Imaging of Hepatocellular Carcinoma by Computed Tomography and Magnetic Resonance Imaging: State of the Art
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Abstract
Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is a very frequent tumor worldwide. Its incidence is linked to the distribution of liver cirrhosis and viral hepatitis, which are the main risk factors for the development of HCC. For the evaluation of the cirrhotic liver and for the diagnosis of HCC, multidetector computed tomography (MDCT) proved to be a robust and reliable tool. In MDCT the diagnosis of HCC can be made based on neovascularization with increased arterial and decreased portal venous supply. With modern magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), spatial resolution and robustness increased dramatically. Beside the evaluation of neovascularization by means of gadolinium-enhanced early dynamic MRI, the main advantages of MRI are additional information on tissue composition and liver-specific function. With diffusion-weighted imaging or plain T1- and T2-weighted sequences, different tissue elements like fat, hemorrhage, glycogen, edema and cellular density can be evaluated. Liver-specific contrast agents give insight into the Kupffer cell density or the hepatocellular function. The integration of all these parts into the MR examination allows for a very high detection rate for overt HCC nowadays, although very small HCCs are still a challenge. Moreover, insight into the different stages of hepatocarcinogenesis can be possible with MRI. Despite its limited availability in some countries, it has to be rendered to be the modality of choice for the distinct evaluation of the cirrhotic liver.

Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is among the most frequent tumors worldwide with an incidence rate of 20–150/100,000/year in high-risk areas in Asia and Africa, of 5–20/100,000/year in the areas with intermediate risk in Japan and the Mediterranean countries and of 5/100,000 and less in areas with low risk in Northern Europe and the USA [1]. One of the major risk factors for the development of HCC is chronic hepatitis B and C and chronic alcohol abuse, especially if liver cirrhosis is already present. In case of underlying liver cirrhosis, a stepwise development of carcinogenesis from areas of regeneration to overt HCC has been described. According to this concept, the most common terminology of the International Working Party of the World Congress of Gastroenterology defined regenerative nodules, low-grade dysplastic nodules, high-grade dysplastic nodules and well-differentiated HCC as steps from regeneration to cancer [2–4]. However, also a de novo development of HCC takes place.
The challenge for the gastroenterologist, hepatic surgeon and radiologist is to detect premalignant and malignant lesions early, to distinguish between the different regenerative nodules and HCC in patients with liver cirrhosis and to allocate the patients with HCC properly to the treatment options which are nowadays available.

**Computed Tomography**

Computed tomography (CT) has developed dramatically with the introduction of the multidetector technology (MDCT). Especially the abdomen, where motion artifacts due to respiratory motion and bowel peristalsis are disturbing, takes great advantage from this technique.

Adequate examination technique is critical for sensitive detection of HCC. A triphasic examination of the liver with a plain, a late-arterial (arterial-dominant) and a portovenous phase scan can be regarded as standard today. The value of delayed scans (e.g. 3–5 min after contrast agent injection) to depict pathological tumor washout has been demonstrated in the literature [5]. With the short acquisition times of MDCT, contrast agent timing has become critical, since the optimal enhancement phase has to be included within a very short acquisition window. Therefore, the use of modern contrast agent power injectors and bolus timing is mandatory [6]. Depending on the iodine concentration, fast flow rates up to 5 or 6 ml/s are recommended [6]. The dosing of the contrast agent should be related to the body weight with 1.5–2 ml/kg b.w. (for a concentration of 300 mg iodine/ml) [7]. The delay between reaching the triggering threshold of 100 HU in the aorta and starting the scan is usually 15 s for the late-arterial (or arterial-dominant) phase. The optimal slice thickness of reconstructed CT images of the liver is still under debate. Past publications have been quite restricted here and recommended that the slice thickness should not be <5 mm for the low-contrast organ liver [8, 9]. Recent publications, however, discovered advantages for a reconstructed slice thickness down to 3 mm [10]. If the slice thickness is further decreased, image noise and low contrast overwhelm the positive effect of geometrical resolution. This phenomenon might only be covered with inadequately high radiation doses.

