

# The Cannabinoid 1 Receptor (*CNR1*) 1359 G/A Polymorphism Modulates Susceptibility to Ulcerative Colitis and the Phenotype in Crohn's Disease

Martin Storr<sup>1\*</sup>, Dominik Emmerdinger<sup>2</sup>, Julia Diegelmann<sup>2</sup>, Simone Pfennig<sup>2</sup>, Thomas Ochsenkühn<sup>2</sup>, Burkhard Göke<sup>2</sup>, Peter Lohse<sup>3</sup>, Stephan Brand<sup>2</sup>

**1** Division of Gastroenterology, Department of Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta, Canada, **2** Department of Medicine II – Grosshadern, Ludwig-Maximilians-University Munich, Munich, Germany, **3** Department of Clinical Chemistry – Grosshadern, Ludwig-Maximilians-University Munich, Munich, Germany

## Abstract

**Background:** Recent evidence suggests a crucial role of the endocannabinoid system, including the cannabinoid 1 receptor (*CNR1*), in intestinal inflammation. We therefore investigated the influence of the *CNR1* 1359 G/A (p.Thr453Thr; rs1049353) single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) on disease susceptibility and phenotype in patients with ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn's disease (CD).

**Methods:** Genomic DNA from 579 phenotypically well-characterized individuals was analyzed for the *CNR1* 1359 G/A SNP. Amongst these were 166 patients with UC, 216 patients with CD, and 197 healthy controls.

**Results:** Compared to healthy controls, subjects A/A homozygous for the *CNR1* 1359 G/A SNP had a reduced risk to develop UC ( $p = 0.01$ , OR 0.30, 95% CI 0.12–0.78). The polymorphism did not modulate CD susceptibility, but carriers of the minor A allele had a lower body mass index than G/G wildtype carriers ( $p = 0.0005$ ). In addition, homozygous carriers of the G allele were more likely to develop CD before 40 years of age ( $p = 5.9 \times 10^{-7}$ ) than carriers of the A allele.

**Conclusion:** The *CNR1* p.Thr453Thr polymorphism appears to modulate UC susceptibility and the CD phenotype. The endocannabinoid system may influence the manifestation of inflammatory bowel diseases, suggesting endocannabinoids as potential target for future therapies.

**Citation:** Storr M, Emmerdinger D, Diegelmann J, Pfennig S, Ochsenkühn T, et al. (2010) The Cannabinoid 1 Receptor (*CNR1*) 1359 G/A Polymorphism Modulates Susceptibility to Ulcerative Colitis and the Phenotype in Crohn's Disease. PLoS ONE 5(2): e9453. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0009453

**Editor:** Syed A. Aziz, Health Canada, Canada

**Received:** January 15, 2010; **Accepted:** January 27, 2010; **Published:** February 26, 2010

**Copyright:** © 2010 Storr et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Funding:** M. Storr is supported by grants from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG STO645/2-2), the Crohn's and Colitis Foundation of Canada (CCFC), and a grant from the University of Calgary (URGC-1011592). J. Diegelmann received a grant from the University of Munich (Promotionsstipendium). S. Brand is supported by grants from the DFG (BR 1912/5-1), the Else Kröner-Fresenius-Stiftung (Else Kröner-Fresenius Memorial Stipendium 2005; P50/05/EKMS05/62), by the Ludwig-Demling Grant 2007 from DCCV e.V., and grants from the Ludwig-Maximilians University Munich (Excellence Initiative - Investment Fund 2008 and FaFoLe program). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

**Competing Interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

\* E-mail: mstorr@ucalgary.ca

## Introduction

Anecdotal reports suggest that marijuana- or tetrahydrocannabinol-containing products may be effective in alleviating symptoms in patients with ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn's disease (CD). [1,2] This is supported by recent studies of our group and others suggesting that pharmacological activation of the cannabinoid 1 (*CB<sub>1</sub>*) receptor with selective receptor agonists decreases the inflammatory response in various murine models of colonic inflammation including dinitrobenzene sulphonic acid (DNBS)-, trinitrobenzene sulphonic acid (TNBS)- and dextran sodium sulfate (DSS)-induced colitis. [3–7] Interestingly, pharmacological blockade of *CB<sub>1</sub>* receptors or genetic ablation of *CB<sub>1</sub>* receptors (*CNR1*<sup>-/-</sup> mice) aggravates intestinal inflammation in these models, [3,7] emphasizing the physiological relevance of the *CB<sub>1</sub>* receptor in the protection against intestinal inflammation. Increased mucosal levels of the endocannabinoid anandamide during intestinal inflammation in humans further stress the role of the *CB<sub>1</sub>* receptor and the endocannabinoid system in

intestinal inflammation. [4] Thus, present knowledge suggests up-regulation of endocannabinoids as an important protective mechanism in intestinal inflammation.

