was performed using clusterProfiler (version 4.6.2) (Wu et al. 2021) implemented in R to determine the GO terms associated with *D. melanogaster* orthologs of the differentially expressed genes. The *P*-values were corrected using false discovery rate (FDR) method with a *P*-value cutoff of 0.01. The significant GO terms were visualized using the genekitr package (version 1.2.5) (Liu 2023).

Comparison With Cold Shock

Previously, Königer and Grath (2018) analyzed differential gene expression before, at 15, and 90 min after a cold shock in cold-sensitive and cold-tolerant strains of the Bangkok population. The study used the same Bangkok strains used in the current study, but the samples were not pooled for RNA extraction. Additionally, the data contained an extra time point (15 min after cold shock), which we did not test in the current study. Therefore, we used mapped cold shock reads that were kindly provided by Königer and Grath, analyzed them with the same DESeq2 model as the cold acclimation reads, and compared the DE genes between two phenotypes of the BKK population upon cold acclimation to the DE genes between the same groups at 90 min after cold shock.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary material is available at *Genome Biology and Evolution* online.

Acknowledgments

We thank Hilde Lainer and Bianca Hölldobler for their technical assistance in the laboratory, Amanda Glaser-Schmitt and John Parsch for their valuable input on the analysis of raw reads, and Annabella Königer for cold shock read counts. We are grateful to the editors and two anonymous referees whose constructive comments helped to improve this manuscript. We extend our appreciation to all current and previous members of the Division of Evolutionary Biology, as well as the Graduate School Life Science Munich (LSM) at Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität (LMU) Munich.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: S.G.; investigation: V.M.Y., Z.B.; writing-original draft: V.M.Y., S.G.; visualization: V.M.Y., S.G.; funding acquisition: S.G.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflicting interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Data Availability

Gene expression data are available at GEO under the accession number GSE270239. All other data and scripts are available in the article and in its Supplementary Material.

Literature Cited

Andersen JL, Manenti T, Sørensen JG, MacMillan HA, Loeschcke V, Overgaard J. How to assess *Drosophila* cold tolerance: chill coma temperature and lower lethal temperature are the best predictors of cold distribution limits. Funct Ecol. 2015:29(1):55–65. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12310.

Andersen MK, MacMillan HA, Donini A, Overgaard J. Cold tolerance of *Drosophila* species is tightly linked to epithelial K+ transport capacity of the Malpighian tubules and rectal pads. J Exp Biol. 2017; https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.168518.

Andersen MK, Overgaard J. Maintenance of hindgut reabsorption during cold exposure is a key adaptation for *Drosophila* cold tolerance. J Exp Biol. 2020; https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.213934.

Bale JS, Hayward SAL. Insect overwintering in a changing climate. J Exp Biol. 2010:213(6):980–994. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.037911.

Bayley JS, Winther CB, Andersen MK, Grønkjær C, Nielsen OB, Pedersen TH, Overgaard J. Cold exposure causes cell death by depolarization-mediated Ca^{2+} overload in a chill-susceptible insect. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2018:115(41):E9737–E9744. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1813532115.

Bowman LL, Kondrateva ES, Timofeyev MA, Yampolsky LY. Temperature gradient affects differentiation of gene expression and SNP allele frequencies in the dominant Lake Baikal zooplankton species. Mol Ecol. 2018:27(11):2544–2559. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14704.

Bubliy OA, Riihimaa A, Norry FM, Loeschcke V. Variation in resistance and acclimation to low-temperature stress among three geographical strains of *Drosophila melanogaster*. J Therm Biol. 2002:27(5):337–344. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4565(01)00098-5.

Chen YR, Jiang T, Zhu J, Xie YC, Tan ZC, Chen YH, Tang SM, Hao BF, Wang SP, Huang JS, et al. Transcriptome sequencing reveals potential mechanisms of diapause preparation in bivoltine silkworm *Bombyx mori* (Lepidoptera: Bombycidae). Comp Biochem Physiol Part D: Genomics Proteomics. 2017:24:68–78. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpb.2017.04.006.

Colinet H, Renault D, Roussel D. Cold acclimation allows *Drosophila* flies to maintain mitochondrial functioning under cold stress.

Insect Biochem Mol Biol. 2017:80:52–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2016.11.007.