For imaging of HCC and lesions in the cirrhotic liver the main diagnostic criterion in CT is the depiction of changes in the vascular supply of liver nodules due to neoangiogenesis as described for CT during hepatic angiography (CTHA) by Matsui [4]. In addition to the intrahepatic staging with regard to number and size of HCC lesions, complicating factors like liver cirrhosis and patency of the portal vein are also of relevance for assigning patients to a proper treatment regimen.

In case of intravenous contrast application, HCC typically presents as a hyperdense lesion in the arterial-dominant phase with following washout to iso- or mostly hypodensity in the portovenous phase (fig. 1). The presence of pathological washout can be depicted with higher accuracy in delayed phases [5, 11]. This is of importance since both the presence of hypervascularity and pathological washout with one or two imaging techniques in lesions ranging from 1 to 2 cm and >2 cm, respectively, allows the definite diagnosis of a HCC non-invasively according to the EASL-AASLD practice guidelines [12]. In CT the depiction of these vascular changes is crucial since there are no other reliable criteria to detect or characterize HCC (fig. 2). The lack of additional criteria is the main reason for false-positive findings (e.g. arteriovenous shunts, dysplastic nodules with pathological vascularization) or false-negative findings (e.g. well-differentiated HCC without arterial hyperenhancement, hypovascular HCC). From a radiological/pathological aspect, the
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**Fig. 1.** CT scan of a 62-year-old female patient with liver cirrhosis Child-Pugh A based on a chronic hepatitis C. In liver segment VIII, a 2.3-cm lesion with strong arterial supply (a) and rapid washout (b) was detected. Note the corona enhancement in the portovenous scan (b), which is typical for HCC. The lesion was diagnosed non-invasively as HCC based on the EASL-AASLD criteria. The MR images of the same patient are shown in figure 5.
differentiation between regenerative nodules, low-grade and high-grade dysplastic nodules and well-differentiated HCC would be desirable. This so-called ‘grey zone of hepatocarcinogenesis’ remains still unclear for imaging (either with CT or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)) as well as even sometimes for pathology [13]. MDCT as a modality which works based on attenuation differences due to a different vascular supply is with current technology as well as mere extracellular MRI not able to contribute to this differential diagnosis with confidence [14].

The detection rates of HCC reported in the literature are highly variable. One trial with a very stringent methodology and whole-liver explant correlation showed a sensitivity of 61%, a specificity of 66% and a negative predictive value of 30% for the detection of HCC by means of triphasic CT [14]. A subgroup analysis in this trial revealed a strong influence of the lesion size. While lesions >2 cm were detected in 100%, lesions <1 cm were only detected in 10% [14]. Another trial with a 4-row MDCT demonstrated an overall sensitivity of 73% for HCC detection, with also markedly reduced detection rates (33%) for lesions <1 cm [8]. A recent publication by Maetani et al. [15] exhibited a sensitivity, positive predictive value and accuracy for HCC detection by means of MDCT with 87, 96 and 84%, respectively. Kim et al. [16] evaluated ferucarbotran-enhanced 3.0-T MRI versus triple-phase MDCT and found a superior sensitivity for HCC detection of 98.1% in MRI versus 92.9% in MDCT. The higher sensitivity of MRI was largely attributable to a greater ability of the MRI to detect small (<1 cm) HCC (92.6% for MRI and 37.0% for MDCT).

Since CT can only depict the vascularity of lesions, it is difficult to distinguish between simple regenerative nodules, high-grade dysplastic nodules and early HCC in the cirrhotic liver [13, 14]. The advantages of MRI in this respect are the possibility of tissue characterization based on different contrast weightings of the pulse sequences (T₁, T₂) and the availability of several liver-specific contrast agents – as will be pointed out in the following part of this article.