The endocannabinoid system and the *CB<sub>1</sub>* and *CB<sub>2</sub>* receptors seem to be crucially involved in the regulation of multiple physiological functions, e.g. in the heart, where they relax coronary arteries and decrease cardiac work, [8] in organ perfusion, [9] in metabolic homeostasis, [10,11] and in the regulation of bone mass by osteoclasts, [12] as well as in the protection against stress responses, inflammation, and associated repair mechanisms. [13,14] Although recent evidence suggests that the endocannabinoid system is involved in many physiological and pathophysiological functions of the gastrointestinal tract such as intestinal motility, secretion, and intestinal inflammation [3,15–20], the exact mechanisms underlying these findings are not yet known. It was recently suggested that *CB<sub>1</sub>* signaling may be up-regulated during colitis, [3] but it is unknown whether this is a specific feature of the colitis model or a general response to intestinal inflammation.

Moreover, the role of the CB<sub>1</sub> receptor in human inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) has not been clarified. Increased anandamide levels were found in mucosal biopsies from UC patients, suggesting a role of the endocannabinoid system in UC. [4] In contrast, the colonic expression of the endocannabinoid 2-acyl-glycerol (2-AG) is not increased in UC. [4] So far, however, no other studies analyzing the endocannabinoid system or the pharmacological effects of cannabinoids in human IBD have been published.

Gastrointestinal inflammation is likely the result of multiple factors, e.g., increased pro-inflammatory stimuli and reduced protective capability. The overall balance between pro- and anti-inflammatory mechanisms may determine the progression and severity of intestinal inflammation. [21,22] Given the results of recent genome-wide association studies, [23] genetic susceptibility is an important factor contributing to IBD development. Moreover, knowledge of genetic susceptibility factors could provide important pathophysiologic insights for the generation of novel IBD therapeutics.

Considering our previous work on the endocannabinoid system in murine intestinal inflammation, [3,6,7,24] we hypothesized that genetic variants in the *CNR1* gene, which may modulate CB<sub>1</sub> receptor function, could be associated with an increased susceptibility to IBD. To test our hypothesis, we genotyped a cohort of more than 550 individuals including 382 IBD patients and analyzed whether the 1359 G/A (p.Thr453Thr; rs1049353) single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) within the *CNR1* gene encoding the CB<sub>1</sub> receptor modulates the susceptibility to CD and UC or results in a certain IBD phenotype. The selection of the *CNR1* 1359 G/A SNP was based on previous studies reporting that this polymorphism is associated with other disorders modulated by the endocannabinoid system such as alcohol dependence and hebephrenic schizophrenia. [25,26]

**Methods**

**Ethics Statement**

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Medical Faculty of the University of Munich. All participating

subjects gave their written, informed consent prior to the genetic analysis.

**Human Study Population**

The study population comprised 579 individuals, including 216 patients with CD, 166 with UC, and 197 healthy, unrelated controls. Patients and controls were recruited at the IBD center of the Ludwig-Maximilians-University Munich, Campus Grosshadern, from September 2002 to December 2006. The diagnoses of CD and UC were established following clinical guidelines, using endoscopic, radiological, and histopathologic criteria. Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of the study population. All 197 controls were unrelated, healthy individuals of Caucasian origin and sex-matched (by frequency) to the CD group. Controls were healthy blood donors without a history or family history of IBD. Demographics and routine clinical data (including location and behavior of IBD, disease-related complications, and prescription data of immunosuppressive and immunomodulatory therapy e.g., azathioprine, 6-mercaptopurine, methotrexate, infliximab) were recorded by retrospective analysis of the clinical charts by two independent investigators and an interview including a questionnaire at the time of enrollment. All data were collected blind to the *CNR1* genotype. Patients with CD or UC were grouped according to age at diagnosis, disease localization, and behavior status of the Vienna classification, [27] and the recent modifications suggested by the Montreal classification. [28]

**DNA Extraction and Genotyping of the CNR1 Polymorphism**

Recently, a guanosine-to-adenine substitution at nucleotide position 1359 has been identified in the *CNR1* gene (rs1049353) [25,29]. Thus, three genotypes (GG, GA, AA) are possible. Genomic DNA was isolated from peripheral blood leukocytes by standard procedures using the DNA blood mini kit from Qiagen (Hilden, Germany). Genotyping was done as previously described [7,30]. Briefly, a single 20-µl PCR was performed to genotype this

**Table 1.** Demographic characteristics of the study population.

	(1) CD (n = 216)	(2) UC (n = 166)	(3) Controls (n = 197)	(1 vs (2) p value	(1 vs (3) p value	(2 vs (3) p value
<b>Gender</b>						
Male (%)	105 (48.6%)	83 (50.0%)	114 (58.0%)	p = 0.84	p = 0.06	p = 0.14
Female (%)	111 (51.4%)	83 (50.0%)	83 (42.0%)			
<b>Age (yr)</b>						
Mean ± SD	41.4 ± 11.8	43.3 ± 14.4	43.9 ± 21.6	p = 0.17	p = 0.19	p = 0.75
Range	17–71	19–85	0–80			
<b>Body mass index</b>						
Mean ± SD	23.1 ± 3.9	24.2 ± 4.2		p = 0.02		
Range	16–34	16–41				
<b>Age at diagnosis (yr)</b>						
Mean ± SD	28.1 ± 11.4	31.8 ± 13.7		p = 0.006		
Range	7–67	9–81				
<b>Disease duration (yr)</b>						
Mean ± SD	13.5 ± 8.5	11.5 ± 7.6		p = 0.016		
Range	2–44	1–40				
<b>Positive family history of IBD (%)</b>	30 (13.9%)	21 (12.7%)		p = 0.76		

