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Introduction

In this paper we attempt to compare teleostean and mammalian forebrains. Such an endeavor could easily be disastrous, as is amply documented in the literature. For example, a direct topographical comparison of telencephalic cell masses in adult teleosts and adult mammals clearly leads to erroneous conclusions because teleost telencephala are everted, rather than evaginated like mammalian telencephala, resulting in a significant topographical rearrangement of structures [see Nieuwenhuys, 2009]. We believe that one can avoid such sins of interpretation, however, by using an approach founded on the views of Karl Ernst von Baer who uttered the ‘single most important words in the history of comparative embryology’ [Gould, 1977]:

(1) The general features of a large group of animals appear earlier in the embryo than the special features.
(2) Less general characters are developed from the most general, and so forth, until finally the most specialized appear.
(3) Each embryo of a given species, instead of passing through the stages of other animals, departs more and more from them.
(4) Fundamentally therefore, the embryo of a higher animal is never like the adult of a lower animal, but only like its embryo [von Baer, 1828; as translated by Gould, 1977].
Therefore, in the early development of the forebrain in vertebrates as phylogenetically remote as a zebrafish and a mouse, we can expect to find the corresponding structures based on the presence of a common Bauplan for vertebrate brains. It is well documented that this Bauplan reflects many similarities in development among vertebrates, and it has recently been expanded as the neurogenic model [Puelles and Rubenstein, 1993, 2003]. This model can be viewed as a three-dimensional grid of histogenetic units [Puelles and Medina, 2002] whose topological arrangement is highly conserved, evolutionarily, compared to its anteroposterior, dorsoventral and mediolateral molecular coordinates. Here, histogenetic units are defined as radial territories that give rise to molecularly coherent cell groups that share a developmental origin, a developmental history, and an adult fate. This approach leads to possibly the clearest definition of neural homology we have encountered: In any two vertebrate species, brain structures are homologous if: (1) their development can be traced back to the same topological origin within the periventricularly located proliferative matrix; (2) they share a similar developmental history, defined by similar stages and the expression of regulatory and other genes; and (3) they are defined in the adult by a similar set of neuronal (transmitter/neuromodulator) phenotypes as a result of the preceding developmental events. Any proposed homology should also be tested with outgroup comparisons, however, to ensure the uninterrupted phylogenetic descent of the structure in question [see Northcutt, 1984, for an introduction of the cladistic method to neurobiology].

We strongly support the idea that the recognition of a homology is independent of function, such as connectivity. Thus, information in addition to developmental and molecular genetic data – for example, data on physiological function or neural connectivity – may provide support for proposed homologies (homologous structures can be similar in function), but it cannot determine homologies alone or refute them. For example, a functional difference, such as a light-sensitive epiphysis in various vertebrates with axonal projections to brain structures versus a solely endocrine epiphysis in pri-mates, or pronounced differences in pallial connections of the dorsal thalamus in amniotes and teleosts, cannot be cause for rejecting a proposed homology that is based on developmental and molecular data and is plausibly integrated into the Bauplan and a cladistic scenario. We argue that these latter characteristics form a logical hierarchy for defining a neural structure and recognizing its homologue in other species. This hierarchy would extend from place and origin of a structure in the Bauplan to the molecular genetic pathway associated with it and the resulting neurochemical characteristics, followed by more derivative phenotypic features, such as connections and nuclear morphology, which are more prone to change during phylogeny. Additional confirmation of phylogenetic continuity using outgroup comparison is of course necessary for recognizing a homology. If, hypothetically, only mammals and sharks had a cerebellum, we would conclude that it originated convergently.

