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Introduction

A prominent aspect of the discussion in regards to whether Japan can be labeled fascist during the 1930's until its defeat in 1945 is the lack of a fascist mass movement concentrated in a fascist political party. Maruyama Masao (丸山眞男) notes that Japan, until 1936, lacked a fascist mass movement and that the fascist terrorists did not wish to create such a movement.¹ He divides Japanese fascism into 'fascism from below' and 'fascism from above' and explains that the military itself, as a result of the fascist terrorism actually gained more political power, and became an important force in advancing 'fascism from above.'² Was it just the military's position of power that enabled it to spread militarism and fascism? Was it not the mass base of militarized and willing followers within the populace, who had been indoctrinated for decades, who came to cheer the fascist terrorism and eventually helped carry the fascization 'from above' that was promoted by the military?

The Imperial Japanese Army (大日本帝国陸軍 dainippon teikoku rikugun) was an important actor in the political scene from Meiji to Shōwa partly because the restorationists – the Meiji Oligarchs – came from the samurai class, which had been the military force in Japan for centuries. The army's unique position in the Meiji Constitution (明治憲法 meiji kenpō³) and the emperor's position as de jure head of state and supreme commander of the armed forces, but de facto not being in control, greatly contributed to the army's independence and its being a powerful political player. This, however, as this thesis will demonstrate, was only one significant aspect for the army in being an important factor in spreading militarism and fascism in prewar Japan. The army would have hardly been able to stimulate the support it – for the most part – had within the population merely through military propaganda, aided by the fascist terrorism of the first half of the 1930's, if the massive indoctrination of virtually the entire populace had not taken place.

Compulsory education and universal conscription, but most of all, the Imperial Military Reserve Association (帝国在郷軍人会 teikoku zaigō gunjinkai, hereafter: zaigō gunjinkai) and its subsidiary organizations accomplished comprehensive indoctrination.

³ The Meiji Constitution is officially called Constitution of the Empire of Japan (大日本帝国憲法 dainippon teikoku kenpō).
The zaigō gunjinkai and its subsidiary organizations not only reached the soldiers on active duty and the reserves, but almost all of the Japanese males, and through permeating the educational system, also a large part of the female population was reached. Fascist and militarist ideology, for example, that of Uesugi Shinkichi (上杉慎吉) and Kakehi Katsuhiko (筧克彦), was thus spread throughout the population.

The main argument of this thesis is that the army became a decisive factor in spreading militarism and fascism in prewar Japan because it created a mass base of willing followers. A party-centered mass movement which Japanese fascism lacked, was thus not necessary to promote militarism and fascism.

The focus of this thesis will be on the army in prewar Japan and not on the military including the Imperial Japanese Navy (大日本帝国海軍 dai nippon teikoku kaigun) as a whole because the navy, as Krebs notes, never became as influential of a political actor as the army did. The time at which Japan entered the state of being at war is determined by multiple factors. When speaking, for example, of the 15 Year War (十五年戦争 jūgonen sensō), the Manchurian Incident (満州事変 manshū jihen) is seen as the beginning of the war. For this thesis, however, roughly 1937 marks the end of prewar Japan. This is based on several facts: after the February 26 Incident (二・二六事件 ni-niroku jiken) in 1936, the army gained power and measures were taken to eliminate remaining liberal tendencies, the kokutai no hongi (国体の本義 Fundamentals of our National Polity) was published in 1937, and military budgets reached the levels they had during previous wars. Finally, with Konoe Fumimaro (近衛文麿) as Prime Minister, the army found a willing ally in promoting their aims, and as a result of the China Incident (支那事変 shina jihen), also in 1937, which within one month was escalated to a full-blown war, the National Mobilization Law (国家総動員法 kokka sōdō inhō) was enacted in 1938.

The first chapter examines the Japanese context from the late Meiji period until the early Shōwa period focusing on important developments in regards to the Imperial Japanese Army. The three wars that Japan was involved in during that time, the first Sino-Japanese War, the Russo-Japanese War, and World War I, as well as liberal tendencies during the Taishō era, all of which influenced the army's relationship to and

---

4 Gerhard Krebs, Japan im Pazifischen Krieg: Herrschaftssystem, Politische Willensbildung und Friedenssuche (München, Iudicium-Verlag, 2010), 41. Due to the scope of this paper, the navy will not be further discussed. Some aspects of this thesis, for example, the law that enabled only active duty officers of the rank of general/admiral to serve as Army/Navy Ministers, however, also apply to the navy.
backing in the populace, will be discussed in order to establish a historic perspective, on which the following chapters will build.

The second chapter provides an overview of the specifics of Japanese fascism. The focus then proceeds on to the period of fascist terrorism, which can be seen as the result of the deteriorating socioeconomic situation discussed in Chapter 1. Two significant incidents, the Manchurian Incident and the February 26 Incident that greatly promoted the spreading of fascism in Japan will be analyzed. This advancement, as will be shown, benefited from the differing levels of support of most army officers and the populace. Another result of the fascist terrorism, the continuing inner army factionalism, will be addressed.

Yamagata Aritomo (山縣有朋), the architect of the Imperial Japanese Army and the conscription system, will be introduced in Chapter 3. Yamagata, as will be demonstrated, did not only form the army but also was involved in major aspects of the creation of the Meiji political system. Chapter 3 will conclude with the assessment that Yamagata's death in 1922, made faults in the system he was decisive in shaping evident. His death created a power vacuum that led to instability, in which radical forces could advance their aims more freely than they could have while Yamagata as an eminent authority in the background was alive.

Chapter 4 examines the various aspects of the Imperial Japanese Army. The introduction of universal conscription, which was one of the main pillars of the indoctrination effort, will be evaluated before turning to the role of the emperor and the armed forces in the Meiji system as shaped by the Meiji Constitution. The role of the emperor as de jure supreme commander and the institutions that made up the foundation of the army's independence will be analyzed as they were decisive for the emergence of the Imperial Japanese Army as a dominant political actor. The focus then shifts to the army's educational institutions and the indoctrination within these and the army. This indoctrination is significant because it was the nucleus from which the militarist and fascist ideology of, for example, Uesugi and Kakehi, was spread among the populace – not directly, since universal conscription did not reach the majority of the population, but by means of the zaigō gunjinkai and its subsidiary organizations.

Another important person in the military as well as the civilian sphere, Tanaka Giichi (田中義一), will be introduced in Chapter 5. Tanaka, like his mentor Yamagata, created an important military institution: The zaigō gunjinkai. He also, as will be
discussed, had a major role in shaping military policies that had significant effects on the Japanese government's policies.

Chapter 6 will focus on the zaigō gunjinkai. Before going into detail, however, it is necessary to evaluate the indoctrination that the entire populace underwent independent of the zaigō gunjinkai and its subsidiary organizations: the indoctrination in compulsory education, which was based on the Imperial Rescript on Education (教育ニ関スル勅語 kyōiku ni kansuru chokugo, hereafter: kyōiku chokugo) and forms a minor pillar of the indoctrination effort. Attention will then turn to analyzing the reasons that convinced Tanaka of the necessity of what became another main pillar of the army's indoctrination effort: the zaigō gunjinkai and its subsidiary organizations. The structure of the zaigō gunjinkai will be discussed subsequently, before shifting to the Greater Japan Youth Association (大日本青年団 dainippon seinendan, hereafter: seinendan), the Youth Training Centers (青年訓練所 seinen kunrenjo, hereafter: kunrenjo), and the Greater Japan National Defense Women’s Association (大日本国防婦人会 dainippon kokubō fujinkai, hereafter: fujinkai), that gave the army access to the juvenile as well as the female population. The chapter will conclude by analyzing the activities that were carried out by these organizations, evaluating the success of the indoctrination effort, and by inspecting the source of funding, will assess the integration of the organizations into the local hamlet structure.

Chapter 7 will take up aspects discussed in Chapter 1, mainly the backing of the army within the population, and proceeds to discuss the army as a force outside of the military sphere. Aspects of the army's ties to the bureaucracy will be depicted before focusing on the army's cooperation with the zaibatsu (財閥 business conglomerate). The final part of Chapter 7 will evaluate the army's increasing role as a political player.

Chapter 8, as an epilogue, will depict Japan's path towards complete 'national mobilization' and 'total war'. The Peace Preservation Law (治安維持法 chian ijihō) of 1925, which included the provision that harming the kokutai (国体 national polity) was an illegal act, will be analyzed before turning to the kokutai no hongi. Subsequently, the China Incident, and the National Mobilization Law, as an example of legislation that followed the China Incident and increased the state's authority, will be discussed before finally turning the focus to the 'total war' effort which was borne by the willing populace as a result of the indoctrination efforts.

Unless otherwise indicated, the English translations are my own. Citations are according to the standards of the Chicago Manual of Style with the exception of
Japanese names, which throughout the thesis, and also in the Japanese sources, will be given in the Japanese format. Japanese terms are in italics but not capitalized, while names are capitalized but not in italics. A list of significant Japanese terms used in this paper is located in Appendix I.

1. The Japanese Context & the Army: Late Meiji until prewar Shōwa

1.1 The First Sino-Japanese War and the Russo-Japanese War

The Sino-Japanese War and the Russo-Japanese War are important events regarding the Imperial Japanese Army. The Sino-Japanese War marked a temporary end of the anti-militaristic sentiment within the population. Both wars created a sense of a nation for the first time in modern Japan and in the long run gained admiration for and backing of the Imperial Japanese Army within the Japanese population as will be shown in this chapter.

1.1.1 The First Sino-Japanese War (1894 – 1895)

In 1894 the Japanese ruling elite finally saw their chance to wage war on China. A plan had been developed by the General Staff Office (参謀本部 sanbō honbu) as early as 1887. A decisive factor for this external aggression was public unrest within Japan that stemmed from the fact that the Japanese government seemed incapable of revising the unequal treaties which in turn spurred radical nationalism. It should not be overlooked, however, that “Japan's slide into imperialism was a logical response to Japanese perceptions of world trends.” The Sino-Japanese War was popular among the Japanese population from the beginning on and contributed to the growing nationalism with the

---

5 Rudolf Hartmann, Geschichte des Modernen Japan: Von Meiji bis Heisei (Berlin: Akademie Verlag, 1996), 80-81.
public rejoicing over the triumphant victory.\textsuperscript{9} It also marked the point of making the anti-militaristic sentiment within the population a notion of the past. The “[m]ilitary victory […] roused for the first time a sense of nation. The war, contemporaries observed, had changed the spirit of loyalty and patriotism from empty theory into concrete 'national consciousness.'”\textsuperscript{10} The Sino-Japanese War benefited the military as it emerged from the conflict more powerful, and the Japanese population viewed its policies with increasing favor. This gain in power can be recognized by the fact that during the 20 years from 1898 to 1918, military officers served as Prime Ministers for an amount of time totaling 15 years. This continuing militarization brought with it a suppression of democratic movements. The socialist and labor movements, which were emerging in Japan around the turn of the century when the postwar economic boom turned into a crisis in 1900, are an example of this.\textsuperscript{11}

With the fact that political parties were emerging as noticeable political actors for the first time after the Sino-Japanese War, and the bourgeoisie slowly gaining political influence toward the end of the 19th century, the hanbatsu seiji (藩閥政治 politics of oligarchy) which built on the base of the ruling elite made up of nobles, the military, and bureaucrats, was marginally threatened for the first time. The bourgeoisie, however, at that time was not powerful and independent enough to pose a serious threat to the ruling elites.\textsuperscript{12} From 1900 on the basic framework of Japanese foreign affairs was dominated by imperialism; however, peaceful economic expansion and Pan Asianism were also publicly discussed and had an influence on official policy.\textsuperscript{13}

### 1.1.2 The Russo-Japanese War (1904 – 1905)

Preparations for a military conflict with Russia were intensified with the establishment of the first Katsura Tarō (桂太郎) government (1901-05).\textsuperscript{14} The cabinet's decision to wage war on Russia can only be grasped if the following two aspects of Japan's domestic context are taken into consideration. (1) The press played a crucial part in sensationalizing the Russian presence in Manchuria and portraying Russia as unwilling to agree to compromise as long as Japan did not prove itself determined to use its


\textsuperscript{10} Dickinson, War, 25.

\textsuperscript{11} Hartmann, Geschichte, 83-86.

\textsuperscript{12} Ibid., 87-88.

\textsuperscript{13} Iriye, “Great-Power Status,” 773.

\textsuperscript{14} Hartmann, Geschichte, 88.
military to demonstrate power. (2) A pro-war movement was organized by intellectuals, publicists and political parties which besieged the government using the reasoning that since Japan could not be the one to step down, and one party had to back down in order to end the power struggle in Korea, the focus had to lie on forcing Russia to do so by threatening them with military aggression.\(^\text{15}\) While “[p]atriotism, militarism, and imperialism were accepted as necessary conditions for the existence of the nation,”\(^\text{16}\) with the greater portion of the Japanese population seemingly pressing for war, the only organized anti-war movement was small and consisted of a few socialists.\(^\text{17}\)

The war against Russia again had a nationalizing and unifying effect.\(^\text{18}\) The Army's reputation, however, temporarily declined somewhat because of the immense number of casualties on the Japanese side. Almost 90,000 Japanese soldiers were killed in the war.\(^\text{19}\) The meager gains resulting from the war also led to public unrest.\(^\text{20}\) In the long run, however, the army gained prestige during the Russo-Japanese War (According to Humphreys the “prestige was never higher.”\(^\text{21}\)) that led some army leaders to overestimate the army's capabilities and convinced them that Japan had become invincible.\(^\text{22}\) The army leadership also considered that Russia might seek revenge and, therefore, strongly pushed for military expansion.\(^\text{23}\) These views brought Japan a large step closer to World War II (WWII).\(^\text{24}\) As a result of the widespread feeling that Japan had been cheated out of its rightful gains after having been victorious in the Russo-Japanese War, it also became a common demand that the Japanese spirit had to be steeled in order to be prepared for an “inevitable decisive conflict.”\(^\text{25}\)

Due to the newly acquired market and the access to raw materials in Manchuria, the Japanese economy along with the defense industry grew further. Japan, however, in spite of the economic growth, entered a state of financial crisis in 1907, partly due to the

\(^\text{15}\) Iriye, “Great-Power Status,” 775.
\(^\text{16}\) Ibid., 776.
\(^\text{17}\) Ibid., 776.
\(^\text{18}\) Dickinson, War, 26.
\(^\text{22}\) Krebs, “Kaiserliche Militär,” 36-37.
\(^\text{23}\) Ibid., 36-37; Saaler, Demokratie, 63-65.
\(^\text{25}\) Peter B. High, The Imperial Screen: Japanese Film Culture in the Fifteen Years’ War, 1931-1945 (Madison: University of Wisconsin Press, 2003), 8.
fact that it did not receive reparation payments from Russia but had to repay its creditors nonetheless.26 Japan's resources, including the newly accessible raw materials in Manchuria, still did not suffice for Japan to be independent from the imports of raw materials. Therefore, until the end of the Meiji era, light industry remained the major industry in Japan. Japan with its main sources of national income being agriculture, forestry and fishery still hadn't become a modern, industrialized nation.27

The years after the Russo-Japanese War were characterized by an imperialistic foreign policy, securing Korea as a Japanese protectorate and the Japanese domination of southern Manchuria. This led to a conflict of interests with the USA, which for the time being could be balanced, for example, through treaties with England, but eventually would have a part in leading towards WWII.28

1.2 World War I, Temporary Economic Prosperity, Depression, and Military Buildup

World War I (WWI) created economic prosperity in Japan and generated high tax revenues which were used to substantially increase military funding. However, with the economy stagnating and workers being laid off, the years following WWI were characterized by public opinion, which had turned against excessive military spending, expansion, and the military itself.29 This public opinion was cemented by the utilization of soldiers to suppress labor uprisings.30 However, after the Kantō Earthquake (1 September 1923), which destroyed large parts of Tokyo and Yokohama, the army was able to regain part of its favor within the populace by being the major provider of relief.31

The economic boom that Japan experienced during WWI was made possible in part by Europe's and the U.S.'s preoccupation with the war. This left the Asian and African markets open to Japan, which dramatically increased Japan's exports. For example, machinery and steel as well as chemicals that were formerly imported began

26 Hartmann, *Geschichte*, 104-06.
27 Ibid., 106. Even in 1926, Japan's industrialization was significantly inferior to that of capitalist European nations. Maruyama, “Ideology,” 78.
31 Humphreys, *Heavenly Sword*, 52-53.
to be produced in Japan. At the same time, Japan experienced massive inflation, and as a concomitant encountered social unrest in the form of the rice riots (1918) and the peasant and labor movements.\(^{32}\)

Once WWI ended and competition began to rise in the export markets, the boom vanished and Japan entered a prolonged state of deflation and depression which, due to various factors – for example, a decline of exports due to the Kantō Earthquake in 1923, and the Great Depression – lasted until 1931.\(^{33}\) At the same time, Japan experienced growth in key industries, for example in mechanical engineering and ship building.\(^{34}\) This expansion of key industries in the decade following WWI can be seen as the “material basis for the policies of military expansionism that led to the Pacific War.”\(^{35}\) As the industries continued increasing their production and securing foreign technology, Japan for the first time became self-sufficient in those areas\(^{36}\) while still relying on the import of raw materials.

Farmers suffered greatly due to the cheap rice imports from Korea and Taiwan. Their situation worsened starting in 1925, because of a “worldwide surplus of agricultural commodities and the fall in prices.” This led to an increase in silk production, and consequently decreased the value of silk, which led to further desperation in the agricultural sector. At the same time, larger factories (mainly within the secondary sector that had boomed during WWI) laid off workers in order to avoid bankruptcy; small factories opened and people from the countryside migrated to the cities and accepted jobs with very low pay and harsh working conditions in order to survive, thus stimulating urbanization.\(^{37}\)

The situation of the farmers who had suffered throughout the 1920's became worse in the early 1930's,\(^{38}\) with the price of raw silk never recovering, the farmers indefinitely lost their important second source of income,\(^{39}\) which had a devastating

---


\(^{35}\) Nakamura, “Depression,” 453.

\(^{36}\) Ibid., 462.

\(^{37}\) Ibid., 454-58.


influence on the manufacturing industry because the agricultural sector comprised of 47 percent of Japan's total work force.\textsuperscript{40} Two factors, however, led to Japan's economy recovering faster from the Great Depression than most other countries' economies did. Japan finally devalued the yen enabling exports to eventually exceed imports again, and arms production was increased substantially,\textsuperscript{41} with the metal manufacturing sector expanding from 8.5 percent in 1930 to 18.9 percent in 1936.\textsuperscript{42} This new intrusion of Japanese goods into foreign markets led Western powers to establish quotas and increase tariffs which spurred resentment in Japan and bolstered the militarists' demands for establishing a completely self-sufficient Japanese empire.\textsuperscript{43}

1.3 Democracy During the Taishō Years

As previously noted, the Russo-Japanese War, aside from its unifying effect, also spurred resentment within the Japanese population because of the high Japanese casualties and meager gains (few territorial and none monetarily) which led to violent uprisings. This movement in 1905, was the first popular movement that, on the one hand, criticized the government's weakness in foreign policies while, on the other hand, demanded more constitutionalism and democracy at home.\textsuperscript{44} In doing so, the public during the Taishō Democracy (大正デモクラシー taishō demokurashii\textsuperscript{45}) adopted a position of external expansionism, which during the Meiji era had been voiced by the opposition within the political establishment. To this call for external expansionism, the public added a democratic dimension, thus calling for “constitutionalism at home and imperialism externally” (uchī wa rikken-shugi, soto wa teikoku-shugi [内は立憲主義、外は帝國主義]).”\textsuperscript{46} These indications of liberalism, also in the form of the decline of the genrō (元老 elder statesmen), in terms of influence as well as in actual numbers, and steps toward universal male suffrage during and after Hara Takashi’s (原敬, also referred to as Hara Kei) tenure as Prime Minister, which “coincided with a policy of cooperation with the Anglo-American sea powers” were, however, accompanied by authoritarian

\textsuperscript{40} Fletcher, \textit{New Order}, 41-42.
\textsuperscript{41} Hane, \textit{Modern Japan}, 289.
\textsuperscript{42} Fletcher, \textit{New Order}, 44.
\textsuperscript{43} Hane, \textit{Modern Japan}, 289.
\textsuperscript{44} Saaler, \textit{Demokratie}, 59-61.
\textsuperscript{45} One must note the difference between the Taishō era (1912-1926) and what historians termed the Taishō Democracy. In general it is assumed that the Taishō Democracy began with the popular riots in 1905. The end is either set at 1925, or after the last pre-WWII party cabinet in 1932. Gordon, \textit{Modern History}, 161; Saaler, \textit{Demokratie}, 57.
\textsuperscript{46} Saaler, \textit{Demokratie}, 61.
policies. Legal dissent was limited and collectivist values advocated within the educational system by editing textbooks towards more emphasis on values such as loyalty to the emperor and patriotism. Apart from the vote, political parties had no connection to the Japanese population. There was no substantial grass roots movement that connected the people with the parties. Trade unions that possibly could have worked as a link between the population and the political parties, although growing in quantity between 1911 and 1936, never had more than 500,000 members. This lack of connection to the people, in addition to the inner-party factionalism and the weak institutional setting within the system of the Meiji Constitution, left the political parties extremely weak. Political parties thus were essentially without the power to provide any opposition to the military establishment in times of crisis.

While the Manchurian Incident and the 1929 financial crisis were often seen as two main factors for the national opinion that was hostile to cooperation with the Western powers, capitalism – mainly the zaibatsu – and party politics, the seeds of this sentiment were in place “before economic disaster and military expansionism dominated the newspaper headlines.” The zaigō gunjinkai was founded and began indoctrinating its growing membership in 1910. Legislation limiting the spreading of political opposition was also established prior to the Manchurian Incident. A broad and powerful political grass roots movement did not emerge during the 1920’s. Instead, the 1920’s prepared the Japanese population to accept what the army had envisioned and had been preparing to create: a completely militarized state.