Cottam DM, Tucker JB, Rogers-Bald MM, Mackie JB, Macintyre J, Scarborough JA, Ohkura H, Milner MJ. Non-centrosomal microtubule-organising centres in cold-treated cultured *Drosophila* cells. Cell Motil. 2006:63(2):88–100. https://doi.org/10.1002/cm.20103.

Das A, Mohanty S, Stephan W. Inferring the population structure and demography of *Drosophila ananassae* from multilocus data. Genetics. 2004:168(4):1975–1985. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.104.031567.

David JR, Gibert P, Moreteau B, Gilchrist GW, Huey RB. The fly that came in from the cold: geographic variation of recovery time from low-temperature exposure in *Drosophila subobscura*. Funct Ecol. 2003:17(4):425–430. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2435.2003.00750.x.

Denker SP, Barber DL. Ion transport proteins anchor and regulate the cytoskeleton. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 2002:14(2):214–220. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0955-0674(02)00304-6.

Des Marteaux LE, Khazraeenia S, Yerushalmi GY, Donini A, Li NG, Sinclair BJ. The effect of cold acclimation on active ion transport in cricket ionoregulatory tissues. Comp Biochem Physiol Part A: Mol Integr Physiol. 2018:216:28–33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2017.11.005.

Drobnis EZ, Crowe LM, Berger T, Anchordoguy TJ, Overstreet JW, Crowe JH. Cold shock damage is due to lipid phase transitions in cell membranes: a demonstration using sperm as a model. J Exp Zool. 1993:265(4):432–437. https://doi.org/10.1002/jez.1402650413.

Duda JC, Drenda C, Kästel H, Rahnenführer J, Kappenberg F. Benefit of using interaction effects for the analysis of high-dimensional time-response or dose-response data for two-group comparisons. Sci Rep. 2023:13(1):20804. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-47057-0.

Enriquez T, Colinet H. Cold acclimation triggers major transcriptional changes in *Drosophila suzukii*. BMC Genomics. 2019:20(1):413. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-019-5745-7.

Findsen A, Overgaard J, Pedersen TH. Reduced L-type ca^{2+} current and compromised excitability induce loss of skeletal muscle function during acute cooling in locust. J Exp Biol. 2016:219(Pt\ 15):2340–2348. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.137604.

Findsen A, Pedersen TH, Petersen AG, Nielsen OB, Overgaard J. Why do insects enter and recover from chill coma? Low temperature and high extracellular potassium compromise muscle function in *Locusta migratoria*. J Exp Biol. 2014:217(8):1297–1306. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.098442.

Free JB, Spencer-Booth Y. Chill-coma and cold death temperatures of *Apis mellifera*. Entomol Exp Appl. 1960:3(3):222–230. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1570-7458.1960.tb00451.x.

Gerber L, Kresse JC, Šimek P, Berková P, Overgaard J. Cold acclimation preserves hindgut reabsorption capacity at low temperature in a chill-susceptible insect, *Locusta migratoria*. Comp Biochem Physiol Part A: Mol Integr Physiol. 2021:252:110850. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2020.110850.

Gerber L, Overgaard J. Cold tolerance is linked to osmoregulatory function of the hindgut in *Locusta migratoria*. J Exp Biol. 2018; https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.173930.

Gibert P, Moreteau B, Pétavy G, Karan D, David JR. Chill-coma tolerance, a major climatic adaptation among *Drosophila* species. Evolution. 2001:55(5):1063–1068. https://doi.org/10.1554/0014-3820(2001)055[1063:CCTAMC]2.0.CO;2.

Hallas R, Schiffer M, Hoffmann AA. Clinal variation in *Drosophila serrata* for stress resistance and body size. Genet Res. 2002:79(2):141–148. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0016672301005523.

Hoedjes KM, Grath S, Posnien N, Ritchie MG, Schlötterer C, Abbott JK, Almudi I, Coronado-Zamora M, Durmaz Mitchell E, Flatt T, et al. From whole bodies to single cells: a guide to transcriptomic approaches for ecology and evolutionary biology. Mol Ecol. 2024; https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.17382.

Hoffmann AA, Anderson A, Hallas R. Opposing clines for high and low temperature resistance in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Ecol Lett. 2002:5(5):614–618. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1461-0248.2002.00367.x.

Hoffmann AA, Watson M. Geographical variation in the acclimation responses of *Drosophila* to temperature extremes. Am Nat. 1993:142:S93–S113. https://doi.org/10.1086/285525.