**Magnetic Resonance Imaging**

The evaluation of the cirrhotic liver is a challenging task for every imaging modality and this holds also true for MRI. However, with MRI the criteria for the evaluation of the focal lesions in cirrhotic liver are expanded from vascularity alone to cellular density and tissue composition by means of precontrast sequences and diffu-

![Fig. 2. CT scan of a hypovascular HCC in a 75-year-old male patient with liver cirrhosis Child-Pugh A based on a chronic hepatitis B. In liver segment II, a hypodense, well-circumscribed round lesion (arrow) is seen in plain CT (a). In the arterial-dominant phase (b), only faint enhancement can be delineated, overall the lesions remains hypodense in arterial and portovenous phase (c). The patient underwent angiography, which also did not reveal a hypervascular tumor (not shown here). In the plain CT after probatory segmental lipiodol injection (d) during angiography, the lesion still appears hypodense. Percutaneous biopsy revealed an undifferentiated HCC (WHO grade 3). The patient was treated with radiofrequency ablation.](image-url)
sion-weighted MRI (DWI), to the presence of Kupffer cells by means of superparamagnetic particles of iron oxide (SPIO) and to the integrity of hepatocellular function and biliary excretion by means of hepatobiliary contrast agents (table 1).

MRI has made dramatic changes with regard to artifact robustness, spatial resolution and speed in the abdominal area. The use of phased-array multichannel coils and fast imaging techniques like gradient recalled echo (GRE) or fast spin echo (FSE) techniques are now established since many years as a standard for abdominal MRI. The introduction of parallel imaging, DWI, 3D GRE techniques with interpolation and ultrashort repetition times and the navigator techniques of respiratory triggering have been introduced recently and are by now already in broad use [17–19]. A liver MR study usually comprises a T1w 2D GRE sequence in-phase and opposed-phase, a T2w single-shot FSE and/or T1w multi-shot FSE with fat saturation, a DWI echoplanar imaging sequence and a dynamic T1w 3D GRE sequence with fat saturation after contrast agent injection. Depending on the type of contrast medium, additional sequences for the liver-specific phase are performed, as T2w GRE sequences for SPIO-enhanced MRI and T1w 2D or 3D sequence for hepatobiliary agents.

The contrast agents used for liver MRI are on the one hand extracellular, unspecific gadolinium agents, and on the other, liver-specific contrast agents. The latter can be divided into iron-oxide particles (SPIO), which are targeted directly to the hepatocyte and are excreted via the bile. There are currently five approved liver-specific contrast agents, which are targeted to the reticuloendothelium system, to the so-called Kupffer cells and the hepatobiliary contrast agents, that are targeted to the hepatobiliary system. There are currently five approved liver-specific contrast agents with different availabilities on the market: ferumoxide (Endorem®, Guerbet, Aulnay-sous-Bois, France); ferucarbotran (Resovist®, Bayer Schering Pharma AG, Berlin, Germany); mangafodipir trisodium/Mn-DPDP (Teslascan®, GE Healthcare Biosciences, Little Chalfont, UK); gadobenate-dimeglumine/Gd-BOPTA (MultiHance®, Bracco Imaging, Milan, Italy), and gadoteric-acid/Gd-EOB-DTPA (Primovist®, Bayer Schering Pharma AG, Berlin, Germany).

Non-enhanced MRI plays an important role in the characterization of different tissue components. The signal intensity of hepatic nodules in the cirrhotic liver can vary in T1w and T2w plain sequences. It has been demonstrated that copper deposition, glycogen, intratumoral bleeding or fat within a nodule causes hyperintensity on plain T1w sequences [20]. Since hyperintensity occurs in dysplastic nodules as well as in approximately one third of moderately differentiated HCC, it seems impossible to distinguish the nature of a hepatic nodule based on T1w signal alone [21] (fig. 3). For the signal behavior in T2w sequences, hyperintensity with depiction of a mosaic pattern has been described to be typical for HCC and has been seen in 77% of cases >3 cm [21]. In the same evaluation, overall 91% of HCC depicted as hyperintense lesions in T2w images, whereas the signal intensity in T1w sequences was quite equally distributed between hypointense, isointense and hyperintense T2w nodules represented well-differentiated HCCs (grade 1), all 3% hypointense nodules were found to be necrotic HCC [21]. Based on these data, the combination of signal intensity on T1w and T2w images is rendered to be a useful adjunct in the differential diagnosis of hepatocellular nodules in cirrhotic livers. A nodule that is hyperintense on T1w images and isointense on T2w images usually indicates that the lesion bears a certain risk for ongoing malignant transformation (often high-grade dysplastic nodules or early well-differentiated HCC). In contrast, nodules that are hyperintense on T1w images and iso- or hyperintense on T2w images usually represent an overt HCC [22].