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0009453.t001

site using approximately 200 ng of genomic DNA and 20 pmol each of the following primers 5'-GAAAGCTGCATCAA-GAGCCC-3' (forward) and 5'-TTTTCCTGTGCTGCCA-GGG-3' (reverse). Other conditions were as follows: 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 400 μM of each dNTP, 1.25 U Taq polymerase, and 1 × reaction buffer (Life Technologies, Rockville, MD). DNA amplification was performed with 40 cycles of 94°C, 60°C, and 72°C for 30 seconds each, preceded by a single cycle of 95°C for 15 minutes and followed by a single cycle of 72°C for 5 minutes. Five μl of the resulting 111 bp PCR product were then digested overnight with 10 U of MspI (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA) at 37°C. This resulted in fragments of 92 and 19 bp, when a G was present at nucleotide position 385, while the fragment remained uncut, when an A was present. Restriction digests were analyzed by electrophoresis of the digestion mixture in a 2% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide. The assay was verified by sequencing the PCR product and the digested PCR fragments of all possible genotypes.

### Statistics

Fisher's exact test was used for comparison between categorical variables. All tests were two-tailed. P values <0.05 were considered as significant. Analyses were performed using SPSS 14.0.1 software for Windows.

### Results

#### The CNR1 1359 G/A (p.Thr453Thr) Polymorphism Modulates UC but Not CD Susceptibility

Given the above reported increased CB<sub>1</sub> receptor expression in several models of intestinal inflammation and previous studies implicating the *CNR1* 1359 G/A (p.Thr453Thr) SNP in endocannabinoid-mediated diseases, [25,31] we investigated whether this polymorphism modulates susceptibility and phenotype of CD and UC. The demographic characteristics of the IBD and control population analyzed are given in Table 1. The CD patients were classified with regard to their disease phenotype, considering disease location, the age at diagnosis, and disease behaviour by using the Montreal classification. [27,28] The majority of patients had an onset of the disease in their mid20s (mean age at first diagnosis of CD: 28.1±11.4 years). The mean age at first diagnosis of UC was 31.8±13.7 years. 13.9% of the CD patients and 12.7% of the UC patients had a positive family history of IBD.

The results of the *CNR1* p.Thr453Thr genotyping analysis in 216 CD patients, 166 UC patients, and 197 controls are shown in Table 2. The frequencies of heterozygous and homozygous carriers of this polymorphism did not differ significantly from the expected ratio according to the Hardy-Weinberg law. Patients with UC were less likely to be 1359 A/A homozygous (p = 0.01, OR 0.30, 95% CI 0.12–0.78). In contrast, this polymorphism did not influence susceptibility to CD (Table 2).

#### The CNR1 1359 G/A (p.Thr453Thr) Polymorphism Modulates Disease Onset and Body Mass Index in CD

In Table 3, we provide a detailed genotype-phenotype analysis of the *CNR1* 1359 G/A polymorphism in CD patients. Carriers of the 1359 A/A genotype were likely to have a lower body weight (p = 0.0005). In addition, homozygous carriers of the major G allele were more likely to develop CD before 40 years of age (p = 5.9 × 10<sup>-7</sup>) than carriers of the minor A allele. There was no association between the *CNR1* p.Thr453Thr polymorphism and disease location, use of immunosuppressive drugs, family history of IBD, CD-related surgery, stenoses, and abscesses (Table 3). In

**Table 2.** Genotype frequencies of *CNR1* 1359 G/A (p.Thr453Thr) polymorphism in patients with Crohn's disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC) as well as in controls.

	(1) GG	(2) GA	(3) AA	(1) vs. (2)	(1) vs. (3)
<b>CD</b> (n = 216)	115 (53.3%)	86 (39.8%)	15 (6.9%)	<b>CD vs. Controls</b> p = 0.83	p = 0.28
<b>Controls</b> (n = 197)	103 (52.3%)	73 (37.0%)	21 (10.7%)	<b>UC vs. Controls</b> p = 0.74	<b>p = 0.01</b> <b>OR 0.30</b> <b>CI 0.12–0.78</b>
<b>UC</b> (n = 166)	97 (58.4%)	63 (38.0%)	6 (3.6%)	<b>CD vs. UC</b> p = 0.52	p = 0.17

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0009453.t002

addition, the *CNR1* p.Thr453Thr polymorphism did not influence the UC phenotype (Table 4).

