

GENERAL ALGEBRA 1988

<1988, Krems>

Proceedings of the International Conference
held in memory of Wilfried Nöbauer
Krems, Austria, August 21 – 27, 1988

Edited by

Rainer Mlitz

Institut für Angewandte und
Numerische Mathematik
Technische Universität Wien
Wien, Austria



1990

NORTH-HOLLAND
AMSTERDAM · NEW YORK · TOKYO · OXFORD

CONTENTS

Preface	3
Contents	5
List of Lectures given at the Conference	7
R. Mlitz:	
<i>Wilfried Nöbauer – a Life for Algebra of Polynomials</i>	13
K. Aragane:	
<i>Syntactical Characterizations of the Amalgamation Property for Universal Horn Classes</i>	27
G. Behrendt:	
<i>The Cutset Lattice of a Partially Ordered Set</i>	39
D. N. Dikranjan:	
<i>Radicals and Duality I</i>	45
H. Draškovicová:	
<i>On some Classes of Perfect Media</i>	65
K. Głazek/J. Michalski:	
<i>Polyadic Group Operations on Small Sets</i>	85
H. Gross †:	
<i>On Orthomodular Spaces</i>	95
G. Hofer:	
<i>Weak Equivalence in Group Automata</i>	109
H. Karzel:	
<i>Double Spaces of Order 3 and D-Loops of Exponent 3</i>	115
F. Kasch:	
<i>The Total in the Category of Modules</i>	129
M. Kolibiar:	
<i>Direct Product Decomposition of Median groups</i>	139
N. V. Loi:	
<i>On the Structure of Semiprime Involution Rings</i>	153
F. Loonstra †:	
<i>Rational Extensions of Modules</i>	163

S. J. R. Mahmood:	
<i>Distributively Generated Near-Rings on the Dihedral Group</i>	
<i>of Order $2n$, n Odd</i>	177
C. J. Maxson:	
<i>A-Full Meromorphic Products</i>	191
J. D. P. Meldrum:	
<i>Near-Rings – a Non-Linear Tool for Groups</i>	199
J. Płonka:	
<i>Some Decompositions of the Sum of a Semilattice</i>	
<i>Ordered System of Algebras</i>	213
L. Salce:	
<i>Modular Lattices and Polyserial modules</i>	221
E. T. Schmidt:	
<i>Polynomial Automorphisms of Lattices</i>	233
B. Šešelja/A. Tepavčević:	
<i>Infinitely Distributive Elements in the Lattice of</i>	
<i>Weak Congruences</i>	241
Problems Presented at the Problem Session	255
Addresses of the Participants	259

THE TOTAL IN THE CATEGORY OF MODULES

Friedrich Kasch

Mathematisches Institut
Universität München
Theresienstraße 39
D-8000 München

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of the notions "total", "partially invertible" and "regular" will be based here on a new, more general foundation and further developed. The cases considered in the literature will be included as special cases. Proofs will be given here only if they are not routine or if they are not generalisations from the special cases in [1], [2].

Let S, T be rings with identity and let ${}_S A_T, {}_T B_S$ be unitary bimodules. We assume two mappings

$$\sigma : A \times B \rightarrow S, \quad \tau : B \times A \rightarrow T$$

with the following properties:

- (I) $\sigma(sa, b) = s\sigma(a, b), \sigma(a, bs) = \sigma(a, b)s,$
 $\sigma(at, b) = \sigma(a, tb), \quad a \in A, b \in B, s \in S, t \in T,$
similar properties for τ ;
- (II) Associative laws:
 $\sigma(a, b)a_1 = a\tau(b, a_1), \tau(b, a)b_1 = b\sigma(a, b_1), \quad a, a_1 \in A, b, b_1 \in B;$
- (III) Additivity:
 $\sigma(a + a_1, b + b_1) = \sigma(a, b) + \sigma(a, b_1) + \sigma(a_1, b) + \sigma(a_1, b_1),$
similar for τ .

