

Enhanced Diffusion of a Needle in a Planar Array of Point Obstacles

Felix Höfling, Erwin Frey, and Thomas Franosch

Arnold Sommerfeld Center for Theoretical Physics (ASC) and Center for NanoScience (CeNS), Fakultät für Physik, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Theresienstraße 37, 80333 München, Germany

(Received 6 June 2008; published 19 September 2008)

The transport of an infinitely thin, hard rod in a random, dense array of point obstacles is investigated by molecular dynamics simulations. Our model mimics the sterically hindered dynamics in dense needle liquids. Transport becomes increasingly fast at higher densities, and we observe a power-law divergence of the diffusion coefficient with exponent 0.8. This phenomenon is connected with a new divergent time scale, reflected in a zigzag motion of the needle, a two-step decay of the velocity-autocorrelation function, and a negative plateau in the non-Gaussian parameter. Finally, we provide a heuristic scaling argument for the new exponent.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.120605](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.120605)

PACS numbers: 05.60.Cd, 05.20.Jj, 45.05.+x, 51.10.+y

Model liquids of infinitely thin, hard needles have trivial equilibrium properties, but exhibit complex dynamics. Their equilibrium statistical mechanics is trivial, since the excluded volume is zero and all configurations are permitted and equally likely; in particular, the equation of state and the pair distribution function coincide with those of an ideal gas. But the mutual steric hindrance of moving needles gives rise to strong *dynamic* correlations for dense systems. The dynamically induced steric interaction can even generate dynamic arrest: a glass transition of needles has been observed in simulations [1,2] and is rationalized within a microscopic theory [3]. Because of the absence of static correlations, this glass transition is of very different origin than in structural glass formers.

The close relationship between liquids and granular gases [4] suggests similar phenomena also for granular needles and fibers, which constitute highly anisotropic particles with convex shape. There, interesting slow relaxation phenomena with algebraic tails were predicted [5,6] and are likely to be verified soon as experimental progress continues [7,8].

In early molecular dynamics simulations of a needle liquid, a surprising behavior of the center-of-mass (c.m.) diffusion was found by Frenkel and Maguire [9], who conjectured a *divergence* of the self-diffusion coefficient $D_{\text{c.m.}}$ with increasing density n . In the dilute regime, the diffusion coefficient decreases as $1/n$ in accord with Enskog theory, but it starts growing beyond a crossover density. In a theoretical attempt to explain this observation, they predicted $D_{\text{c.m.}} \sim \sqrt{n}$. An increase of $D_{\text{c.m.}}$ by a factor 2 above its minimum value was confirmed a few years later [10] and also in a more recent simulation [11]. Such a behavior contradicts the experience that transport becomes slow in dense, complex liquids.

In this Letter, we present extensive molecular dynamics simulations for a single needle exploring a planar course of impenetrable, frozen point obstacles. This model again possesses trivial equilibrium properties, but exhibits intriguingly complex dynamics as we shall demonstrate below

by a surprising enhancement of c.m. diffusion. Since the needle is confined by the obstacles to a narrow tube, its motion is essentially one-dimensional leading to a strong suppression of rotational diffusion [12]. The same underlying physics occurs also in dense needle liquids, where the tube is formed dynamically by the surrounding needles.

The needle is characterized by its length L , mass m , and moment of inertia I ; we use a homogeneous mass distribution, $I = mL^2/12$. The degrees of freedom (DOFs) of the needle encompass the c.m. position \mathbf{R} and the unit vector of orientation $\hat{\mathbf{u}}$, parametrized by a single angle φ in our planar model; the conjugate momenta are $m\mathbf{v}$ and $I\omega$; see Fig. 1. We choose the collisions to be elastic and frictionless; then the total kinetic energy is conserved and its value merely sets the overall time scale of the problem. We distribute the obstacles randomly and independently with average number density n ; then the only dimensionless control parameter of the model is the reduced density, $n^* := nL^2$. A second length scale $\xi := n^{-1/2}$ is given by the typical distance between obstacles; the crossover from the dilute to the constrained regime is expected when ξ competes with L .