1.3.1 Pluralization of Japan

During the Meiji period there was no significant opposition to Japan's imperialist policies within society, and therefore Meiji-Imperialism was not challenged. However, “[a]fter World War I and the advent of Taishō democracy […] the international jisei [時勢 (current of the) times] changed, and with it Japanese liberal consciousness as well.”

---

47 Fletcher, New Order, 10.
49 Fletcher, New Order, 28.
50 Ibid., 28.
Two important aspects of the urban mass society developed during the Taishō years: (1) the populace became significantly more exposed to politics and foreign influences through the media. Males were also able to participate in politics for the first time although only through the right to vote, as membership of the political parties remained extremely low. (2) As a result of the accessible media, the populace was more easily influenced and manipulated by the ruling (eventually military) elite. For example, the Mainichi Newspaper (毎日新聞 mainichi shinbun) expanded its circulation from 260,000 in 1912 to 1,500,000 in 1930, and the Asahi Newspaper (朝日新聞 asahi shinbun) had an estimated readership of 3 million readers in the 1930's.

2. Militarism and Fascism in Japan

The question as to whether Japan was fascist before and during WWII remains to this day controversial in scholarship. It is, therefore, necessary to establish a common ground of what is being referred to as fascism throughout this thesis. Maruyama Masao established a concept for Japanese fascism in 1947 that is still generally accepted among Japanese scholars and will provide the basis for the definition of fascism in this paper.

A common criticism that is addressed when arguing the question of fascism, is that Japan was not fascist because it lacked some of the significant characteristics that were featured in German or Italian fascism: for example, a fascist mass movement that was concentrated in a fascist political party. This criticism should be reconsidered as it

53 Kato, “Taishō Democracy,” 229. The press roughly from the Manchurian Incident onwards lessened its critical tones and increasingly became conformed with Japan's leadership's aims until it became merely a propaganda outlet of the ruling elite and controlled by the military authorities. After the China Incident, the newspapers' independence was further restricted as they had to adhere to stringent instructions of what they were allowed to publish. Olavi K. Fält, Fascism, Militarism or Japanism? The Interpretation of the Crisis Years of 1930 - 1941 in the Japanese English-Language Press (Rovaniemi: Pohjois-Suomen Historiallinen Yhdistys, 1985), 13-14. Another reason for the press to become increasingly favorable of the military's expansionism is that territorial expansion meant a possible increase in readership. Louise Young, Japan's Total Empire: Manchuria and the Culture of Wartime Imperialism (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1998), 56.
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55 This criticism can be found for example in Peter Duus and Daniel I. Okimoto, “Fascism and the History of Pre-War Japan: The Failure of a Concept,” The Journal of Asian Studies Vol. 39, No. 1 (Nov., 1979): 66-67. Martin also criticizes the application of the term of fascism on Japan because of grave dissimilarities with Germany and Italy. He further states that the Italian and German state structures were also too different from each other to both be labeled fascist. Bernd Martin, “Zur Tauglichkeit eines Übergreifenden Faschismus-Begriffs: Ein Vergleich Zwischen Japan, Italien und Deutschland,” in Vierteljahrshefte für Zeitgeschichte 29. Jahrgang, 1. (Jan., 1981): 72-73. Another example that is mentioned in many discussions of Japanese fascism is the question of whether Japan
stems from a Eurocentric view and does not acknowledge that the ideology of fascism was promoted because of varying reasons and in differing ways. Accordingly, fascist ideology differs from state to state, based on their varying cultural and historical backgrounds. While Western historians in general don't consider Japan as being fascist in the 1930's and during WWII, Japanese historians in general declare the opposite.

According to Skya, a broad variety of terms are used when referring to “the ideology of extreme nationalism that […] inspired the elite and mobilized the masses to wage war in East Asia and the Pacific.” Amongst them is Japanese fascism, which will be used in in this thesis.

2.1 An Overview of Japanese Fascism

The ideological background of Japanese fascism, as Skya notes, centers on the “Shintô creation story of the Japanese islands by Izanami and Izanagi, the divine origins of the imperial line, the divinity of the emperor, the ethnic divinity and superiority of the Japanese people, the belief in a divine world mission for the Japanese state, [and] global imperial rule under the emperor.” It can be seen as a radicalization of the pre-existing...
Emperor-system.\textsuperscript{62} Japanese fascism, like its European counterparts, was characterized by (1) notably offensive foreign policies rooted in anti-communist and racist elements, (2) aggression as a means of creating domestic unity and political suppression, and (3) a magnification of given militarist, imperialist and racist tendencies.\textsuperscript{63} In other words, European and Japanese fascism, have, for example, the rejection of individualistic liberalism, the pursuit of expansionist foreign politics, the glorification of military and war, and the notion of racial superiority in common.\textsuperscript{64} The concept of kokutai played an important role in the ideological aspect of Japanese fascism as it, “captured in a single verbal compound the entire range of ideological virtues that defined what it meant to be Japanese, as opposed to the 'other.'”\textsuperscript{65} A peculiarity of Japanese fascism is the military's leading role in advancing it.\textsuperscript{66}

Maruyama sees three aspects as distinctive for Japanese fascism. The first distinctive point is the tendency toward a family system.\textsuperscript{67} The second is the prominent position of the idea of agrarianism (農本主義 nōhonshugi).\textsuperscript{68} The 1929 world depression and the hardship it created in Japanese agriculture are to Maruyama the primary social cause that accelerated the fascist movement in 1930–1. It had an immediate effect on the army and mostly on the young officers (青年将校 seinen shōkō) of whom a large part came from rural areas and were sons of “lesser landowners or small independent cultivators.”\textsuperscript{69} The third distinctive aspect is the Greater Asia Principle.\textsuperscript{70}
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\item \textsuperscript{69} Maruyama, “Ideology,” 44-45. Agrarianism, according to Skya's analysis, had lost most of its importance by the mid 1930's and its concept of autonomous agrarian communities loosely linked to the emperor within a decentralized state was to a large part replaced by the consensus that favored “the emperor on top of a highly centralized and industrialized state.” Skya, Holy War, 249-50.
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Maruyama further categorized Japanese fascism in 'fascism from below' and 'fascism from above,' and divides the era of Japanese fascism into three periods.\textsuperscript{71} He refuses, however, to clearly determine the beginning of the fascist period as "[t]he totalitarian system gradually came to completion within the framework of the State structure determined by the Meiji Constitution."\textsuperscript{72} Maruyama labels the first period that was defined by "right-wing movements among civilians" which lasted roughly from 1919 until the Manchurian Incident in 1931 as the 'preparatory period.' The second period, the 'period of maturity,' in which civilian right-wing groups merged with military extremists and parts of the military became the driving factor of fascism, is defined by right wing terrorism and lasted until the February 26 Incident. The February 26 Incident also marked the end of 'fascism from below.' During the third period, the 'consummation period,' which begins with the military's advance to dominate Japan after the February 26 Incident and ended with Japan's defeat in 1945, the military openly advocated 'fascism from above' and teamed up "with the semi-feudal power of the bureaucracy and the Senior Retainers on the one hand, and with monopoly capital and the political parties on the other."\textsuperscript{73}

\section*{2.1.1 The Background of Japanese Fascism}

One of the driving forces of fascism in Japan was the military. It was backed by what Maruyama calls the "pseudo- or sub-intellectuals" within the middle class: "small factory owners, building contractors, proprietors of retail shops, master carpenters, small landowners, independent farmers, school teachers (especially in primary schools), employees of village offices, low-grade officials, Buddhist and Shinto priests."\textsuperscript{74} Members of this group, through the predominance of the patriarchal structure in Japan, had significant influence on their subordinates. In accordance to their position in the Japanese social hierarchy, they "also served as executives of town and village councils, from European colonialism. Over time, however, the emphasis shifted to Japan establishing hegemony over Asia instead of European powers and finally this notion was used as a legitimation for an imperialist war. Maruyama, “Ideology,” 51.
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\item Maruyama, “Ideology,” 26-27, 30.
\item Another driving force that Maruyama notes is the bureaucracy. Ibid., 57-58. The bureaucracy will be briefly discussed in Chapter 7.
\end{itemize}
agricultural, religious, educational, youth and reservist associations,” and transmitted the ideological indoctrination from the ruling class to the broad populace. “It is precisely these pseudo-intellectuals who directly controlled the thought and the sentiment of the 'masses'. “The participants in radical fascist uprisings and the leading members of right-wing groups” also largely came from this “pseudo-intellectual” part of the middle class. The “pseudo-intellectuals” due to their social position – pressure from above, for example, the zaibatsu and influence on their subordinates – could best identify with Japan's situation in world politics, being somewhat backward in relation to the West, but being the most advanced in East Asia. They perceived Asian resistance to Japanese domination in the same way as the insubordination of rebellious employees and “became the most ardent supporters of the China Incident and the Pacific War.”

The authoritarian and elitist customs in Japanese society were another reason why it was possible to instill the populace with fascist ideology without encountering massive opposition. Confucianism, the core ideology during the Tokugawa era, as well as authoritarianism and elitism, featured anti-individualistic tendencies, and were in general socially conservative and illiberal. They cannot be equated with fascism, but they formed a base on which it could develop. During the Meiji period and until the defeat in WWII, Japan was characterized by elitism. The Japanese ruling class consisted of several groups of elite, for example, the military, the bureaucracy, and the genrō, that were not controlled by the cabinet. The political parties, as demonstrated above, generally lacked backing in the populace and furthermore, seemed unwilling to decisively oppose fascism.

The Japanese fascist ideology was never absolutely uniform and was altered as times made it necessary. The emperor-centered militarist and fascist ideology, taught by Uesugi Shinkichi and Kakehi Katsuhiko at the military's schools, permeated the thinking of the Japanese populace, and replaced Hozumi Yatsuka's traditional absolutist
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form of emperor ideology with a mass-based emperor ideology within an egalitarian state structure. All totalitarian movements have in common the aim of forming individuals into a collective body. Japanese fascism was very successful in doing so. The manifestation of fascism as the official Japanese state ideology was secured and demonstrated by the kokutai no hongi. Indoctrination was not successful in terms of turning the entire Japanese populace into fascists, but this form of Japanese fascism, as defined above, did become the dominating ideology. The framework of fascist rhetoric was used by staunch fascists as well as non-fascists of the ruling elites in order to mobilize the Japanese nation and to justify their actions. It would also be a massive exaggeration to contend that all fascists were terrorists. The majority of terrorist actors in the 1930's can, nonetheless, be labeled as fascist.

2.2 Fascist Terrorism

As this thesis focuses on the army's role in spreading and advancing militarism and fascism in prewar Japan, the direction of this analysis of Japanese fascism is mainly limited to the 'period of maturity' that was characterized by fascist terrorist incidents. The radicalization of young officers during the first decade of the Shōwa period was a result of the deteriorating socioeconomic situation as depicted in Chapter 1. Additionally, they were subjected to a 10 percent pay cut. The muting of their protest and their financial difficulties in turn evolved into empathy for the masses, who were also affected by the perceived greed of the ones in power. It also led to hatred toward those whom the young officers deemed responsible for the unequal distribution of wealth: the politicians and financial elite. These young officers had been indoctrinated in military ideology from early childhood on in the rikugun yōnen gakkō (陸軍幼年学校)
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army preparatory schools), then proceeded into the military's advancing schools and actually came into contact with the 'real world' for the first time after graduating. Military reduction went hand in hand with the emergence of the newly rich in the post WWI setting, and stood in direct contradiction to the world view that had been instilled in them. The young officers' focus was primarily on altering the domestic situation, but they also supported overseas expansionist goals. Their ideology was based on “the highly irrational premises of Japanese nationalism and the emperor-centered Shinto faith,” and they responded, if necessary, to the perceived threat by advocating social revolution by means of rebellion and terrorism.

The terrorist attacks in the 1930's all had in common a lack of realism. Their plans, if there were any, generally ended with the plotters dying in battle. No concrete plans were made as to what had to be done once the state was overthrown, and absolutely none materialized. The conspirators that took part in the terrorist plots between 1930 and 1936, never numbered more than 250, and many of them participated in many of the plots. Although they were few in number, they were able to influence Japan's history greatly because they enjoyed the backing not only of most army officers, if not because of their goals at least for their patriotic motive, but also of the general populace. This was partly due to the fact that their killings were selective and usually did not harm innocent bystanders. Furthermore, parts of the populace shared the opinion that the politicians who were assassinated deserved to have been. In addition, the terrorist acts were successfully portrayed as selfless acts committed for the better of the emperor and the Japanese nation. While the 10-year sentence of a military police
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officer for murdering an anarchist and a feminist in 1923 “caused considerable critical press and public comment,” the May 15 Incident (五・一五事件 go-ichigo jiken) in 1932 and the following trial proved that a radicalization in the populace had taken place. The murder of the Prime Minister was excused because of its political, patriotic motive and was thus deemed better for the nation. Fascist terrorism, and the May 15 Incident in particular, greatly contributed to the end of party cabinets in 1932.

Japanese fascism lacked a mass grass roots based movement. The fascist terrorism executed by a few radicals, however, had significant influence on Japanese history as it stimulated the advance of 'fascism from above.' A fascist restructuring of the state within the existing state structure was expedited by the military, the bureaucracy, and the political parties. Two incidents, the Manchurian Incident and the February 26 Incident, which were of decisive importance for spreading fascism in Japan – each in their own way – will now be discussed in greater detail.

2.2.1 The Manchurian Incident

Sustaining Japan's position in Manchuria had been an essential part of the army's strategic planning since the Russo-Japanese War. As the doctrine of 'total warfare' spread and became widely accepted among army officers, unlimited access to raw materials became necessary in order to build the basis for a wartime economy and further 'national mobilization' (国家総動員 kokka sōdōin). Manchuria seemed to be the perfect place, only loosely connected to China, with the Japanese army firmly in place since 1905, and rich in the desired raw materials, such as coal and iron ore. The
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domination of Manchuria, as army officers perceived, evolved to being a matter of life and death to the Japanese nation. During ongoing inner-army power struggles, middle-rank officers around Ishiwara Kanji (石原莞爾) were able to realize their own vision of the Japanese future, and in 1931, launched what came to be known as the Manchurian Incident. This marked the starting point of the army's takeover of Manchuria.

On September 18, 1931, disguised Japanese soldiers of the Kwantung Army (関東軍 kantōgun) detonated a bomb on the tracks of the South Manchurian Railway (南満州鉄道 minami manshū tetsudō, in short and hereafter: mantetsu) near Mukden and assigned blame to Chinese warlords. Under this pretext, the Kwantung Army occupied Mukden and other areas within close proximity. With the support of military forces, sent by the commander of the Japanese Army in Korea, Hayashi Senjūrō (林銑十郎), the Kwantung Army was able to seize Manchuria almost entirely by February 1932, leaving the Japanese government no option but to approve of the operation as a fait accompli.

The puppet state of Manchukuo (満州国 manshūkoku) was established on March 1, 1932, with the former Chinese emperor Pu Yi as de jure head of state, although de facto he was only a figurehead.

The Kwantung Army under the influence of Ishiwara became a hotbed for Japanese militarism and the army's expansionist policies on the Asian mainland. Apart
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from being a source of raw materials, Manchukuo, basically ruled by the Kwantung Army, was also used to gain experience for a wartime economy, free of civilian instruments of control.106

The success of the Manchurian Incident made a substantial impression on the Japanese population. The plotters, who had acted without the consent of the government and most of their superiors, were seen as national heroes. This euphoria that had been ignited in Japan gave the Kwantung Army the necessary civilian backing to operate independently in Manchuria.107 In the aftermath, societies that advocated, for example, the Shōwa Restoration, experienced growing popularity.108 To quote Maruyama, “the Manchurian Incident acted as a definite stimulus to Japanese fascism.”109

The continuing power struggles within the army after the Manchurian incident led to the creation of two opposing groups: the Imperial Way Faction (皇道派 kōdōha) and the Control Faction (統制派 tōseiha). The Imperial Way Faction temporarily dominated army policy. It formed around Army Minister General Araki Sadao (荒木貞夫) and was determined to end the domination of the Chōshū clique (including Ugaki Kazushige (宇垣一成), who was not from Chōshū but affiliated with them) and its policies, for example, a planned economy. The Imperial Way Faction also showed sympathy for the struggling rural population, which earned it the support of many anti-capitalist young officers coming from rural Japan. They believed in the imperial way (皇道 kōdō; a form of emperor cult). Part of their conviction was that Japan could win wars based on its fighting spirit, which had been part of what were considered to be traditional Japanese characteristics for hundreds of years, and which would make up for the lack of technology. Their counterparts around Ugaki and Nagata Tetsuzan (永田鉄山), who were convinced that fighting spirit could not replace technology, became to be known as the Control Faction. Both factions, however, had little differences concerning the policy in Manchuria.110 Araki, as Army Minister, was able to place many of his followers in the most influential positions within the army.111 This rigorous placement of
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followers in important posts earned him many opponents. Araki was replaced as Army Minister in 1934, and the Imperial Way Faction lost influence.\textsuperscript{112} After the February 26 Incident, which was planned and executed by officers connected to the Imperial Way Faction, many of the responsible and influential officers were forced to retire or were transferred from posts at the army's headquarters to regional offices where their influence would be limited. As a result, the Imperial Way Faction continued to lose influence while the Control Faction gained power within the army.\textsuperscript{113} This does not connote that Kakehi's ideology, which had greatly influenced the thought of the Imperial Way Faction, lost importance within the army. Instead, Kakehi's ideology was incorporated into the Control Faction.\textsuperscript{114}

### 2.2.2 The February 26 Incident

In 1935, Aizawa Saburō (相沢三郎), an Imperial Way Faction associate, assassinated Nagata Tetsuzan. In order to prevent the Imperial Way Faction from using the trial to attack the army leadership as well as the government and create public turmoil, the Control Faction that dominated the \textit{sanbō honbu} planned to relocate the army's first division, the mainstay of young officers who were linked to the Imperial Way Faction, to Manchuria before the Aizawa trial ended. This was perceived as a serious threat by the young officers, who decided to act before it was too late.\textsuperscript{115} Not only were the plotters able to secure support, approval, and financial backing from high ranking military officers and influential civilians, but members of the imperial family contributed as well.\textsuperscript{116}

On February 26, the plotters took action and mobilized roughly 1,600 of their subordinate soldiers. They assassinated leading military personnel and politicians and were able to gain control of important strategic locations in Tokyo. Their plan of overthrowing the government and replacing it with one under the leadership of either Mazaki Jinzaburō (真崎甚三郎) or Araki did not end successfully. Neither Araki nor
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Mazaki acted and the plotters were eventually forced to surrender on February 29, as the emperor, in whose name the plotters had claimed to be acting, advised the army's high command to suppress the uprising. Kita Ikki (北一輝) and Nishida Mitsugi (西田税), who were seen as having provided the ideological background for the uprising, along with the plotters, were sentenced to death in a hurried and secret trial. The high ranking Imperial Way Faction officers who supported the uprising, were temporarily relieved of their duties, until the majority of them would move to important positions again under the first Konoe cabinet.

The February 26 Incident was the largest and the last fascist terrorist plot to overturn the existing state structure. It also proved to be a turning point for the inner-army power struggle between, loosely defined, the Imperial Way Faction and the Control Faction. The Control Faction was able to use the February 26 Incident to oust the Imperial Way Faction from power and enhance its and the army's political influence by “using the menace of radical fascism as a decoy for outsiders.” The military was able to portray itself as the only capable force of restoring normality. 'Fascism from below' was suppressed while 'fascism from above' was promoted. General Terauchi, inaugurated Army Minister after the February 26 Incident, saw to eliminating liberalist tendencies and proposed weakening the Diet's power by ending the legislature's control over the executive. Fascism was “rationalized” in the political progress after the February 26 Incident, and was advanced “by legal means from within the governmental apparatus.” This led to the strengthening of bonds between the military, the bureaucracy and the zaibatsu, and the increase of military spending, thus completing the Japanese fascist structure.

The February 26 Incident also overshadowed the smashing victory of the Constitutional Democratic Party (立憲民政党 rikken minseitō) and the success of the emerging Social Mass Party (社会大衆党 shakai taishūtō), in the 1936 election,
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delivering a severe blow to the remaining public support of the political parties.\textsuperscript{124} The military's increased self-confidence led to a clash between the military and the Diet as the military demanded a large increase in expenditures, less portfolios for party members and “radical reforms of the central bureaucracy to increase administrative efficiency for the sake of national defense.”\textsuperscript{125} The army, albeit, had not reached absolute power yet, which can be seen in the fact that the cabinet headed by General Hayashi Senjūrō (林銑十郎, Prime Minister in 1937) collapsed because it was not able to overcome the parliament's opposition to certain policies.\textsuperscript{126}

Japanese fascism until the February 26 Incident is characterized by the lack of a mass organization. It consisted of a small number of activists. It then evolved and was expanded within the existing state apparatus. The civilian right-wing extremists were only able to significantly influence Japanese politics when they merged with the military extremists in the period of fascist terrorism.\textsuperscript{127} Nonetheless, the fascist movement, promoted 'from below,' never became more than a few right-wing extremists with no concrete plans that were to be followed once they had successfully overthrown the existing state structure.\textsuperscript{128} This lack of realistic plans is best demonstrated by the outcome of the February 26 Incident: approximately 1600 soldiers were only able to kill “a few elderly men.”\textsuperscript{129}

Although coup d’ états such as the February 26 Incident were failures, a fascist alteration of the state took place. The reason for this lies in the fact that it wasn't only the young officers who advocated the “military-fascist-motion” that centered on the remodeling of the state. This notion was also present in the military's core.\textsuperscript{130} Although a Shōwa Restoration, that Skya determined as one of the “fundamental purpose[s] of life”\textsuperscript{131} for a Japanese fascist terrorist, never materialized, the fascist terrorism had significant influence. The Manchurian Incident gave the army a boost in terms of support within the populace. The party cabinets ended after the May 15 Incident in 1932, and the military gained new heights in terms of influence over Japanese politics.
after the February 26 Incident and began spreading 'fascism from above.' Fascism gained widespread support, arguably, even amongst members of the imperial family.