For abbreviation we write

$$ab := \sigma(a,b), \quad ba := \tau(b,a), \quad a \in A, b \in B.$$

If we have a meaningful product of elements from A, B, S, T , then by the associative laws we can avoid using brackets. If (I), (II), (III) are satisfied, then these conditions define a Morita context and the mappings σ and τ can be factorised via the tensor products $A \otimes_T B$ resp. $B \otimes_S A$. But we will first assume only (I) and (II), in which case A and B have only to be sets and S and T multiplicative monoids. Then we assume (I), (II), (III) but without further conditions. Only in the last section we have to assume "Morita conditions" since we show that the notions "total", "radicaltotal" and "totalfree" for rings are preserved under Morita equivalence.

To have later the possibility for short quotations, we mention here three examples for a Morita context.

EXAMPLES.

(E1) F. Kasch [1], W. Schneider [2].

Let R be a ring with identity and let M_R, N_R be unitary R -modules.

Denote $S := \text{End}(N_R), \quad T := \text{End}(M_R),$

$S A_T := \text{Hom}_R(M, N), \quad T B_S := \text{Hom}_R(N, M),$

and $\sigma(f, g) := fg, \quad \tau(g, f) := gf, \quad f \in A, g \in B.$

Then (I), (II), (III) are satisfied.

(E2) J. Zelmanowitz [3].

Let T be a ring with identity and let A_T be a unitary T -module (in [3] $1 \in T$ is not assumed).

Denote $S := \text{End}(A_T), \quad B := A^* = \text{Hom}_T(A, T).$

Then $S A_T, T B_S$ are bimodules. For $a \in A, g \in B$ define

$$\sigma(a, g) := ag : A \ni x \mapsto ag(x) \in A,$$

(implying $ag \in S$). Further define $\tau(g, a) := g(a)$. Then (I), (II), (III) are satisfied.

By a slight change, this can also be considered as a special case of (E1). To see this, one has to substitute $S A_T$ by the S - T -isomorphic module $\text{Hom}_T(T, A)$ with the isomorphism

$$\varphi : A \ni a \mapsto (T \ni x \mapsto ax \in A) \in \text{Hom}_T(T, A).$$

By this substitution σ and τ change to the mappings in (E1). It is easy to see that the isomorphism φ preserves all the notions considered in this paper.

(E3) Rings.

For a ring R with $1 \in R$ let $A = B = S = T := R$ and for $r_1, r_2 \in R$, $\sigma(r_1, r_2) = \tau(r_1, r_2) := r_1 r_2$. Then all conditions are satisfied.

2. DEFINITIONS AND MULTIPLICATIVE PROPERTIES

In this section we assume only (I) and (II) but not (III) as mentioned already in the introduction.

2.1 LEMMA (compare [1], 1.1, [2], 6.1). For $a \in A$ are equivalent:

- (a) $\exists b \in B [ab \text{ is an idempotent } \neq 0 \text{ in } S]$,
- (b) $\exists b_1 \in B [b_1 a \text{ is an idempotent } \neq 0 \text{ in } T]$,
- (c) $\exists c \in B [ac \text{ is an idempotent } \neq 0 \text{ in } S \wedge ca \text{ is an idempotent } \neq 0 \text{ in } T]$.

2.2 DEFINITIONS. Let $a \in A$.

- (1) a is called *partially invertible* = "pi" : \Leftrightarrow
the conditions of 2.1 are satisfied.
- (2) *Total* of $A = \text{Tot}(A) := \{u \mid u \in A \wedge u \text{ is not pi}\}$.
- (3) a is called *regular* : $\Leftrightarrow \exists b \in B [aba = a]$.

Similar definitions for the elements in B .

For the elements of $\text{Tot}(A)$ we give later (using (III)) a characterisation which justifies the notion *total nonisomorphisms*. The definition (1) means in the special case (E3) that $s \in S$ is pi, iff there exists $s' \in S$ such that ss' is an idempotent $\neq 0$ in S . Attention with the notation: In [1] and [2] (that is in the case (E1)) $\text{Tot}(M)$ resp. $\text{Tot}(M, N)$ are subsets of $\text{End}(M)$ resp. $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$. Now, $\text{Tot}(A)$ is a subset of A itself.