Hoffmann AA, Weeks AR. Climatic selection on genes and traits after a 100 year-old invasion: a critical look at the temperate-tropical clines in *Drosophila melanogaster* from eastern Australia. Genetica. 2007:129(2):133. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10709-006-9010-z.

Hoyle G. Potassium ions and insect nerve muscle. J Exp Biol. 1953:30(1):121–135. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.30.1.121.

Kelty JD, Killian KA, Lee RE. Cold shock and rapid cold-hardening of pharate adult flesh flies (*Sarcophaga crassipalpis*): effects on behaviour and neuromuscular function following eclosion. Physiol Entomol. 1996:21(4):283–288. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3032.1996.tb00866.x.

Kim M, Robich RM, Rinehart JP, Denlinger DL. Upregulation of two actin genes and redistribution of actin during diapause and cold stress in the northern house mosquito, *Culex pipiens*. J Insect Physiol. 2006:52(11-12):1226–1233. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2006.09.007.

Königer A, Arif S, Grath S. Three quantitative trait loci explain more than 60% of variation for chill coma recovery time in a natural population of *Drosophila ananassae*. G3 Genes, Genomes, Genetics. 2019:9(11):3715–3725. https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.119.400453.

Königer A, Grath S. Transcriptome analysis reveals candidate genes for cold tolerance in *Drosophila ananassae*. Genes (Basel). 2018:9(12):624. https://doi.org/10.3390/genes9120624.

Koštál V. Cell structural modifications in insects at low temperature. Low Temp Biol Insects. 2010:116–140. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511675997.006.

Koštál V, Korbelová J, Štětina T, Poupardin R, Colinet H, Zahradníčková H, Šimek P. Physiological basis for low-temperature survival and storage of quiescent larvae of the fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster*. Sci Rep. 2016:6(1):32346. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep32346.

Koštál V, Renault D, Mehrabianová A, Bastl J. Insect cold tolerance and repair of chill-injury at fluctuating thermal regimes: role of ion homeostasis. Comp Biochem Physiol Part A: Mol Integr Physiol. 2007:147(1):231–238. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2006.12.033.

Koštál V, Vambera J, Bastl J. On the nature of pre-freeze mortality in insects: water balance, ion homeostasis and energy charge in the adults of *Pyrrhocoris apterus*. J Exp Biol. 2004:207(9):1509–1521. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.00923.

Liu Y. genekitr: Gene Analysis Toolkit (R package version 1.2.5). 2023. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=genekitr [accessed 2025 May 9].

Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 2014:15(12):550. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8.

Lubawy J, Chowański S, Adamski Z, Słocińska M. Mitochondria as a target and central hub of energy division during cold stress in insects. Front Zool. 2022:19(1):1. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12983-021-00448-3.

MacMillan HA, Andersen JL, Davies SA, Overgaard J. The capacity to maintain ion and water homeostasis underlies interspecific variation in *Drosophila* cold tolerance. Sci Rep. 2015:5(1):18607. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep18607.

MacMillan HA, Knee JM, Dennis AB, Udaka H, Marshall KE, Merritt TJS, Sinclair BJ. Cold acclimation wholly reorganizes the *Drosophila melanogaster* transcriptome and metabolome. Sci Rep. 2016:6(1):28999. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep28999.

GBE

MacMillan HA, Sinclair BJ. Mechanisms underlying insect chill-coma. J Insect Physiol. 2011:57(1):12–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2010.10.004.

MacMillan HA, Yerushalmi GY, Jonusaite S, Kelly SP, Donini A. Thermal acclimation mitigates cold-induced paracellular leak from the *Drosophila* gut. Sci Rep. 2017:7(1):8807. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-08926-7.

Overgaard J, Gerber L, Andersen MK. Osmoregulatory capacity at low temperature is critical for insect cold tolerance. Curr Opin Insect Sci. 2021:47:38–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2021.02.015.

Overgaard J, MacMillan HA. The integrative physiology of insect chill tolerance. Annu Rev Physiol. 2017:79(1):187–208. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-physiol-022516-034142.

Parker DJ, Envall T, Ritchie MG, Kankare M. Sex-specific responses to cold in a very cold-tolerant, northern *Drosophila* species. Heredity (Edinb). 2021:126(4):695–705. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41437-020-00398-2.

Parker DJ, Vesala L, Ritchie MG, Laiho A, Hoikkala A, Kankare M. How consistent are the transcriptome changes associated with cold acclimation in two species of the *Drosophila virilis* group? Heredity (Edinb). 2015:115(1):13–21. https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2015.6.