### Discussion

We analyzed the effect of the *CNR1* p.Thr453Thr polymorphism on IBD susceptibility and disease phenotype. This study was based on our previous results which suggested that CB<sub>1</sub> receptor signaling is involved in defense mechanisms in response to acute intestinal inflammation in animal models. [3,7] Based on this knowledge, we hypothesized that differential CB<sub>1</sub> receptor expression, e.g., modulated by genetic factors, may contribute to IBD susceptibility. In the present study, we focused on the 1359 G/A polymorphism within the *CNR1* gene encoding the CB<sub>1</sub> receptor, given the importance of this nucleotide substitution in other endocannabinoid-mediated disorders such as alcohol dependence [25] and schizophrenia [32]. Although the *CNR1* 1359 G/A (p.Thr453Thr) SNP is a silent mutation, which does not result in an amino acid exchange, it might be associated with alterations e.g. in RNA splicing. [33]

Our study demonstrated an association with UC susceptibility but not with CD susceptibility. The prevalence of 1359 A/A homozygous carriers was 10.7% in the control population, 6.9% in CD patients, and only 3.6% in UC patients. The genotype frequencies found for our control population were within the values expected from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium and were similar to a previous German control cohort. [29] However, given the limited size of the study population and the low prevalence of 1359 A/A homozygous carriers among UC patients, this finding has to be confirmed in larger replication cohorts. Furthermore, given that the study was primarily designed to detect differences in the frequency of IBD risk alleles, the control population was selected only regarding absence of IBD and other chronic diseases as well as being negative for a family history of IBD. Therefore a selection bias resulting in differences e.g. in BMI can not be excluded though it is intriguing that a lower BMI was found with CD and not with UC.

The human *CNR1* gene is localized on chromosome 6q14–q15. [34] Interestingly, an earlier genome-wide family-based linkage study found an association of this region with celiac disease [35]. We recently demonstrated that celiac disease and UC (but not CD) share another common susceptibility locus on chromosome 4q27. [36] Although none of the recent genome-wide association studies demonstrated the *CNR1* gene as a major IBD susceptibility gene, a previous genome scan in 260 IBD-affected relative pairs found

**Table 3.** Association between the *CNR1* 1359 G/A (p.Thr453Thr) genotype and CD characteristics.

	(1) GG (n = 114)	(2) GA (n = 86)	(3) AA (n = 15)	(1) vs (2) P value	(1) vs (3) P value	(1) vs (2)+(3) P value
<b>Male sex</b>	56 (49.1%)	41 (47.7%)	8 (53.3%)	p = 0.89	p = 0.79	p = 1.00
<b>Body mass index (kg/m<sup>2</sup>)</b>						
Mean ± SD	23.9±4.0	22.1±3.5	22.0±3.6	<b>p = 0.001</b>	<b>p = 0.05</b>	<b>p = 0.0005</b>
Range	16–34	16–32	18–31			
<b>Age at diagnosis (yr)</b>						
Mean ± SD	26.9±10.6	29.7±12.0	27.2±14.1	p = 0.09	p = 0.78	p = 0.11
Range	7–57	13–67	16–52			
<b>Disease duration (yr)</b>						
Mean ± SD	13.1±8.4	14.4±8.9	10.8±7.6	p = 0.31	p = 0.31	p = 0.52
Range	2–44	3–35	3–26			
<b>Age (yr)</b>						
Mean ± SD	39.9±11.5	44.0±11.7	37.2±12.1	<b>p = 0.01</b>	p = 0.46	<b>p = 0.05</b>
Range	17–70	23–71	19–56			
<b>Age at diagnosis</b>						
<17 years (A1)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	<b>p = 8.6×10<sup>-8</sup></b>	p = 0.33	<b>p = 5.9×10<sup>-7</sup></b>
17–40 years (A2)	89 (78.1%)	35 (40.7%)	10 (66.7%)			
>40 years (A3)	25 (21.9%)	51 (59.3%)	5 (33.3%)			
<b>Location</b>						
Terminal ileum (L1)	12 (10.5%)	8 (9.3%)	2 (13.3%)	p = 1.00	p = 0.67	p = 1.00
Colon (L2)	19 (16.7%)	18 (20.9%)	4 (26.7%)	p = 0.47	p = 0.47	p = 0.39
Ileocolon (L3)	60 (52.6%)	43 (50.0%)	7 (46.7%)	p = 0.78	p = 0.79	p = 0.68
Upper GI (L4)	23 (20.2%)	17 (19.8%)	2 (13.3%)	p = 1.00	p = 0.73	p = 0.86
Ileal involvement (L1 + L3)	72 (63.2%)	51 (59.3%)	9 (60.0%)	p = 0.66	p = 0.78	p = 0.18
<b>Behaviour</b>						
Non-stricturing, Non penetrating (B1)	22 (19.3%)	17 (19.8%)	4 (26.7%)	p = 1.00	p = 0.50	p = 0.86
Stricturing (B2)	33 (28.9%)	18 (20.9%)	3 (20.0%)	p = 0.25	p = 0.56	p = 0.21
Penetrating (B3)	59 (51.8%)	51 (59.3%)	8 (53.7%)	p = 0.32	p = 1.00	p = 0.34
<b>Use of immunosuppressive agents</b>	90 (78.9%)	64 (74.4%)	11 (73.3%)	p = 0.50	p = 0.74	p = 0.42
<b>Extraintestinal manifestations</b>	80 (70.2%)	55 (64.0%)	11 (73.3%)	p = 0.36	p = 1.00	p = 0.47
<b>Positive family history of IBD</b>	29 (25.4%)	13 (15.1%)	3 (20.0%)	p = 0.08	p = 0.76	p = 0.09
<b>Surgery because of CD</b>	65 (57.0%)	54 (62.8%)	8 (53.3%)	p = 0.47	p = 0.79	p = 0.58
<b>Fistulas</b>	59 (51.8%)	51 (59.3%)	8 (53.3%)	p = 0.32	p = 1.00	p = 0.34
<b>Stenosis</b>	78 (68.4%)	58 (67.4%)	9 (60.0%)	p = 0.88	p = 0.56	p = 0.77
<b>Abscesses</b>	41 (36.0%)	32 (37.2%)	4 (26.7%)	p = 0.88	p = 0.57	p = 1.00