---

**Abbreviations used in this paper**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ac</td>
<td>anterior commissure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGE</td>
<td>caudal ganglionic eminence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP</td>
<td>cerebral peduncle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC</td>
<td>central zone of area dorsalis telencephali</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC</td>
<td>central posterior thalamic nucleus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DL</td>
<td>lateral zone of area dorsalis telencephali</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>medial zone of area dorsalis telencephali</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP</td>
<td>posterior zone of area dorsalis telencephali</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP</td>
<td>dorsal posterior thalamic nucleus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DT</td>
<td>dorsal thalamus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>epiphysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EmT</td>
<td>eminentia thalami</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>hypothalamus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ha</td>
<td>habenula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I fb</td>
<td>lateral forebrain bundle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGE</td>
<td>lateral ganglionic eminence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LP</td>
<td>lateral pallium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV</td>
<td>lateral telencephalic ventricle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGE</td>
<td>medial ganglionic eminence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP</td>
<td>medial pallium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MV</td>
<td>midbrain ventricle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>pallium (larval)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Po</td>
<td>preoptic region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>pretectum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSB</td>
<td>pallial-subpallial boundary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTd</td>
<td>dorsal part of posterior tuberculum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTv</td>
<td>ventral part of posterior tuberculum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sd</td>
<td>dorsal division of subpallium (larval)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sdd</td>
<td>dorsal territory of Sd (striatum)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sdv</td>
<td>ventral territory of Sd (pallidum)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sv</td>
<td>ventral division of subpallium (larval)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>tegmentum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TeO</td>
<td>tectum opticum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TV</td>
<td>telencephalic ventricle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 V</td>
<td>third ventricle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vd</td>
<td>dorsal nucleus of area ventralis telencephali</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VT</td>
<td>ventral thalamus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP</td>
<td>ventral pallium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vv</td>
<td>ventral nucleus of area ventralis telencephali</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZLI</td>
<td>zona limitans intrathalamica</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
twice independently, although both would fulfill the developmental criteria mentioned for recognizing a homology.

In order to identify histogenetic units in the telencephalon and characterize their full radial extent, we initially looked at early proliferation and neurogenesis with molecular markers. That is, we looked at the expression patterns of genes and the distribution of proteins that define certain stages of neuronal cells in the entire developing brain (not just the forebrain) [Ma et al., 1997; Casarosa et al., 1999; Fode et al., 2000; Bertrand et al., 2002]. By relating the expression patterns of proneural genes involved in the determination and differentiation of neuronal cells, to the zones of proliferation and neuronal differentiation, we intended to follow the radial fate of a neuronal phenotype from its site of origin (proliferative matrix) up to its final location in the radial periphery of the grey matter of a given histogenetic unit. One notable deviation from this radial concept of the development of histogenetic units is the phenomenon of tangential migration, such as that of the nascent γ-aminobutyric acid- (GABA-)ergic interneurons that originate in the subpallium and migrate tangentially into the cortex of mammals [Marín and Rubenstein, 2001; Nery et al., 2002] or the rostral migratory stream from the subpallial-pallial ventricular boundary zone into the olfactory bulb [Hack et al., 2005; Lledo et al., 2001; Nery et al., 2002] or the rostral migratory stream into the cortex of mammals [Marín and Rubenstein, 2001; Nery et al., 2002] or the rostral migratory stream from the subpallial-pallial ventricular boundary zone into the olfactory bulb [Hack et al., 2005; Lledo et al., 2006]. As we will see below, both radial and tangential migratory processes are reflected by gene expression patterns in the developing zebrafish brain, and these patterns can be compared with those in other vertebrate species, including mammals.

The patterns observed in the zebrafish are also consistent with known differences in early brain development in teleosts and mammals: for example, the processes of telencephalic eversion in teleosts and telencephalic evagination in mammals, and the resulting different locations of subpallial and pallial telencephalic divisions in the two taxa. In addition, these differences can be used to explain the migrating streams related to telencephalic divisions in teleosts, streams whose courses were altered, topographically, by eversion, but still maintaining the same topological relationships. It is highly implausible that these similar developmental patterns arose twice, independently, or that they would differ in the outgroup of teleosts and mammals: the cartilaginous fishes. In fact, studies on embryonic telencephalic cell migrations in cartilaginous fishes [Rodriguez-Moldes, 2009] are in general agreement with our findings.

Evolutionarily Conserved Patterns of Forebrain Neurogenesis

In order to identify molecular anatomical homologies in the developing zebrafish (teleostean) forebrain and to define developmental stages that correspond to forebrains of mammalian model organisms such as mouse and rat, we initially studied zebrafish expression patterns of those regulatory and other genes known to be involved in the determination and differentiation of neuronal cells. These molecular markers included Notch and Delta orthologues and genes coding for basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription factors [Blader et al., 1997; Dornseifer et al., 1997; Appel and Eisen, 1998; Korzh et al., 1998; Bertrand et al., 2002; Ross et al., 2003]. The initial results showing the anatomical distribution of these markers during the early phase of secondary neurogenesis in the forebrains of early developing zebrafish larvae, i.e., two to five days postfertilization, were compared to available mouse data for the period of mammalian primary neurogenesis (roughly mouse E9.0 to E18.5) published by various labs [Ma et al., 1997; Horton et al., 1999; Schuurmans and Guillemot, 2002]. Primary neurogenesis in very early zebrafish (before 24 h) has already been described and is mostly that of transitorily generated neurons, such as the Rohon-Beard cells. Therefore, herein we will solely address secondary postembryonic zebrafish neurogenesis [see Mueller and Wullimann, 2003, 2005; Wullimann and Mueller, 2004a]. The diverse expression patterns of zebrafish and mice were compiled and mapped onto schematic representations of their early forebrains, and the comparison suggested the existence of a phylotypic stage of neurogenesis during the early development of vertebrate brains [Wullimann and Mueller, 2004a, Mueller et al., 2006], as corroborated by new data from mice [Osório et al., 2009]. The results on which these conclusions are based will be discussed below in chronological order.