We attach an instantaneous, body-fixed, right-handed, orthonormalized reference frame $(\hat{\mathbf{u}}, \hat{\mathbf{u}}_\perp)$ to the needle; it is convenient to define the two-dimensional vector product $\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b} := \det(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$, hence $\hat{\mathbf{u}} \times \hat{\mathbf{u}}_\perp = 1$. The laws of collision for a needle hitting a point obstacle follow from two




FIG. 1 (color online). Geometry and notation for the needle hitting an obstacle. The momentum transfer $\Delta\mathbf{p} \parallel \hat{\mathbf{u}}_\perp$ is uniquely determined for a frictionless, elastic collision; it induces also a torque changing the angular velocity of the needle.

assumptions: (i) the collision is elastic and (ii) the momentum transfer occurs perpendicularly to the needle, $\Delta\mathbf{p} = \Delta p \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\perp}$. Simultaneously, a collision generates a torque on the needle proportional to the lever arm a , given by the distance between the collision point and the axis of rotation, which yields a total change of angular momentum $a\hat{\mathbf{u}} \times \Delta\mathbf{p} = a\Delta p$. The postcollisional velocities $\mathbf{v}_f = \mathbf{v}_i + \Delta\mathbf{p}/m$ and $\omega_f = \omega_i + a\Delta p/I$ follow from the pre-collisional ones, \mathbf{v}_i and ω_i , imposing conservation of kinetic energy,

$$\Delta p = 2mI \frac{\mathbf{v}_i \times \hat{\mathbf{u}} - \omega_i a}{I + ma^2}. \quad (1)$$

Our simulations of the molecular dynamics are based on an event-driven algorithm [12,13]; the challenging part is collision detection for objects of zero width. This is solved in an efficient and robust way by applying the interval Newton method for root finding [14]. It determines the zeros of the time-dependent distance, $d(t) = [\mathbf{R}(t) - \mathbf{r}_j] \times \hat{\mathbf{u}}(t)$, between the needle and a particular obstacle at \mathbf{r}_j . For each density, we have run at least 300 trajectories, each covering up to 10^7 collisions in the dense regime.

The collisions conserve the kinetic energy of the needle and redistribute it continually and equally between the two translational and one rotational DOF. The phase space trajectories are chaotic as in the case of the Lorentz model [15,16], and we have numeric evidence that the system is ergodic at all investigated densities; in particular, equipartition holds, $m\langle\mathbf{v}^2\rangle/2 = I\langle\omega^2\rangle$. Furthermore, the mean collision rate follows the low-density result, $\tau_{\text{coll}}^{-1} \propto n^*$, also at high density; we have measured the prefactor to $\tau_{\text{coll}}^{-1} = 0.845n^*v/L$, where v denotes the root mean-square velocity. In the low-density regime, the rotational and translational diffusion coefficients obey $D_{\text{rot}}, D_{\text{c.m.}} \sim \tau_{\text{coll}}$ as expected from the molecular chaos hypothesis.

The dense array of obstacles leads to the formation of an effective tube caging the needle. Following the conventional paradigm, transport should be drastically suppressed. Indeed, the rotational diffusion coefficient obeys the predicted Doi-Edwards scaling [17] at high densities, $D_{\text{rot}} \sim (n^*)^{-2}$ [12]. While the rotational diffusion slows down with increasing obstacle density, the translational diffusion $D_{\text{c.m.}}$ exhibits a minimum at $n^* \approx 5$ followed by a rapid increase; see Fig. 2. Within the density range covered by our simulations, $D_{\text{c.m.}}$ is enhanced by more than two decades. Our data suggest a power-law divergence,

$$D_{\text{c.m.}} \sim (n^*)^{\zeta} \quad \text{for } n^* \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2)$$

with an exponent of $\zeta = 0.80 \pm 0.05$. In a recent simulation for a planar “shish kebab” model with soft spheres [18], a slight increase of $D_{\text{c.m.}}$ has been observed too. The enhancement of diffusion, however, is cut off at moderate densities by the competition with the slow dynamics induced by the excluded volume [19].

A typical trajectory exhibits a zigzag pattern and is mainly composed of long, slightly bent segments; see




FIG. 2 (color online). Simulation results for the diffusion coefficients of the needle. Solid lines show the low-density behavior, $D \sim 1/n$, dashed lines are fits to the asymptotic high-density behavior, and the dotted line indicates slope 1/2 for comparison with a scaling prediction.