We will now turn to Yamagata Aritomo, who played an important role in creating the Imperial Japanese Army and the Meiji institutions, which became an important base for militarism and fascism to form in Japan.

3. Yamagata Aritomo (山県有朋, 1838 – 1923)

Yamagata Aritomo came from lowly samurai heritage. He would become one of the most, arguably the most, influential Japanese oligarchs who dominated Japan in its period of modernization and imperialism. In 1907 he was awarded the honor of being raised to the rank of prince. Yamagata is considered to be “a key figure in the creation of Japan's modern army and civil governmental system,” and became “by far the most politically powerful man in the army.” He died merely a decade before Japan would turn towards fascism. A part of his legacy, as we will see, are institutions that, without his leading authority in the background, facilitated the militarist and fascist takeover in the 1930's.

3.1 Military and Political Career

Yamagata Aritomo was born in the Domain of Chōshū ( 長州藩 chōshū han, today Yamaguchi Prefecture 山口県 yamaguchi ken) on June 16, 1838. He received most of his education at home on a somewhat irregular basis, as was common in lowly samurai families. Concurrently with his schooling, from the age of thirteen onwards, Yamagata worked small jobs, in which he showed reliability and talent and was able to slowly advance to more prestigious, however, still minor positions in the local han (藩 feudal domain) administration. The first record of Yamagata coming into contact with anti-Tokugawa forces, which sought to reestablish imperial rule, was during an intelligence gathering mission. In 1858, he and five other young samurai, among them Itō Hirobumi
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(伊藤博文) were sent to Kyoto by the han's authorities to investigate the changing situation in the Tokugawa bakufu (徳川幕府). Upon his return to Chōshū, Yamagata was accepted as a student and influenced by Yoshida Shōin (吉田松陰), a prominent advocate of the sonnō jōi (尊王攘夷 "honor the emperor, expel the barbarians") movement.137 Yoshida's teachings included the idea that "[t]he lord-vassal relationship is man's first principle, and preserving independence from the barbarians is the nation's main task," and focused on the importance of the value of loyalty "to family, the han, to the emperor, and to the divine nation."138 These ideas were of significant importance for Yamagata's intention to create a conscript army, and later Tanaka Giichi's aim of creating 'national villagers'139 after the Russo-Japanese War.

As a result of the han's army being defeated by foreign troops that the sonnō jōi advocates sought to expel, the han's army was restructured in 1863 to include peasants as well as samurai. Yamagata became an officer in the so-called kiheitai (奇兵隊, irregular militia). The kiheitai and other mixed militia units (諸隊 shotai) trained in Western style fighting and tactics, and combined Japanese and Western weapons.140 Yamagata was able to gain more experience as a military leader of the kiheitai during battles with foreigners, during an inner-Chōshū power struggle which the sonnō jōi advocates won, and the ensuing war between the Tokugawa bakufu and Chōshū, from which Chōshū emerged victorious.141 On his second intelligence gathering mission in Kyoto nine years after the first one, Yamagata met like-minded, anti-bakufu samurai from Satsuma, such as Saigō Takamori (西郷隆盛) and Ōkubo Toshimichi (大久保利通) for the first time.142 During the Meiji Restoration, Yamagata, as commander of the kiheitai, gained further experience with peasants as soldiers and his skills as a military leader came to be more widely known.143

Yamagata's conviction that universal military service would considerably enhance the security of a nation was deepened during his first trip to Europe and the U.S. from August 1869 to September 1870. This trip also became an important step in
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his career as he, upon returning to Japan, was the first person without rank and title to
be permitted an audience with the emperor, during which he reported his observations
from overseas. He was convinced that a strong army was of utmost importance in order for Japan to prosper. Universal
conscription, to Yamagata, was a means to strengthen and unify the nation, as well as an
important educational factor. Additionally, it would lead to all men becoming soldiers
and loyal subjects to the emperor.

After separate Army and Navy Ministries were established on 27 February 1872,
Yamagata was appointed Vice Army Minister, and from 18 April 1873 to 24 December
1878, Army Minister. In addition, he was appointed Councilor of State in August
1874. When Saigō resigned from his post within the army, Yamagata became the
“leading military figure in the government.” In order to become the first chief of the
newly established sanbō honbu, he stepped down as Army Minister. His new position
made him more powerful than ever before. “[A]s state councilor and supreme military
adviser to the emperor, Yamagata enjoyed the highest position of military authority in
the nation.” The gunjin chokuyu (軍人勅諭 Rescript to Soldiers and Sailors), arguably one of the most important documents in the Meiji period, was promulgated in
1882 as a result of Yamagata's influence on the emperor.

In 1882, Yamagata also assumed his first civilian post in the Meiji political
system, while maintaining his position of leadership within the army. He became acting
president of the Legislative Board, a preparatory body that advised the government on
issues concerning the writing of the constitution. Yamagata was inaugurated Home
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Minister in 1883. In this position he greatly contributed to the restructuring of the system of local government. Local self-government, similar to universal conscription in Yamagata's view, was an important pillar that would provide a solid foundation on which the state would prosper. Both universal conscription and local self-government, in Yamagata's opinion, connected the populace to the central government and would encourage unity and contribute to stability. Yamagata was convinced “that in return for a modicum of local responsibility loyal support of the government's goals would be given.”

The next major step in Yamagata's career was becoming Prime Minister in December 1889, a post he held concurrently with that of Home Minister. During his term in office, he strongly supported the promulgation of the kyōiku chokugo. In his inaugural speech in front of the first Diet on December 6, 1890, Yamagata laid out an important aspect of Japanese policy that would influence the nation's policy, in the external as well as in the domestic sphere for decades to come. Yamagata spoke of 'lines of sovereignty' and 'lines of interest.' He defined the 'lines of sovereignty' as the territories under Japanese control and the 'lines of interest' as those in the immediate proximity of Japan. Securing both lines, he claimed, was necessary to maintain Japanese independence. From this he concluded the necessity of significant military expansion.

The 'lines of interest,' which Yamagata did not define in his speech, referred to Korea. He had previously clarified this in a written statement, which he circulated amongst the cabinet ministers.

Yamagata ascended the next step in his civilian career in 1893 when he became President of the Privy Council (枢密院 sūmitsuin), which brought him even closer to the emperor. During the second cabinet that Yamagata headed (1898–1900), he instigated legislation that limited active duty generals and admirals to becoming Army
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and Navy Minister in order to keep party politicians from interfering in military bureaucracy.\textsuperscript{164}

After and in between the official posts which Yamagata was to assume until his death, he, as genrō, maintained a strong influence within the military as well as in the political sphere. He had insured his influence through a clique of loyal followers, clansmen from Chōshū, some of whom eventually moved from important posts in the army to high offices within the civil bureaucracy, in the same way he had. Others who had been his subordinates during his post as Home Minister, continued to ascend in the civilian bureaucracy,\textsuperscript{165} thus securing Chōshū influence in many areas of the Japanese state. After Itō Hirobumi's death in 1909, Yamagata became by far the most influential genrō.\textsuperscript{166}

3.2 Yamagata's Death and the Ensuing Power Vacuum

The dominance that Yamagata had established in the army and within the political sphere, as well as the army's independence from government control, proved to be a significant problem after his death in 1922.

As long as Yamagata was alive, he controlled the army, and it followed his orders.\textsuperscript{167} After Yamagata's death, the Chōshū clique's influence in the army and political arena slowly declined.\textsuperscript{168} Yamagata as “principal military adviser to the emperor” had ensured that the Army Minister and the chief of the sanbō honbu cooperated. After his death, threatening rivalries between the Army Ministry (陸軍省 rikugunshō) and the sanbō honbu ensued. Serious flaws in the system of the dual command structure became visible.\textsuperscript{169} Once his presence as an authority in the background vanished, it became clear that the structure of the military was not optimal and that the system had only functioned properly as long as Yamagata had held the strings. In this way, the military system resembles the civilian governmental system. Once its founders were gone, it lacked stability and was easily influenced by extremists.
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Yamagata had established and mainly used the unique status of the army to maintain its independence from civilian control, while the officers in control during the 1930's used the army's unique status to actively influence the nation's policies and future. Neither the Meiji Constitution, nor the greater part of the institutional structure of the state and the bureaucracy dominated by the oligarchs, was altered in prewar years. The difference from the 1920's, which were to a certain extent dominated by liberal trends, and the 1930's, was the change of “the influential groups within the regime,” and as a concomitant, the change of policies. Pluralism in thought and politics coupled with the succession of the Meiji-Leaders by a group that was not united by the Meiji-Struggle and, therefore, less collegial, in addition to economic difficulties, made way for instability to take hold of Japan. As Ramseyer and Rosenbluth conclude, “[o]nce the oligarchs had died […] most of the substantive checks on military power disappeared.” In opposition to some scholars who see the 1930's and 1940's as a dark valley, an aberration in Japan's proper path, this paper argues that instead, the Taishō Democracy was somewhat of an irregularity in Japan's path. From the fact that party politics were not included in the Meiji Constitution, one can conclude that the Meiji oligarchs, at least initially, did not desire them. The situation in the 1930's and 1940's is in part a result of the expansion policies since the Meiji Restoration, and from a democratic viewpoint, flaws in the Meiji constitutional system, for example, as demonstrated above, the need of authorities in the background in order to preserve stability in this unstable environment. An environment in which, as Böttcher notes “the only nominally absolute powers of the emperor led to the competition for influence of the institutions of state and the powerful groups. Under the pretense of loyalty to the emperor, every sufficiently powerful actor was able to pursue his own interests.” Following Kato's analysis, the state structure did not change in the late Taishō to early Shōwa period. It was still in accordance with the Meiji Constitution; it was the function that changed. The independence of the army, one of the actors with sufficient power whose striving for influence benefited from the change of function, is one aspect that will be discussed in the next chapter.

170 Saaler, Demokratie, 490.
172 Jansen, Modern Japan, 574-75.
173 Ramseyer and Rosenbluth, Politics, 94.
175 Kato, “Taishō Democracy,” 218, 236.
4. The Dainippon Teikoku Rikugun

This chapter will examine the creation of the Imperial Japanese Army. It was an army that was meant to establish unity within Japan, secure Japan's independence, and guard Japan on its ascendance to big power status. On the basis of its special place in the Meiji Constitution and the skillful conduct of Yamagata Aritomo, the army, however, also became an independent political actor in prewar Japan, an actor that eventually became the primary carrier of the fascist restructuring of the Japanese state.

4.1 Creating and Structuring the Dainippon Teikoku Rikugun

The Imperial Guard (御親兵 goshinpei, or 親兵 shinpei), established as suggested by Yamagata, was the first army in Japan that was not an army comprised of soldiers from just one han, but was rather made up of soldiers from three different han (Satsuma, Chōshū and Tosa), united and organized as the emperor's army.176 It “mark[ed] the beginning of modern Japan's military institution,”177 replacing an army made up of troops from independent domains that were rooted on a quota-based conscription system.178 The Imperial Guard provided an important backbone for the successful restructuring of Japan, the abolishment of the han and the creation of prefectures in 1871 (廃藩置県 haihan chiken).179 In addition to its shizoku (士族 former samurai) volunteers under the sōhei (壯兵) system,180 by the summer of 1871, the army with a “quota-based […] garrison system” had drafted its first 1500 conscripts of whom a quarter proved to be physically unfit.181 This new conscript army, however, lacked all basic requirements to function: “weapons, money, authority, and personnel recruiting.”182 In addition, the sōhei system was very costly and was seen as a hindrance to securing the Meiji-Reforms. It thus posed a threat to the new government, because the sōhei in general had stronger bonds of loyalty to their former leaders within the abolished clans than to the new government.183
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In 1873, finally, through the Conscription Ordinance (徴兵令 chōheirei) the basic groundwork of the modern Imperial Japanese Army was laid. By the end of 1873 it consisted of about 17,900 men and would expand to about 33,000 in 1875. From 1878 on, with the successive transition from the French to the German army system, an important change took place within the army command structure. The army chain of command was divided into two separate institutions: the administrative and the operational command.

A mutiny among the Imperial Guard, known as the “Takebashi disturbance” (竹橋事件 takebashi jiken) in 1878, and the advent of political thought advocating popular rights within the military became a matter of strong concern to the military leadership, spurred reforms to the military system, and underlined the importance of a strict prohibition of soldiers being involved in politics. Among the reforms were the Admonitions to the Armed Forces (軍人訓戒 gunjin kunkai) issued in 1878, the gunjin chokuyu promulgated in 1882, a military police system created in 1881, and prior to the Meiji Constitution, by means of a memorandum presented to the emperor in 1881 by his councilors (參議 sangi), the emperor was termed commander-in-chief and the duties of a soldier were outlined to be patriotic and apolitical.

In the years after the Meiji Restoration, the army's primary objective was that of functioning as a police force keeping the peasants and the shizoku clans that had opposed the Meiji Restoration under control, and therefore enabling the new government to introduce reforms. During the late 1870's to early 1880's, the role of the military changed from primarily being responsible for safeguarding the domestic status quo to forwarding external objectives, that is, expansionist policies. The primary objective of official army policy – defense of the homeland within Japanese borders – however, was in place until at least the end of the fiscal year 1904 and was not officially changed until the Imperial National Defense Plan (帝国防方針 teikoku kokubō kōshin) was enacted in 1907. National defense from then on was to be officially secured through a doctrine of offensive advance (攻勢主義 kōsei shugi).
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4.2 Conscript Army

Apart from the fact that an army based primarily on *shizoku*, like the *sōhei* system, would have posed a threat to the new government, a conscript army, as Drea states, seemed promising for many reasons:

“Conscription would [...] break down the old order's feudal customs, promote the restoration's goals, and create a future pool of trained soldiers available in times of foreign crisis and able to protect their homes in times of internal disorder. Furthermore, army indoctrination could translate the conscripts' regional loyalties into national allegiance and send them home as veterans to proselytize army virtues, modernization, and proto-nationalism to their communities.”

Conscripts through this indoctrination would eventually live the slogan and become the embodiment of 'good soldier = good citizen' (良兵即良民 *ryōhei soku ryōmin*) the 'national villagers' Tanaka Giichi envisioned the entire populace of becoming.

Yamagata had been impressed by the conscripts' ability during the Meiji Restoration. This, as well as Yamagata's aim to use conscription as a means of civilian education, and the reasons mentioned above, led Yamagata to strongly favor conscription over any other army model. He based the Japanese conscription system on the French one. Common soldiers would serve three years on active duty and four years in the reserves.

Conscription was presented to the Japanese population as having been part of ancient Japanese customs and as an egalitarian measure because it would eliminate inequality by opening the military profession to commoners as well as for example nobles and former samurai. However, during the first years after its introduction, conscription was rejected by the population. This was partly due to the term 'blood
tax’ (血税 ketsuzei) that was used in the conscription law, which caused the mostly illiterate peasants to understand its literal meaning.\(^{199}\) The implementation of conscription spurred uprisings, and eligibles sought to circumvent being conscripted using all means possible.\(^{200}\) More riots, during which registration centers were attacked, followed in 1874.\(^{201}\) Desertions were frequent in the first years of conscription.\(^{202}\) These circumstances show, that the extreme loyalty that the Japanese soldiers demonstrated until the end of WWII was not a traditional value belonging to Japan's “national character.” It took decades of indoctrinating and training to consolidate this patriotic spirit, an essential part of modern nationalism – and militarism, and fascism – that led to the creation of a populace that willingly partook in military service.\(^{203}\)

The conscription system, that would be included in Article 20 of the Meiji Constitution,\(^{204}\) in its form as introduced in 1872 and enacted in 1873, had serious flaws. In theory every male of the age of 20 was to serve in the military. However, many ways to evade conscription existed. Apart from exemptions common to conscription, such as being a convicted criminal or physically unfit, less obvious exemptions also existed. It was possible to evade being drafted by paying a fee of 270 Yen (an enormous sum at that time), and students as well as the first born (the household heir) were also exempt from the draft, which led to adoptions of second and third born sons into families without a male heir. About 4% of eligibles were drafted in 1876 and as late as 1889 it was not more than about 5%.\(^{205}\) Until the conscription reform in 1889, the rate of conscripted eligibles never exceeded 6%.\(^{206}\)

According to Katsura Tarō (桂太郎), a Yamagata protégé, the army was missing out on the most promising recruits because the rich, influential, and educated were able to evade conscription. This gave the army a negative image, and peasants also tried to dodge being drafted. This, in addition to the fact that comprehensive civilian education could not be secured since only a small percentage of young men were being
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conscripted, led Yamagata and Katsura to conclude that reforms were necessary to achieve their goals.\footnote{207}

The conscription system underwent reforms of varying significance between 1878 and 1889.\footnote{208} Many loopholes were closed, and one-year long officers' training for middle and high school graduates was introduced. The aim of this voluntary officers' training for the elite was to associate the army elite (officers) with the village elite (landowning class) with the goal to enhance the military's status and backing in the populace while simultaneously securing order at the military and village levels.\footnote{209} These reforms and indoctrination bore its fruits, and from the mid 1890's onward, serving as a conscript in the Imperial Japanese Army was seen as a patriotic duty by the majority of the Japanese populace.\footnote{210}

The number of conscripts rose from about 20,689 (5.7\% of eligibles) in 1891 to 151,141 (18.2\% of eligibles) in 1936 with the actual number of eligibles continuously rising. The percentage of eligibles drafted in that period of time (1891 to 1936), however, reached its peak during the military expansion after the Russo-Japanese War, only falling one step short of the WWII levels, while the amount of draftees per year declined due to the budget cuts of the Taishō era only to be increased again after the Manchurian Incident until Japan's defeat in 1945.\footnote{211} In 1873, Yamagata had envisioned building an army of 400,000 soldiers.\footnote{212} However, in 1883, according to Yamagata, even after a restructuring of the army now 200,000 soldiers strong, it still fell far short of his original goal because of budget restraints. In 1896, after the Sino-Japanese War, the army finally reached the strength that Yamagata had aimed for in 1873.\footnote{213} While the quantity of recruits rose, the quality, at least from the viewpoint of the army leadership, differed. Conscripts before the 1910's were likely to come from a rural setting and were more influenced by the traditional values present in the countryside and preferred by the military. Due to demographic changes and urbanization from the 1910's on, the likelihood of a conscript coming form an urban background and therefore having been subjected to extended education and being politically more knowledgeable and critical was substantially higher. The post Russo-Japanese War recruits were more
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individualistic and required stronger guidance. The increase of conscripts during the years after the Russo-Japanese War, which put a higher burden on the populace, again spurred a notion of trying to evade being drafted on the grass roots level. Mass desertions, due to abuse by officers as well as non-commissioned officers that were made public in the media, were a common phenomena in the years after the Russo-Japanese War. At the same time, the increased number of conscripts brought the army and the populace closer together. Military thought spread more extensively among the populace. The conscription system not only proved to be successful in bringing the army and populace closer together, it also led to an effective Imperial Japanese Army and proved it skeptics wrong, as its first big test, the Satsuma Rebellion (西南戦争 seinan sensō) of 1877 showed. Yamagata, who had believed in the capabilities of a conscript army from the outset, was impressed by the conscripts' ability of being victorious over an army of professionally trained shizoku.

Dickinson argues that the Imperial Japanese Army based on the conscription system was established primarily to create and preserve national unity and to achieve great power status and not because of a probable menace to Japanese independence, while Harries and Harries see the main objective of its creation in defending Japan's independence of threats by imperialist powers. Regardless of where the primary objective is seen to be, the Imperial Japanese Army did both. As depicted above, the Imperial Japanese Army secured Japan's inner peace from early on. From the 1880's on with the transformation of Japan into a modern constitutional monarchy until the turn of the century and the Russo-Japanese War with Japan's attempt to become an Empire, it enabled Japan to count itself among the great powers. The universal conscription system affected Japan as a nation-state as well as the Japanese people. The surpassing influence it had on the lives of the people is comparable in magnitude to the influence of the replacement of the Tokugawa class-based educational system by the system of universal education.
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4.3 The Emperor and the Independence of the Dainippon Teikoku Rikugun

Five institutions were of vast importance in enabling the Imperial Japanese Army to become as powerful, influential and independent as it did: (1) The emperor's prerogative of supreme command; (2) direct access to the throne by the Army Minister; (3) the Army Minister had to be a general on active duty; (4) the dual command structure; and (5) the introduction of the gunrei (軍令 military decree).

Initially through the gunjin chokuyu, and further secured legally through Article 11 of the Meiji Constitution, the emperor was promulgated supreme commander of the Japanese armed forces. This proved to be an important obstacle to Japanese constitutionalism since the emperor in reality was not in a position to wield control over the military, and the government did not have the legal means to do so.

The Army Minister's direct access to the emperor (帷幄上奏 iaku jōsō) was established legally by Article 7 of the Cabinet-Government Regulations (内閣官制第七条 naikaku kansei daishijijō) in 1889. From then on the Army Minister could access the throne directly under the pretext that the matter in concern was a military secret. The Prime Minister would be informed after the throne had been consulted. The vague definition of what was to be considered a military secret led to the Army Minister generally excluding the Prime Minister from the decision-making process and informing him only of the results. With the Army Minister having direct access to the emperor, the military was out of the reach of cabinet controls. The Army Ministry became a military lobby group within the civilian government instead of being a control mechanism of the government. The military, albeit, did not immediately come to dominate Japanese policies.
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In 1900, through a revision of a law, the Army Minister had to be an officer on active duty (軍部大臣現役武官制 gunbu daijin geneki bukansei). This measure enhanced the military's already existing political power (resulting from, for example, its role in the Meiji Restoration) and clearly sought to limit the influence of the political parties on the military. It enabled the army to directly influence policies by threatening to withdraw its minister, bringing the government to a collapse or not naming an Army Minister in the first place, preventing a cabinet from forming. This law was temporarily repealed from 1913 to 1936; however, de facto, nothing changed. Army Ministers continued to be generals on active duty.