Molecular Characterization of Early Proliferation and Proneural Gene Expression Patterns

First indications that there are patterns of secondary neurogenesis in teleostean forebrains that are similar to those of primary neurogenesis in mammalian forebrains came from our studies of expression patterns of neurogenin1 (ngn1) and NeuroD in comparison to sites of proliferation visualized either by immunohistochemistry for the proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) or for previ-
ously introduced bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) in the developing zebrafish forebrain at two to five days [Mueller and Wullimann, 2002, 2003]. During this time frame, ngn1 and NeuroD are likely involved in the massive production of glutamatergic neurons (see below). These genes are expressed in slightly overlapping patterns that appear adjacent to the proliferative matrix of many – but not all – forebrain regions. Specifically, expression sites of ngn1 and NeuroD include the olfactory bulb (OB), the pallium (P), the pretectum (Pr), the dorsal thalamus (DT), the eminentia thalami (EmT), the dorsal posterior tuberculum (PTd), and the ventral posterior tuberculum (PTv), which reaches caudoventrally into the dorsal part of the inferior lobe. Four other forebrain regions were defined by the absence of ngn1 and NeuroD expression (S, Po, VT, H) [Mueller and Wullimann, 2002, 2003; Wullimann and Mueller, 2002]. In general, the expression domains of ngn1 and NeuroD confirmed the composition of the early postembryonic zebrafish (i.e., teleost) brain – three prosomeres (P1–P3), pretectum, dorsal thalamus, ventral thalamus (fig. 1) – previously revealed by proliferation studies [Wullimann and Puelles, 1999] – plus an eminentia thalami (EmT), which is molecularly different from the (ngn1/NeuroD negative) ventral thalamus, as well as different from the remaining, complexly organized, most anterior, secondary prosencephalon. In contrast, the original prosomeric model suggested that six prosomeres comprise the entire forebrain [Puelles and Rubenstein, 1993], and it was later modified to include three prosomeres (P1–3) plus a secondary prosencephalon, with the eminentia thalami forming a part of P3 [Puelles and Rubenstein, 2003].

The forebrain regions that were defined by the absence of ngn1 and NeuroD expression (S, Po, VT, H) showed expression of Zash1a instead. The Zash1a gene is the zebrafish orthologue of the Mash1 gene in mammals, which is involved in the specification of GABAergic cells (fig. 1) [Allende and Weinberg, 1994; Wullimann and Mueller, 2002]. Within vertebrate forebrains, Dlx2 is another gene involved in the specification of GABAergic cells [Anderson et al., 1997; Panganiban and Rubenstein, 2002; Flames et al., 2007].