| Table 1. Key features of regenerative nodules, dysplastic nodules and HCCs in MRI |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Plain MRI       | Regenerative nodules | Dysplastic nodules | Overt HCCs |
| T1w 2D GRE      | =               | ↑               | ↓ or ↑     |
| T2w FSE         | = or ↓          | = or ↓          | ↑          |
| Early dynamic phase | Arterial phase     | Hepatobiliary agents |
| no enhancement | no enhancement | arterial enhancement |
| no washout      | no washout      | venous washout  |

This table summarizes the most important and typical MRI findings in regenerative nodules, dysplastic nodules and HCCs. However, this table is not able to express the complexity of all kinds of borderline lesions (e.g. high-grade dysplastic nodule vs. early HCC) and does not consider atypical or infrequent imaging findings (like hepatobiliary accumulation in well-differentiated HCC or hypovascular HCC).
A nodule being isointense on T₁w images and hypo- or isointense on T₂w images exhibits the typical signal behavior of a regenerative nodule [23] (fig. 4). Other typical morphological features of HCC that can be seen on precontrast (and contrast-enhanced) MR images include a pseudocapsule [24] and a mosaic pattern [21, 25].

DWI has long played only a minor role in abdominal imaging; however, with new scanner generations with homogenous magnetic fields and with the introduction of parallel imaging, DWI with echoplanar images is feasible with a high image quality and robustness [26, 27]. DWI can help to increase the detection rate of focal liver lesions, especially due to the black-blood effect, which helps to perceive even very small lesions or lesions directly adjacent to vessels easily and fast [27]. A recent study that focused on the added value of DWI in the cirrhotic liver showed that the detection rate for HCC was increased from 83–85 to 98% in a two-reader analysis of gadolinium-enhanced images only and gadolinium-enhanced + DWI images [28]. Moreover, the quantification of restricted diffusion with the apparent diffusion coefficient helps to differentiate between benign and malignant lesions [26]. However, up to now there is no evidence in the literature in how far DWI might be a feasible approach to differentiate between regenerative nodules, dysplastic nodules and HCC. Another interesting application for DWI in the cirrhotic liver is the quantification of liver fibrosis in chronic hepatitis, which shows very promising results in the literature [29].

The dynamic MR examination with gadolinium-based contrast agents provides information on the changes of vascular supply within different hepatic nodules in the cirrhotic liver and is a crucial part of the evaluation of patients with suspected HCC (fig. 5). With regard to the criteria for the diagnosis of overt HCC, the same contrast agent behavior as described for CT is used for MRI, which means hypervascularity in the arterial-dominant phase and pathological washout in the portovenous or delayed phase. Gadolinium-enhanced MRI with T₁w 3D GRE sequences, allowing for thin slices, enables detection of HCC nodules with 76% sensitivity, 75% specificity and a negative predictive value of 50% [14]. The reported values of Burrel et al. [14] may seem only moderate; however, it has to be taken into account that they were validated against whole-liver explant specimens and that a
Imaging of HCC by CT and MRI: State of the Art