Fig. 3 and the supplementary movie [20]; the motion of the needle carves out narrow channels. This straight motion is occasionally interrupted and the needle rests for a short while, until it either continues its way or reverses its direction. The latter is reflected in a change of sign of the longitudinal velocity v_{\parallel} , where we split the c.m. velocity into longitudinal and transverse components in the comoving reference frame, $\mathbf{v} = v_{\parallel}\hat{\mathbf{u}} + v_{\perp}\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\perp}$. Such a velocity reversal is accompanied by sharp cusps in the trajectory, and the general form of the trajectories is reminiscent of the nonholonomic dynamics of a skate on ice [21] with its local constraints due to friction.

The time series of the kinetic DOFs in Fig. 4 exemplifies that the transversal and rotational momenta fluctuate on the scale of individual collisions, while the longitudinal velocity fluctuates on a much slower time scale τ_{zz} . It reveals further that a reversal of v_{\parallel} is smeared out over many (hundred) collisions; in particular, it is not triggered by hitting a specific obstacle configuration. The dynamics




FIG. 3 (color online). Snapshots of the needle at $n^* = 120$. The time interval between subsequent exposures, $\Delta t = 1.8L/v$, covers about 180 collisions; colors encode the longitudinal velocity, $v_{\parallel}/v_{\text{max}}$.




FIG. 4 (color online). Time series of the kinetic degrees of freedom for $n^* = 120$. Sections of a trajectory may be divided into different states: “running” (A), “rattling” (B), and “running backwards” (\bar{A}); see lower panels. The right-hand panels enlarge a single event of velocity reversal.

may be divided into two states: “running” (state A) and “rattling” (state B). A velocity reversal is then equivalent to switching from running (A) to rattling (B) to “running backwards” (\bar{A}). We have measured the waiting time distribution for the persistence of state A and find an exponential distribution with rate τ_{zz}^{-1} . Our analysis indicates that the events of velocity reversals realize a Poisson process and occur independently and spontaneously.

Quantitative information on the translational dynamics is obtained by considering the velocity-autocorrelation function (VACF) $\psi_{c.m.}(t) = \langle \mathbf{v}(t) \cdot \mathbf{v}(0) \rangle / v^2$; see Fig. 5. The most prominent feature is a two-step process for dense systems: on the microscopic time scale, the VACF decays towards a plateau value of $1/2$; the terminal relaxation from the plateau is exponential, providing a definition for the zigzag time τ_{zz} . Thus, the initial momentum has two relaxation channels: rattling in the tube and the zigzag motion.

Let us introduce the correlation functions in the body frame $\psi_\alpha(t) := \langle v_\alpha(t)v_\alpha(0) \rangle / \langle v_\alpha^2 \rangle$ for $\alpha = \parallel, \perp$ and the orientation correlation $C_1(t) = \langle \hat{\mathbf{u}}(t) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{u}}(0) \rangle$. The decay rates of the three correlation functions are well separated at high densities; see inset of Fig. 5. The strong suppression of rotational motion, in particular, allows one to factorize the VACF,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{c.m.}(t) &= \langle [v_\parallel(t)v_\parallel(0) + v_\perp(t)v_\perp(0)] \hat{\mathbf{u}}(t) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{u}}(0) \rangle / v^2 \\ &\simeq \frac{1}{2} [\psi_\parallel(t) + \psi_\perp(t)] C_1(t). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

We have checked numerically that this approximation is excellent for densities $n^* \gtrsim 100$. The fastest process is the exchange of transversal momentum with the obstacles by individual collisions, $\psi_\perp(t) \approx \exp(-t/\tau_{\text{coll}})$; the negative dip after 2 or 3 collisions represents the rattling of the




FIG. 5 (color online). Two-step relaxation of the c.m. velocity-autocorrelation function $\psi_{c.m.}(t)$. Rescaling of time with the collision rate collapses the data for low densities ($n^* \leq 2$). In the dense regime, a universal intermediate plateau develops at $1/2$ for $\tau_{\text{coll}} \ll t \ll \tau_{zz}$; it is preceded by a dip reflecting the transversal “rattling in the cage.” Inset: Correlation functions of the different DOFs entering Eq. (3).