<sup>1</sup>Disease behavior was defined according to the Montreal classification [28]. A stricturing disease phenotype was defined as presence of stenosis without penetrating disease. The diagnosis of stenosis was made surgically, endoscopically, or radiologically (using MRI enteroclysis).

<sup>2</sup>Immunosuppressive agents included azathioprine, 6-mercaptopurine, 6-thioguanin, methotrexate, and/or infliximab.

<sup>3</sup>Extraintestinal manifestations were defined as one or more of the following IBD-related diseases: non-medication-induced arthropathies (e.g., ankylosing spondylitis, sacroileitis, peripheral arthritis), eye involvement (e.g., episcleritis and/or iritis/uveitis), skin involvement (e.g., erythema nodosum and pyoderma gangrenosum), non-medication-induced biliary disease (e.g., sclerosing cholangitis).

<sup>4</sup>Only surgery related to CD-specific problems (e.g., fistulectomy, colectomy, ileostomy) was included.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0009453.t003

nominal evidence for linkage of IBD to loci on chromosome 6q (lod = 2.21 between D6S2436/D6S305). [37]

We recently confirmed a number of CD susceptibility genes found in genome-wide associations studies such as *NOD2*, [38,39] *IL23R*, [40] and *ATG16L1* [41], but we also demonstrated differences in the genetic susceptibility to CD [42], suggesting that there are differences in the genetic susceptibility to IBD even between different Caucasian populations. In addition, other genetic associations such as those of *TLR4* SNPs with CD susceptibility shown by our group [43] were not among the major

CD susceptibility genes in a recent meta-analysis of genome-wide scans, although this gene has been confirmed as a CD susceptibility gene. [44]

Currently, it is unknown if the *CNR1* 1359 G/A (p.Thr453Thr) SNP modulates CB<sub>1</sub> receptor expression or function. Particularly changes at amino acid positions 418–439 seem to be associated with a lack of receptor desensitization [45], and allelic variation in the *CNR1* gene was suggested to be associated with a lower rather than a higher receptor activity, [46] but detailed studies investigating receptor activity based on different *CNR1* genotypes

**Table 4.** Association between *CNR1* 1359 G/A (p.Thr453Thr) genotype and UC disease characteristics.

	(1) GG (n = 97)	(2) GA (n = 63)	(3) AA (n = 6)	(1) vs. (2) p value	(1) vs. (3) p value	(2) vs. (3) p value	(1) vs. (2)+(3) p value
<b>Male sex</b>	47 (48.5%)	32 (50.8%)	4 (66.7%)	p = 0.87	p = 0.44	p = 0.68	p = 0.75
<b>Body mass index (kg/m<sup>2</sup>)</b>							
Mean ± SD	23.8±3.7	24.4±4.9	26.5±4.6	p = 0.46	p = 0.27	p = 0.39	p = 0.30
Range	16–32	18–41	20–32				
<b>Age at diagnosis (yr)</b>							
Mean ± SD	31.7±13.9	31.7±13.4	34.5±17.0	p = 0.99	p = 0.70	p = 0.70	p = 0.92
Range	9–73	14–81	13–57				
<b>Disease duration (yr)</b>							
Mean ± SD	11.9±6.5	12.3±8.7	14.2±11.1	p = 0.79	p = 0.64	p = 0.70	p = 0.68
Range	2–29	2–41	4–36				
<b>Age (yr)</b>							
Mean ± SD	43.7±14.2	43.9±15.1	48.7±11.9	p = 0.93	p = 0.37	p = 0.40	p = 0.79
Range	21–81	20–86	37–68				
<b>Location</b>							
Rectum	21 (21.7%)	7 (11.1%)	1 (16.7%)	p = 0.13	p = 1.00	p = 0.55	p = 0.10
Left-sided	28 (28.9%)	28 (44.4%)	2 (33.3%)	p = 0.06	p = 1.00	p = 0.69	p = 0.07
Pancolitis	48 (49.4%)	28 (44.4%)	3 (50.0%)	p = 0.63	p = 1.00	p = 1.00	p = 0.64
<b>Use of immunosuppressive agents</b>	67 (69.1%)	48 (76.2%)	4 (66.7%)	p = 0.37	p = 1.00	p = 0.63	p = 0.39
<b>Use of infliximab</b>	27 (27.8%)	11 (17.5%)	1 (16.7%)	p = 0.18	p = 1.00	p = 1.00	p = 0.14
<b>Surgery due to UC</b>	4 (4.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	p = 0.15	p = 1.00	p = 1.00	p = 0.14
<b>Fistulas</b>	4 (4.1%)	3 (4.8%)	0 (0.0%)	p = 1.00	p = 1.00	p = 1.00	p = 1.00
<b>Stenosis</b>	15 (15.5%)	4 (6.3%)	1 (16.7%)	p = 0.13	p = 1.00	p = 0.37	p = 0.15
<b>Abscesses</b>	5 (5.2%)	4 (6.3%)	0 (0.0%)	p = 0.74	p = 1.00	p = 1.00	p = 1.00
<b>Extraintestinal manifestations</b>	14 (14.4%)	11 (17.5%)	0 (0.0%)	p = 0.66	p = 1.00	p = 0.58	p = 0.83
<b>Positive family history</b>	15 (15.5%)	6 (9.5%)	0 (0.0%)	p = 0.34	p = 0.59	p = 1.00	p = 0.24

