# INDOLOGICA TAURINENSIA

Official Organ of the International Association of Sanskrit Studies

> VOLUME XXIII-XXIV 1997-98

Professor Gregory M. Bongard-Levin

Felicitation Volume

EDIZIONI A.I.T. TORINO (ITALY)

# **SOMMARIO** - CONTENTS

O. BOTTO, Premessa	pag.	11
A. VIGASIN, Introduction	<b>»</b>	21
M. BUKHARIN - A. VIGASIN, Select Bibliography of the Indological Publications of G.M. Bongard-Levin	<b>»</b>	27
I. Archaeology and Art		
B.B. LAL, Trade as a factor in the Rise and Fall of the Indus Civilization	<b>»</b>	45
G.L. POSSEHL, An Harappan Outpost on the Amu Darya: Shortughai. Why was it there?	<b>»</b>	57
B.N. MUKHERJEE, The Unsolved Aryan Problem	<b>»</b>	71
K. JETTMAR, Northern Visitors in the Indus-Valley, during the Early Buddhist Period?	<b>»</b>	81
D.K. CHAKRABARTI, Ancient Settlements of the Ganga Plain: West Bengal and Bihar	<b>»</b>	93
R.C. SHARMA, Ringstones as Esoteric and Art Items .	<b>»</b>	117
A H DANI Individuality of Bengal Art	<b>»</b>	129

M.C. JOSHI, Aspect of Sculptures of Buddha in China and India: A Brief Survey	pag.	141
K. VATSYAYAN, Aesthetics of Indian Dance	<b>»</b>	165
D. SCHLINGLOFF, Das Mahāprātihārya in der zen- tralasiatischen Hīnayāna-Kunst	<b>»</b>	175
II. Buddhist Studies		
H. NAKAMURA, The Ideal Ultimate Goal in Life in the Early Buddhism	<b>»</b>	197
A. WAYMAN, Some Theories of Buddhist Dates	<b>»</b>	205
C. LINDTNER, Buddhism as Brahmanism	<b>»</b>	217
J.W. DE JONG, Recent Japanese Studies on the Lalita- vistara	<b>»</b>	247
S. WATANABE, A Reconsideration of Prajñā: from Upaniṣads to Buddhism	<b>»</b>	257
H. TODA, Saddharmapuṇḍarīkasūtra XV Verses 1-15 .	<b>»</b>	271
S. MORI, The Milindapañha and the Pāli Aṭṭhakathā Literature	<b>»</b>	291
BHIKKHU PĀSĀDIKA, Two Quotations from the Kāśyapaparivarta in Nāgārjuna's Sūtrasamuccaya .	<b>»</b>	313
P. WILLIAMS, The Selfless Removal of Pain - A Critical Glance at Śāntideva's Argument in Bodhicaryāvatāra 8:101-3	<b>»</b>	321
JU. HARTMANN, Sanskrit Fragments from the Āgamas (1): the Angulimālasūtra	<b>»</b>	351

K. WILLE, New Fragments of the Buddhanāmasūtra .	pag.	363
R. EMMERICK, The Khotanese Sumukhasūtra	<b>»</b>	387
H. EIMER, Three Leaves from a Tibetan Dhāraṇī Collection	*	423
III. Epigraphical and numismatic Studies		
Y.V.VASSILKOV, On the Meaning of the Names Aśoka and Piyadasi	<b>»</b>	441
K.R. NORMAN, Aśoka's Thirteenth Rock Edict	<b>»</b>	459
N.A. JAYAWIKRAMA, Aśoka's Edicts and the Third Buddhist Council	<b>»</b>	485
A. VIGASIN, Some Aspects of Aśokan Edicts	<b>»</b>	501
D. FACCENNA - M. TADDEI, Two Graffiti from Butkara I, Swat (Pakistan)	<b>»</b>	507
N. SIMS-WILLIAMS, The Iranian Inscriptions of Shatial	<b>»</b>	523
P. GIGNOUX, On the New Pahlavi Documents from Central Asia	<b>»</b>	543
D.W. MAC DOWALL, A Hoard of Later Kushan Copper Coins from Bambore	<b>»</b>	551
A.K. NARAIN, The Coins and Identity of the Nameless Yuezhi King of Bactria	*	561

