Saccadic Eye Velocity after Selective GABAergic Treatment with Tiagabine in Healthy Volunteers
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Abstract

Background: Saccadic eye velocity (SEV) has been shown to be a reliable neurophysiological tool for the assessment of γ-aminobutyric acid GABA_A receptor sensitivity. Administration of benzodiazepines targeting the GABA_A receptor decreases SEV in healthy volunteers. Tiagabine is a new antiepileptic drug which acts via selective blockade of GABA reuptake. Therefore, we examined the effects of tiagabine on saccade parameters. Methods: SEV was analyzed in 8 healthy volunteers before and after 7 days of tiagabine treatment. Subjects received tiagabine in a daily dose of 15 mg. Saccades were measured using a noninvasive infrared oculographic device. Amplitude, latency, and SEV were analyzed as a function of treatment and target eccentricity. Results: SEV and saccade latency increased with target amplitude. Treatment with tiagabine had no significant effect on SEV and saccade amplitude. A trend was found for increased latencies after tiagabine. Conclusion: In contrast to findings with benzodiazepines, tiagabine treatment had no impact on SEV in healthy volunteers. The subchronic tolerance effects or the different site of action on the GABA_A/BZD receptor complex may account for this deviating profile.

Introduction

Saccadic eye movements are controlled by frontal eye fields, substantia nigra, superior colliculus, pontine reticular formation and cerebellum. These regions contain high amounts of GABA_A receptors [1]. Saccadic eye velocity (SEV) is mediated by the superior colliculus, which is under tonic GABAergic control. Studies in primates have shown that injection of GABA agonists into the superior colliculus induce a selective reduction in SEV whereas injection of a GABA antagonist leaves SEV unchanged [2]. Hommer et al. [3] showed that intravenous administration of benzodiazepines leads to a decrease of SEV in a dose-dependent manner, accompanied by a decrease in plasma cortisol levels and an increase in self-rated sedation and plasma growth hormone. They therefore suggested SEV as a quantifiable and reliable parameter to evaluate changes in sensitivity of the GABA_A/BZD receptor in humans. Moreover, SEV has also been used as an...
objective measure of sedation [4]. Investigations in patients with panic disorder have shown that these patients show a reduced sensitivity of the GABA<sub>A</sub>/BZD receptor to benzodiazepines compared with healthy controls [5]. In patients with premenstrual dysphoric disorder a decreased SEV appears to reflect pathophysiological alterations implicated in this disorder [6].

Tiagabine is a new antiepileptic compound that exerts its anticonvulsant effects via blockade of the GABA transporter I. Tiagabine inhibits GABA reuptake from the synaptic cleft and consequently leads to an increase in GABAergic neurotransmission [7]. Apart from anticonvulsant properties also anxiolytic activity has been noted in patients with panic disorder [8].

To further characterize the impact of tiagabine on GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor function in humans we investigated the effects of tiagabine on SEV assuming that this measure may be indicative of GABA<sub>A</sub>/BZD receptor sensitivity. We hypothesized that treatment with tiagabine might decrease SEV in healthy subjects.

**Methods**

Ten healthy volunteers (7 males, 3 females, mean age 27.6 ± 4 years) were investigated. They were screened for a history of mental or somatic diseases. None of the participants had taken opiates or benzodiazepines during 4 weeks before the experimental session, as assessed by urine toxicology screening. The protocol was approved by the local ethics committee of the Medical Department. All subjects had given their written informed consent after a complete description of the study.

At baseline and after 7 days of tiagabine treatment saccadic velocity was tested at 9.00 o’clock a.m. Tiagabine was administered during 7 consecutive days 3 times daily in a daily dose of 15 mg.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target amplitude</th>
<th>SEV pre</th>
<th>SEV post</th>
<th>Saccade amplitude pre</th>
<th>Saccade amplitude post</th>
<th>Saccade latency pre</th>
<th>Saccade latency post</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7°</td>
<td>187 (19)</td>
<td>191 (17)</td>
<td>6.6 (0.3)</td>
<td>6.5 (0.4)</td>
<td>154 (18)</td>
<td>159 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14°</td>
<td>265 (23)</td>
<td>285 (28)</td>
<td>12.9 (0.6)</td>
<td>12.9 (0.6)</td>
<td>161 (8)</td>
<td>161 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21°</td>
<td>322 (26)</td>
<td>321 (40)</td>
<td>18.8 (0.9)</td>
<td>18.9 (1.1)</td>
<td>167 (10)</td>
<td>167 (11)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Results**