The Dlx2 is a homeobox gene (distal less), which is restricted to subpallial, preoptic, ventral thalamic and hypothalamic regions of the forebrain in mice [Marín et al., 2000; Flames et al., 2007; Zhao et al., 2008], and the orthologous gene in zebrafish, Dlx2a, is expressed in all
four homologous regions (fig. 1) that define the major sites of forebrain GABAergic cell production [Mueller et al., 2008]. Thus, the overall picture emerging from these gene expression studies is that Dlx2a and Zash1a, which are involved in the massive production of GABAergic cells, are expressed in a pattern that is complementary to that of genes involved in the production of glutamatergic cell types in the zebrafish forebrain, but there are certain regions that probably express Zash1, as well as ngn1 and NeuroD in a different manner, and we call this phenomenon the expression switched pattern. Regions with the expression switched pattern are: the pretectal region (Pr; alar plate prosomere 1); and the ventral posterior tuberculum (PTv; basal plate prosomere 3). Overall, the complementary expression of Zash1a, neurogenin, and NeuroD in the forebrains of two- to three-day-old zebrafish embryos highly resembles the expression patterns of the orthologous genes (Mash1, neurogenin1, NeuroD) in mouse brains between embryonic stages E12.5 and E13.5 [Ma et al., 1997; Casarosa et al., 1999; Eisenstat et al., 1999; Fode et al., 2000; Wullimann and Mueller, 2004a; Mueller and Wullimann, 2005]. A very similar pattern for Neurogenin-1-related and NeuroD expression in the forebrain was also seen in the African clawed-frog, Xenopus laevis [Wullimann et al., 2005]. Therefore, we assume that this early pattern of neurogenesis, as well as overall molecular organization, is highly conserved in all vertebrate forebrains. This is best exemplified by the generation of GABAergic cells [Katarova et al., 2000; Mueller et al., 2006]. At two days postfertilization, the majority of GABA cells are restricted to those regions that either express Dlx2a together with Zash1a, or express Zash1a alone, i.e., those regions that show the expression switched pattern. The first mentioned regions include the subpallium (S), the preoptic region (Po), the ventral thalamus (VT) and the hypothalamus (H), all of which show a massive presence of GABA cells. In addition, many GABA cells were found to originate in the Zash1a-positive regions with the expression switched pattern, such as the pretectum (Pr) and the ventral posterior tuberculum (PTv). Thus, all of these regions that predominantly show GABA cells in correlation with Dlx2a and/or Zash1a expression at two days postfertilization could be safely considered as primary production sites of GABAergic cells. As early as three days postfertilization, however, we found some scattered GABA cells in the pallium of zebrafish (fig. 2); these cells are very likely not produced there but rather have tangentially migrated from a subpallial site of origin, as has been shown in mice and various other mammalian species. Thus, the overall distribution of GABA cells in zebrafish forebrains between two and three days postfertilization highly resembles the condition in mouse brains between E12.5 and E.13.5 [Katarova et al., 2000; Mueller et al., 2006]. We call this time frame a vertebrate phylotypic stage, or state of forebrain neurogenesis, because the striking similarities in zebrafish and mice likely reflect ontogenetic constraints in this and other regards. A similar situation – including delayed appearance and distribution – has been reported for GABAergic cells in the dorsal telencephalon (pallium) of lampreys [Meléndez-Ferro et al., 2002; Robertson et al., 2007]; furthermore, tangential migration of GABAergic
cells from the subpallium into the pallium can be observed in other amniote species besides mammals [turtles, Méthin et al., 2007; chicks, Cobos et al., 2001a] and in anamniotes other than zebrafish, i.e., amphibians [Brox et al., 2003; Moreno et al., 2008] and sharks [Rodríguez-Moldes, 2009]

All in all, the molecular organization of the forebrain in teleosts (zebrafish, at least), and the distribution of its GABA cells at an early postembryonic stage, highly resemble the situation in mammals (the mouse, at least) and reflect common ontogenetic constraints in the early production and regulation of neuronal phenotypes in vertebrates (secondary neurogenesis in anamniotes; primary neurogenesis in mammals). Outgroup comparisons with other fishes, such as lampreys [Villar-Cerviño et al., 2009] and sharks [Rodríguez-Moldes, 2009], as well as other amniotes, such as frogs [Barale et al., 1996; Brox et al., 2003; Medina et al., 2005; Wullimann et al., 2009] and sharks [Rodríguez-Moldes, 2009], again, support the idea of such a phylogenetic stage of neurogenesis within vertebrate forebrains.

**Evolution and Development of Teleostean Basal Ganglia**

A recent comparative analysis of the adult forebrain in teleosts suggested that pallidal and striatal elements, which together comprise the telencephalic basal ganglia, are intermingled in the dorsal nucleus of the subpallium [Vd; Rink and Wullimann, 2001; Wullimann and Mueller, 2004a]. The finding in three-day-old zebrafish of possibly migrated GABA-positive cells from the subpallium into the pallium raised the question whether these cells might originate in a specialized area of the early basal ganglia that is homologous to the pallidal primordium, the medial ganglionic eminence (MGE) of mammals. In mice, the pallidal and striatal primordia are molecularly defined as specialized regions. Both primordia show expression of Dlx2 and Mash1, but only the pallidal primordium (MGE) expresses the LIM genes Lhx6 and Lhx7 [Grigoriou et al., 1998; Puelles et al., 2000; Zerucha et al., 2000; Yun et al., 2002; Zhao et al., 2003]. The GABAergic interneurons of the cortex in adult mice are produced in the MGE and they invade the pallium via tangential migration around E13.5. This tangential migratory stream is visible in histological preparations for in situ hybridization of GAD67 and Lhx7, where expressing cells are found in the pallium and the LGE [Sussel et al., 1999; Puelles et al., 2000; Anderson et al., 2001; Zhao et al., 2003, 2008].