# JENS-UWE HARTMANN

# SANSKRIT FRAGMENTS FROM THE ĀGAMAS (I): THE ANGULIMĀLASŪTRA

It is well known that the *Sūtrapiṭakas* of the various Buddhist schools, as far as they are preserved at all, do not always agree very closely with each other, although they share a common literary heritage. The only *Sūtrapiṭaka* preserved in its entirety belongs to the Pāli Tipiṭaka, the canon of the Theravāda school, and it therefore serves as the point of reference for comparative purposes. For many of the texts in the other surviving *sūtra* collections a counterpart is found in the Pāli *Suttapiṭaka*, which is similar enough to be easily recognized as such. Apart from such overall similarities and apart from the language in which each of the collections is either composed or preserved, many differences can be observed which concern not only the wording, but also the setting, the persons involved, the dogmatical contents, the structure, etc. of the *sūtras*. Thus, a *sūtra* usually contains enough individual characteristics to set it apart from all the other related versions.

Beyond differences within the texts, each collection also shows individual features which distinguish it from the other collections. Although the principle of the  $\overline{A}gama/Nik\overline{a}ya$  division appears to have prevailed in all collections against other, earlier forms of

structuring, the contents of the respective  $\bar{A}gamas/Nik\bar{a}yas$  by no means agree. Differences are found not only in their structure, i.e. in the division into subsections, in the number of sūtras and in their sequence, but also in assigning a  $s\bar{u}tra$  to a specific  $\bar{A}gama$  or Nikāya. While a certain sūtra belongs to the "Collection of Long (Discourses)" of one school, it may be assigned to the "Collection (of Discourses) of Middle (Length)" by another, and vice versa. For instance, the version of the \*Bodharājakumārasūtra preserved in the Sanskrit fragments published by Grigorij M. Bongard-Levin<sup>2</sup> most likely belongs to a manuscript of the Dīrghāgama of the Central Asian Sarvāstivādins; its Pāli counterpart, however. Bodhirājakumārasutta, is found in the Majjhimanikāya (no. 85)<sup>3</sup> and immediately precedes the Angulimālasūtra (no. 86). Mahānidānasūtra, on the other hand, is included in the long collection by the Theravadins (no. 15) and by the Dharmaguptakas (no. 13), but in the *Madhyamāgama* by the Sarvāstivādins<sup>4</sup>. Other sūtras, as for example the famous Mahāparinirvānasūtra, are unanimously assigned by all schools to one and the same collection, in this case the Dirghāgama/Dighanikāya<sup>5</sup>.

In the case of the Aṅgulimālasūtra to be discussed here, the redactors of the various canonical collections were more divided: its *Theravāda* version is found in the Majjhimanikāya, and the (Mūla-)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cf. OSKAR VON HINÜBER, "Die neun Angas. Ein früher Versuch zur Einteilung buddhistischer Texte", in Wiener Zeitschrift für die Kunde Südasiens 38 (1994), pp. 121-135.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> G. M. BONGARD-LEVIN, "Three New Fragments of the *Bodharāja-kumārasūtra* from Eastern Turkestan", in *Journal of the American Oriental Society* 109 (1989), pp. 509-512.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> It is also absent from the *Dīrghāma* preserved in Chinese translation which probably belongs to the school of the *Dharmaguptakas*, and may also have been included in the lost *Madhvamāgama* of this school.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> No. 97 of the Chinese *Madhyamāgama* which represents a version of the Sarvāstivādins.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> No. 16 in the *Dīghanikāya* and no. 2 in the Chinese *Dīrghāgama*; for the Sanskrit text from Central Asia cf. MPS and G. M. BONGARD-LEVIN and M. I. VOROB'EVA-DESJATOVSKAJA, *Pamjatniki indijskoj pis'mennosti iz central'noj azii. Izdanie tekstov. issledovanie, perevod i kommentarij,* vypusk 2, Moskva, 1990 (Bibliotheca Buddhica, 34), p. 208 ff.