Phillips JE. Apparent transport of water by insect excretory systems. Am Zool. 1970:10(3):413–436. https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/10.3.413.

R Core Team. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna (Austria): R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2022.

Reinhold JM, Lazzari CR, Lahondère C. Effects of the environmental temperature on *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* mosquitoes: a review. Insects. 2018:9(4):158. https://doi.org/10.3390/insects9040158.

Ring RA. Freezing-tolerant insects with low supercooling points. Comp Biochem Physiol A Physiol. 1982:73(4):605–612. https://doi.org/10.1016/0300-9629(82)90267-5.

Salkoff LB, Wyman RJ. Ion currents in *Drosophila* flight muscles. J Physiol. 1983:337(1):687–709. https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.1983.sp014649.

Sedlazeck FJ, Rescheneder P, Von Haeseler A. NextGenMap: fast and accurate read mapping in highly polymorphic genomes. Bioinformatics. 2013:29(21):2790–2791. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt468.

Sinensky M. Homeoviscous adaptation—a homeostatic process that regulates the viscosity of membrane lipids in *Escherichia coli*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1974:71(2):522–525. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.71.2.522.

Sisodia S, Singh BN. Resistance to environmental stress in *Drosophila ananassae*: latitudinal variation and adaptation among populations. J Evol Biol. 2010:23(9):1979–1988. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1420-9101.2010.02061.x.

Steponkus PL. Role of the plasma membrane in freezing injury and cold acclimation. Annu Rev Plant Physiol. 1984:35(1):543–584. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.pp.35.060184.002551.

Teets NM, Denlinger DL. Physiological mechanisms of seasonal and rapid cold-hardening in insects. Physiol Entomol. 2013:38(2):105–116. https://doi.org/10.1111/phen.12019.

Teets NM, Kawarasaki Y, Lee RE, Denlinger DL. Energetic consequences of repeated and prolonged dehydration in the Antarctic midge, *Belgica antarctica*. J Insect Physiol. 2012:58(4):498–505. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2011.11.011.

Udaka H, Ueda C, Goto SG. Survival rate and expression of *Heat-shock protein 70* and *Frost* genes after temperature stress in *Drosophila melanogaster* lines that are selected for recovery time from temperature coma. J Insect Physiol. 2010:56(12):1889–1894. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2010.08.008.

von Heckel K, Stephan W, Hutter S. Canalization of gene expression is a major signature of regulatory cold adaptation in temperate *Drosophila melanogaster*. BMC Genomics. 2016:17(1):574. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-016-2866-0.

Wang H, Bai R, Pei T, Meng J, Nwanade CF, Zhang Y, Liang X, Tang Y, Liu J, Yu Z. Aquaporins modulate the cold response of Haemaphysalis longicornis via changes in gene and protein expression of fatty acids. Parasit Vectors. 2025:18(1):70. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-025-06718-x.

Watson MJO, Hoffmann AA. Acclimation, cross-generation effects, and the response to selection for increased cold resistance in *Drosophila*. Evolution. 1996:50(3):1182–1192. https://doi.org/10.2307/2410659.

Wu T, Hu E, Xu S, Chen M, Guo P, Dai Z, Feng T, Zhou L, Tang W, Zhan L, et al. clusterProfiler 4.0: a universal enrichment tool for interpreting omics data. Innovation. 2021:2(3):100141. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xinn.2021.100141.

Yerushalmi GY, Misyura L, MacMillan HA, Donini A. Functional plasticity of the gut and the Malpighian tubules underlies cold acclimation and mitigates cold-induced hyperkalemia in *Drosophila melanogaster*. J Exp Biol. 2018; https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.174904.

Yılmaz VM, Ramnarine TJS, Königer A, Mussgnug S, Grath S. Tropical super flies: integrating *Cas9* into *Drosophila ananassae* and its phenotypic effects. J Insect Physiol. 2023:147:104516. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2023.104516.

Zachariassen KE, Kristiansen E, Pedersen SA. Inorganic ions in cold-hardiness. Cryobiology. 2004:48(2):126–133. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cryobiol.2004.01.004.

Zhao C, Liu Z, Liu Y, Zhan Y. Identification and characterization of cold-responsive aquaporins from the larvae of a crambid pest *Agriphila aeneociliella* (Eversmann) (Lepidoptera: Crambidae). PeerJ. 2023:11:e16403. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.16403.

Associate editor: Shu-Dan Yeh