<sup>1</sup>Immunosuppressive agents included azathioprine, 6-mercaptopurine, and/or infliximab.

<sup>2</sup>Only UC-related surgery (e.g., colectomy) was included.

<sup>3</sup>Extraintestinal manifestations were defined as one or more of the following IBD-related diseases: non-medication-induced arthropathies (e.g., ankylosing spondylitis, sacroileitis, peripheral arthritis), eye involvement (e.g., episcleritis and iritis/uveitis), skin involvement (e.g., erythema nodosum and pyoderma gangrenosum), non-medication-induced biliary disease (e.g., sclerosing cholangitis).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0009453.t004

are lacking. The assumption that allelic variation is associated with reduced CB<sub>1</sub> activity would also explain the low BMI found in the 1359 A/A homozygous CD patients. Consistent with these data, the 1359 G/G wildtype genotype has been shown to be associated with an increased BMI and overweight in an Italian study of a healthy population. [47] A low BMI in CD patients is also considered to be an indicator of high disease activity. Therefore, 1359 A/A homozygosity could contribute to a more severe disease phenotype. This would be consistent with our results in *CNR1*<sup>-/-</sup> mice, demonstrating that these knockout mice take a more fulminate course in DNBS and DSS colitis. [3] However, functional experiments have to analyze if *CNR1* signaling is indeed decreased in 1359 A/A homozygous patients.

Our findings add evidence that targeting the CB<sub>1</sub> receptor system may modulate intestinal inflammation, suggesting this receptor as a potential target for future treatments. Similarly, animal models suggest that CB<sub>1</sub> receptor activation with exogenous CB<sub>1</sub> receptor agonists induces protection against intestinal inflammation. [3,5] Therefore, the increased CB<sub>1</sub> receptor expression seen in murine colitis models is likely an intrinsic protective mechanism to counter-regulate the deleterious

effects of intestinal inflammation. The physiological importance of the CB<sub>1</sub> receptor and the endocannabinoid system becomes obvious when endocannabinoid levels are increased by blocking their degradation. Under these circumstances, intestinal inflammation is reduced and the CB<sub>1</sub> receptor is involved in this protection, emphasizing the important pathophysiological role of this system in intestinal inflammation. [7] Whether monitoring of CB<sub>1</sub> receptor function or genotyping can identify responders of future treatments targeting the CB<sub>1</sub> receptor remains speculative and has to be clarified in clinical trials.

In summary, we demonstrate that the *CNR1* 1359 G/A polymorphism modulates IBD susceptibility and phenotype. Specifically, we show that 1359 A/A homozygosity protects against UC and that CD patients carrying the minor A allele have a later disease onset and a lower BMI. These findings have to be confirmed in a larger replication study. Given the low prevalence of 1359 A/A homozygous carriers, this likely can be achieved only in a large multicenter trial. Nevertheless, our findings provide further evidence that endocannabinoids modulate intestinal inflammation, suggesting that this system could act as a target for future therapeutic interventions.



## Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: MS JD TO BG PL SB.  
Performed the experiments: DE. Analyzed the data: MS DE JD SP PL SB.