Sarvāstivāda version has been incorporated into the *Saṃyuktāgama*<sup>6</sup>. Another version is included in the Chinese translation of the *Ekottarikāgama*, which probably belongs to the Mahāsāṅghikas, although the question of its school affiliation is not yet finally settled<sup>7</sup>. Aside from these three, there exist three separate translations in the Chinese canon, which show various stages of development<sup>8</sup>. Two of them, T 118 and T 119, are similar to the *Ekottarikāgama* version, and in the third, T 120, the original story has served as the nucleus for a full-fledged Mahāyāna *sūtra* of the Tathāgatagarbha corpus, which has also been translated into Tibetan.

Obviously, the tale of the notorious brigand Angulimāla was exciting enough to serve as an example for illustrating the Buddha's impressive power of converting even the most untameable. Throughout Buddhist literature, the story is retold, quoted or alluded to<sup>9</sup>. Angulimāla is mentioned as the embodiment of a person either full of hatred or of stupidity, or his taming exemplifies a conversion

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> MN II 97-105 (no. 86); T 99, vol. 2, 280c (no. 1077), and T 100, vol. 2, 378b (no. 16).

T 125, vol. 2, 719b; for the school ascription cf. EGAKU MAYEDA, "Japanese Studies on the Schools of the Chinese Āgamas", in Schulzugehörigkeit. pp. 102-103, JOHANNES BRONKHORST, "Dharma and Abhidharma", in Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies. 48 (1985), p. 312 f., and LAMBERT SCHMITHAUSEN, "Beiträge zur Schulzugehörigkeit und Textgeschichte kanonischer und postkanonischer buddhistischer Materialien", in Schulzugehörigkeit, p. 321.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> For a description of this development in the existing versions of the *sūtra* cf. ANDRÉ BAREAU, "Etude du bouddhisme", in *Annuaire du Collège de France 1985-1986, Résumé des Cours et Travaux*. Paris, 647-658 ("2. La légende de l'Angulimāla dans les anciens textes canoniques", p. 654 ff.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Peter Skilling, Bangkok, kindly draws my attention to a quotation in Vasubandhu's Vyākhyāyukti, cf. TT no. 5562, vol. 113, 277.4.8 = sems tsam si, 113a8: sor phren na ni kun nas sems can thams cad la || dbyug pa dag ni span par byas nas 'dug pa yin, corresponding to MN II 99, 29-30 Thito aham, Angulimāla, sabbadā sabbesu bhūtesu nidhāya dandam. He further refers to another possible quotation in the Vyākhyāyukti-sūtrakhaṇḍaśata, cf. TT no. 5561, vol. 113, 240.1.1-3 = sems tsam si, 19b 1-3: btsun pa bcom ldan 'das ni ma dul ba rnams dul bar mdzad pa | ma źi ba rnams źi bar mdzad pa | dbugs ma phyin ba rnams dbugs 'byin par mdzad pa | yons su mya nan las ma 'das pa rnams yons su mya nan las 'da' bar mdzad pa 'o, which corresponds to MN II 102, 20-21 bhante, Bhagavā, adantānam dametā asantānam sametā aparinibbutānam parinibbāpetā. However, this citation may be a stock phrase, although it is found only once in the Pāli Suttapitaka.

by supernatural powers. In one of his famous *buddhastotras*, the Buddhist poet Mātrceṭa marvels at the inner change of Aṅgulimāla with the following rhetorical question:

indriyopaśamo nande mānastabdhe ca saṃnatiḥ | kṣamitvaṃ cāṅgulīmāle kaṃ na vismayam ānayet || .
"Tranquillity of the senses in a Nanda, humility in a Mānastabdha, mercy in an Aṅgulimāla – whom would not these amaze?" <sup>10</sup>

The same persons are named, together with Urubilvākāśyapa, in the Avadānaśataka as examples of those whom the Buddha has saved from the ocean of  $r\bar{a}ga$  (Nanda), dveṣa (Aṅgulimāla),  $m\bar{a}na$  (Mānastabdha) and moha (Urubilvākāśyapa)<sup>11</sup>. Together with Nanda, Ajātaśatru and Udayana, Aṅgulimāla is adduced by Nāgārjuna in verse 14 of his Suhṛllekha as an example of those who reformed their behaviour:

"One who has formerly been heedless, but later becomes heedful – like Nanda, Aṅgulimāla, Ajātaśatru and Udayana – will also be resplendent like the moon free from clouds." 12

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Verse 125; quoted from *The Śatapańcāśatka of Mātṛceṭa*, ed. D. R. SHACKLETON BAILEY, Cambridge 1951, 130 and 174. Aṅgulimāla is named in the commentary on verses 98, 105, 107, 122 and 123, cf. *ibid.*, pp. 108, 114, 115 and 128. – Cf. also verse 10 in a fragmentary *buddhastotra*, provisionally named "Praise of the Buddha's Conversions" by its editor, Dieter SCHLINGLOFF (cf. *Buddhistische Stotras aus ostturkistanischen Sanskrittexten*. Berlin 1955 [Sanskrittexte aus den Turfanfunden, I], p. 104).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Avadānašataka. A Century of Edifying Tales Belonging to the Hīnayāna, ed. J. S. Speyer, St. Petersburg 1902-1909 (Bibliotheca Buddhica, 3), vol. I, 148, 9-10; for Aṅgulimāla and Urubilvākāśyapa, cf. also Edouard Huber, Açvaghoşa, Sūtrālamkāra. Traduit en français sur la version chinoise de Kumārajīva, Paris, 1908, pp. 204 and 460-461.

<sup>13</sup> gan žig snon chad bag med gyur pa lags || phyi nas bag dan ldan par gyur de yan || zla ba sprin bral lta hur rnam mdzes te || dga bo sor phren mthon ldan bde byed bžin || (text and translation in L. Jamspal, N. S. Chophel, P. Della Santtna, Nāgārjuna's Letter to King Gautamīputra. Delhi, 1978, pp. 9 and 75). It is interesting to note that Nāgārjuna's verse is clearly modelled on a verse from the Aṅgulimālasūtra: cf. yas tu pūrvam pramādyeha paścād vai na pramādyate | sa imam bhāsate lokam abhramuktaiva ca candramāḥ || (Sanskrit text in Udānavarga, ed. Franz Bernhard, Göttingen, 1965, verse 16.5; for the Pāli see MN II 104, 21-22, for the Saṃyuktāgama T 99, 281 b 11-12, and T 100, 379 a 15-16, and for the Ekottarikāgama T 125, 721bl7-18). In his commentary on the Suhṛllekha, Mahāmati explains that Aṅgulimāla serves as an example of foolishness (de ni hlun po žig ste, TT 5690, vol. 129, 156.1.4-5 = gtam yig ne, 334b 4-5).

In chapter 21 of his Buddhacarita, entitled Las kyi rgyun (translated by E. H. JOHNSTON as "Progress (srotas) of the Mission"), Aśvaghoṣa refers specifically to the Buddha's rddhi as the means of conversion:

"Among the Suhmas the Holy One by the might of His magic power converted Angulimāla, a Brahman who was cruel like Saudāsa."<sup>13</sup>

Some, if not most, of the authors seem to allude not so much to Aṅgulimāla the brigand, but rather to Aṅgulimāla the collector of fingers from one thousand people, who is acting on a vicious instruction of his teacher<sup>14</sup>. This latter episode is still absent from the Theravāda and Sarvāstivāda versions of the  $s\bar{u}tra$ ; obviously, it was