2.3 COROLLARY (compare special cases in [1], [2]). Assume $a \in A$,

$b \in B, s \in S, t \in T$.

- (a) If sat is *pi*, then s, a, t are *pi* (in S resp. B resp. T).
- (b) If ab is *pi*, then a, b are *pi* (in B resp. A).
- (c) $S\text{Tot}(A)T = \text{Tot}(A)$, $\text{Tot}(A)B \subset \text{Tot}(S)$, $A\text{Tot}(B) \subset \text{Tot}(S)$,
 $\text{Tot}(S)A \subset \text{Tot}(A)$, $A\text{Tot}(T) \subset \text{Tot}(A)$, $S\text{Tot}(S)S = \text{Tot}(S)$.

2.4 COROLLARY.

- (a) If $aba = a \neq 0$, then ab and ba are *idempotents* $\neq 0$.
*Hence regular elements are *pi*.*
- (b) If $ab = d = d^2 \neq 0$ resp. $ba = e = e^2 \neq 0$, then da, bd, eb, ae are *regular elements*.
- (c) a is *pi* $\Leftrightarrow \exists b \in B [bab = b \neq 0]$.
- (d) If $aba = a$, then $a(bab)a = a$, $(bab)a(bab) = bab$.

2.5 COROLLARY. If $aba = a$, $d = ab$, $e = ba$, then

$$Sa \ni sa \mapsto sd \in Sd, \quad aT \ni at \mapsto et \in eT$$

are *isomorphisms*, hence Sa resp. aT are *projective S - resp. T -modules*.

The definitions and corollaries show that Lemma 2.1 is essential for our considerations. Notions, based on this lemma are independent of the side. Moreover, it gives a close connection to regular elements. Finally, it makes it possible to give very simple and short proofs by computations with *idempotents*.

2.6 THEOREM.

- (a) a is *pi* $\Leftrightarrow \exists d \in S, d = d^2 \neq 0 [dS \subset aB \wedge dA \subset aT]$
 $\Leftrightarrow \exists e \in T, e = e^2 \neq 0 [Te \subset Ba \wedge Ae \subset Sa]$.
- (b) a is *regular* $\Leftrightarrow \exists d \in S, d = d^2 [dS = aB \wedge dA = aT]$
 $\Leftrightarrow \exists e \in T, e = e^2 [Te = Ba \wedge Ae = Sa]$.

Proof for (b). \Rightarrow : Assume $aba = a$. Denote $d = ab$, then

$$dS = a(bS) \subset aB = ab(aB) \subset dS \Rightarrow dS = aB.$$

Similar in the other cases.

\Leftarrow : By assumption, there exist $b \in B$, $a_1 \in A$ such that $d = ab$, $da_1 = a$.

Then

$$a = da = aba.$$

Similar for the other cases.

3. ADDITIVE PROPERTIES

Now, we have to use the additivity (III). Further we need the following mappings

$$\begin{aligned} (-b)a : A \ni x \mapsto (xb)a \in Sa, \\ a(b-) : A \ni x \mapsto a(bx) \in aT. \end{aligned}$$

$\text{Ke}(\dots)$ denotes the kernel of the mapping \dots .

3.1 THEOREM. If $aba = a$, then

$$A = Sa \oplus \text{Ke}((-b)a) = aT \oplus \text{Ke}(a(b-)).$$

If a is regular, then by 2.5 and 3.1, Sa is a projective, direct summand of A . In case (E2) also the opposite direction of this statement is true (see [3]). In general we have the following situation. Since Sa is projective, the epimorphism

$$\varphi : S \ni s \mapsto sa \in Sa$$

splits. Therefore there exists an idempotent $d \in S$ such that

$$S = Sd \oplus S(1-d), \quad a = da.$$

In case there exists $b \in B$ such that $ab = d$, then $aba = da = a$. The existence of such b is easy to see in (E2), but in general it will not exist.

3.2 COROLLARY. If $ab = d = d^2$, $ba = e = e^2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} Sda \subseteq^{\oplus} SA, \quad Sa = Sda \oplus S(1-d)a, \\ aeT \subseteq^{\oplus} AT, \quad aT = aeT \oplus a(1-e)T. \end{aligned}$$

Similar for b .