The dual command structure was created with the establishment of the sanbō honbu in 1878, which was independent of the government. With the sanbō honbu in charge of the army's command (軍令 gunrei), the Army Ministry was left only in charge of administration (軍政 gunsei). The sanbō honbu reported directly to the emperor, and so its chief of staff enjoyed direct access to the emperor. The cabinet could not legally control the sanbō honbu and de facto, neither could the emperor.

In addition to the institutions mentioned above, one last decisive factor guaranteed the possibility of the military making decisions independently from the cabinet. This was the establishment of the so-called gunrei in 1907. These gunrei that concerned the command of the army were exempt from the legal proceedings that
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233 Inoue [井上], “Seiji to gunbu,” 355; Yoshida [吉田], “Nihon no guntai,” 152. The officers had to be of the rank of major general or higher to qualify. Bürkner, “Probleme,” 113. The same applied to the Navy Minister.

234 Harries and Harries, Soldiers of the Sun, 66.

235 It had not been necessary to establish this active duty rule before 1900 because there were hardly any officers of the rank of general in the reserves until then. The threat of a retired general becoming Army Minister was that he could have been influenced by the political parties to adopt positions that harmed the army's influence without the army being able to put pressure on him because he was outside of the military command structure. Bürkner, “Probleme,” 111-12.


238 The independence of the sanbō honbu was legally confirmed for the first time by the civilian government in 1885 and became part of the Meiji constitutional system although only indirectly established through Article 11 and 12. Bürkner, “Probleme,” 54, 66-67; Krebs, “Kaiserliche Militär,” 29; Gerhard Krebs, Das Moderne Japan 1868 – 1952: Vom der Meiji-Restauration bis zum Friedensvertrag von San Francisco (München: Oldenbourg, 2009), 11; Matsushita [松下], Meiji no guntai, 93. The chief of the sanbō honbu from its creation until 1889 was more powerful than the Army Minister because he already enjoyed direct access to the emperor. From 1908 on until Japan's defeat in WWII the sanbō honbu was by law more powerful than the Army Ministry. Bürkner, “Probleme,” 66, 85. The sanbō honbu was basically independent of the Army Ministry. Krebs, Japan im Pazifischen Krieg, 40.


240 Gunrei concerned the command of the Imperial Japanese Army in terms of the sanbō honbu but also the Army Ministry.
involved all chokurei (勅令 imperial command) having to be countersigned by the Prime Minister. This system had significant influence on the independence of the sanbō honbu and the Army Ministry. This, notwithstanding, did not mean that the Army Ministry was excluded from Japanese politics. In fact, the Army Ministry now had a better position to influence policies because through the gunrei it could, for example, exclude the Finance Ministry from financial decisions concerning the military.241

With these institutions firmly in place, securing the army's independence and the army's connections to, for example, the bureaucracy, the army was in the best position to dominate the state in the late 1930's.242 The civilian government instead of controlling the military was forced to defend itself against military domination,243 and the military's goals almost always were definite.244

4.4 Indoctrination within the Dainippon Teikoku Rikugun

4.4.1 The Gunjin Chokuyu

The gunjin chokuyu, promulgated in 1882 by Yamagata, defined the core values of a Japanese soldier and was the basis of military education and indoctrination. As Jansen analyzes, it was “[d]esigned to serve as moral guidance for the modern armed forces, [and] it reminded soldiers and sailors that it was not impetuous bravery that counted, but prudence, self-control, and disciplined loyalty.”245 The rescript rephrased values, for example simplicity, valor, loyalty to and respect for superiors, which had been part of the samurai bushidō (武士道), for the commoner conscript.246 Within the Japanese armed forces it “became […] the core for the ideology of unity, the gunjin (nippon) seishin [軍人(日本)精神 (Japanese) soldiers' spirit]"247 and “explicitly told the military to stay out of

241 Bürkner, “Probleme,” 81-84.
244 Abe [ 藤野 ], Nihon fashizumu, 174. Other institutions concerning military policies also existed. Noteworthy are the Supreme Military Council ( 元帥府 gensuiju) and the Imperial General Headquarters ( 大本営 daihonei). These, however, did not significantly – if at all – contribute to the militarization of Japan. For the Supreme Military council see: Bürkner, “Probleme,” 115-16; Inoue [ 井上 ], “Seiji to gunbu,” 363; and Krebs, Japan im Pazifischen Krieg, 42. For the Imperial General Headquarters see: Bürkner, “Probleme,” 116-25, 130-32; Krebs, “Kaiserliche Militär,” 33; and Krebs, Japan im Pazifischen Krieg, 46-47.
245 Jansen, Modern Japan, 398.
246 Drea, Japan's Imperial Army, 52; Jansen, Modern Japan, 398-99; Hackett, Yamagata Aritomo, 86. Those values would also be applied to the entire Japanese population, for example through the kyōiku chokugo.
It was studied everyday by the conscripts, who were expected to be able to recite an abbreviated version, until 1934, when they were expected to know the entire rescript.

4.4.2 Education, Indoctrination and Ideology

The necessity of spiritual mobilization became apparent during the Satsuma rebellion in 1878. Consequently, one part of the education within the army focused on indoctrinating the soldiers with values of patriotism, spiritual superiority, and loyalty to the superiors, but most importantly to the emperor. The intensity of this indoctrination deepened over time and reached its climax in the period from 1941 until Japan's defeat in 1945. In addition to and before the zaigō gunjinkai's establishment, the army indoctrinated its officers through various schools, who were then to spread their mindset amongst the conscripts.

The Army Academy (陸軍士官学校 rikugun shikan gakkō), compulsory for all officer cadets, was established in 1874. The Army College (陸軍大学校 rikugun daigakkō) was established in 1882. It was the entrance to important positions within the army and only the most promising officers, roughly 10 percent of the Army Academy graduates, attended. Additionally, in 1896 rikugun yōnen gakkō were established. Sons of military personnel could enroll for three years as early as at the age of 12 to 13 years old in order to prepare to follow in their father's footsteps.

It is noteworthy that two leading theorists of what Skya terms “radical Shinto ultranationalism” were lecturers at military schools. Kakehi Katsuhiko taught in the Law Department of the Naval Academy. He had “a direct link with the Japanese military,” since his teachings were also part of the Imperial Japanese Army schools'
His "ideas [...] served as the pillar of the ideology of a clique of army officers identified with the Imperial Way Faction." The influence of Uesugi's ideology is "particularly noteworthy" as he had direct influence on the Imperial Japanese Army officers. His teachings were part of the military schools' curriculum. At Yamagata's request, Uesugi began teaching at the Army College as head of the constitutional law department in 1913, and from 1918 on also taught ideology at the Army Academy. Although few officers were ever members of radical groups such as, for example, the Imperial Way Faction, most were fundamentally influenced by the ideology, and "it was with Uesugi [...] that the senior military barons made common cause in the ultranationalist movement of the 1920s".

Leading positions in the Army Ministry and the sanbō honbu, as well as the post of Army Minister in the period after the Russo-Japanese War, were usually held by graduates of the military academies and colleges, that is, highly trained military bureaucrats. Since officers who had served in the army for an extended period of time before entering the Army College, and having been influenced by Kakehi's and Uesugi's ideologies, were, after graduating, very likely to move to important offices quickly, they were in excellent positions to spread those radical ideas directly among their subordinates and through them indirectly among the Japanese populace.

The Russo-Japanese War served to reestablish the importance of *seishin* (spirit as in soldiers' spirit) within the Imperial Japanese Army – morale and spirit in combat were seen as the decisive factor in Japan's victory. In 1908, a completely revised *guntai naimusho* (*軍隊内務書*, Army Handbook of Interior Administration) was issued placing "heavy emphasis on spiritual training and the inculcation of the military..."
The direct link to the emperor, the “ultimate source of authority,” was used to indoctrinate the conscripts with notions of racial superiority over the enemy and moral superiority over their fellow civilian countrymen. The revised *guntai naimusho* also introduced the system of *the army as a family* which originated from the intention of furthering the army's popularity (which had temporarily declined after the Russo-Japanese War), while focusing in particular on conscripts from rural areas. The slogan “the company commander is the father, the squad leader is the mother” (*chūtaichō ha otōsan, hanchō ha okāsan*) originated during that time. Although some resemblances to prison life survived the transformation, noticeable changes took place in the army barracks. To very poor farmers, life in the military could seem to be an improvement over the strenuous manual farm labor and meager diet on which the poorest of farmers had to survive. This strengthened the army's role in the rural areas, but also weakened its base in urban areas. To further the control on the rural population, a system of observation was established. The company commander, for example, would be provided with a detailed report on the conscript's rank in society and in return would send a report on the recruit's performance within the army back to the town hall.

The revised *guntai naimusho*, however, unintentionally to a certain degree, isolated the army “from the people by restricting soldiers' time off base and strictly censoring their reading materials.” With the establishment of the *zaigō gunjinkai* two years later, the army gained better access to the lives of the populace and was able to communicate its values and further army-mobilization. As Fuji notes, the final step towards completing the observation system had begun.

From 1909 on, as Drea analyzed, spreading and deepening “élan and morale [among conscripts] became an [army] obsession. According to the conventional army wisdom, iron discipline exemplified by unquestioning obedience to orders was the sole means to enhance spiritual power and inculcate confidence in victory.” The Infantry Field Manual (*歩兵操典 hohei sōten*) was revised accordingly in 1909, stressing the
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importance of *seishin* and insisting on the infantry's decisive role in winning battles by attacking with small weapons and bayonets\(^{277}\) and therefore negating the importance of modern technology and weaponry. “The manual also intimated that proper attention to military discipline would develop an irresistible attack spirit that would inevitably result in victory.”\(^{278}\)

With many army manuals edited and rewritten in the years between 1908 to 1913, genuine Japanese ideas found their way into the manuals for the first time replacing many of the existing ideas that had been copied from French and German military manuals. These ideas that would later characterize the Japanese army, consisted of an absolute lack of scientific rationality, extreme spiritual idealism, and the belief in sole infantry advance – an almighty infantry attacking with unsheathed swords. The revised manuals established the *emperor ideology* as a part of army thought and began spreading it within the army through various measures. Since the Army Codes of Conduct (陸軍礼式, *rikugun reishiki*) were revised in 1910, even more emphasis was put on the deification of the emperor, who from then on was saluted in a special manner otherwise reserved for gods.\(^{279}\)

As a response to the spreading of what political leaders considered *dangerous thought*, for example communism within the Imperial Japanese Army in the aftermath of WWI, the army undertook various countermeasures.\(^{280}\) One of them was the revision of the *guntai naimusho* in 1921.

“The manual first used the term *kokutai* (national polity) to accentuate the army's unique relationship with the throne along with a grassroots appeal to nationalism wrapped in contempt for other Asians. Stressing that *kokutai* and the unbroken imperial line conferred a sacred uniqueness on the army, authorities reaffirmed the army's intangible attitudes of self-sacrifice, loyal service to the emperor, unselfishness, and courage to cultivate a distinctive ethos that distinguished the institution from the civilian culture.”\(^{281}\)

\(^{277}\) This was obviously absolutely irrational in times of machine guns and other weapons using up to date technology.

\(^{278}\) Humphreys, *Heavenly Sword*, 15. These views were proposed for example in 1909 by Major General Nagaoka Gaishi (長岡外史), chief of the Military Affairs Bureau, who “claimed that Japan's unique history and culture (*kokutai*), combined with national characteristics and geographical setting, determined the nature of the army. Army regulations and training would incorporate these tangibles (spiritual power, or *seishin*) to enhance technical proficiency gained from military training.” Drea, *Japan's Imperial Army*, 133.

\(^{279}\) Yoshida [吉田], “Nihon no guntai,” 156-57.


\(^{281}\) Drea, *Japan's Imperial Army*, 161.
The emphasis on extreme spiritual idealism and the belief in an almighty infantry attacking with unsheathed swords came under reconsideration due to experience gathered in WWI. Finally in the Battle-Outline Draft (戦闘綱要草案 sentō kōyō sōan) in 1926, the necessity of science was to a certain degree acknowledged. This, however, did not signify a turn towards realism. The Infantry Manual of 1928 still included many of the crazed notions of infantry advance instead of combined arms warfare. It also featured the first appearance in any manual of the concept of “belief in certain victory” that commanders had to instill in the soldiers. In 1934, furthermore, the Army Ministry published a pamphlet entitled The True Meaning of National Defense and the Proposal to Strengthen it (国防の本義と其強化の提唱 kokubō no hongi to sono kyōka no teishō) which underlined the “importance of a total national defense state” and stated that war was “the father of creation and the mother of culture.” Ideological education (精神教育 seishin kyōiku) was an important part of the military training during the military service from the outset of conscription on, and it gained more and more importance during the Taishō years. Through the education and indoctrination of the conscripts, the military's values were spread among the population as the conscripts upon returning home shared their experience with their families.

In the next chapter we turn to Tanaka Giichi, who was next to Yamagata, the important person in terms of spreading military values among the populace, as he played a decisive role in enlarging the indoctrination apparatus beyond the limits of conscription with his work in establishing the zaigō gunjinkai.

5. Tanaka Giichi (田中義一, 1864 – 1929)

Tanaka Giichi, the father of the zaigō gunjinkai and the last of Yamagata Aritomo's protégés, is considered to have been an “outstanding staff officer and imaginative military planner,” as well as being “personable.” He had access to the Chōshū clique's most inner circles and was an influential officer throughout his career. He regularly ignored the limitations of his rank and position, and
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took to influencing military policies and changing military institutions.\textsuperscript{289} The drafting of a memorandum on the necessity of military expansion, which impressed the military leadership and led to Tanaka being assigned to draft a national defense plan,\textsuperscript{290} and the establishment of the \textit{zaigō gunjinkai} and the \textit{seinendan}, are good examples for his successful attempts to go beyond the boundaries of his rank and position.

5.1 Early Life

Tanaka Giichi, like his mentor Yamagata Aritomo, came from a lowly samurai family in Chōshū. Born in 1863, his youth was defined by poverty and anti-government rebellion. At the age of thirteen, in 1876, Tanaka partook in an unsuccessful anti-government uprising, but because of his young age, he was pardoned. After this experience Tanaka became an avid student, and before enrolling in the Army Academy, for a short period of time worked as a school teacher. In 1886, he graduated as an infantry lieutenant. Because of his aptitude, he was accepted at the Army College from which he graduated in 1898. Because of his Chōshū background, his graduating from the Army College, and his political and military views, which had much in common with Yamagata Aritomo's, Tanaka was on the most direct path of acquiring important posts within the army. He would become one of Yamagata's few protégés.\textsuperscript{291}

5.2 Military Career

Shortly after graduating from the Army College, Tanaka, as part of his assignment to the intelligence section of the \textit{sanbō honbu}, was sent to Russia to study the language and investigate the strength and capabilities of Russia's armed forces. In 1902, upon his return to Japan, Tanaka became head of the \textit{sanbō honbu} Russian section. His view that the Russian army's major weakness was the nonexistence of communication between the officers of noble blood and common soldiers from a peasant background, had an influence on the Japanese plans for war with Russia. His determination to wage war on Russia was exemplified by his joining the \textit{kogetsukai} (湖月会) in 1903, an organization whose members were all government officials, who advocated war with Russia within legal means. After the outbreak of the Russo-Japanese War, Tanaka was transferred to a

\textsuperscript{289} Ibid., 19-20.
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post in the Manchurian Army's staff where he rose to the rank of infantry lieutenant-colonel. He returned to Japan before the war was won in order to aid Yamagata in ending the war before Japanese resources were exhausted.292 This shows that Tanaka, in contrast to officers who would later be affiliated with the Imperial Way Faction, had a realistic estimate of how capable Japan was in terms of its military capacity. A capacity he sought to heighten throughout his career, as will be demonstrated when discussing his involvement in establishing the zaigō gunjinkai and the seinendan.

Between 1905 and 1910, Tanaka rose to the rank of major general and played a key role in developing Japanese army strategy and creating the aforementioned zaigō gunjinkai.293 In 1906, Tanaka drafted the national defense plan, which Yamagata altered slightly. The plan, which became official policy in 1907, was a commitment to the maintenance and expansion of Japanese rights, in particular, in Manchuria, and on the Asian mainland in general. The plan also sought to improve army-navy relations in order to become the dominating force in the Sea of Japan and the Tsushima Straits.294 The national defense plan, of which revisions were enacted in 1918, 1923, and 1936, not only defined national defense through a doctrine of offensive advance, but also became a key instrument in the army's striving towards political influence. It further secured the high command's (統帥部 tōsuibu) independence while limiting the government's influence on decision making in regards to military matters. At the same time, it heightened the military's influence on foreign policy. Additionally, it also served to legitimize the military's intervention in the spheres of finance and the national economy, and therefore put the system used to degenerate civilian control firmly in place.295 By establishing the “'protection of the nation's existence' (kokka sonritsu [国家存立])” as the basis of foreign policy, which was interpreted as a means to justifiably undertake “preventive expansion policy,”296 the National Defense Plan indirectly reinforced Yamagata's idea that the maintenance and defense of 'lines of sovereignty' and 'lines of interest' were necessary for the well-being of Japan.

Tanaka became Section Chief of the Military Affairs Bureau in the Army Ministry (陸軍省軍事課長 rikugunshō gunmukyoku gunji kachō) in 1909. This post, again, was a 'gateway to success' (登竜門 tōryūmon) to achieve higher positions, for
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example, (Vice) Army Minister, and “gave him direct (and frequent) access to Yamagata.” He was promoted to major general in 1911 and became head of the Military Affairs Bureau in the same year. This was a post he held for roughly 15 months before being issued the command of the army first division's second brigade, which he held until 1914.

During his time as section chief of the Military Affairs Bureau, Tanaka worked on many administrative reforms to stop the abuse of office, for example, regulations for promotions to the rank of general. The planning and creation of the zaigō gunjinkai, however, was Tanaka's most important accomplishment. Although, in terms of jurisdiction, the Military Affairs Bureau was not responsible for the creation of the zaigō gunjinkai (the Infantry Bureau would have been), it cannot be denied that Tanaka played the key role in its creation and after its creation as well. Tanaka, as an advocate of the 'good soldier = good citizen' doctrine, was convinced that military training led by reservists would instill obedience, loyalty, and patriotism in the populace, and as a concomitant, would enhance the military's prestige.

Upon returning from a trip to Europe and the U.S. in August 1914, Tanaka was transferred to the sanbō honbu. He was not assigned a particular post until October 1915, when he was inaugurated as Vice Chief of the sanbō honbu. He used the meantime to tackle the organization of the seinendan based on the impressions he had collected while overseas.

While Tanaka was Vice Chief of Staff, he favored of an intervention in Siberia. He also supervised the extensive planning for this mission that sought to counter the Bolshevik Revolution. After becoming Army Minister in 1918, Tanaka changed his view concerning the Siberian intervention whose goal he came to deem as unachievable. Against the opposition of the sanbō honbu, he worked on reducing troops in Siberia.
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Tanaka was again involved in forming national defense policy as the National Defense Plan was being revised in 1917. It had become apparent to Tanaka that future wars would not be short-lived, but rather drawn out and required a nation that was prepared to all extents. Tanaka's aim was to create a self-sufficient and independent nation capable of defending itself. This, on the one hand, meant that the peacetime economy had to be structured for a rapid conversion to industrial mobilization during wartime, and that the populace had to be prepared accordingly. On the other hand, resource-poor Japan needed to gain unlimited access to resources elsewhere, namely in China. China was therefore deemed vital to Japan's national interest, and the simultaneous north and southward expansion of Japanese borders was anticipated. The army's focus on China went hand in hand with the threat of a Russian war of revenge diminishing, as Russia was occupied domestically with its revolution.307

In 1921, Tanaka, as a result of a heart attack, resigned from his post as Army Minister. Before his retirement, however, in accordance with the Hara government's foreign policy, he continued to work towards a withdrawal of Japanese troops from Siberia and the Shandong Peninsula.308 After having recovered his health, Tanaka was persuaded to serve as Army Minister again in the Yamamoto cabinet (September 1923 – January 1924).309

5.3 Party Politician

During his time as Army Minister in the Hara cabinet, Tanaka came to accept the fact that cooperating with political parties had become necessary in order to advance further in the nation's political sphere, and therefore made his first close ties with politicians from the Friends of Constitutional Government Party (立憲政友会 rikken seiyūkai).310 He maintained these ties to the rikken seiyūkai, and in 1925, during a leadership crisis within the rikken seiyūkai, retired from active duty in the army, joined the rikken seiyūkai, and was elected its president.311 Tanaka became the only Prime Minister (1927
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310 As early as 1910, Tanaka had first advocated to Yamagata the view that the oligarchy had to make use of the political parties in order to stay in power. Yamagata did not share this view and condemned the involvement of military personnel in party politics but quietly accepted Tanaka's endeavors as Tanaka became an important mediator between Yamagata and the political parties. Saaler, *Demokratie*, 483-84.
– 1929) with a military background to head a party cabinet.\textsuperscript{312} The course of action of the \textit{rikken seiyūkai} cabinet headed by Tanaka, according to Maruyama, “almost appear[ed] to be that of a fascist government.” Freedom of speech and left-wing movements were further suppressed through the revision of the Peace Preservation Law by an emergency decree.\textsuperscript{313} The main theme of the Tanaka cabinet's policy, however, was the settling of the “China problem.”\textsuperscript{314}

Tanaka's China policy as Prime Minister was based on the impressions he had gathered while meeting important warlords and political leaders during a tour of China in 1917. His 'positive China policy' was characterized by a close cooperation between the Tanaka government and the army's leadership, as Tanaka had formulated the army's China policy for years prior to his inauguration as Prime Minister. It treated China and Manchuria as two separate states and sought to avoid war with China over Manchuria. Tanaka, nonetheless, was determined to secure Japan's position in Manchuria – if diplomacy failed, then by military force.\textsuperscript{315} When Tanaka's policy of diplomacy was seen as failing, demands to secure Manchuria by force became strong within the army, primarily among Kwantung Army staff officers. Eventually, Tanaka lost the army's support.\textsuperscript{316}

Tanaka resigned in 1929 after he had failed to prosecute the murderers of the Chinese warlord Chang Tso-lin: Kwantung army officers. The murder can be seen as an attempt to force the Tanaka government into a more aggressive policy towards China. Tanaka, on the one hand, had been pressured by the emperor and the political opposition to prosecute the responsible officers, and on the other hand, had been pressured by the army not to do so. After being unsuccessful in covering the issue up, Tanaka resigned under pressure from the emperor. He died shortly afterwards.\textsuperscript{317}
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\textsuperscript{317} Krebs, \textit{Japan im Pazifischen Krieg}, 29; Saaler, \textit{Demokratie}, 487. Shortly before Tanaka's death in September 1929, a pamphlet, which came to be known as the 'Tanaka Memorial,' appeared. It appeared in Chinese and English and contained a plan to establish domination over Manchuria and Mongolia in order to conquer China. No significant proof has been brought forward, however, to
6. The Teikoku Zaigō Gunjinkai

While this chapter focuses on the Tanaka-designed zaigō gunjinkai and its subsidiary organizations, it is necessary to briefly discuss the compulsory educational system and the kyōiku chokugo to establish an overview of the indoctrination that the entire populace underwent.