## References

- Grinspoon L, Bakalar JB (1995) Marijuana as medicine. A plea for reconsideration. *JAMA* 273: 1875–1876.
- Grinspoon L (1999) The future of medical marijuana. *Forsch Komplementärmed* 6 Suppl 3: 40–43.
- Massa F, Marsicano G, Hermann H, Cannich A, Monory K, et al. (2004) The endogenous cannabinoid system protects against colonic inflammation. *J Clin Invest* 113: 1202–1209.
- D'Argenio G, Valenti M, Scaglione G, Cosenza V, Sorrentini I, et al. (2006) Up-regulation of anandamide levels as an endogenous mechanism and a pharmacological strategy to limit colon inflammation. *FASEB J* 20: 568–570.
- Kimball ES, Schneider CR, Wallace NH, Hornby PJ (2006) Agonists of cannabinoid receptor 1 and 2 inhibit experimental colitis induced by oil of mustard and by dextran sulfate sodium. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 291: G364–G371.
- Sibaev A, Massa F, Yuce B, Marsicano G, Lehr HA, et al. (2006) CB1 and TRPV1 receptors mediate protective effects on colonic electrophysiological properties in mice. *J Mol Med* 84: 513–520.
- Storr M, Keenan CM, Emmerding D, Zhang H, Yuce B, et al. (2008) Targeting endocannabinoid degradation protects against experimental colitis in mice: involvement of CB<sub>1</sub> and CB<sub>2</sub> receptors. *J Mol Med* 86: 925–936.
- Hiley CR (2009) Endocannabinoids and the Heart. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol*.
- Caraceni P, Pertosa A, Giannone F, Domenicali M, Grattagliano I, et al. (2009) Antagonism of the cannabinoid CB-1 receptor protects rat liver against ischemia-reperfusion injury complicated by endotoxemia. *Gut* 58: 1135–1143.
- Sarzani R, Bordicchia M, Marcucci P, Bedetta S, Santini S, et al. (2009) Altered pattern of cannabinoid type 1 receptor expression in adipose tissue of dysmetabolic and overweight patients. *Metabolism* 58: 361–367.
- Scheen AJ (2009) The endocannabinoid system: a promising target for the management of type 2 diabetes. *Curr Protein Pept Sci* 10: 56–74.
- Idris AI, van 't Hof RJ, Greig IR, Ridge SA, Baker D, et al. (2005) Regulation of bone mass, bone loss and osteoclast activity by cannabinoid receptors. *Nat Med* 11: 774–779.
- Maresz K, Pryce G, Ponomarev ED, Marsicano G, Croxford JL, et al. (2007) Direct suppression of CNS autoimmune inflammation via the cannabinoid receptor CB1 on neurons and CB2 on autoreactive T cells. *Nat Med* 13: 492–497.
- Teixeira-Clerc F, Julien B, Grenard P, Tran Van NJ, Deveaux V, et al. (2006) CB1 cannabinoid receptor antagonism: a new strategy for the treatment of liver fibrosis. *Nat Med* 12: 671–676.
- De Petrocellis L, Cascio MG, Di Marzo V (2004) The endocannabinoid system: a general view and latest additions. *Br J Pharmacol* 141: 765–774.
- Storr M, Yuce B, Goetze B (2006) Perspectives of cannabinoids in gastroenterology. *Z Gastroenterol* 44: 185–191.
- Storr M, Yuce B, Andrews C, Sharkey KA (2008) The role of the endocannabinoid system in the pathophysiology and treatment of irritable bowel syndrome. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 20: 857–868.
- Izzo AA, Camilleri M (2008) Emerging role of cannabinoids in gastrointestinal and liver diseases: basic and clinical aspects. *Gut* 57: 1140–1155.
- Di Carlo G, Izzo AA (2003) Cannabinoids for gastrointestinal diseases: potential therapeutic applications. *Expert Opin Investig Drugs* 12: 39–49.
- Izzo AA, Camilleri M (2008) Emerging Role of Cannabinoids in Gastrointestinal and Liver Diseases: Basic and Clinical Aspects. *Gut* 57: 1140–1155.
- Mayer EA, Collins SM (2002) Evolving pathophysiologic models of functional gastrointestinal disorders. *Gastroenterology* 122: 2032–2048.
- Barbara G, De Giorgio R, Stanghellini V, Cremon C, Corinaldesi R (2002) A role for inflammation in irritable bowel syndrome? *Gut* 51 Suppl 1: i41–i44.
- Barrett JC, Hansoul S, Nicolae DL, Cho JH, Duerr RH, et al. (2008) Genome-wide association defines more than 30 distinct susceptibility loci for Crohn's disease. *Nat Genet* 40: 955–962.
- Storr MA, Keenan CM, Zhang H, Patel KD, Makriyannis A, et al. (2009) Activation of the cannabinoid 2 receptor (CB<sub>2</sub>) protects against experimental colitis. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 15: 1678–1685.
- Schmidt LG, Samochowiec J, Finckh U, Fiszler-Piosik E, Horodnicki J, et al. (2002) Association of a CB1 cannabinoid receptor gene (CNR1) polymorphism with severe alcohol dependence. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 65: 221–224.
- Ujike H, Takaki M, Nakata K, Tanaka Y, Takeda T, et al. (2002) CNR1, central cannabinoid receptor gene, associated with susceptibility to hebephrenic schizophrenia. *Mol Psychiatry* 7: 515–518.
- Gasche C, Scholmerich J, Brynskov J, D'Haens G, Hanauer SB, et al. (2000) A simple classification of Crohn's disease: report of the Working Party for the World Congresses of Gastroenterology, Vienna 1998. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 6: 8–15.