In order to determine the early molecular organization of larval teleostean brains, we looked at the expression patterns of Dlx2a, Lhx6, Lhx7, and GAD67 in the brains of two- to three-day-old zebrafish [Mueller et al., 2008], i.e., those stages that were shown to correspond to the brains of mice at stages E12.5–E13.5 [Mueller and Wullimann, 2005]. Again, we found that the molecular organization of the basal ganglia in zebrafish was strikingly similar to that in mammals (fig. 2). One has to take into account the partial eversion of the telencephalon in teleosts [Wullimann and Mueller, 2004a], which leads to different topographical locations of these markers in teleosts and mammals. The Dlx2a gene was found to be expressed in all subpallial regions close to the proliferative periventricular matrix, but not in radially migrated territories such as the lateral subpallium (M4; primordial lateral nucleus of the area ventralis). Thus, at two and three days postfertilization, the expression of Dlx2a serves as a subpallial marker, just like pallial markers such as Tbr2 (comesa), and subsequently allowing identification of the pallial-subpallial border (PSB). The Lhx6 gene was found to be expressed in most of the subpallium, including postmitotic and radially migrating cells and radially migrated subpallial derivatives, such as the lateral subpallium (M4), but it appeared to be expressed only in the ventral, not in the dorsal, territory of the dorsal subpallium (Sdv), and not in tangentially migrating cells. Similar to Lhx6, the Lhx7 gene is expressed in a periventricular portion of the subpallium that is also marked by Dlx2, namely the ventral territory of the dorsal subpallium (Sdv), which likely demarcates the medial ganglionic eminence (MGE), plus the subpallial septal primordium in larval teleosts. The synthetic enzyme for GABA, GAD67 (glutamic acid decarboxylase) was found to be expressed in all forebrain regions previously shown to contain GABAergic cells, i.e., the subpallium, preoptic region, pretectum, ventral thalamus, hypothalamus, and posterior tuberculum [Mueller et al., 2006], but important differences were noted with respect to the telencephalon. For example, at three days postfertilization, GAD67 is expressed in most postmitotic radially migrating cells of the dorsal and ventral subpallium in a pattern similar to that of the GABA-positive cell distribution. In addition, GAD67-expressing cells are found in the migrated area of the lateral subpallium (M4), which is GABA-negative at two and three days. Other than in the septal region (Sv), most GAD67-expressing cells, close to or overlapping the proliferative subpallial matrix, were found in the ventral part of the dorsal subpallium (Sdv), with only a few such cells in the dorsal part of the dorsal subpallium.
(Sdd). The GAD67-expressing cells of the ventral part of the dorsal subpallium (Sdv) form a tangentially oriented strip of cell masses. This strip comprises periventricularly located cells that overlap with the proliferative matrix in Sdv and continue into a large GAD67-positive cell mass, bypassing the only weakly GAD67-positive proliferative and postmitotic cells of the dorsal part of the dorsal subpallium (Sdd), and crossing the pallial-subpallial boundary (PSB) into the pallium. The number of GAD67-expressing cells in the pallium is considerably higher than that of GABA cells at this stage. This distribution of GABAergic cells in the developing zebrafish brain clearly resembles the subpallial-pallial migratory stream of GABAergic cells found in mammals, thus indicating the presence of a teleostean subpallial region homologous to mammalian MGE; both are the major source of tangentially migrating cell masses, which apparently give rise to pallial GABAergic interneurons in teleost fish (zebrafish) and mammals (mouse). As is the case for the LGE in mice [Anderson et al., 2001], a minor contribution of tangentially migrating GABA cells, destined for the cortex, might come from the Sdd in zebrafish. Notably, the subpallial-pallial tangential migratory stream of GABAergic cells into the pallium in teleosts takes a topographically medial route, whereas its counterpart in mammals takes a lateral route, thus reflecting the respective topological relationship of the subpallium and the pallium in the everted teleostean telencephalon and the evaginated mammalian telencephalon, respectively. It is another striking example of an evolutionarily conserved developmental mechanism in two vertebrate forebrains that appear very different morphologically.