Now, we will give an other characterisation (besides 2.6) for π and

regular elements. We use the following notation: A operates faithfully on B iff for all $x \in A$, $x \neq 0$, also $xB \neq 0$.

3.3 THEOREM. For $a \in A$ the following is true.

- (a) a is pi $\Leftrightarrow \exists B_0 \subset^{\oplus} B_S, 0 \neq D \subset^{\oplus} S_S$
 $[B_0 \ni y \mapsto ay \in D \text{ is an isomorphism}].$
- (b) a is regular $\Rightarrow \exists B_S = B_0 \oplus B_1, D \subset^{\oplus} S_S$
 $[B_0 \ni y \mapsto ay \in D \text{ is an isomorphism} \wedge aB_1 = 0].$

If A operates faithfully on B , the converse is also true.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow : If $ab = d = d^2 \neq 0$, then by 2.4, bd is regular and by 3.2, $B_0 := bdS \subset^{\oplus} B$. Denote $D = dS$, then

$$B_0 \ni bds \mapsto abds = ds \in D$$

is an isomorphism.

(a) \Leftarrow : Let be $dS = D \neq 0$, $d = d^2$, then there exists $b \in B_0$ with $ab = d \neq 0$.

(b) \Rightarrow : By 2.4 (d), we can assume $aba = a$, $bab = b$. Then by 3.1 (for B) we have

$$B = bS \oplus \text{Ke}(b(a-)).$$

Define $B_0 := bS$, $D = dS$, then there exists the same isomorphism as in (a).

For $y \in \text{Ke}(b(a-))$ follows

$$ay = (aba)y = a(b(ay)) = 0,$$

hence with $B_1 := \text{Ke}(b(a-))$ the proof is complete.

(b) \Leftarrow : $D \subset^{\oplus} S_S$ implies $D = dS$, $d = d^2$. By assumption there exists $b \in B$ with $ab = d$. Then $B_0 = bS$ and together with $aB_1 = 0$ we get

$$aB = abS \oplus aB_1 = abS.$$

Further we have for $s \in S$

$$a(bs) = ds = d^2s = (aba)bs.$$

Since A operates faithfully on B this implies $a = aba$.

3.4 REMARKS. In (E1) the following is true [1]:

$$f \in A = \text{Hom}_R(M, N) \text{ is pi} \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\exists 0 \neq U \subset^{\oplus} M, V \subset^{\oplus} N [U \ni x \mapsto f(x) \in V \text{ is an isomorphism}].$$

If f is not pi, that is, $f \in \text{Tot}(M, N)$, we therefore used the notation [1] " f is a total nonisomorphism". The total was then defined as the set of all total nonisomorphisms. Now, by 3.3, also in the general case it is justified to denote the elements of $\text{Tot}(A)$ as *total nonisomorphisms*. Please note the fact, that for (E1) 3.3 and the result stated before are not the same (but connected).

It is interesting to ask for conditions such that $\text{Tot}(A)$ is closed under addition (see [1], [2]). A ring S is called a *total ring* iff $\text{Tot}(S)$ is additively closed. Then $\text{Tot}(S)$ is a two-sided ideal of S .

3.5 THEOREM. *If S or T is a total ring, then $\text{Tot}(A)$ and $\text{Tot}(B)$ are S - T - resp. T - S -submodules of A resp. B .*

In general $\text{Tot}(A)$ is not closed under addition but it has always the following closure property. With $\text{Rad}(_S A)$ we denote the radicals of the S -module $_S A$.

3.6 THEOREM. $\text{Rad}(_S A) + \text{Tot}(A) = \text{Rad}(A_T) + \text{Tot}(A) = \text{Tot}(A)$.

3.7 COROLLARY. $\text{Rad}(_S A) + \text{Rad}(A_T) \subset \text{Tot}(A)$.

This implies the question: Under which conditions is the radical equal to the total (see results in [1], [2]).