Eight out of 10 subjects remained in the analysis (6 male, 2 female, mean age 28 ± 4 years). Two subjects were excluded due to technical artifacts. Descriptive results are shown in table 1. There was a significant increase in SEV with increasing amplitude [main effect of target amplitude: F(2, 14) = 155.0, e = 0.65, p < 0.001]. The effect of tiagabine Treatment (pre- vs. post-) on SEV showed a nonsignificant trend for higher SEV after treatment [F(1, 7) = 4.26, p = 0.078]. There was also a trend for an interaction of Target Amplitude × Treatment [F(2, 14) = 3.39, e = 0.72, p = 0.085]. Paired comparisons revealed that only with 14° targets SEV increased after ti-
agabine \([t(7) = -3.95, p = 0.006]\). To test whether SEV is affected by varying saccade amplitudes across time, ANOVA was also performed for saccade amplitudes. No effect was found for treatment on saccade amplitudes \([F(1, 7) = 0.01, p = 0.93]\) nor was there an interaction of Treatment \(\times\) Target Amplitude \([F(2, 14) = 0.16, \varepsilon = 0.68, p = 0.77]\). Saccade latencies showed a significant effect of Target Amplitude \([F(2, 14) = 6.77, \varepsilon = 0.65, p = 0.023]\), indicating increasing latencies with increasing eccentricity of targets. Treatment had a marginal effect \([F(1, 7) = 5.36, p = 0.054]\), which is due to a trend for delayed saccade onset with 7\(^{\circ}\) targets after tiagabine treatment \([t(7) = -2.27, p = 0.057]\).

Apart from slight vertigo, dizziness and slight sedation in the first 3 days of treatment tiagabine was safe and well tolerated by all subjects. None reported any sedation or loss of concentration after the treatment period. All subjects reached sufficient tiagabine plasma levels (mean 126 ± 11 ng/ml).

### Discussion

In conclusion, we found no effects of treatment with the GABA reuptake blocker tiagabine on SEV. After a 7-day period of treatment with tiagabine 15 mg daily, peak SEV remained unchanged. This is in contrast to prior findings with benzodiazepines administered to experimental animals and healthy subjects. Hikosaka and Wurtz [2] found a marked reduction of SEV after injection of a GABA agonist in the superior colliculus, which is supposed to control SEV. Moreover, studies in healthy subjects showed a reduction of SEV after injection of diazepam [3] or midazolam [9].

However, it has to be considered that these studies investigated changes in SEV only after intravenous injection of benzodiazepines. In addition, only short-term effects were assessed. Ball et al. [10] observed that effects of repeated midazolam injections on SEV showed a relatively fast return to baseline within 15–30 min and suggested that this either indicates an acute tolerance to effects of benzodiazepines or reflects a redistribution phenomenon. In view of the rapid changes and the absence of midazolam metabolites this phenomenon could not be explained with benzodiazepine metabolism. In the light of these findings, the subchronic administration or tolerance effects might explain the lack of influence of tiagabine treatment on SEV in our study. However, van Steveninck et al. [11] suggested that saccadic eye movement parameters reliably reflect plasma benzodiazepine levels.

As all subjects in our sample reached sufficient tiagabine plasma levels, bioavailability of the compound cannot account for our findings.

Moreover, studies investigating the effects of benzodiazepine injection after chronic benzodiazepine administration in patients with panic disorder showed a striking reduction of benzodiazepine receptor sensitivity [9, 12] reflected by an attenuated reduction of SEV after intravenously administered benzodiazepines. One hypothesis is a possible decrease in the number of benzodiazepine receptors after long-term treatment with benzodiazepines [9]. Therefore, differences in GABA/BZD receptor density following benzodiazepine or tiagabine administration might also be responsible for the lack of change of SEV after tiagabine treatment [9].

However, to our knowledge there is no study investigating the effects of drugs targeting selectively the GABA binding site of the GABA/BZD receptor complex on SEV in humans so far. Interestingly, sleep studies showed that agonists for the GABA binding site produce a much more favorable sleep EEG profile compared to benzodiazepines with no REM suppression, an enhancement of slow wave activity during non-REM sleep, and no withdrawal effects [13]. Given the fact that there is no report of dependency or withdrawal after tiagabine treatment so far, it seems possible that there exists a different effect profile of tiagabine on GABA receptor function, and this might be reflected by SEV measures like those presented here.

Since tiagabine treatment did not affect SEV and since there was only a trend for changes in saccadic latency as measures of sedation and attention [4], advantages of selective GABAergic treatment with tiagabine compared to benzodiazepines can be suggested.
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