Dig Dis 2009;27:114–124

Large number of small lesions (<2 cm) were included. Overall, MRI showed superior results to spiral CT in the detection of HCC in this study (sensitivity 76% MRI vs. 61% CT). For HCC >2 cm, MRI and CT showed a detection rate of 100%, for HCC between 1 and 2 cm MRI and CT showed a detection rate of 89 and 65% (p = 0.03), respectively, and for HCC <1 cm values of 34 and 10% (p = 0.06) were seen, respectively. Beside the clear superiority of MRI versus CT for the detection of HCC in the contrast-enhanced dynamic phase examination, these data show that the real challenge for the future and for new techniques will be to increase the detection rate for very small HCC nodules. These results are supported by Lauenstein et al. [30] who found an overall detection rate for HCC with gadolinium-enhanced 3D GRE sequences of 77.8% (>2 cm 100%, <2 cm 55.6%). In various other trials, ultrasound, biphasic spiral CT and MRI were compared and MRI proved to be superior to the other modalities in the detection of HCC [31–34]. Beside the higher detection rate of MRI, it is also considered to be more specific with less false-positive lesions than CT in the differentiation between HCC and regenerative nodules [14, 35]. This can be explained by the additional diagnostic criteria (e.g. T2w signal intensity) for the diagnosis of HCC in MRI (fig. 6). In this respect the results of Holland et al. [36] are interesting; they evaluated lesions seen only on arterial phase images (isointense in T2w images, no washout) and found that 93% were not HCC at histology. Therefore, the interpretation of hypervascular lesions in the cirrhotic liver has to include also plain MR signal behavior and the washout kinetics.

The use of liver-specific contrast agents aims to increase the sensitivity and specificity of MRI in the cirrhotic liver. With regard to the question whether gadolinium-enhanced MRI or SPIO-enhanced MRI as stand-alone technologies are superior for HCC detection, the reports in the literature clearly indicate that for detection a gadolinium-enhanced T1w dynamic examination re-

Fig. 4. MR scan of a 45-year-old female patient with liver cirrhosis due to chronic hepatitis C. The patient suffers from overt HCC (not shown here). Additionally, two nodules were detected in segment IVb (small arrow) and in segment III (broad arrow). The nodule in segment IVb is slightly hyperintense in the T1w 3D GRE with fat saturation (a) and T1w 2D GRE without fat saturation (b) and isointense in the T2w FSE sequence (c). In the T1w 3D GRE sequence after Gd-EOB-DTPA injection (d), no hypervascularity can be seen in the arterial phase (considering the precontrast signal); however, the nodule displays faint washout in the portovenous scan (e) and decreased hepatobiliary enhancement in the liver-specific phase 20 min after injection (f). This pattern is compatible with a high-grade dysplastic nodule. On the other hand, the smaller nodule in segment III is nearly isointense in the T1w images (a, b) and hypointense in the T2w FSE sequence (c). In the arterial phase no hypervascularity can be seen and no washout in the portovenous scan (e). There is some accumulation of contrast agent in the liver-specific phase. This pattern is compatible with a normal regenerative nodule. Due to the dysplastic nodule in segment III, the patient is in close follow-up; since 9 months both lesions are unchanged.
remains the method of choice. In a study by Kim et al. [37] superiority of gadolinium-enhanced MRI over SPIO MRI (91.3 vs. 77.3%, respectively) was demonstrated. On the other hand, severe liver cirrhosis with extensive fibrosis may lead to an overestimation of tumor extension in SPIO-enhanced MRI since fibrotic areas do not take up SPIO so that differentiation from HCC nodules may be difficult. SPIO-enhanced MRI helps to differentiate between regenerative nodules, dysplastic nodules and overt HCC based on the different degree of iron uptake [38–41]. Nevertheless, it has been shown that well-differentiated HCC can contain a considerable amount of Kupffer cells [40]. The high contrast between liver parenchyma and HCC in SPIO-enhanced MRI is particularly helpful.

**Fig. 5.** MR scan of a 62-year-old female patient with liver cirrhosis Child-Pugh A based on a chronic hepatitis C. T₁w GRE sequence with fat saturation in arterial (a), portovenous (b) and equilibrium phase (c) after bolus injection of Gd-EOB-DTPA; d shows the liver-specific phase 20 min after injection. Note the lesion (arrow) with the typical enhancement pattern of a HCC with arterial hypervascularity and rapid washout, which can be appreciated best in the equilibrium phase scan (c). As an additional criterion for a HCC, missing hepatobiliary uptake with high tumor-to-liver contrast is seen in the liver-specific phase (d). Note multiple small nodules (<5 mm) with hepatobiliary uptake representing regenerative nodules. The corresponding CT images are shown in figure 1.