6.1 Indoctrination in the Field of Education

Compulsory elementary education was introduced by the Education Order (学制 gakusei) in 1872. A notable change took place in elementary school education when the ethics textbook was edited in 1910. The contents of the edition published in 1903, which had been influenced by Western ideas, were replaced by increasingly conservative nationalistic ideas. This change was made necessary by the sacrifices the Japanese population had to endure during the Russo-Japanese War which required the reactivation of a lived patriotism. Emphasis was now put on “[i]mperial and national themes, on conservative morality, and on what some scholars call the 'family state' or 'family nation' (kazoku kokka [家族国家]) ideology.” The kokutai was also featured in the revised textbook. Filial piety at home, but also in terms of including the emperor, the head of the “national family,” was stressed as well. Loyalty to the emperor and filial piety were brought together to form the sole principle of chūkō no taigi (忠孝の大義 “great loyalty-filial piety principle”). The same was true for patriotism and emperor-
loyalty. It became the principle of chūkun aikoku (忠君爱国 “patriotic emperor-loyalty”).

Since the conscription system had been revised in the 1880's, elementary school teachers, after completing their educational training, had to serve in the army for a period of six months thus ensuring the army's influence in the elementary school system. In 1917, Tanaka dispatched active duty officers and non-commissioned officers to middle schools and teachers' colleges and stressed the importance of enforcing military education (軍事教育 gunji kyōiku) and military drill (軍事教練 gunji kyōren).

Military ideology and influence would further permeate the educational system when Ugaki (Army Minister 1924-27, 1929-31), due to budget restraints, had to pare costs and reduced the strength of the standing army as well as the duration of conscription in order to modernize the army's equipment in spite of the aforementioned budget restraints. The excess officers were allocated to public schools to further military drill and education and strengthen patriotism in order to prepare the populace for 'total war.' To compensate for the shortened duration of the conscript's active duty, military training became mandatory at Japanese elementary schools in 1926.

323 Karasawa [唐沢] cited in Fridell, “Ethics Textbooks,” 830 [trans. by Fridell]. The history textbook as well as the ethics textbook also featured Shintō indoctrination. Editions of the history textbook from 1910 onwards were only slightly altered putting more emphasis on loyalty and filial piety. Militaristic and ultranationalist content to a disputable extent was introduced to the language readers published after 1933 as well. The 1934 edition introduced an outline of what, according to Brooker, was meant to be understood as kokutai “the unbroken line of directly descended Emperors, the instruction by Amaterasu to her grandson and his descendants to rule Japan, the benevolence of the Emperors, the loyalty of heroic subjects, and the parent-child-like relationship between the Emperors and their subjects.” Brooker, Fraternalism, 252-53.

324 Tsurumi, Social Change, 85. Aspiring teachers were further indoctrinated with militaristic values when the training programs for elementary school teachers were revised in 1886. The curriculum at the normal schools from then on included military drill, and dormitories were designed to resemble military barracks. This made aspiring teachers increasingly subject to military drill, thus completing the “continuity between elementary school education and army socialization.” Tsurumi, Social Change, 109.

325 Kisaka [木坂], “Gunbu,” 6. The Imperial Japanese Army's interest in influencing formal education had been furthered when after the Russo-Japanese War officers noticed that the conscripts brought with them changes in attitude due to coming from what the army deemed “morally suspect urban areas” and better access to education. Most conscripts after the Russo-Japanese War had enjoyed more formal education before being drafted than the prewar rural conscripts had received. Until 1945 six generals (on active duty as well as retired) served as Education Minister. Humphreys, Heavenly Sword, 14.

326 Fujiwara [藤原], Gunjishi, 142; Krebs, “Kaiserliche Militär,” 45; Saaler, Demokratie, 132. The reforms did not only focus on modernizing the Imperial Japanese Army's technical equipment but also sought to reform the Imperial Japanese Army's structure, for example to limit the influence of the Chōshū clique. Fujiwara [藤原], Gunjishi, 144.


328 Saaler, Demokratie, 138.
populace in military values as early as 1911 stating “national education must inject military ideology [into the populace].”

6.1.1 The Kyōiku Chokugo

Before Tanaka had risen in rank and become an influential staff officer in the army, eventually Army Minister, and finally Prime Minister, the kyōiku chokugo was published in October 1890, and laid the basis for extensive indoctrination of the Japanese populace.

The kyōiku chokugo, at least temporarily, put an end to the discussion concerning the contents of compulsory education and established the basis for its “Japanization.” It defined the ethical maxim of education to incorporate values like loyalty to and obedience of superiors and the emperor, an extended form of the Confucian concept of filial piety. It “made working for the good of the state in the name of the emperor the cornerstone of the compulsory educational system.” Shortly after its promulgation, the kyōiku chokugo was idolized as a “national scripture” and its reading, for example, on national holidays, took place “with all the solemnity of a sacred ritual.” Students were expected to memorize the kyōiku chokugo, which was written in language that was not part of everyday conversation. The process of learning and reciting it in this sacred manner led the students and populace that had undergone this indoctrination to be programmed to accept statements that were voiced in the same manner, containing its uncommon catchwords, as valid beyond doubt. Saaler sees the proclamation of the kyōiku chokugo in 1890, as the beginning of the gleichschaltung of military and civilian education. Indeed, the indoctrination that was spread henceforth through the educational system would form one of the main pillars that the extreme nationalism and expansionism of the following decades would stand on.

329 Tanaka Giichi (田中義一) cited in Kōketsu, Seigun kankei, 47.
330 The kyōiku chokugo can be found in, for example, Motoyama Sachihiko, Meiji kokka no kyōiku shisō (明治国家の教育思想) (Tokyo: Shibunkaku, 1998), 258-59. An English translation can be found in Hackett, Yamagata Aritomo, 132-33; as well as in Kaigo, Japanese Education, 56-57.
331 Krebs, Moderne Japan, 19.
332 Smethurst, “Creation,” 821.
333 Brooker, Fraternity, 249-50.
334 Ibid., 250.
335 Saaler, Demokratie, 133.
336 Krebs, Moderne Japan, 19.
The kyōiku chokugo can be seen as a means of extending the values of the gunjin chokuyu to the entire population, as it gave the education in Japanese schools a very nationalistic touch. The nationalistic touch that the kyōiku chokugo provided, however, was not sufficient for the army. The army demanded that more militaristic ideology be taught in the schools. Japanese, history, sports, and music lessons were to focus on militaristic topics (such as military history, military songs, military drill). This was also intended to partially compensate for the reduction of the duration of service for the conscripts. The zaigō gunjinkai was the leading institution in securing the militaristic intrusion into the educational sector.

### 6.2 The Idea of and Reason for the Teikoku Zaigō Gunjinkai

At the latest in 1903, Tanaka had voiced the opinion that it was necessary for Japan to maintain a military reserve that could quickly be reactivated in times of necessity, for example, when the standing army alone was unable to fight victoriously against a more powerful opponent. His conviction was confirmed during the Russo-Japanese War when Japan's army fought to its absolute maximum of capacity. Additionally, Tanaka was convinced that future wars would be "nation total wars" (国家総力戦 kokka sōryokusen) in which not only the military's ability decided over victory or defeat, but in which the nation itself needed to be properly prepared to be capable of contributing to the cause, making the zaigō gunjinkai and seinendan necessary. The reservists would be an especially decisive factor, and their ability would have considerable influence on the outcome of a war.

Tanaka wanted to control and mobilize the populace under the leadership of the army through the system of (1) compulsory education, (2) seinendan, (3) military service and (4) zaigō gunjinkai. He believed that training loyal soldiers would...
automatically lead to the creation of loyal citizens.\textsuperscript{344} The backing of the army within the population was to be achieved through the concurrent combination of education and military training.\textsuperscript{345} He was convinced of the necessity of creating a populace built of 'national villagers' who because of its identification with the values present in the rural hamlet structure, would support the military and national goals, and would worship the emperor.\textsuperscript{346} This conviction was also supported by the experience gained during the Russo-Japanese War. Japan had, as leading Imperial Japanese Army officers perceived, been able to win against a country as powerful as Russia only because the Japanese military had been backed by the population and the Russian military had not.\textsuperscript{347} In order to be prepared for future wars, as far as Imperial Japanese Army officers were concerned, it was necessary to remodel society into a body as disciplined and well ordered as the Imperial Japanese Army.\textsuperscript{348} The reservists, in Tanaka's opinion, would “in times of war control the fate of the country and in times of peace have the duty to guide the development of the nation.”\textsuperscript{349}

\textbf{6.2.1 Establishing the Teikoku Zaigō Gunjinkai}

The first reservist associations were founded around 1900. They were independent, but their founding had been encouraged by the army. The aim of those reservist associations was to enhance the military's prestige within the population and to act as role models in terms of morale and public decency. They also participated, for example, in the voluntary fire brigades, cooperated with the police, substituted police duties and supported families with members on active duty.\textsuperscript{350}

\textsuperscript{344} Tanaka (田中) cited in Tazaki (田崎), \textit{Tanaka Giichi}, 266.
\textsuperscript{345} Kōketsu (織総), \textit{Seigun kankei}, 46-47; Tanaka (田中), cited in Tazaki (田崎), \textit{Tanaka Giichi}, 266.
\textsuperscript{346} Smethurst, introduction, xvi.
\textsuperscript{348} Smethurst, “Creation,” 817.
\textsuperscript{349} Tanaka (田中) cited in Tazaki (田崎), \textit{Tanaka Giichi}, 265.
\textsuperscript{350} Smethurst, \textit{Social Basis}, 8.
The founding of the *zaigō gunjinkai* in 1910 originated from Tanaka's impulse.\(^{351}\) The army's structure was transplanted into the hamlet branch chapters (分会 bunkai) of the *zaigō gunjinkai* through a "top-to-bottom" procedure,\(^{352}\) absorbing the aforementioned independent reservist associations.\(^{353}\) The *zaigō gunjinkai* was delegated to the jurisdiction of the Army Minister.\(^{354}\)

Consistent with Tanaka's aims, the *zaigō gunjinkai* was created to further the military preparedness of the male population,\(^{355}\) to "educate the general public in military values [and] to build a mass civilian base of support for [the military's] national goals and to create a unified Japan in which 'all citizens are soldiers' under the emperor's 'leadership'."\(^{356}\) Furthermore, the *zaigō gunjinkai* would provide a powerful military reserve to support Japan's expansionist ambitions and would work against the "deterioration of popular ideas" (国民思想の悪化 kokumin shisō no akka) in the form of increasing tenant uprisings and a growing labor movement, and prevent this political awakening from spreading within the army.\(^{357}\) As within the independent reservist associations that the *zaigō gunjinkai* had incorporated, the reservists, as Tanaka was certain, would be role models in terms of morale and public decency and would support the community in various aspects.\(^{358}\) Above all, the *zaigō gunjinkai* and its subsidiary organizations were used to consolidate the traditional community awareness, to encourage the populace to work hard, and, in accordance with the extended form of filial piety as established in the kyōiku chokugo, to obey superiors and worship the emperor.\(^{359}\) The *zaigō gunjinkai* and its subsidiary organizations also enabled the

---

\(^{351}\) Saaler, *Demokratie*, 135. The planning of the *zaigō gunjinkai* had begun in 1906. It was originally intended to be a joint venture of the army and navy. Due to various circumstances the founding was delayed and in the end the navy backed out. A reservist organization was, in reality, not as important to the navy. Due to its structure only a significantly small number of sailors retired from active duty. Inoue [井上], “Seiji to gunbu,” 376. The navy eventually participated in the *zaigō gunjinkai* from 1914 onwards. Inoue Kiyoshi [井上清], *Ugaki Kazushige* [宇垣一成] (Tokyo: Asahi shinbunsha, 1975), 176; Kísaka [木坂], "Gunbu,” 6. Apart from Tanaka five other officers from Chōshū, General Terauchi Masatake (寺内正毅, Army Minister); Major General Nagaoka Gaishi (Chief of the Military Affairs Bureau of the Army Ministry from 1908 to 1910); Colonel Sugano Shōichi (菅野尚一, Military Affairs Bureau); Commander Yoshikawa Yasuhira (吉川 安平, Navy Ministry); Field Marshal Yamagata Aritomo; and 3 officers who were affiliated with the Chōshū clique although they were not from Chōshū, Major General Oka Ichinosuke (岡市之助, Navy Ministry); Colonel Kawai Misao (河合操), and Lieutenant Colonel Koijima Sōjirō (児島惣次郎, all three Military Affairs Bureau); worked on the planning of the *zaigō gunjinkai*. Smethurst, "Creation,” 816.

\(^{352}\) Inoue [井上], “Seiji to gunbu,” 377.

\(^{353}\) Kōketsu [纐纈], *Seigun kankei*, 44; Smethurst, *Social Basis*, 9.


\(^{355}\) Smethurst, "Creation,” 815.

\(^{356}\) Inoue [井上], “Seiji to gunbu,” 376.

\(^{357}\) Smethurst, "Creation,” 815.

\(^{358}\) Inoue [井上], “Seiji to gunbu,” 376.

\(^{359}\) Tanaka (田中) cited in Tazaki [田崎], *Tanaka Giichi*, 266.

\(^{350}\) Sims, *Political History*, 111-12.
Imperial Japanese Army to spread its militaristic values among the large part of the populace that was not affected by conscription.³⁶⁰

Membership in the zaigō gunjinkai was *de jure* voluntary, but *de facto* group pressure by one's peers and pressure from the hamlet coerced most eligibles into joining in the rural areas.³⁶¹ As a result, in the 1920's, as many as 80% of the eligibles in rural areas were members in contrast to only 40% in the urban areas.³⁶² In 1936, the zaigō gunjinkai had 14,000 branches totaling 2.9 million members.³⁶³ In order to guarantee the acceptance of the zaigō gunjinkai in the populace, leaders of the local branches were elected by the members themselves and not by the Imperial Japanese Army authorities. Generally, those elected leaders came from the influential elite of the hamlet, the wealthy and the educated,³⁶⁴ which established the zaigō gunjinkai as a perfect likeness of the social order of the hamlets and of the entire society as well.³⁶⁵

### 6.3 The Dainihon Seinendan and Seinen Kunrenjo

In 1914, Tanaka undertook an inspection tour of Europe and America. He focused his interest on the education of juvenile males. During his visit to Germany, he also inspected youth groups that stood under the authoritarian rule of the military. After returning to Japan, Tanaka sought to create a Japanese equivalent by restructuring the existing youth groups into one centrally controlled organization. His aim was to influence Japanese juvenile males from the time they graduated from compulsory elementary school until they reached the conscription age of 20 years. They were to

---

³⁶⁰ Saaler, *Demokratie*, 133.

³⁶¹ A record of eligibles was kept in the municipality because of the conscription system and eligibles were “matter of factly forced” to join the zaigō gunjinkai. Inoue [井上], *Ugaki Kazushige*, 176; also Smethurst, *Social Basis*, 83. Peer pressure was not as strong in the urban setting because unlike in the rural areas citizens had not lived together in the same hamlet for decades or centuries, social networks for mutual help during harvest did not exist and the urban residents did not necessarily work in the same place making them much more individual. Smethurst, *Social Basis*, 68. Membership in the zaigō gunjinkai became mandatory from 1937 on and almost comprehensive membership was reached in the rural areas. Ibid., 17, 83.

³⁶² Since the hamlet structure did not exist in the rural areas, urban members mostly joined the zaigō gunjinkai voluntarily because of political conviction and were substantially more active in the political movement of the zaigō gunjinkai in the 1930's. Ibid., 17-18. After Tanaka traveled to Germany in 1914 and was impressed by the German reserves being organized in factories as well he sought to change the zaigō gunjinkai's statutes accordingly. In 1917 the zaigō gunjinkai's statutes were altered and first factory and mine branch chapters were established. Given the success of the factory branch chapters in suppressing strikes during the 1918 rice riots, Tanaka ordered branch chapters to be established in every large factory. Inoue [井上], “Seiji to gunbu,” 376-77.


³⁶⁵ Ibid., 81.
undergo physical training as well as spiritual training, with the focus laying on physical strength. The seinendan were directly connected to the zaigō gunjinkai and would ensure that the youth would be ideologically conformed. The seinendan essentially performed the same task as the zaigō gunjinkai already did, but focused on the juvenile male population. It spread military values, established military order in the rural society, physically prepared the youth for their military service, and therefore provided a “basis for an ideologically stable army.”

6.3.1 Establishing the Dainihon Seinendan

The seinendan was created in 1915 under the directive of the the Education Ministry (文部省 monbushō) and the Home Ministry (内務省 naimushō), which had been pressured into effect by the army, namely by Tanaka. Tanaka played a decisive role in creating the seinendan, and until the outbreak of the war with China, the China-incident in 1937, he was the only active duty soldier who officially held office within the seinendan. As in the case of the zaigō gunjinkai, existing youth groups were centralized, thus creating a militaristic organization to control and educate the youth. Military drill, physical training, and classes focusing on patriotism and ethics, under the leadership of the zaigō gunjinkai, were added to the activities of the existing youth

---

366 Inoue [井上], “Seiji to gunbu,” 377; Kōketsu [郷部], Seigun kankei, 49. Tazaki [田崎], Tanaka Giichi, 437, 440-42. Tanaka, in a pamphlet entitled Shakaiteki kokumin kyōiku (社会的国民教育), explained his aims and what he expected from the seinendan. An excerpt of this pamphlet is featured in Tazaki [田崎], Tanaka Giichi, 441-42. The pamphlet had 153 pages and circulation apparently reached 700,000 copies. Each elementary school and reservist branch chapter featured one. Smethurst, Social Basis, 35; Morton, Tanaka Giichi, 21.

367 Kōketsu [郷部], Seigun kankei, 48, 50.

368 Tazaki [田崎], Tanaka Giichi, 440-42.

369 Saaler, Demokratie, 137.

370 Inoue [井上], “Seiji to gunbu,” 377. Kōketsu [郷部], Seigun kankei, 49. Tazaki [田崎], Tanaka Giichi, 442. The Army Ministry did not create the seinendan under its own name in order to avoid criticism for furthering the militarization. Inoue [井上], “Seiji to gunbu,” 376-77. Ultimately the directives to the seinendan came from Tanaka and his staff and merely were presented as the Education and Home Ministries’. Kōketsu [郷部], Seigun kankei, 50.

371 Inoue [井上], “Seiji to gunbu,” 377. Tazaki [田崎], Tanaka Giichi, 440.

372 Smethurst, Social Basis, 34. Tanaka became a member of the executive board of directors from the formation of the seinendan on and therefore had significant influence on the organization. Kōketsu [郷部], Seigun kankei, 50.

373 Inoue [井上], “Seiji to gunbu,” 376-77; Smethurst, Social Basis, 27-28; Tazaki [田崎], Tanaka Giichi, 443. The existing youth groups had, through a directive of the Home and Education ministries been formed into an organization called seinenkai (青年会), supervised by local authorities, and used increasingly during the military expansion after the Russo-Japanese War to counter draft-evasion. Kōketsu [郷部], Seigun kankei, 49. For the history and restructuring of the independent youth groups see also Waswo, “Transformation,” 573-74.
The **seinendan** closed the gap in the indoctrination system. Up to that time, young males were out of the reach of indoctrination during the period after graduating from compulsory elementary school, until they entered the army as a conscript or joined the **zaigō gunjinkai**. From its formation, male juveniles would be indoctrinated in elementary schools, after graduation would enter the **seinendan**, and at the age of 20 would enter the **zaigō gunjinkai** or join the Imperial Japanese Army as a conscript. Although neither the **zaigō gunjinkai** nor the Imperial Japanese Army itself was the official authority over the **seinendan**, they both had significant influence over it because the **zaigō gunjinkai** and **seinendan** cooperated in many activities.

### 6.3.2 The Seinen Kunrenjo

An important part of the Ugaki disarmament was the military's penetration of other ministries' jurisdictions (that is, education) and the establishment of institutions whose funding did not appear in the Army Ministry's budget. One of these were the **kunrenjo** that were established in 1926. They, in addition to the **seinendan**, were intended to fill the indoctrination gap of juvenile men, from age 16 to 20, who after graduating from elementary school, did not continue their formal education. The curriculum during the four years of participation in the **kunrenjo** comprised of 100 hours on the subject of ethics and civics (**shūshin kōminka**), 400 hours of military drill, 200 hours of general education (**futsū gakka**), and 100 hours of business lectures (**shokugyōka**).