Identification and Morphogenesis of the Eminentia Thalami (EmT) in Teleosts

Puelles and Rubenstein [1993] originally defined the larval eminentia thalami (EmT) as being the most posterior, alar-plate-derived portion of the secondary prosencephalon (prosomere 4), located immediately anterior to the ventral thalamus (prosomere 3), but they recently revised this definition to include the EmT in the most dorsal part of (prethalamic) prosomere 3 [Puelles and Rubenstein, 2003]. The embryonic EmT in mammals is molecularly defined by periventricular expression domains of neurogenin1/2 and Pax6 and, more laterally, by NeuroD and Tbr1/2 domains, as well as by calretinin expression [Abbott and Jacobowitz, 1999; Bulfone et al., 1999; Puelles et al., 2000; Englund et al., 2005; Osório et al., 2009]. Thus, the molecular characteristics of the EmT clearly differ from those of the caudally adjacent ventral thalamus and those of the anteriorly adjacent preoptic region, both of which are defined by the expression of Dlx2 and Mash1, thus indicating that the EmT derivatives in adults are likely glutamatergic regions or nuclei. Recently, it was suggested that the adult derivatives might comprise the bed nucleus of the stria medullaris and/or the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis [Puelles et al., 2000; Cobos et al., 2001b].

We identified a larval teleostean eminentia thalami (EmT) in zebrafish, based on a comparative analysis of expression patterns of ngn1, NeuroD, Pax6 and Tbr2 [Wullimann and Mueller, 2004b]. In particular, our two-to three-day larvae showed radially migrating streams of cells expressing NeuroD between the preoptic region and the ventral thalamus [thus matching the topological organization described in hamsters by Keyser, 1972] and extending towards the periphery of the pia surrounding the lateral forebrain bundle. A weaker, less extensive expression pattern for neurogenin1 has already been discussed as possibly representing the EmT [Mueller and Wullimann, 2003]. We then compared the NeuroD-expression patterns with corresponding histological sections from three-day-old zebrafish larvae stained with an antibody against Hu-proteins, which is a marker for differentiating neurons. Considered together, these data revealed the location and anatomical delineation of the EmT in larval teleosts [Wullimann and Mueller, 2004b]. As in the EmT in embryonic mammals, the larval EmT in teleosts is molecularly defined by the expression of ngn1/2, and Pax6 (periventricularly), as well as NeuroD (see above) and Tbr1/2 [Puelles et al., 2000; Mione et al., 2001; Wullimann and Mueller, 2004b; Englund et al., 2005; Osório et al., 2009]. Furthermore, as in mammals, the larval EmT in teleosts is sandwiched between the Dlx2(a)- and Zash1a- (Mash1)-expressing ventral thalamus, caudally, and the Dlx2(a) and Zash1a- (Mash1)-expressing preoptic region rostrally [Puelles et al., 2000; Mueller et al., 2008].

Due to new data on the distribution of GAD67-mRNA in the forebrain of adult zebrafish [Mueller and Guo, 2009] as well as data on the related gene expression patterns (Neurogenin2, NeuroD, Mash1) in embryonic mouse brains [Osório et al., 2009], we now have additional clues regarding the adult derivatives of the EmT in zebrafish as well as in mice. In contrast to our initial hypothesis that the zebrafish (teleostean) EmT gives rise to the entire entopeduncular complex [Wullimann and Mueller, 2004b], it now appears that only the GAD67-negative ventral en-
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topeduncular nucleus is derived from the larval EmT, whereas the GAD67-expressing dorsal entopeduncular nucleus is not likely to be a derivative of EmT [Mueller and Guo, 2009]. The EmT-derived GAD67-negative ventral entopeduncular nucleus is probably homologous to the bed nucleus of the stria medullaris (BNSM) in mammals [Mueller and Guo, 2009]. In support of this hypothesis is the recent finding that this nucleus is calretinin-positive, as is the developing EmT in mammals [Abbott and Jacobowitz, 1999] and the discovery that it projects to the habenula [Hendricks and Jesuthasan, 2007]. Also, the BNSM in mammals is characterized by the presence of many cells immunoreactive for enkephalin but very few cells immunoreactive for GAD67 [Risold and Swanson, 1995], a condition consistent with the assumption that the majority of BNSM neurons are glutamatergic. Therefore the GAD67-positive dorsal portion of the zebrafish entopeduncular complex (i.e., only the former dorsal entopeduncular nucleus) is assumed to be homologous to the entopeduncular nucleus proper in non-primate mammals and the internal segment of globus pallidus in primates [Mueller and Guo, 2009]. It is likely that this part of the entopeduncular complex also projects to the habenula, but this projection should be devoid of calretinin-expression and should express parvalbumin instead [Rajakumar et al., 1994; Yáñez and Anadon, 1996].