**Fig. 6.** A 44-year-old male patient with a history of alcohol-induced liver cirrhosis and two HCC nodules (arrows). Gd-EOB-DTPA-enhanced MRI (a, b) and CT (d, e) images in arterial and portovenous phase. Note the superior visualization of arterial hypervascularization and especially the better depiction of the washout in MRI (b) versus CT (e). Moreover, with MRI, additional criteria like the liver-specific phase showing missing hepatobiliary uptake (c) and diffusion-weighted images (f) allow a fast and easy detection of lesions.
for the evaluation of the tumor burden of the liver in case of the diffuse growth pattern of HCC. However, it is more or less accepted that evaluation of HCC without a multiphase dynamic examination (either MDCT or gadolinium-enhanced MRI) is not sufficient since the assessment of pathological vascularity is still the main diagnostic criterion for HCC. Therefore, the combination of both SPIO and gadolinium contrast agents in one MR examination (so-called double-contrast technique) is considered as very useful [39, 42, 43]. In a recent study the detection rate and Az value of non-invasive double-contrast MRI was with 93 and 0.96%, respectively, similar to combined CTAP/CTHA [44]. However, double-contrast MRI is off-label use and it is a time-consuming examination with the need of two contrast agent injections (fig. 7).

With Mn-DPDP, HCC can typically be depicted as a hypointense lesion in the delayed-phase images. Mn-DPDP promised results with regard to the HCC detection. A study by Bartolozzi et al. [45] showed a detection rate of 86% for HCC, which was in this study slightly higher than with biphasic spiral CT (80%, n.s.). Moreover, Mn-DPDP provides an additional criterion for the characterization of the different nodules in the cirrhotic liver [46]. However, because Mn-DPDP is not recommended for bolus injection, a second contrast agent has to be administered for the evaluation of the vascularity so that (like in double-contrast MRI) additional examination time and two contrast agent injections are needed. The two hepatobiliary contrast agents with also extracellular properties, Gd-BOPTA and Gd-EOB-DTPA, do not suffer from this limitation. They can be injected as a bolus and provide both a sufficient vascular phase as well as a hepatobiliary phase [47–49]. Their main difference is the dosing of gadolinium (Gd-EOB-DTPA: 0.025 mmol/kg b.w. compared to Gd-BOPTA 0.05 mmol/kg b.w.) and the higher amount of liver-specific uptake of Gd-EOB-DTPA (50 vs. 5%) compared to Gd-BOPTA [48]. In a study by Kim et al. [44], Gd-BOPTA dynamic imaging (without delayed-phase imaging) yielded a mean sensitivity and positive predictive value of 91.3 and 89.2%, respectively. A quite recent study with Gd-BOPTA showed excellent results for the detection of HCC up to values of 87% sensitivity and 79% specificity with a protocol including pre-contrast, early dynamic and 1-hour delayed images [50]. For the setting of the HCC and cirrhotic liver, little is known about the added value of the delayed phase for tumor detection – in contrast to metastases, where an increased detection rate was clearly documented with help of delayed-phase images [51]. Several reports have been published showing that missing hepatobiliary uptake of Gd-BOPTA is an additional criterion for HCC, especially in contrast to regenerative nodules [52–54]. Gd-EOB-DTPA was approved in many countries worldwide between 2005 and 2008. Up to now there is still limited information about Gd-EOB-DTPA MRI in the cirrhotic liver. For a general population, Gd-EOB-DTPA showed (similar to Gd-BOPTA) an increased detection rate for malignant liver lesions compared to MDCT [55, 56]. In the hepatobiliary phase of Gd-EOB-DTPA, almost all HCCs and some dysplastic nodules depict as an area of