If for a ring S $\text{Rad}(S) = \text{Tot}(S)$ holds, then S is called *radicaltotal* ([2], 4.2). Examples for radicaltotal rings are semi-perfect rings or – more general – F-semi-perfect rings (Oberst, Schneider) = semi-regular rings (Nicholson). We call a ring S *totalfree* if $\text{Tot}(S) = 0$. Then a totalfree ring is radicaltotal. If S is a total ring, then $S/\text{Tot}(S)$ is totalfree ([1], 3.6).

4. MORITA EQUIVALENCE

The main goal in this section is to show that the properties "total", "radicaltotal" and "totalfree" of a ring are preserved under Morita equi-

valence. If the rings T and S are Morita equivalent, we write $T \approx S$. In this case, there exists a progenerator A_T such that $S \cong \text{End}(A_T)$ and in the Morita context (E2), the mappings σ and τ are surjective. Since our properties are preserved under ringisomorphisms, we can assume $S = \text{End}(A_T)$. The surjectivity of σ and τ means $AA^* = S$, $A^*A = T$. We have not to use always all these assumptions. Without any assumption we have by 3.5, that if T or S is a total ring, then $\text{Tot}(A)$ is closed under addition. In the following we consider only the case (E2).

4.1 LEMMA. *If $S = \text{End}(A_T)$, $AA^* = S$ and $\text{Tot}(A)$ is additively closed, then S is a total ring.*

Proof. Let $s_1, s_2 \in \text{Tot}(S)$ and assume $s_1 + s_2 \notin \text{Tot}(S)$. Then there exists $s \in S$ such that $s(s_1 + s_2) = d = d^2 \neq 0$. Since $ss_1A, ss_2A \subset \text{Tot}(A)$ by 2.3 and $\text{Tot}(A)$ is additively closed, also $dA \subset \text{Tot}(A)$. Then $dAA^* = dS \subset \text{Tot}(S)$, hence $d \in \text{Tot}(S)$, contradiction!

4.2 COROLLARY. *If $T \approx S$ and T is total, then S is total.*

4.3 LEMMA.

- (a) *If A_T is projective and T is radicaltotal, then $\text{Tot}(A) = \text{Rad}(A_T)$.*
- (b) *If A_T is finitely generated and projective, $S = \text{End}(A_T)$ and $\text{Tot}(A) = \text{Rad}(A_T)$, then S is radicaltotal.*

Proof. (a): By 3.7, it is only to show $\text{Tot}(A) \subset \text{Rad}(A_T)$. Let $a \in A$, then we write a with a dual basis $a = \sum b_i \varphi_i(a)$. For $a \in \text{Tot}(A)$ it follows $\varphi_i(a) \in \text{Tot}(T) = \text{Rad}(T)$, hence $a \in \text{Rad}(A_T)$.

(b): Again, only $\text{Tot}(S) \subset \text{Rad}(S)$ is to prove. For $s \in \text{Tot}(S)$ it follows $sA = \text{Im}(s) \subset \text{Tot}(A) = \text{Rad}(A_T)$. Since A_T is finitely generated, $\text{Rad}(A_T)$ is small in A_T , hence $\text{Im}(s)$ is small in A_T . Since A_T is projective, that implies $s \in \text{Rad}(S)$.

4.4 COROLLARY. If $T \approx S$ and if T is radicaltotal, then S is radicaltotal.

Proof. By 4.3.

4.5 COROLLARY. If $T \approx S$ and if T is totalfree, then S is totalfree.

Proof. $\text{Tot}(T) = 0$ implies $\text{Rad}(T) = 0$ and T is radicaltotal. Then $\text{Rad}(S) = 0$ and $\text{Rad}(S) = \text{Tot}(S)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Kasch, F.: *Partiell invertierbare Homomorphismen und das Total*. Algebra Berichte 60, Verlag Reinhard Fischer, München 1988.
- [2] Schneider, W.: *Das Total von Moduln und Ringen*. Algebra Berichte 55, Verlag Reinhard Fischer, München 1987.
- [3] Zelmanowitz, J.: *Regular modules*. Transactions of the AMS, Vol. 163 (1972) 341–355.