needle in its tube. The persistent orientation yields the slowest relaxation process, $C_1(t) \approx \exp(-t/\tau_{\text{rot}})$ where $\tau_{\text{rot}} = 1/D_{\text{rot}}$. Measuring the rate of longitudinal relaxation, $\psi_\parallel(t) \approx \exp(-t/\tau_{zz})$, shows an increase $\tau_{zz} \sim n^\xi$; this provides additional evidence for the enhancement of diffusion upon exploiting a Green-Kubo relation, $D_{c.m.} = (v^2/2) \int_0^\infty \psi_{c.m.}(t) dt \approx v^2 \tau_{zz}/4$. In summary, a ballistic needle between fixed obstacles exhibits a hierarchy of nontrivial time scales in the dense regime,

$$\tau_{\text{coll}} \sim n^{-1}, \quad \tau_d \sim n^0, \quad \tau_{zz} \sim n^\xi, \quad \tau_{\text{rot}} \sim n^2, \quad (4)$$

where $\tau_d \approx L/v$ is the disengagement time needed to leave the tube [12]. The scaling of all these time scales is nicely reproduced by our data; the rapid increase of τ_{rot} in particular poses the computational challenge to follow individual trajectories over many decades in time.

The interplay of translational and rotational DOFs is nicely exhibited in the non-Gaussian parameters (NGP) for c.m. and rotational motion, which are defined as

$$\alpha_2^{c.m.}(t) = \frac{4}{3} \{ \langle |\Delta \mathbf{R}(t)|^4 \rangle / 2 \langle \Delta \mathbf{R}(t)^2 \rangle^2 - 1 \}, \quad (5a)$$

$$\alpha_2^{\text{rot}}(t) = \langle \Delta \varphi(t)^4 \rangle / 3 \langle \Delta \varphi(t)^2 \rangle^2 - 1, \quad (5b)$$

see Fig. 6. The persistent momentum renders $\alpha_2^{c.m.}(t)$ negative: after equilibration of the transversal momentum, $\alpha_2^{c.m.}(t)$ exhibits a plateau over several decades for $\tau_{\text{coll}} \ll t \ll \tau_{zz}$. Noting that the component v_\parallel is uniformly distributed for an ergodic sampling of the energy ellipsoid and approximating $|\Delta \mathbf{R}(t)| \approx v_\parallel t$, the plateau value is calculated to $-2/15$ in nice agreement with our data. Interestingly, the plateau is followed by a positive maxi-




FIG. 6 (color online). The non-Gaussian parameters for translational and rotational DOFs, Eq. (5), show a rich structure at high densities including the confinement in a tube, the zigzag motion, and translation-rotation coupling. Density increases from left to right, and arrows indicate the relevant time scales for $n^* = 800$.

mum; its rising edge scales with τ_{zz} , while the falling edge scales with τ_{rot} . Such a feature implies that the c.m. motion is nontrivially coupled to the rotational motion. The orientational confinement of the needle is reflected by a peak in $\alpha_2^{rot}(t)$ near $t \approx \tau_{coll}$. Then, the signal slowly degrades to a shoulder around τ_d . For longer times, $\tau_d \ll t \ll \tau_{rot}$, the rotational NGP decays slower than $1/t$, which corresponds to an increasing Burnett coefficient—familiar from, e.g., the Lorentz model [22].