As a novel point of interest, our recent mouse data [Osório et al., 2009] revealed that a strip of neurogenin2-expressing cells extends peripherally from the ventricular location where the EmT domain emerges (fig. 3). This strip clearly leads into the territory of the hypothalamus (which is otherwise Mash1-positive) and reaches the pial periphery anterior to the cerebral peduncle (fig. 3). We interpret this ventrolateral ngn2 stream as representing part of the alar hypothalamus in mice [Puelles and Rubenstein, 2003]. Interestingly, and consistent with this hypothesis, a part of the alar-plate-derived hypothalamus also remains GAD-free [Katarova et al., 2000]. In comparison, the described strips of NeuroD- and ngn1-expressing cells in developing larvae of three-day-old zebrafish originate at the ventricle where the EmT is located, and they also extend posteriorly and anteriorly to the lateral forebrain bundle, thus topologically almost mirroring the situation in mice (fig. 3). The ventral stream in zebrafish might therefore include glutamatergic cells from the alar hypothalamus that have an unknown topographical destiny, in addition to cells that contribute to the bed nucleus of the stria medullaris, which in adult zebrafish is located both anterior and posterior to the lateral forebrain bundle [Mueller and Guo, 2009].

Evolution and Development of the Teleostean Pallium

The organization of the pallium in adult teleosts, as well as its developmental and and evolutionary interpretation, has for years been under debate [Nieuwenhuys, 1963, 2009; Northcutt and Braford, 1980; Northcutt and Davis, 1983; Nieuwenhuys and Meek, 1990; Costagli et
The eversion of pallial masses in teleosts, in contrast to their evagination in most other vertebrates, results in a different topography in the telencephalon. We have proposed an explicit hypothesis of partial eversion in the telencephalon of teleosts that combines morphogenetic and molecular developmental data [Wullimann and Mueller, 2004a]. In brief, evagination results in two telencephalic hemispheres that enclose a central (bilateral) ventricle and show the basic gnathostome arrangement of subpallial and pallial masses (seen in fig. 2, right panel). In partial eversion, the subpallial masses lie medial, with the pallium having rolled out laterally (seen in fig. 2, left panel), taking with it the fusion point (roof plate) of the medial pallium and stretching the roof plate into an epithelial covering of the thinned out ventricle. In developing mouse embryos, gene expression patterns of the pallial genes *Emx1*, *Tbr1*, *Pax6* and *Dbx1* (the last of which is selective for the ventral pallium and its derivatives) indicated that the pallium in mammals is comprised of four divisions [Puelles et al., 1999;
Medina et al., 2004; Englund et al., 2005]: (1) the medial pallium, which gives rise to the hippocampus; (2) the dorsal pallium, which gives rise to the six-layered isocortex; (3) the ventral pallium, which gives rise to parts of the pallial amygdaloid nuclei (basolateral and lateral amygdala), plus parts of the endopiriform and claustrial areas; and (4) the lateral pallium, which gives rise to the piriform cortex and other parts of the claustroamygdaloid complex. Similar gene expression data for teleost fish have long been missing, but molecular, hodological, and behavioral data from adult teleosts (mainly goldfish and zebrafish) meanwhile suggest the existence of four teleost pallial divisions, homologous to the medial, dorsal, ventral and lateral pallium [Mueller and Wullimann, 2005].

Teleostean pallial organization can best be explained with a partial eversion model (see above) [Wullimann and Mueller, 2004a], because such a model assumes that the olfactory pallium (posterior zone of dorsal telencephalic area; Dp) is homologous to the lateral pallium (piriform cortex) in mammals and is a migrated derivative of a ventricular region assumed to lie within the midline portion of the medial zone of area dorsalis telencephali (Dm) in teleosts. In support of this assumed origin is that the posterior (olfactory) pallium (Dp) does not show a superficial zone of proliferation, in contrast to other pallial divisions (Dm, Dl), which – due to eversion – have their proliferative ventricular matrix zone at the surface of the telencephalon. The absence of a superficial zone of proliferation was demonstrated very clearly in adult zebrafish (fig. 4C) after a short BrdU incubation [Lillesaar et al., 2009] and suggests that – in contrast to the medial and lateral zones of area dorsalis telencephali – the posterior zone has no ventricular proliferative zone at the surface and therefore does not appear to be evverted. The attachment of the tela chooroidea, however, remains disputable. We hypothesized in our partial eversion model, that this attachment lies at the peripheral boundary of the posterior and lateral zones of area dorsalis. According to Northcutt and Davis [1983], this is the case in the gar Lepisosteus and in a teleost, Salmo, but not in the teleost Lepomis, where the attachment lies at the ventrolateral border of the posterior zone of area dorsalis. We have unfortunately not been able to show the attachment of the telencephalic tela, directly, in our own preparations. Regardless of this issue, another line of evidence supports the assumed mediolateral migration of pallial cell masses into the posterior zone of area dorsalis (Dp). As mentioned above, the proliferative zone of Dp is hypothesized to be in the midline of the medial zone of area dorsalis in adult teleosts, presumably dorsal to the ventral pallium [VP = precursor of much of the pallial amygdala, which is assumed to represent much or all of Dm in adults; Northcutt, 2006]. The partial eversion model predicts a radial migratory stream towards the pial surface of the posterior zone of area dorsalis (Dp). The expression pattern of Tbr2 [Mueller et al., 2008] is consistent with this assumption and indicates a radial migratory stream from the midline of the pallium towards the pial surface, where the posterior zone of area dorsalis (Dp) comes to lie in adults. This represents the first evidence for the presence of a lateral pallial migratory stream and supports our pallial eversion model [Wullimann and Mueller, 2004a], as well as the suggestion that the posterior zone of area dorsalis (Dp) is homologous to the lateral pallium of other vertebrates (including the piriform cortex of mammals).