---

375 Ibid., 26.
376 Inoue [井上], “Seiji to gunbu,” 377; Kōketsu [纐纈], *Seigun kankei*, 49.
378 Inoue [井上], *Ugaki Kazushige*, 175; Yoshida [吉田], *Nihon no guntai*, 142-43. The majority of participants were artisans, shopkeepers and farmers with only elementary education. Lory, *Military Masters*, 209. The **kunrenjo**, like the **seinendan** stood under the jurisdiction of the Education Ministry. The **zaigō gunjinkai** and through it the Imperial Japanese Army, nonetheless, had significant influence on the **kunrenjo** since the 400 hours of military drill were supervised by reservists who made up 40,000 of the 110,000 **kunrenjo** teachers. Additionally the army's regimental commanders annually inspected the **kunrenjo**. Tanaka played a decisive role in creating the **kunrenjo**. By 1925 he was the leader of the opposition party **rikken seiyūkai** and pressured the cabinet to endorse Army Minister Ugaki's proposal. Smethurst, *Social Basis*, 38-39.
379 Inoue [井上], *Ugaki Kazushige*, 175-76; Lory, *Military Masters*, 209. Graduates from the **kunrenjo** program served one year on active duty as conscripts, the same length that university and technical school graduates did. Inoue [井上], *Ugaki Kazushige*, 175-76. A reserve officer system was also established following the Ugaki reforms. Graduates from middle school, who had successfully completed their schools' military training program could apply for a reserve officer's training. During peacetime about 4,000 reserve officers graduated from the officer cadet schools per year. Drea, *Japan's Imperial Army*, 159-60.
The same law that established the *kunrenjo* in 1926 (*青 年 訓 練 所 法* *seinen kunrenjo hō*) made military drill after finishing compulsory education obligatory by law. Upon completing compulsory education, juvenile males became members of the local *seinendan* and underwent military training instructed by *zaigō gunjinkai* members.\(^{380}\) The army now used both organizations to indoctrinate the young male population. While the *kunrenjo* focused on military drill and patriotic training, the *seinendan* focused on securing ties between the army and the communities by delivering a broader range of training in patriotic and military subjects, as well as physical training, and therefore acted as a recruiting mechanism for the *kunrenjo*.\(^{381}\)

By 1934, roughly 40% of the eligibles had enrolled in the 15,000 *kunrenjo*, totaling about 915,000 students. Similar to the *zaigō gunjinkai*, participation in rural areas was significantly higher than in urban areas. This is due to the same reason: peer pressure was more likely to be felt in rural Japan's hamlet structure. In 1935, in order to reach more eligibles, the *kunrenjo* and the supplementary technical schools (*実業補習学 校* *jitsugyō hoshū gakkō*) merged. This merger increased the enrollment to a total of almost two million students; well over half of the eligibles participated. For the first time, young females were also allowed to join, constituting roughly 25 percent of the participants. They, however, did not participate in military drill. Attendance became compulsory for both male and female youths by law in 1939. By 1943 almost 80% – 3 million juvenile males and females – partook in the *kunrenjo*. The majority of the remaining 20% were on active military duty.\(^{382}\)

### 6.4 The Dainippon Kokubō Fujinkai

Women had not been directly targeted by the military's indoctrination apparatus until juvenile females were admitted to the *kunrenjo*. As Japan proceeded on its path toward complete 'national mobilization,' women, to a certain extent, also moved into the focus of the military authorities.

Women had participated in some of the reservists' activities, for example, seeing off and welcoming soldiers home. Their scope of involvement, however, was intensified when the *fujinkai* was founded by mostly military wives in Osaka in 1932.\(^{383}\)

\(^{380}\) Tazaki [田崎], Tanaka Giichi, 443.
\(^{382}\) Ibid., 41-43.
\(^{383}\) Ibid., 44. A women's association called *Patriotic Women's Society* (*愛国婦人会* *aikoku fujinkai*) had existed prior to the founding of the *dainippon kokubō fujinkai*. It had consisted of roughly 3 million
At its prime, the *fujinkai* consisted of up to 8 million working class women. Apart from the supportive activities, the *fujinkai* women participated in military drill, instruction in the handling of firearms under reservist instructors, partook in nationwide military exercises, and collected money for national defense projects. The *fujinkai*, from 1937 onwards, to a significantly higher degree than the *seinendan* and *kunrenjo*, stood under direct military control. The membership, as in the previously discussed organizations, was due to peer pressure. The *fujinkai*, however, differed from the *zaigō gunjinkai*, *seinendan* and *kunrenjo* in that it was an organization directly linked to the war.

6.5 Duties and Activities of the Teikoku *zaigō Gunjinkai* and its Subsidiary Organizations

As stated earlier, Tanaka envisioned the reservists supporting their communities in various ways. They were to help the elderly, support families with war dead, discourage the youth from doing wrong, demonstrate simplicity and fortitude, promote hygiene, boast trade, strive to further rural wealth, encourage community awareness, and to support public projects. Additionally, they were to guide the youth, Japan's future soldiers, in physical training and developing their *seishin*. They were also intended to encourage the populace to show respect for the army. Accordingly, all of these activities and duties were incorporated into the *zaigō gunjinkai* bylaws.

The activities and duties of the *zaigō gunjinkai* and its subsidiary organizations can be roughly divided into 3 groups: community service duties, military activities, and patriotic activities.

Hamlet 'age groups' that had provided services for the community had existed in Japan long before the independent reservist associations, and later, the *zaigō gunjinkai* was established. These services comprised of supporting the elderly and the poor, police duties to provide safety within the hamlet, construction work, and emergency relief. According to the bylaws of the *zaigō gunjinkai*, the reservists appropriated these

---

women from the aristocracy and upper class. Due to the membership of the *dainippon kokubō fujinkai* soon exceeding it, the *aikoku fujinkai*, however, lost its importance. Ibid., 46-48.


386 Smethurst, *Social Basis*, 79.

387 Tanaka (田中) cited in Tazaki (田崎), *Tanaka Giichi*, 266.


activities. This benefited the zaigō gunjinkai in two ways. First of all, through supporting the hamlet, for example, through construction work or providing relief after the Kantō Earthquake (1923), the zaigō gunjinkai, and in the long run the Imperial Japanese Army, gained the respect and benevolence of the populace. 389 Secondly, since these activities were part of everyday life long before the zaigō gunjinkai existed, participants, that is, reservists, did not necessarily see themselves as soldiers obeying orders, but as civilians contributing to the welfare of the hamlet. 390

Military activities, like community service duties, had also been part of hamlet life before the zaigō gunjinkai had been established. Among these activities were supporting men on active duty, bidding farewell to conscripts, welcoming back soldiers returning from the barracks, and burying the war dead. Women also participated in these activities. Activities that only women participated in were the stitching of the sennin bari (千人針 391), and preparing and sending comfort bags (慰問袋 imon bukuro) filled with small gifts, for example, cigarettes, to soldiers on active duty. Activities that had not existed before the establishment of the zaigō gunjinkai, that were now introduced into hamlet life, were military drill, martial arts training, and the medical and physical examination of the Japanese juvenile males prior to being conscripted. 392

The third group, the patriotic activities, were, at least in part, strongly intertwined with the military activities. Their goal, in particular, was to further the populace's willingness to serve the hamlet and the emperor, and in general, to enhance patriotism among the rural populace. The zaigō gunjinkai, as well as the seinendan, published journals. These journals were used by the branch chapter leadership on the local level as guidebooks for patriotic and civilian as well as military education and indoctrination. Movies and plays with patriotic motives were shown at gatherings. 393 Hosting patriotic festivities on national holidays, for example, for the coronation of the emperor 394 and lectures on loyalty, courage and sacrifice for students, were also among

389 Smethurst, Social Basis, 151. The zaigō gunjinkai in cooperation with police and army was used to suppress strikes during the 1918 rice riots and depending on the region played an important role in their suppression. Inoue [井村], “Seiji to gunbu,” 377. The violence the Imperial Japanese Army demonstrated in the suppression of the riots, temporarily undermined the army's support in the populace. Humphreys, Heavenly Sword, 43.
390 Smethurst, Social Basis, 145.
391 Sennin bari literally translates to 1,000 stitches. 1,000 women each stitched one stitch to create a charm that soldiers wore in battle, the sennin bari.
392 Smethurst, Social Basis, 152-54.
393 Ibid., 163-67. The journal of the zaigō gunjinkai was entitled Comrades in Arms (戦友 senyū). The journal of the seinendan was called Imperial Youth (帝国青年 teikoku seinen) and in 1923 renamed to Youth (青年 seinen).
394 Smethurst, Social Basis, 174.
these activities.\textsuperscript{395} The \textit{fujinkai} women, in particular, had the duty to promote frugality. They supported the military in campaigns to save money encouraging others to share the life of a soldier and refrain from eating luxuriously, smoking expensive tobacco, and enjoying other unnecessary leisure activities.\textsuperscript{396}

The activities of the latter two groups, for example, military drill lessons at the \textit{kunrenjo} under instruction of local reservists, the physical examination of young males for conscription, roll calls (\textit{tenko}), and preparatory training for conscripts, were according to the motto of 'good soldier = good citizen,' and were in particular aimed at promoting the soldiers' spirit (\textit{gunjin seishin}).\textsuperscript{397} The activities of the \textit{zaigō gunjinkai} took a decisive turn when the bylaws were changed in 1925. Under the direction of Tanaka, the \textit{zaigō gunjinkai} from then on also incorporated the duty to prevent so-called dangerous thought from spreading, and in doing so, the \textit{zaigō gunjinkai} irretrievably became a counter-revolutionary military institution of the state.\textsuperscript{398}

\section*{6.6 Indoctrination by Means of the Teikoku Zaigō Gunjinkai and its Subsidiary Organizations}

The indoctrination within the \textit{zaigō gunjinkai} focused on an emperor-centered loyalty, stressing the spiritual superiority of the Japanese nation, for example, because of the consecutive reign of emperors from the same blood for 2500 years over a homogenous Japanese nation. Unlike members of the Imperial Way Faction who believed that this spiritual superiority would compensate for lacking technologically advanced weaponry, the important figures in the \textit{zaigō gunjinkai}, Tanaka and later Ugaki, both important promoters of the indoctrination effort, among others, did not believe this. Tanaka and Ugaki instead saw this emperor-centered ideology as a focus of loyalty to be used to motivate and create a coherent and obedient populace.\textsuperscript{399}

The \textit{zaigō gunjinkai}, because of its setup, involved not only reservists but also school children, civil servants, teachers and school principals in its activities.\textsuperscript{400} It had a crucial part in spreading military values among the populace\textsuperscript{401} and was also used to
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mobilize the population to oppose party-politics.\textsuperscript{402} The \textit{zaigō gunjinkai} became increasingly important to the military establishment and was used as a bulwark against the strengthening of socialism and the labor movement during the 1920's Taishō Democracy, to maintain order within society.\textsuperscript{403}

The \textit{zaigō gunjinkai} and its subsidiary organizations were successful in creating a populace consisting of 'national villagers.'\textsuperscript{404} The male population, and partially the female population, underwent a thorough indoctrination in values the military determined, from elementary school until old age. This militarization of the populace was secured through the various organizations. They and the army's intrusions into, for example, the educational system, enabled the army to at least ideologically control the Japanese males for the better part of their lives. In terms of socialization, the army was the sole entity that had significant influence on Japanese males.\textsuperscript{405} The \textit{zaigō gunjinkai}, as Tanaka had anticipated, played an important role in spreading militarism among the populace and establishing military order in rural society.\textsuperscript{406} This indoctrination, however, was not conclusive as the example of wearing military attire while not on active duty demonstrates.\textsuperscript{407} Furthermore, the \textit{seinendan}, as well as the \textit{zaigō gunjinkai}, temporarily escaped from the direct control of the army.\textsuperscript{408} Nonetheless, through securing that all members of society were reached, regardless of their level of education, the basis for

\textsuperscript{402} Berger, “Politics,” 116.

\textsuperscript{403} Kōketsu [纐纈], \textit{Seigun kanket}, 47-48.

\textsuperscript{404} Smethurst, \textit{Social Basis}, 49.


\textsuperscript{406} Kōketsu [纐纈], \textit{Seigun kanket}, 47-48.

\textsuperscript{407} Although the \textit{zaigō gunjinkai} encouraged the veterans to wear their formal military attire, and the percentage of veterans that followed this order rose during the Taishō period, even in 1931 most veterans still preferred other clothing styles and wore mostly the Japanese style \textit{kimono}. However, with the beginning of the Shōwa era the wearing of Western style clothes also spread. Most veterans merely did not want to wear clothes that reminded them of the harsh times and strictly enforced obedience during their active duty. Fuji [藤井], \textit{Zaigō gunjinkai}, 3-4.

\textsuperscript{408} Through reforms the Education and Home Ministries lost influence over the \textit{seinendan} which to the vexation and dismay of the army became increasingly independent. From the mid 1920's on, however, the army was able to regain its temporary lost influence over the \textit{seinendan} and become the single authority over it. Kōketsu [纐纈], \textit{Seigun kanket}, 52. The \textit{zaigō gunjinkai} had become the most influential patriotic pressure group in the 1930's and at times exceeded the Imperial Japanese Army's intentions. Two radical groups, for example, emerged in the \textit{zaigō gunjinkai}, the \textit{meirinkai} (明倫会) and the \textit{san-roku kurabu} (三六クラブ) that, from the viewpoint of the \textit{zaigō gunjinkai} leadership, advocated rebellious thought. Smethurst, \textit{Social Basis}, 176; Richard J. Smethurst, “The Imperial Military Reserve Association and the Minobe Crisis in 1935,” in \textit{Crisis politics in Prewar Japan: Institutional and Ideological Problems of the 1930s}, ed. George M. Wilson et al. (Tokyo: Sophia University, 1970), 2-4. According to Maruyama, the reservists were the main factor in turning Minobe’s state theory into a crisis within the Japanese society. The theory, as Maruyama states, had been accepted as common sense by civil and judicial officials and the intelligentsia, as well as parts of the military authorities for many years. The populace, however, perceived it as utterly improper. Maruyama, “Ideology,” 61-62.
successful indoctrination was secured. The army was able to use the zaigō gunjinkai and seinendan as a public relations organ for national defense and succeeded in encouraging militarization and ultimately significantly influenced public opinion. In this perspective, Tanaka's aims can be seen as the beginning of the foundation of the “national mobilization system” (国家総動員体制 kokka sōdōin taisei). Tazaki goes as far as stating that Tanaka's institution of 'good soldier = good citizen' was the “highest and strongest fortress in defending and advancing the emperor system.” The militarization of the populace was secured during the Taishō Democracy as the army was able to enhance its ideological influence over the population. The dissemination of Western ideologies was undermined while militarist ideology was spread. The zaigō gunjinkai and the seinendan proved to be the implementation of Tanaka's theory 'good citizen = good soldier' (良民即良兵 ryōmin soku ryōhei) in real life.

6.7 The Funding of the Indoctrination Apparatus

The zaigō gunjinkai and its subsidiary organizations had been successfully integrated, not only into the everyday lives of the populace, but also into the hamlet structure as their funding demonstrated. The local governments had no legal obligation to contribute to the funding of the zaigō gunjinkai, the seinendan or the fujinkai. The scope of funding they received, therefore, illustrates that the organizations were accepted as part of the hamlet structure since the majority of the funds came from the local governments. Revenue from land that local branch chapters owned, and interest from investments, made up the second largest part of the funding. Donations and membership dues were the third largest source of income. Only the kunrenjo were officially financed by the Japanese government. This, however, did not affect the military financially because the centers were part of the educational system and were therefore funded by the Education Ministry. In this aspect, the zaigō gunjinkai and its subsidiary organizations were a financial coup for the military. It was able to save money because public relations were not necessary and at the same time was able to
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indoctrinate the populace much more thoroughly than public relations efforts would have ever made possible.417

7. The Army's Various Connections in pre-World War II Japan

Generally speaking, the years after WWI were not favorable for the army. Internationally, imperialism was becoming obsolete and democracy was taking over. Imperialistic Japan became more and more isolated.418 The victorious nations had little interest in allowing the Japanese military partake in their research and developments. Japan once again turned to Germany for the exchange of military innovations. Within Japan, the first party politician Hara Takashi, a liberal reformer, became Prime Minister (1918 – 1921). Military budgets were reduced.419 Through the aforementioned institutions that secured the army to act relatively independently of the government, and the indoctrination effort by means of the utilization of the zaigō gunjinkai, however, the army was able to influence the state and the populace nonetheless – even if its popularity temporarily suffered and the army itself was seemingly in retreat.

As Inoue concluded, the military, while seemingly taking one step backward was merely preparing to take two steps forwards.420 The percentual decline of military budgets421 relating to Japan's overall budgets from 1919 to 1929 reflects the general anti-military sentiment. Accepting those budget cuts and reductions in terms of manpower, advanced by moderate forces within the army, can be interpreted as a means of working against openly anti-military sentiment. The decline of budgets and manpower, however, was countered with a growing intrusion of the army in the field of education (see 6.1). Ugaki, like his mentor Tanaka had, cooperated with the political parties. Giving in to budget cuts on the one hand, but with Tanaka's aid as party politician, furthered the army's influence in the educational system, on the other hand. In concordance to renewed pro-military sentiment after the Manchurian Incident, the military's budgets also rose again in terms of percentage and in actual value as the

419 Hara, because he did not come from the ruling elite, was considered to be a commoner although he was of samurai origin. Krebs, “Kaiserliche Militär,” 42-43.
420 Inoue [井上], “Seiji to gunbu,” 397.
421 For a table of military budgets see Fujiwara [藤原], Gunjishi, 271-72.
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national budgets rose as well until 1936. In 1937, the military's budget again reached war level.

The anti-military sentiment lasted until the party politicians in whom the populace had vested their trust and hopes came to disappoint them in the early Shōwa years. The popular sentiment slowly but surely turned against the political parties and parliamentarianism.\textsuperscript{422} The populace viewed the Manchurian Incident favorably and supported the army with a nationalistic euphoria,\textsuperscript{423} which was stimulated by the mass media and army propaganda.\textsuperscript{424} As noted above, the ensuing right wing terrorism, was generally viewed positively by the populace. It also posed an opportunity for the army to position itself as the only source capable of reestablishing and maintaining national order. Finally, the army had successfully permeated the educational system and the private sphere of Japan's citizens through the \textit{zaigō gunjinkai} and its subsidiary organizations.

The army was also able to hide the internal power struggles and disharmony,\textsuperscript{425} which could – if publicized – have led to the perception within the populace that the army's leadership, like the politicians and big business, was merely acting for its own benefit and not for the well-being of the nation.

7.1 The Army's Relationship with the Bureaucracy

As demonstrated above, Yamagata Aritomo was able to place his followers in important positions within the army and within the civilian bureaucracy and to keep these ties alive. He was thus able to influence policies through his followers until his death in 1922. Without a doubt, his last protégé, Tanaka Giichi, was able to use these channels for bureaucracy-army relations after Yamagata's death, as he had before during the establishment of the \textit{zaigō gunjinkai} and the \textit{seinendan}. With the slow demise of the Chōshū clique after Yamagata's death and Tanaka's shift to becoming a party politician,
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\textsuperscript{423} Krebs, \textit{Japan im Pazifischen Krieg}, 30. Krebs, “Kaiserliche Militär,” 48. There, nonetheless, were opponents to the army's policies in the 1930's. A prominent critic was Yanaihara Tadao (矢内原忠雄), an intellectual, who, for example in 1937, openly criticized the Japanese aggression on the Chinese mainland. Yanaihara, however, represented but a minority of the Japanese population and was soon forced to retire from his professorship at Tokyo Imperial University. Fletcher, \textit{New Order}, 106. Not all intellectuals were liberals. As Fletcher demonstrates, fascist ideology was also present among the intellectual circles. He gives the example of Rōyama Masamichi (蝋山政道), Ryū Shintarō (笠信太郎), and Miki Kiyoshi (三木清). All of whom were members of Konoe's Shōwa Research Association (昭和研究会 \textit{shōwa kenkyūkai}) and also wrote for the mass media. Fletcher, \textit{New Order}, 4, 39, 46.
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in addition to the national anti-military current, these channels, however, temporarily suffered as well.

The relationship between the bureaucracy – represented by the 'new bureaucrats' (新官僚 shinkanryō), the military, and business elite, was revived and improved in the early 1930's when right wing groups, made up of members of the bureaucracy, military, and business elite, were formed.\textsuperscript{426} Although 'army technocrats,' officers associated with the Control Faction were not always seen as favorable by the bureaucracy, the politicians, and big business, the technocrats' advocation of the necessity of self-sufficiency and their vision of 'total war' were supported.\textsuperscript{427}

The military-bureaucracy ties also expanded with the emergence of “military-bureaucratic cabinets” in the wake of the party cabinet era as close intra-ministry ties became necessary to handle the financial crisis as well as domestic terrorism. These 'new bureaucrats' or 'reform bureaucrats' (革新官僚 kakushin kanryō) who emerged and became important during that time, similar to their military counterparts, the army technocrats, formed a relatively cohesive group. Most of them had studied law at Tokyo Imperial University, had undergone similar professional training, and shared experiences in research groups. They easily cooperated with the military planners in Japan and Manchuria because of their technocratic orientation. The successful cooperation of army technocrats and 'reform bureaucrats' in forming policies in Manchuria, from 1932 onwards, led to the demand for a more active state to further the cause of 'total war' planning.\textsuperscript{428} By the late 1930's, 'reform bureaucrats' tended to favor a fascist form of state over liberalism and Marxism.\textsuperscript{429}

Not only 'reform bureaucrats' partook in the aforementioned research groups. The National Policy Research Association, founded in 1933 by Yatsugi Kazuo (矢次一夫), brought together scholars, civil servants, politicians, and military officers to discuss a broad range of policy issues. This group, because of Yatsugi's close ties to the Military Affairs Bureau, for example, also contributed to the drafting of the aforementioned 1934 army pamphlet entitled \textit{The True Meaning of National Defense and the Proposal to Strengthen it}.\textsuperscript{430}

Another area in which the bureaucracy and military cooperated were superagencies that were established in the mid 1930's. The Cabinet Research Bureau (内
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7.2 The Army's Cooperation with the Zaibatsu

According to Hane, the cooperation between the military and the zaibatsu began around March 1936 (from the Hirota Kōki 廣田弘毅 cabinet onwards). She argues that this originated from the fact that the “army radicals” were against big business and, for example, sought to keep the zaibatsu out of Manchuria. Building an economic base in Manchukuo, however, was not possible without capital from Japan. The mantetsu was one channel for injecting Japanese capital in Manchuria.432 Another source of capital were business conglomerates that had been founded by “technologically minded entrepreneurs,” and had expanded during WWI focusing on heavy industry and chemical goods, and emerged as the 'new zaibatsu' (新興財閥 shinkō zaibatsu).433 Kato also endorses the view that the established zaibatsu did not participate in the Manchurian adventure from the beginning on. He bases his argument on the premise that the established zaibatsu, in contrast to the 'new zaibatsu,' initially did not support the army's expansionist policies and concludes that the established zaibatsu eventually partook in the undertaking because of the immense profits that were being made.434 Bix is of an opposing view and states that the established zaibatsu were aware that the army sought to exclude them from Manchukuo primarily for domestic reasons – that is, the anti-big business current. The established zaibatsu willingly waited until the

432 Hane, Modern Japan, 288. The mantetsu, whose concession Japan had gained as a reparation after the Russo-Japanese War, became the important economic and, to a certain degree independent political actor in Manchukuo. It provided a platform for the intra-agency planning of the Japanese empire's future. For example Ishiwara Kanji, at that time head of the Operations Section of the sanbō honbu, and Miyazaki Masayoshi (宮崎正義), a mantetsu economist, in 1935, and in 1936, worked together on plans for the Japanese empire's industrial development. Fletcher, New Order, 117; Krebs, “Kaiserliche Militär,” 36; Sims, Political History, 202.
433 Mimura, Planning, 22-24, 27.
government and the *mantetsu* had completed infrastructure in Manchuria, while at the same time (1931 – 1936) pursuing the advancement of coal and iron development in Manchukuo and profiting from industrial activities that the *mantetsu* undertook, which had a quasi monopoly on the heavy industrialization of Manchuria.\(^{435}\)

It remains certain, however, that big business, either in the form of the 'new *zaibatsu*' or in form of the established *zaibatsu*, possibly both, cooperated with the army in Manchukuo from the early 1930's onwards. This successful cooperation was extended to the Japanese mainland in the 'consummation period' of Japanese fascism.