- Silverberg MS, Satsangi J, Ahmad T, Arnott ID, Bernstein CN, et al. (2005) Toward an integrated clinical, molecular and serological classification of inflammatory bowel disease: Report of a Working Party of the 2005 Montreal World Congress of Gastroenterology. *Can J Gastroenterol* 19 Suppl A: 5–36.
- Gadzicki D, Müller-Vahl K, Stuhmann M (1999) A frequent polymorphism in the coding exon of the human cannabinoid receptor (CNR1) gene. *Mol Cell Probes* 13: 321–323.
- Storr M, Emmerding D, Diegelmann J, Yuce B, Pfennig S, et al. (2008) The role of fatty acid hydrolase (FAAH) gene variants in inflammatory bowel disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 29: 542–551.
- Horne AW, Phillips JA, III, Kane N, Lourenco PC, McDonald SE, et al. (2008) CB1 expression is attenuated in Fallopian tube and decidua of women with ectopic pregnancy. *PLoS ONE* 3: e3969.
- Leroy S, Griffon N, Bourdel MC, Olie JP, Poirier MF, et al. (2001) Schizophrenia and the cannabinoid receptor type 1 (CB1): association study using a single-base polymorphism in coding exon 1. *Am J Med Genet* 105: 749–752.
- Komar AA (2007) Silent SNPs: impact on gene function and phenotype. *Pharmacogenomics* 8: 1075–1080.
- Hoehe MR, Caenazzo L, Martínez MM, Hsieh WT, Modi WS, et al. (1991) Genetic and physical mapping of the human cannabinoid receptor gene to chromosome 6q14–q15. *New Biol* 3: 880–885.
- King AL, Yiannakou JY, Brett PM, Curtis D, Morris MA, et al. (2000) A genome-wide family-based linkage study of coeliac disease. *Ann Hum Genet* 64: 479–490.
- Glas J, Stallhofer J, Ripke S, Wetzke M, Pfennig S, et al. (2009) Novel genetic risk markers for ulcerative colitis in the chromosome 4q27 region harboring *IL2/IL21* region are in epistasis with *IL23R* and suggest a common genetic background for ulcerative colitis and celiac disease. *Am J Gastroenterol* 104: 1737–1744.
- Barmada MM, Brant SR, Nicolae DL, Achkar JP, Panhuysen CI, et al. (2004) A genome scan in 260 inflammatory bowel disease-affected relative pairs. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 10: 513–520.
- Schnitzler F, Brand S, Staudinger T, Pfennig S, Hofbauer K, et al. (2006) Eight novel *CARD15* variants detected by DNA sequence analysis of the *CARD15* gene in 111 patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Immunogenetics* 58: 99–106.
- Seiderer J, Schnitzler F, Brand S, Staudinger T, Pfennig S, et al. (2006) Homozygosity for the *CARD15* frameshift mutation 1007fs is predictive of early onset of Crohn's disease with ileal stenosis, entero-enteral fistulas, and frequent need for surgical intervention with high risk of re-stenosis. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 41: 1421–1432.
- Glas J, Seiderer J, Wetzke M, Konrad A, Torok HP, et al. (2007) rs1004819 is the main disease-associated *IL23R* variant in German Crohn's disease patients: combined analysis of *IL23R*, *CARD15*, and *OCTN1/2* variants. *PLoS ONE* 2: e819.
- Glas J, Konrad A, Schmechel S, Dambacher J, Seiderer J, et al. (2007) The *ATG16L1* gene variants rs2241879 and rs2241880 (T300A) are strongly associated with susceptibility to Crohn's disease in the German population. *Am J Gastroenterol* 103: 682–691.
- Glas J, Seiderer J, Pasciuto G, Tillack C, Diegelmann J, et al. (2009) rs224136 on chromosome 10q21.1 and variants in *PHOX2B*, *NCF4*, and *FAM92B* are not major genetic risk factors for susceptibility to Crohn's disease in the German population. *Am J Gastroenterol* 104: 665–672.
- Brand S, Staudinger T, Schnitzler F, Pfennig S, Hofbauer K, et al. (2005) The role of Toll-like receptor 4 Asp299Gly and Thr399Ile polymorphisms and *CARD15/NOD2* mutations in the susceptibility and phenotype of Crohn's disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 11: 645–652.
- De Jager PL, Franchimont D, Waliszewska A, Bitton A, Cohen A, et al. (2007) The role of the Toll receptor pathway in susceptibility to inflammatory bowel diseases. *Genes Immun* 8: 387–397.
- Jin W, Brown S, Roche JP, Hsieh C, Cerver JP, et al. (1999) Distinct domains of the CB1 cannabinoid receptor mediate desensitization and internalization. *J Neurosci* 19: 3773–3780.
- Siegfried Z, Kanyas K, Latzer Y, Karni O, Bloch M, et al. (2004) Association study of cannabinoid receptor gene (*CNR1*) alleles and anorexia nervosa: differences between restricting and binge/purging subtypes. *Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet* 125B: 126–130.
- Gazzerro P, Caruso MG, Notarnicola M, Misciagna G, Guerra V, et al. (2007) Association between cannabinoid type-1 receptor polymorphism and body mass index in a southern Italian population. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 31: 908–912.

Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: MS TO BG PL. Wrote the paper: MS SB.