There is substantial connectional evidence [Northcutt, 2006] and lesion-dependent behavioral evidence [Salas et al., 2003; Portavella et al., 2004] that Dm is homologous to the pallial amygdala (ventral pallium derivative) and that Dl is homologous to the hippocampus (medial pallium derivative).

Conclusions

In the context of our interest in the structure and evolution of vertebrate brains, we use the zebrafish as a genetic model in which we can hopefully decipher the development, organization, and evolution of the forebrain in a teleost fish. Comparison of our data from teleosts with those from a mammal (the mouse) provides further insights into vertebrate evolution and, ultimately, the molecular basis of human neurological disorders. In our review, the similarities in forebrain development in zebrafish and mice are often striking. Do these similarities reflect on ancestral developmental constraints leading to similar solutions? Did we show that there are more homologous areas and nuclei in mammalian and teleostean forebrains than previously thought? And are these homologous areas/nuclei functionally equivalent to their mammalian counterparts? Clearly, many questions remain, mostly because the condition in many other vertebrate groups has not been examined, and some of the homologies suggested in the forebrains of zebrafish and mice could turn out to represent examples of convergence, with similarities that are independently derived. Information from Xenopus, however [Medina et al., 2005; Wullimann et al., 2005], and, importantly, newly emerging data from cartilaginous fishes [Rodriquez-Moldes, 2009] indicate that many of the developmental events we
describe herein represent a generalized condition in vertebrate brains. At the same time, the noted absence of markers for a structure homologous to the medial ganglion eminence/primordial pallidum in lampreys [Osório et al., 2005] indicates that some of the patterns described herein originated with gnathostomes and might not be present in agnathans. We believe that the search for developmental similarities and early gene activity is more promising than the comparison of adult brains, because it takes into account the neural origin of structures in a Bauplan of histogenetic units, which is relatively stable compared to the range of variation seen in the adult morphology among various species. Furthermore, our approach goes beyond morphogenetic issues (such as eversion), as we directly target the genetic control of developmentally relevant events in neurogenesis. The molecular markers that we use allow us to differentiate the spatial origin of major neuronal phenotypes and their early anatomical patterns of distribution and migration. This ontogenetic approach is therefore qualitatively different from previously applied comparisons based on adult topography alone, which can lead to false conclusions when examining brains of animals as phylogenetically divergent as mammals and teleost fishes.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank Mary Sue Northcutt for substantial editorial and stylistic improvement of the manuscript.

References


Anderson SA, Marin O, Horn C, Jennings K, Revere, as we directly target the genetic control of developmentally relevant events in neurogenesis. The molecular markers that we use allow us to differentiate the spatial origin of major neuronal phenotypes and their early anatomical patterns of distribution and migration. This ontogenetic approach is therefore qualitatively different from previously applied comparisons based on adult topography alone, which can lead to false conclusions when examining brains of animals as phylogenetically divergent as mammals and teleost fishes.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank Mary Sue Northcutt for substantial editorial and stylistic improvement of the manuscript.

References


Ma Q, Sommer L, Cseresi P, Anderson DJ (1997) Maski and neurogenin1 expression patterns define complementary domains of neuroepithelium in the developing CNS and are correlated with regions expressing Notch ligands. J Neurosci 17:434–452.