### 7.3 The Army in the Political Arena

An anti-political party sentiment had existed within the military leadership since political parties began to emerge in the late 1880's. As soon as party politics became an issue, high ranking military personnel, in spite of the policy that soldiers were not to be involved in politics on any level, interfered in the political arena in order to counter the perceived threat.\(^{436}\) The army, according to Nish, began actively trying to influence politics from 1905 onwards.\(^{437}\) Before 1905, however, the army had already extended its influence into the political arena by making sure that active duty officers were not restricted to heading the Army Ministry but also on a relatively frequent basis headed other ministries.

Approximately half of the Japanese Prime Ministers until 1945 had either the rank of admiral or general, and a large number of members of the cabinets were also military personnel.\(^{438}\) Ramseyer and Rosenbluth conclude from analyzing the percentage of military officials that were replaced due to the forming of new cabinets from 1924 to 1931, which was minimal, that politicians did not maintain control over the military.\(^{439}\) This conclusion seems rather bold. It is nonetheless demonstrated that the military, also during the era of party cabinets, acted independently at least in terms of human
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\(^{435}\) Bix also recounts that the *zaibatsu* as well as the military from 1931 to 1936 used the expansion of Japanese influence in Asia as a means of countering the world financial crisis. Bix, “Rethinking,” 12-13.
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resources. The military's intrusion into civilian ministries, during this era of party cabinets was significantly lower than during the Meiji era. With the loss of the political parties' political influence in the 1930's, the military's placement of its officers in civilian ministries again reached Meiji levels. Although the military was less successful in placing its personnel in civilian ministries in the Taishō era it has been, nonetheless, clearly proven, that the military between 1885 and 1945 infiltrated large parts of the cabinets.

Through the special powers of the Army and Navy Ministers, the military was usually able to force the cabinet to approve its budget demands. Within the Imperial Diet this proved to be more difficult because hardly any military personnel was ever part of it.\(^{440}\) This theoretically posed a problem to the military because according to Article 64 of the Meiji Constitution, the Imperial Diet had to approve of Japan's yearly budget. The Diet's authority in this matter, however, was significantly weakened through Articles 67 and 71. According to Article 67, the government had to approve of a budget reduction, and according to Article 71, if a budget was not decided upon – that is, an agreement was not established – the budget would resemble the previous year's. Since the Army Minister had the power to bring down the government, or use this as a threat, the army could at least secure the previous year's budget. Additionally, the armed forces could claim the support of the emperor, in whose name they maintained they were acting, in order to add weight to their requests.

As Japanese imperialism matured during and after the victory in the Russo-Japanese War, so did the military as an institution. It was able to secure the governance of Japan's colonies for itself, creating a military rule structure (軍事支配体制 gunji jihai taisei), that is, a military domination, instead of a civilian structure.\(^{441}\) Korea became a Japanese protectorate during the Russo-Japanese War. This, in addition to other areas which Japan included into its sphere of influence, led to Southern Manchuria becoming a semi-colony.\(^{442}\) Tanaka's visit to China in 1917 not only formed the basis for his China policy as Prime Minister, but was also a good example for the army to see itself as a natural actor in actively forming foreign policy. During its presence on the Asian mainland, the army had established an impressive information network in China. Troops
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\(^{442}\) Inoue [井上], “Seiji to gunbu,” 358. Korea was formally annexed into the Japanese Empire in 1910. The Hara government in 1919 abolished the practice that Taiwan and Korea were ruled by military men on active duty. From 1919 until 1945 only renowned retired generals and generals of the reserve held the post of Governor-General (総督 sōtoku) in Korea and from 1919 until 1936 only civilians held this post in Taiwan. Bürkner, “Probleme,” 127.
were stationed in China, Korea and Manchuria. The army acted as a police force securing the mantetsu, provided the military attachés in Beijing, and maintained military advisers and “resident military officers in major cities.” Army officers were also increasingly trained as China experts who would eventually play an important role within the army.\footnote{Humphreys, \textit{Heavenly Sword}, 24-25.} In terms of continental affairs, the army acted independently and sometimes deliberately against government policy, on considerable occasions with growing intensity and frequency in the years from 1915 to 1925.\footnote{Ibid., 129-31.}

In the late 1920's, the army was to a certain degree still controlled by the government. It was not for example able to fend off the reduction of four divisions (most of the money saved was used for modernizing the military) and was not able to enforce an intervention in North China over the opposition of the government in 1928. The signing of the Naval Disarmament in London in 1930 was a further blow to the military. Shortly after that humiliation, reactionist societies were formed by so-called young officers who joined with right wing civilians. Although the various coup d'états by secret societies all failed, these attempts did play into the hands of the army because they could be used by the army leadership to demonstrate that a more liberal attempt on the side of the government would not provide a solution to Japan's problems and, therefore, put additional pressure on the government. The army, thus, gained momentum in the 1930's and acted relatively independently from government control in China and Manchuria, greatly expanding its troops in Manchuria from 10,000 in 1931 to 164,000 in 1935. The government was relatively helpless and had to accept various actions of the army in China and Manchuria as \textit{faits accomplis}, which in turn further strengthened the army's position. The army used the Meiji institutions that were not altered in the Taishō period to tighten its grip and became an important source of power in the 1930's. What greatly supported the army in gaining power was that the leaders of the zaibatsu and the party politicians agreed to the army's policy of protecting the Japanese Empire by securing access to China's resources, and neither of the two (1) objected to the policy that the well-being of Japan's economy in the future would rely on China and (2) could ignore the support of the emperor in whose name the army claimed to be acting.\footnote{Kato, “Taishō Democracy,” 233-35.} The declaration of Mastuoka Yōsuke (松岡洋右), a rikken seiyūkai politician, in 1931, before the Manchurian Incident took place, that 'Manchuria is Japan's lifeline,' a declaration
that acquired “widespread popular appeal,” exemplifies that the political parties, in order to survive and retain at least part of their influence, sought the military as an ally.

Japan grew increasingly isolated after it withdrew from the League of Nations in 1933. This increasing isolation demanded a new approach in foreign policy. The focus was again shifted to “autonomous strength.” The military's renewed and intensified intrusion into civilian government administration was justified by the theory that military supervision was necessary to gear the entire nation toward 'total war.' Expansion of the heavy industry as well as the military, was justified on the grounds that Japanese diplomacy was to be based on a strong military backing in order to neutralize the perceived threats that likely enemies – the U.S., Russia, and the Chinese Nationalist government – posed to Japan's territorial interests. Yamagata Aritomo's theory of the necessity of defending Japan's 'lines of sovereignty' and 'lines of interests' and Tanaka's aim to become a self-sufficient nation, were again clearly mirrored in Japanese foreign policy.

Until the military became a dominating political power in the late 1930's, the dualism of military and political leadership led to instability because neither the government nor the army could dictate its views completely. The political party governments placed a challenge on the army in the years after WWI, but were never able to seriously threaten the army's position.

8. Epilogue: Towards Total War

One aspect that aided in preparing the nation for complete 'national mobilization' and eventually 'total war' was the enactment of the Peace Preservation Law. The Peace Preservation Law, limiting the citizens rights, was enacted in 1925, the same year that general male suffrage was also enacted and gave the Taishō Democracy a whole new perspective: one that significantly increased the number of eligible voters.

The authors of the Peace Preservation Law supposedly did not aim at creating a repressive state. It was merely meant to preserve order in times of a growing threat posed by the radical left – as anarchism, communism, and individualism were perceived as threats. The inclusion of the term kokutai into Article 1 of the law, however, formed the basis for its being easily abused by the right wing. The right wing could justify any
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action by stating its intention to defend the *kokutai*. The inclusion of the provision that endangering the *kokutai* was an illegal act, however, not only benefited the right wing. Since no official definition existed of what the term *kokutai* specifically meant, this gave the authorities the means to prosecute anyone who spread “dangerous thought.” In 1928 the Peace Preservation Law was revised by an emergency imperial edict. It enhanced, for example, the judicial, the police's, and the state's powers, and enacted mechanisms to further restrict pro democracy forces, thus furthering the progress of Japan's fascization. In the 1930's, the Peace Preservation Law was increasingly used by the special police (特別高等警察 *tokubetsu kōtō keisatsu*) to arrest “thought criminals,” that is, objectionable radicals. They were coerced to renounce their beliefs, and after their successful conversion, reintegrated into their communities, a practice known as *tenkō* (転向).

Before examining the China Incident and briefly focusing on the National Mobilization Law of 1938 as an example for legislation that was brought forward as a response to the China Incident, and to further prepare the nation for 'total war,' the focus will now be on the *kokutai no hongi*.

8.1 The Kokutai no Hongi

While 'fascism from above' was spread by the technocratic leaders of the state, the irrational fascist ideology entered a new level with the publication of the *kokutai no hongi* in 1937.

The idea of the *kokutai* had been extended to an ideology that defined Japan as a nation of “absolute unity” and “unrivaled superiority” in the late 1920's to the mid-1930's. This view was widely spread through the publication of the *kokutai no hongi* in March 1937. The *kokutai no hongi* used Japan's superiority as a means to justify the Japanese domination of Asia and based this justification on ancient Japanese history. The continuity that formed an important base for this ideology was stressed and

---

449 Fletcher, *New Order*, 10. In 1925, for example, students and professors of leading Japanese universities who openly protested against military training in schools were prosecuted on the basis of the Peace Preservation Law. Kinbara [金原], *Shōwa*, 295.
450 Bix, “Rethinking,” 16.
emphasized in the same way in various passages: examples taken from the *kojiki* (古事記) and the *nihongi* (日本紀) were usually paired with examples from the Meiji era thus skillfully closing the gap of several hundred years and creating “the illusion of a continuous tradition.”453 The importance of absolute obedience of soldiers was also stressed as the “the emperor was the commander-in-chief and the sole purpose of the armed forces was to carry out the will of the emperor.”454 The publication of the *kokutai no hongi* can be seen as the result of the combined effort of the Education Ministry and the Imperial Japanese Army to include the clarification of the *kokutai* (国体明徴 kokutai meichō) in the educational curriculum,455 as well as a result of the movement to clarify the *kokutai* (国体明徴運動 kokutai meichō undō),456 in which the zaigō gunjinkai had a decisive role.457 The *kokutai no hongi* was, on the one hand, intended to be read by teachers on all levels, elementary to university, and by students of higher schools and, on the other hand, by the general public. Approximately 2 million copies circulated within Japan and references to it were consistently made in public speeches and ceremonies during national holidays.458 Important aspects of Uesugi Shinkichi's and Kakehi Katsuhiko's theories were included in the *kokutai no hongi*, thus further dominating Japanese indoctrination.459 Fascism was finally manifested as the official Japanese state ideology through the *kokutai no hongi*.460

8.2 The China Incident and the National Mobilization Law

The so-called China Incident, which eventually turned into a full-fledged war with China began on 7 July 1937, with shots fired at the Marco Polo Bridge near Beijing. The China Incident was not planned by the army as the Manchurian Incident had been, but was a result of skirmishes that had taken place for years on the Sino-Japanese border. The incident could have ended on July 11, as a cease fire had been locally agreed upon. The Konoe government, nonetheless, sent reinforcements, and the conflict,
following more skirmishes, escalated into a full-blown war by the end of July with Japan occupying Beijing and Tianjin. The majority of the army leadership were certain that victory would be achieved within a few months. They were supported by the Foreign Ministry and the navy, as well as a number of politicians who demanded them to fight until a complete victory was reached, in order to teach China a lesson. The conflict was extended southwards by the army and the navy, the army occupying Shanghai and Nanjing by mid-December 1937. The navy grasped the opportunity and extended the battles as far south as the Netherlands Indies thus preparing for a southward expansion of the Japanese Empire. By January 1938, Konoe announced a new goal for the ongoing conflict: the eradication of Chiang Kai-shek's Nationalist regime.

From the China Incident on, more measures were undertaken to silence the remaining proponents of the labor and tenant movements and restrictive laws were enacted. The state moved closer towards “outright fascism.” The army teamed with Prime Minister Konoe whom the army's leadership saw as a willing companion in implementing the plans for a planned economy. The 'new bureaucrats' and some party politicians followed suit and a broad range of new legislation was enacted in spite of the opposition of the industry and a large part of the Diet. Both groups did not have the means to stop legislation, which was being enacted as a necessity, due to the war and for the sake of the well-being of the Japanese nation. According to Krebs, one can summarize that the Diet from the Meiji era until the prewar-Shōwa years, generally speaking, never combined and used all its power to interfere with the military's policies. In times of declared national or military emergencies (real or imagined) the Diet gave way to the military's demands. Politicians, rather than opposing the military, acted as patriots, and by supporting expansionist policies, sought to enhance their own prestige. The core of the restrictive laws that were successively passed was the National Mobilization Law of 1938. It essentially gave the ones in power unrestricted authority to promote the mobilization of the nation and the populace for war in the

---

461 Gordon, Modern History, 204; Krebs, “Kaiserliche Militär,” 54; Sims, Political History, 206. Böttcher sees the initial escalation caused by the Konoe government as an attempt to lure attention away from domestic problems. Böttcher, “Faschismus,” 91. The second Sino-Japanese war was not called war but 'China Incident' because a war with China would have violated the terms of the Kellogg-Briand Pact. A violation of the pact could have led other countries to intervene. Krebs, Japan im Pazifischen Krieg, 46.


464 Ibid., 31-32.
private sphere as well as all sectors of the economy. Freedom of speech was further restricted, strikes were outlawed, and freedom of movement was limited through forced labor allocation. The government now enjoyed widespread control over the economy and the remaining power of the political parties and the Diet was virtually eradicated.465

8.3 Total War

Until 1937, as Bix notes, the Japanese state's fascization progressed as the Diet's and the political parties' power declined. The parties kept the image of pluralism intact as long as possible while the power centered among the members of the zaibatsu and the Imperial Japanese Army officers of the Control Faction, who were cointaneously members of the bureaucracy and had access to the emperor.466 The situation after the China Incident changed, as demonstrated above, in as far as, the political parties, which eventually dissolved themselves. In addition, the Diet increasingly lost power and thus influence.

Hane sees the formation of the first Konoe cabinet as forming concurrently with the beginning of direct preparations for 'total war' and along with the China Incident, providing an additional base for the eradication of the remaining liberal and democratic tendencies through the “triumph of militarism and ultranationalism.”467 Tipton, however, suggests that conservatives and party politicians were able to initially fend off the “reformists’” aims to create a “national defence state.” Only after the outbreak of the China Incident did newly erupting notions of patriotism lead the Diet to support legislation for the restructuring of the state, for example, and most importantly, the creation of the previously discussed National Mobilization Law. However, she argues that this legislation was not as far-reaching as the reformists demanded, and the power struggles continued. She also states that the military was not in place to solely dominate the state after the February 26 Incident, and although having significantly gained influence by 1940, was still not the sole political power during the Tōjō cabinet.468 Whether the military had become the sole political power, or not, following Sims's argument, it did have a significant influence.469 Tipton acknowledges that although

466 Bix, “Rethinking,” 18.
467 Hane, Modern Japan, 288.
469 Sims, Political History, 203.
establishing the Imperial Rule Assistance Association (大政翼賛会 taisei yokusankai) as a “mass organization for political integration” failed, institutions that were attached to it, for example the seinendan and the fujinkai, which as demonstrated in Chapter 6 were greatly influenced and increasingly dominated by the Imperial Japanese Army, had great influence on the populace and involved it in the war effort.\footnote{Tipton, Modern Japan, 131-33. The seinendan and the fujinkai can be termed as being associated with the Imperial Rule Assistance Association since both were technically supervised by the Home Ministry, as was the Imperial Rule Assistance Association.} The first general mobilization plan had been developed under Tanaka Giichi's supervision between 1915 and 1917.\footnote{Kisaka [木坂], “Gunbu,” 6.} There is disagreement about whether or not Japan became dominated by the army or at what point they became preoccupied by the war effort. Nonetheless, 'national mobilization' was maximized and 'total war' reached the Japanese mainland, at the latest when Pearl Harbor was attacked, dominating the Japanese populace's lives until Japan's defeat in 1945.\footnote{Tipton, Modern Japan, 138-39.}

**Conclusion**

Yamagata Aritomo's conviction that universal conscription would be an important educational factor, and that it would aid the unification of the nation and create loyal citizens, prompted him to establish the Imperial Japanese Army as an army based on conscripts. His emphasis on the necessity of loyalty was reflected in the gunjin chokuyu as well as in the kyōiku chokugo. The institutions he aided in establishing, for example, the sanbō honbu, and the army's position in the Meiji Constitution as well as his authority, made the army a powerful and very independent actor, not only in the military sphere but in the political sphere as well. Tanaka Giichi, who shared many views with his mentor, sought to further the army's ties within the population, on the one hand, while simultaneously instilling military values in the entire populace on the other hand. He was convinced that future wars would be 'nation total wars.' These wars, as Tanaka perceived, would require more than a strong military: the mobilization of the entire populace. Tanaka established the zaigō gunjinkai and the seinendan on the basis of these convictions. The indoctrination that began with compulsory education was thus extended through the seinendan. Upon reaching the age to qualify for conscription, the
majority of Japanese males either joined the military or the zaigō gunjinkai where their indoctrination continued.

Yamagata and Tanaka created more than a populace consisting of 'national villagers' – loyal subjects to the Emperor. To a large part, Yamagata, within the Meiji-System, created a powerful military institution – the Imperial Japanese Army – independent of the government, which after Yamagata's and Tanaka's death proved to be more or less uncontrollable. Neither Yamagata nor Tanaka can be attributed with being fascist. However, Yamagata was to a high degree involved in establishing a framework for militarism to develop in Japan. Tanaka extended the scope of indoctrination, which was influenced by the militarist and fascist thought of, for example Kakehi Katsuhiko and Uesugi Shinkichi, to spread widely into the lives of the Japanese populace and therefore exposing the masses to this ideology.