**Fig. 7.** A 56-year-old male patient with chronic hepatitis B and liver cirrhosis. Double-contrast MRI with a gadolinium-enhanced arterial phase T₁w 3D GRE sequence (a) and a SPIO-enhanced T₂w GRE sequence (b). Note the hypervascular spot (triangle) within the only slightly hyperenhancing nodule (arrow). The hypervascular spot returned to isointensity on portovenous phase images (not shown) and exhibits clearly missing SPIO uptake in the liver-specific phase (b), whereas the surrounding nodule shows preserved SPIO uptake. This lesion shows the so-called nodule-in-nodule appearance, representing an overt HCC (with typical vascular changes and missing SPIO uptake) which aroused in the surrounding regenerative nodule.
decreased liver-specific uptake resulting in hypointense lesions [57]. The early dynamic phase of Gd-EOB-DTPA was questioned due to the lower dosing of gadolinium; however, several reports documented that a sufficient arterial phase can be acquired and that hypervascular tumors can be depicted accurately [58, 59]. However, due to the smaller injection volume it is recommended to use a bolus timing technique for Gd-EOB-DTPA-enhanced MRI, and there is data suggesting that a slower injection rate can lead to a more robust arterial phase with even increased arterial enhancement [60, 61]. First emerging data from abstracts show promising results for Gd-EOB-DTPA in the detection of HCC [62, 63]. Also, the added value of the 20-min hepatobiliary-phase images has been documented by first abstracts. Lee et al. [64] demonstrated an increased rate of correctly diagnosed HCC from 65.2% for MDCT, 80.3% for early dynamic Gd-EOB-DTPA, and 83.3% for combined dynamic and 20-min hepatobiliary phase. In this study, 93.4% of HCCs showed to by hypointense in the hepatobiliary phase.

For all three hepatobiliary contrast agents, liver-specific uptake in malignant HCCs with depiction as hyperintense lesions in the liver-specific phase has been demonstrated, but usually the frequency of this finding is low (<5%) and confined to well-differentiated HCCs [52, 57, 64, 65]. Generally, the presence of typical changes of the vascular supply will help to correctly characterize these lesions. Up to now, no exact thresholds for the uptake of regenerative nodules, dysplastic nodules and HCCs have been defined. However, the potential value of showing impaired biliary uptake for the evaluation of nodules in the cirrhotic liver has been appreciated by several authors [13, 66, 67]. In this respect it has been pointed out that hepatobiliary MRI helps to detect hepatic nodules ‘at risk’ for transformation into well-differentiated HCC (e.g. high-grade dysplastic nodules) prior to neovascularization and prior to development of overt HCC [13, 57]. That implicates that in the future, for example nodules with features of a high-grade dysplasia at precontrast MRI (T1W hyperintense, T2W iso- or hyperintense) and with hypointense depiction in hepatobiliary-phase images might be considered as HCC from a certain size on even with missing neovascularization – helping to overcome the diagnostic gap which exists in hypovascular HCC [68]. However, such an approach still needs more data on large patient cohorts.

### Conclusion

Although MDCT has reached a high standard for the detection of HCC with the possibility of multiphasic examinations and high-resolution isotropic datasets, MRI has to be regarded as the best non-invasive imaging modality for the detection of HCC and for the characterization of nodules in patients with liver cirrhosis. The multiple criteria (signal intensity precontrast, morphological features, early dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI, and especially liver-specific contrast agents) available with MRI result in a unique imaging modality enabling a very high diagnostic standard for the evaluation of the cirrhotic liver. However, it has to be recognized for all imaging modalities that there is a diagnostic problem for lesions <1 cm (in the detection of these small HCC as well as in the differentiation from other non-neoplastic nodules). With regard to the guidelines by the EASL-AASLD [12], vascularity of lesions in the cirrhotic liver is still the main criterion; however, there is already good evidence that liver-specific contrast agents help to increase the detection rate and might overcome the diagnostic gap of hypovascular HCC. In this respect, larger prospective trials are wanted.
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