The value of the exponent ζ calls for a theoretical explanation. Let the needle initially be oriented along the x axis with most kinetic energy in the longitudinal DOF; see Fig. 1. Since the momentum transfer per collision is perpendicular to the needle, its projection on the x axis is small and fluctuates rapidly around zero, $\Delta v_x \sim \sin(\varphi)$. Assuming a random walk of v_x , we estimate the number of collisions N required for a velocity reversal to $N \sim 1/\sin(\varphi)^2$. Accepting that the collisions occur with rate τ_{coll}^{-1} , we have $\tau_{zz} \sim \tau_{coll}N$. At the zigzag scale τ_{zz} , the angle of orientation φ diffuses, and one may approximate $\varphi^2 \approx 2D_{rot}\tau_{zz} \ll 1$ and thus $\sin(\varphi) \approx \varphi$. Collecting, we obtain $\tau_{zz} \sim \tau_{coll}/D_{rot}\tau_{zz}$, hence $\zeta = 1/2$. This reasoning condenses the more sophisticated argument for needle liquids from Ref. [9]. Our data in Fig. 2, however, show clearly that $\zeta = 1/2$ underestimates the enhancement of diffusion. The above argument can be modified by observing that only uncorrelated collisions contribute to τ_{zz} . The mean free path to encounter an obstacle is measured by $\nu\tau_{coll}$, but the needle has to traverse the distance ξ to encounter a new obstacle. This suggests to use $\tau_{zz} \sim \tau_{\xi}N$ above, where $\tau_{\xi} \approx \xi/\nu \sim n^{-1/2}$. Thus one finds for the exponent $\zeta = 3/4$, quite close to the observed $\zeta = 0.8$.

In conclusion, we have observed the conjectured power-law divergence of $D_{c.m.}$ in a 2D representation of needle

liquids, thus demonstrating the relevance of our results for needle liquids and granular gases. We have measured the exponent ζ and find its value significantly larger than anticipated. The origin of the divergence is attributed to the zigzag motion of the needle; further, the scaling argument for the exponent ζ does not rely on the dimension and on the conservation of the single-particle energy and thus should hold also for 3D liquids.

It is a pleasure to thank R. Schilling and M. Fuchs for stimulating discussions, and we are grateful to M. Sperl for carefully reading the manuscript. Financial support is acknowledged from the Nanosystems Initiative Munich and from DFG Contract No. FR 850/6-1.

- [1] C. Renner, H. Löwen, and J.L. Barrat, Phys. Rev. E **52**, 5091 (1995).
- [2] W. van Ketel, C. Das, and D. Frenkel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 135703 (2005).
- [3] R. Schilling and G. Szamel, Europhys. Lett. **61**, 207 (2003); J. Phys. Condens. Matter **15**, S967 (2003).
- [4] I. Goldhirsch, Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. **35**, 267 (2003).
- [5] J. Piasecki and P. Viot, Europhys. Lett. **74**, 1 (2006).
- [6] M. Huthmann, T. Aspelmeier, and A. Zippelius, Phys. Rev. E **60**, 654 (1999).
- [7] J. Galanis, D. Harries, D.L. Sackett, W. Losert, and R. Nossal, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 028002 (2006).
- [8] J. Blum, S. Bruns, D. Rademacher, A. Voss, B. Willenberg, and M. Krause, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 230601 (2006).
- [9] D. Frenkel and J.F. Maguire, Phys. Rev. Lett. **47**, 1025 (1981); Mol. Phys. **49**, 503 (1983).
- [10] J.J. Magda, H.T. Davis, and M. Tirrell, J. Chem. Phys. **85**, 6674 (1986).
- [11] M. Otto, T. Aspelmeier, and A. Zippelius, J. Chem. Phys. **124**, 154907 (2006).
- [12] F. Höfling, T. Munk, E. Frey, and T. Franosch, Phys. Rev. E **77**, 060904(R) (2008).
- [13] F. Höfling, Ph.D. thesis, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, 2006, ISBN 978-3-86582-426-4.
- [14] E. Hansen and G.W. Walster, *Global Optimization Using Interval Analysis* (Marcel Dekker, New York, 2004).
- [15] L. Bunimovich and Y. Sinai, Commun. Math. Phys. **78**, 479 (1981).
- [16] H. van Beijeren and J.R. Dorfman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 4412 (1995).
- [17] M. Doi and S.F. Edwards, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 2 **74**, 560 (1978).
- [18] A.J. Moreno and W. Kob, Europhys. Lett. **67**, 820 (2004); J. Chem. Phys. **121**, 380 (2004).
- [19] F. Höfling, T. Franosch, and E. Frey, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 165901 (2006).
- [20] See EPAPS Document No. E-PRLTAO-101-059839 for a movie that illustrates the zigzag motion. For more information on EPAPS, see <http://www.aip.org/pubservs/epaps.html>.
- [21] C. Carathéodory, Z. Angew. Math. Mech. **13**, 71 (1933).
- [22] F. Höfling and T. Franosch, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 140601 (2007).