In Japan, militarism and fascism lacked mass base support concentrated in a fascist political party. The high ranking officers' toleration of the secret societies and the terrorist attacks, in which so-called young officers and civilian extremists were involved, which benefited the army during its struggle for power, as well as the deteriorating socioeconomic situation that stimulated the terrorist plotters' dissatisfaction with the status quo, were a decisive factor, but not the sole basis for the spreading of fascism in prewar Japan. Further, the instability that evolved after the Meiji oligarchs had died also benefited the extremist forces within Japan because the elite competing for power either chose not to intervene in the radicalization or due to their lack of power could not. However, the willing mass base that cheered the fascist terrorists, supported the expansionist policies, and carried the burden of complete 'national mobilization' in the 'total war' effort, was created by the pillars of the indoctrination effort: initially through (1) compulsory education shaped by the kyōiku chokugo, but especially through (2) universal conscription and (3) the zaigō gunjinkai and its subsidiary organizations. The Imperial Japanese Army, therefore, can be seen as already having been a decisive factor in spreading militarism and fascism in prewar Japan even before actively promoting 'fascism from above' in the third period – the wartime period – of Japanese fascism.
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## Appendix I: Select Glossary of Japanese Terms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Japanese Term</th>
<th>English Translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chian ijihō</td>
<td>Peace Preservation Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chōheirei</td>
<td>Conscript Ordinance of 1873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chokurei</td>
<td>Imperial command</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dainippon kokubō fujinkai</td>
<td>Greater Japan National Defense Women’s Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dainippon seinidan</td>
<td>Greater Japan Youth Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dainippon teikoku kenpō</td>
<td>Constitution of the Empire of Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dainippon teikoku rikugun</td>
<td>Imperial Japanese Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fujinkai</td>
<td>See: Dainippon kokubō fujinkai</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gakusei</td>
<td>Education Order of 1872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genrō</td>
<td>Elder statesman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunbū daijin geneki bukansei</td>
<td>Regulation that the Army Minister had to be an officer on active duty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunjin chokuyu</td>
<td>Rescript to Soldiers and Sailors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunji kyōiku</td>
<td>Military education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunji kyōren</td>
<td>Military drill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunjin kunkai</td>
<td>Admonitions to the Armed Forces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunjin seishin</td>
<td>Soldiers' spirit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunrei</td>
<td>Military decree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunrei</td>
<td>Imperial Japanese Army's command</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunsei</td>
<td>Imperial Japanese Army's administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guntai naimusho</td>
<td>Handbook of Interior Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Han</td>
<td>Feudal domains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iaku jōsō</td>
<td>Army Minister's direct access to the emperor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jūgonen sensō</td>
<td>15 Year War</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kakushin kanryō</td>
<td>Reform bureaucrats</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kantōgun</td>
<td>Japanese Kwantung Army</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiheitai</td>
<td>Irregular militia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kōdōha</td>
<td>Imperial Way Faction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese Term</td>
<td>English Translation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kokka sōdōin</td>
<td>National mobilization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kokutai</td>
<td>National polity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kokutai no hongi</td>
<td>Fundamentals of our National Polity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kyōiku chokugo</td>
<td>See: Kyōiku ni kansuru chokugo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kyōiku ni kansuru chokugo</td>
<td>Imperial Rescript on Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kunrenjo</td>
<td>See: Seinen kunrenjo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mantetsu</td>
<td>See: Minami manshū tetsudō</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manshūkoku</td>
<td>Manchukuo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manshū jihen</td>
<td>Manchurian Incident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meiji kenpō</td>
<td>See: Dainippon teikoku kenpō</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minami manshū tetsudō</td>
<td>South Manchurian Railway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monbushō</td>
<td>Education Ministry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naimushō</td>
<td>Home Ministry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni-niroku jiken</td>
<td>February 26 Incident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rikugunshō</td>
<td>Army Ministry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rikugun yōnen gakkō</td>
<td>Army preparatory schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rikugun daiagakkō</td>
<td>Army College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rikugun shikan gakkō</td>
<td>Army Academy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ryōhei soku ryōmin</td>
<td>Good soldier = good citizen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanbō honbu</td>
<td>General Staff Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seinendan</td>
<td>See: Dainippon seinendan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seinen kunrenjo</td>
<td>Youth Training Centers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seinen shōkō</td>
<td>Young officers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seishin kyōiku</td>
<td>Ideological education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shina jihen</td>
<td>China Incident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shinkanryō</td>
<td>New bureaucrats</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shinkō zaibatsu</td>
<td>New zaibatsu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shizoku</td>
<td>Former samurai</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shotai</td>
<td>Mixed militia unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shōwa ishin</td>
<td>Shōwa Restoration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sōhei</td>
<td>Army based on volunteers, primarily shizoku</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taishō demokurashii</td>
<td>Taishō Democracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Translation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teikoku kokubō kōshin</td>
<td><strong>Imperial National Defense Plan</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teikoku zaigō gunjinkai</td>
<td><strong>Imperial Military Reserve</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tōseiha</td>
<td><strong>Control Faction</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zaibatsu</td>
<td><strong>Business conglomerate</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zaigō gunjinkai</td>
<td><strong>See: Teikoku zaigō gunjinkai</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix II: Translation

Kōketsu Atsushi [纐纈厚]. Kindai nihon no seigun kankei: Gunjin seijika Tanaka Giichi no kiseki [近代日本の政軍関係—軍人政治家田中義一の軌跡]

Modern Japan's Government-Army Relations: The Locus of the Soldier-Politician Tanaka Giichi

Chapter 2: Army Reforms and the Formation of the the Army's Popular Base

Section 2: The Formation of the the Army's Popular Base

1. The Establishment of the Imperial Military Reserve Association

Tanaka, at that time commander of the infantry's third regiment, who had partaken in the revision of various command regulations, was inaugurated Section Chief of the Military Affairs Bureau in the Army Ministry on 28 June 1909 (Meiji 11). At that time Terauchi Masatake was Army Minister. The [position] of Military Affairs Section Chief, as a gateway to success to future [posts], such as Military Affairs Bureau Director, Vice Army Minister, and furthermore Army Minister, was an important post. Terauchi selected Tanaka as Military Affairs Section Chief, who following his predecessor Ōi Shigemoto, was from Yamaguchi, but also achieved outstanding results in practical business affairs. Through this, together with Military Affairs Bureau Director Nagaoka Gaishi also from Yamaguchi, Terauchi was about to mold the 'Terauchi – Nagaoka – Tanaka' line, so to speak, the Chōshū Clique's Army Ministry's mainstay line. Terauchi strengthened the structure that concretely implemented the “Army Handbook of Interior Administration,” which had been revised by this staff.

The establishment of the Imperial Military Reserve Association was the greatest project that Tanaka conducted during the time of his position as Military Affairs Section Chief (January 1909 – February 1910). According to the Biography of Tanaka Giichi, it

---

1 田中義一, 1864 – 1929. For details on Tanaka Giichi, see Chapter 5 of this thesis.
3 大井成元, 1863 – 1951. Imperial Japanese Army officer. Section Chief of the Military Affairs Bureau (1906 – 1909), President of the the Army College (1912 – 1914).
5 軍隊内務書 guntai naimusho.
6 For the Imperial Military Reserve Association (帝国在郷軍人会 teikoku zaigō gunjinkai) see Chapter 6 of this thesis.
is assumed that Tanaka first advocated the establishment of the Imperial Military Reserve Association in June 1903 (Meiji 36). At that time Tanaka received a command for deployment to Russia. It is noted that he made the following statement on the occasion of his farewell party.

In the case that a country as small as Japan wages war, it will be from the situation that the opponent is a large country, as it was with the Sino-Japanese War, and will be the same hereafter. Because there is also a great difference in the number of the people, in case the war is long [and] drawn out, it will be necessary to draft the reservists\(^7\) and rapidly send the soldiers of the second reserve to the war front. Moreover, the guidance of the soldiers after their honorable discharge is of utmost importance. Also, it is of utmost importance to let the result of military education be amply demonstrated in the hometowns and to become the backbone of the hometown's population. \(<\text{Annotation 20}\>\)

The mentioned “reservists” are militarist organizations and reservist organizations that had already been individually established all over the country in various places before the establishment of the Imperial Military Reserve Association. It is noted that the actual number counted in the phase of 1906 (Meiji 39) was 4,367 groups, and in 1910 (Meiji 43), at the time when the Imperial Military Reserve Association was established there were already 11,364 groups \(<\text{Annotation 21}\>\).

Tanaka hypothesized a war against Russia, which, in the inevitable-outbreak-of-war atmosphere during that time, had become a likelihood, and considered that the number of standing divisions (13 divisions before the outbreak of war) was not sufficient to oppose the Russian army that at that time was said to be the strongest. Accordingly, to prepare for the exhaustion of the military power and the numerical superiority of the Russian army, he thought of the “reservists” as a source of military force that would supplement the standing divisions in time of war. The Russo-Japanese War was practically in accordance with what Tanaka expected: the standing divisions were all committed to the war front and, moreover, it came as far as the mobilization of the second reserve soldiers. Because of that, the Japanese army ended up acquiring the bitter experience of being forced to mobilize its military power to the battlefield to the very limits of possible mobilization.

\(^7\) 在郷の兵隊 (zaigō no heitai) literally translates to 'soldier(s) from the rural district(s).'

\(^8\) Annotations are not translated.
Against this background, on 3 November 1910 (Meiji 43), the existing militarist organizations and reservist organizations from various places all over the country were consolidated into the Imperial Military Reserve Association with Army Minister General Terauchi Masatake as chairman and Imperial Prince Fushiminomiya Sadanaru as president. Through this it was arranged that the reservist association groups that were dispersed nation-wide and whose roles themselves varied, were incorporated and consolidated into the jurisdiction of the Army Minister. And the unification of every organization and the integrity and unification of the role expectations came to be demanded. Tanaka was promoted to Major General and transferred from [the post of] Military Affairs Section Chief to [the post of] commander of the army first division's second brigade in the year following the establishment of the Imperial Military Reserve Association. There he held a speech that was entitled “Concerning the Relationship of the Army and the Provinces” and stated the following in regards to the Imperial Military Reserve Association role.

In Japan's future wars it will be necessary to power by all means a large army. Even though [it is necessary] to mobilize a large army in times of war, the possession of a large army in peacetime is indeed not permitted by the circumstances of our country's financial affairs. Accordingly, in the future the Japanese army will be small in times of peace, but when it comes to times of war it has to become large. When one speaks of by what means it will be enlarged in times of war, that is the enlargement by the means of all reservists. <Annotation 22>

In order to implement the post Russo-Japanese War principle of twofold mobilization in times of war, the estimate of the enlargement of the mobilization of military force in future wars, which was based on the experience from the Russo-Japanese War, into reality, to guarantee the potential source of military force, already in peacetime, became an indispensable requirement. The Imperial Military Reserve Association was established as the organization that secured this source of potential military force in peacetime.

Furthermore, Tanaka, in the same speech, stated “not of the ones on active duty, but of the reservists, one must think of as leaders of Japan's fighting strength in the future” <Annotation 23>. In future wars, the reservists were the very thing that was

---

10 地方ト軍隊トノ関係ニ就テ chihō to guntai no kankei ni tsuite.
located as the core of the source of mobilization of military force during wartime. Although this locating did not necessarily match the army's mobilization plan of that time, which to the end considered the active duty soldiers of the standing divisions as the core of the wartime mobilization. Hereafter, especially the General Staff Office, the body in charge of [military] strategy and operations, consequently came to demand the expansion of the standing army's divisions and at least did not possess the attitude of agreeing to Tanaka's plan.

But, as a result of the reduction of military strength that was enforced secondarily by the so called Yamanashi Disarmament in the years of 1922 (Taishō 11) and 1923 (Taishō 12), and enforced even more by the Ugaki Disarmament in the year 1925 (Taishō 14), in the sense of making up for the reduction of the scope of mobilization of military force during wartime, the quasi military utilization of the Imperial Military Reserve Association organization was considered. However, the heightening of the role expectations in both of the reservist association's fields, the quasi military and the non-military (national defense propaganda etc.), had to wait until the necessity of the establishment of the national mobilization system was pointed out in the all-out war phase and became the military's largest challenge.<Annotation 24>

At any rate, in regards to Tanaka, he was certain that the establishment of the Imperial Military Reserve Association was the great aim in the establishment of the system of large quantity military-force-mobilization, from peacetime to wartime. This can be understood by the following statement, which is also from the aforementioned speech.

The Japanese population is numerous but because the finances are not opulent, the support of a large army in peacetime is not permitted by the country's capacity. We perform to the utmost effort; however, there are limits to things. It is nearly impossible to perceive one human being as being equivalent to 20 other persons. Therefore in the meanwhile, because there are limits, I think that in wars from now on, it will become the Japanese army's most important requirement to accelerate the replenishment; that is: the quick replacement [of exhausted troops] with fresh troops. <Annotation 25>

11 参謀本部 sanbō honbu.
12 山梨軍縮 yamanashi gunshuku.
13 宇垣軍縮 ugaki gunshuku.
14 国家総動員体制 kokka sōdōin taisei.
And, moreover, Tanaka, through the linkage and combination of national education and military education, as stated in the preceding paragraph, expected from the Imperial Military Reserve Association the promotion of the integration of the people as well as the formation of the army's popular base. In the same “Concerning the Relationship of the Army and the Provinces” Tanaka stated as follows.

You have to be the ones who set an example of this thrift and exertion in your hometown. Also, when you return to your hometown you must receive the respect of the people because you are trained on the basis of the doctrine of being very sincere towards people, very diligent in business affairs, and industrious in your own occupation. Your behavior as reservists will generate respect in and create trust from the people in villages or towns. Because of you, order in the villages or towns will be properly maintained and manners will improve. Because you are diligent in an honest business, the productivity of towns and villages will improve. <Annotation 26>

In other words, he [Tanaka] expected the reservists to behave as models of exemplary citizens in the regional communities. Thereby, by being the people's backbone that contributed to the establishment of provincial order, hindering the disorder of morals and manners that arose among the people was expected. Moreover, by the dedication towards everyday production projects, the performance of the role of vanguard of the regional communities' material development was expected. Actually, the reservists thereafter gradually came to be expected to be a provincial organization for the unification of the people and came to accomplish the important role of spreading militarism within the military order of provincial society.

This plan of Tanaka is concisely summarized in the following concluding section. This is the following passage: “I think that national education must inject military ideology. Accordingly, it is necessary to consistently glue the army and the provincial supporters together, to inject military ideology into all provincial youths and also, as much as possible, to choose the process of allowing military education and national education to coincide.” <Annotation 27> Here, the necessity of the Imperial Military Reserve Association, which in one of Tanaka's plans was the physical means of combining and linking military education and national education, to become a medium, was precisely located <Annotation 28>.

Also, in June 1911 (Meiji 44), during his time as commander of the second brigade, in a lecture entitled “The People and the Reservists” that Tanaka held, he
insisted on the necessity of letting the Imperial Military Reserve Association as a people's group become established within society. He stated, namely, “I believe that, when speaking of this subject matter, this association is truly a people's group, the soldiers become the backbone of it, the people in general will become supporters and will become advocates of it, and all together will jointly cooperate, and face this large primary goal and advance courageously” <Annotation 29>. By name, it was the Imperial Military Reserve Association but in reality, he located it as the “people's group.” Furthermore, “the time in which one thought in terms of an army society as another society, which is independent of the people, is already a thing of the past. Today's army endeavors [to do this], based on the belief that it can only completely reach the goal for the first time when it has the sympathy and support of the people <Annotation 30>.

Here the role that Tanaka expected of the Imperial Military Reserve Association is summarized. The realization of the slogans “militarization of the people”15 or “nationalization of the army”16 that are summarized here, and use the Imperial Military Reserve Association as a medium, became much more urgent subjects for the army in the decade of the 1920's, when the Taishō Democracy Movement, socialism, and the labor movement were promoted. Even though the Imperial Military Reserve Association, in correspondence to these new tendencies, was forced to reorganize and reinforce [itself], it came to the result of generally being pushed forward as a breakwater to maintain rule and order.

For example, corresponding to the large strike at Hachiman Ironworks on 5 February 1920 (Taishō 9), and Japan's first May Day meeting on 2 May of the same year, which symbolized the increase in activity of the labor movement, the Imperial Military Reserve Association bulletin “Comrades in Arms”17 in four consecutive issues, from June to September of the same year, published an article entitled “Pros and Cons of the Ideology Question”18 and indicated a sensitive reaction to those tendencies. This turns out to be the writing of “Comrades in Arms” chief editor Lieutenant General Yamanashi Hanzō.19 [He] conducted an appeal to the reservists to use plenty of caution

---

15 国民の軍隊化 kokumin no guntaika.
16 軍隊の国民化 guntai no kokuminka.
17 戦友 senyū.
18 思想問題是非 shisō mondai zehi.
in regards to those ideologies, and stated that these ideologies, radicals (communism), democracy (democracy), individualism (liberalism) were entirely incompatible with the soldiers' spirit, and concerning military education as well as national education these ideologies were dangerous <Annotation 31>.

In this manner the Imperial Military Reserve Association, being a trump card for maintaining and restoring order in the disorder of public order, which occurred in the time after the Russo-Japanese War and even more in the time after World War I, became an organization that developed a positive activity. Therefore, in making “the army and the people unite” and forcing the “people's militarization” in the following speech that Tanaka held in a later year “if the reservists are united and given mental training and discipline is increased, it will not stop with increasing the value of only soldiers, but it will earn the respect and sympathy of the people. Due to this thorough understanding, the army and the people will unite, and it will naturally become an excellent linkage,” <Annotation 32> one can read between these lines the attempt to obstruct the tide of democracy. This plan of Tanaka was also adopted in the reorganization of the Youth Association organization four years after the establishment of the Imperial Military Reserve Association.

2. The Reorganization of the Youth Association Organization

After the successive posts of Military Affairs Bureau Director (September 1911 – December 1912) and commander of the second brigade (December 1912 – August 1914), Tanaka was assigned to the General Staff Office and from February to August 1914 (Taishō 3), roughly the period of half a year, conducted an inspection of Europe and America. The factual investigation of the juvenile male education of the various European and American countries was the focus of this inspection. In May of the year following his homecoming, Tanaka summarized these results in a pamphlet entitled “Social National Education.”

In this Pamphlet Tanaka showed great interest in each nation's juvenile male education and addressed this as follows: “Obviously, the foremost component of a
nation's prosperity are the people. For those who consider the long term plan for the state, the concentration of one's effort towards the education of the youth who will have to shoulder the burden of the destiny of the nation's future, has to be a matter of course from the beginning on” <Annotation 33>. From here on Tanaka began paying attention to the Youth Association organization as an aim of youth education. The Youth Association organization that Tanaka planned, was a Youth Association whose character was that of a self improvement organization and guidance organization, whose members' ages ranged from after graduation from compulsory education to the conscription examination, at the maximum 20 years of age. Thus the Youth Association was directly connected to the Imperial Military Reserve Association, and the consistent course of compulsory education completion → Youth Association enrollment → military service duty fulfillment → Imperial Military Reserve Association admission was established and the Youth Association was located within it as one part <Annotation 34>.

The Young Person's Association" that can be called the ancestor of the Youth Association, until then had steadily advanced the military structuring, from the viewpoint of military usefulness, through for example, countering draft evasion and supporting military affairs, particularly in the sequential line of military expansion after the Russo-Japanese War. This was not promoted through the army's leadership alone. Both the Education Ministry and the Home Ministry respectively, inserted expectations and the organization was promoted. Especially in October 1908 (Meiji 41), through the leadership encouragement of the Education Ministry and the Home Ministry, this organization was rapidly promoted by the local administrations.

In April 1910 (Meiji 43), a country-wide youth convention took place in Nagoya. “12 Guidelines of the Youth Association” and “13 Articles of Important Activities” were presented. Against this background, the army nationalized the Home Ministry's and Education Ministry's Youth Association and made it into an administration agency. In regards to the characterization of a 'project for the common good organization' = 'education organization,' it inserted a new character and a new

---

27 青年会 seinenkai.
28 文部省 monbushō.
29 内務省 naimushō.
30 青年団規十二則 seinen danki jūnisoku.
31 実行要目十三箇条 jikkō yōmoku jūsan kajō.
32 公益事業団体 kōeki jigyō dantai.
33 敎化団体としての性格 kyōka dantai toshite no seikaku.

VIII
organization policy <Annotation 35>. It is assumed that the first time the army showed interest in the military reorganization of the Youth Association organization was in 1912, when Nogi Maresuke\textsuperscript{34} showed Tanaka, who at that time was Military Affairs Bureau Chief, materials concerning the youth scouts (Boy Scouts),\textsuperscript{35} which he had brought from England, and ordered [Tanaka] to engage in research on youth education on the basis of this material <Annotation 36>.

The concrete development of the Youth Association restructuring began on 15 September 1915, with the nation-wide local government secretary directive “Notification Concerning the Leadership Development of the Youth Association”\textsuperscript{36} with the joint signature of Home Minister Ichiki Kitokurō\textsuperscript{37} and Education Minister Takata Sanae\textsuperscript{38} of the second Ōkuma Shigenobu\textsuperscript{39} cabinet. And in January 1916 (Taishō 5), the central unification mechanism of the nation-wide Youth Association, the Central Gratitude Organization Youth Bureau\textsuperscript{40} was established and the bulletin “Imperial Youth”\textsuperscript{41} was launched at the same time. Tanaka, as a member of the executive board of directors, came to acquire the right to have a large say within the Youth Bureau. The nation-wide directive addressed to local government secretaries “Case Concerning the Leadership Development of the Youth Association”\textsuperscript{42} appeared to have been issued by the Home and Education Ministries. However, the content actually came to completely include the ideas of the military authorities, who had Tanaka at their center.

In other words, in a letter to Army Minister Terauchi Masatake, dated 1 September 1915, Tanaka touched upon this directive. He wrote that the directive was established through the interim of the Home Ministry and the Education Ministry with Tanaka as mediator. As a result of the continuous repetition of the negotiations regarding the content of the Youth Association organization, the content ultimately “in general, following my humble (annotation, Tanaka) proposal, with the joint signature of both ministers, the directive, and the secondary organizational proposal will be decided, and within two or three days it will be published.” <Annotation 37>

\textsuperscript{34}乃木希典, 1849 – 1912. Imperial Japanese Army officer.
\textsuperscript{35}少年斥候隊 shōnen sekkōtai (ボーイスカウト bōisukauto).
\textsuperscript{36}青年団体ノ指導発達ニ関スル通牒 seinen dantai no shidō hattatsu ni kansuru tsūchō.
\textsuperscript{37}一木喜徳郎, 1867 – 1944. Education Minister (1914 – 1915), Home Minister (1915 – 1916).
\textsuperscript{38}高田早苗, 1860 – 1938. Education Minister (1915 – 1916).
\textsuperscript{39}大隈重信, 1838 – 1923. Prime Minister (1898, 1914 – 1916).
\textsuperscript{40}中央報徳会青年部 chūō hōtokukai seinenbu.
\textsuperscript{41}帝国青年 teikoku seinen.
\textsuperscript{42}青年団体ノ指導発達ニ関スル件 seinen dantai no shidō hattatsu ni kansuru ken.
The aim of the reorganization of the Youth Association that Tanaka planned, was the creation of an organization with the purpose of militarily controlling the before-conscription stratum of youth, in other words, the young males who had reached adulthood. Therefore, it is obvious that the idea of the combination and linkage of national education and soldiers' education, identical with the details of the establishment of the Imperial Military Reserve Association, was at its foundation. However, in the Youth Association organization, there existed the participation of the Education and Home Ministries and there was also the connection with the Imperial Military Reserve Association. In the beginning, in the practical managing aspect, the taking of a unified route was impossible. In short, this was because the Education and the Home Ministries had the idea that the Youth Association was an enterprise-organization for the stratum of youths that had finished compulsory education, and that it was an independent organization that played the main role in genuine youth voluntary service. However, for the army, the Youth Association to the bitter end was an organization connected to the Imperial Military Reserve Association, and because it naturally treated the introduction of the military components as indispensable, the antagonism of the Home and Education Ministries toward the Army Ministry existed forthwith.