<sup>13</sup> E. H. JOHNSTON, "The Buddha's Mission and last Journey: *Buddhacarita*, xv to xxviii", in *Acta Orientalia* 15 (1937), p. 100, verse 21.13 (repr. as Part III in E. H. JOHNSTON, *Aśvaghoṣa's Buddhacarita or Acts of the Buddha*, Delhi 1984). This part of the Buddhacarita is only preserved in Tibetan translation: 'tshe byed sor mo'i phren ba can || bran bzans dan mtshuns gñis skyes ni || bcom ldan rdzu 'phrul phun tshogs kyis || phra mo rnams sit btul bar mdzad || (TT no. 5656, vol. 129, 158.4.7-8 = skyes rabs ne, 91 a7-8).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Cf. AKANUMA Chizen, Indo bukkyō koyameishi jiten ("Dictionary of proper names of Indian Buddhism"), Nagoya, 1931, pp. 39-41, and G.P.MALALASEKERA, Dictionary of Pāli Proper Names, London, 1937, vol. 1, pp. 22 f.; see also chapter 36 in the Sūtra of the Wise and the Foolish where the story, similar to the Pāli Jātaka (no. 537), is connected with the avadāna of Sutasoma and Saudāsa, cf. DIETER SCHLINGLOFF, "Die Erzählung von Sutasoma and Saudāsa in der buddhistischen Kunst", in Altorientalische Forschungen 2 (1975), pp. 93-117, and Studies in the Ajanta Paintings. Identifications and Interpretations, Delhi, 1987, pp. 93-112; for a reference to a representation of the Angulimāla story in Gandharan art see Studies in the Ajanta Paintings, p. 229. For the connection of Angulimāla with Saudāsa/Kalmāsapāda in the Uigur Daśakarmapathāvadānamālā cf. Gerhard EHLERS, "Notabilia zur alttürkischen Oberstufenzählung", in Ural-Altaische Jahrbücher 3 (1983), p. 86; the story of Angulimāla himself is also told in the Maitrisimit, cf. Sinasi Tekin, Maitrismit nom bitig. Die uigurische Übersetzung eines Werkes der buddhistischen Vaibhāṣika-Schule, Teil 1, Berlin 1980 (Berliner Turfantexte, 9), p. 162. For remarks on the story see also W. STEDE, "Ańgulimāla and Liberation", in Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies 20 (1957), pp. 533-535. – The references given in this and the preceding notes are by no means exhaustive.

added later to explain his name<sup>15</sup>. While the verse portions are basically the same, the Theravāda version is much longer than the Sarvāstivāda one which lacks the episodes of how King Prasenajit, on his way to track down the wanted criminal, visits the Buddha and unexpectedly meets him newly ordained, or how Aṅgulimāla helps a woman having a difficult labour by a word of truth (*satyavacana*)<sup>16</sup>, or how various unpleasant incidents happen to him on his alms rounds<sup>17</sup>.

Of all the versions of the *sūtra*, only the Theravāda one is entirely preserved in its Indian original. When working on the Sanskrit manuscripts of the so-called German Turfan collection in the first decades of this century, Else and Heinrich Lüders succeeded in identifying one small fragment as belonging to a related version of the text. In 1965 it was edited by Ernst Waldschmidt as no. 160c in the first catalogue volume of the German collection, and in the accompanying notes Waldschmidt referred to the Pāli text and to the Chinese translation of the *Saṃyuktāgama*<sup>18</sup>. Although he did not express any opinion on the school affiliation of the Sanskrit

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> For an attempt at explaining the background of the Angulimāla story, cf. RICHARD GOMBRICH, *How Buddhism Began: the Conditioned Genesis of the Early Teachings*, Athlone, 1996, pp. 135-164 (chapter v: "Who was Angulimāla?"). His ingenious restoration of Angulimāla's second verse (MN II 100,1-4), however, is not borne out by the Chinese versions. Cf. now the review of Gombrich's book by MAITHRIMURTHI MUDAGAMUWA and A.V. ROSPATT in *Indo-Iranian Journal* 41 (1998), pp. 164-170, especially 169ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> This episode has caused the inclusion of the *sutta* among the *Paritta* texts; cf. Richard Gombrich, *Precept and Practice: Traditional Buddhism in the Rural Highlands of Ceylon*, Oxford, 1971, p. 224, and Peter Skilling, "The Rakṣā Literature of the Śrāvakayāna", in *Journal of the Pāli Text Society* 16 (1992), pp. 121, 174 and 178.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> For the relation between these incidents and Angulimāla's past *karma* see FUMIO ENOMOTO, "On the Annihilation of *karman* in Early Buddhism", in *Transactions of the International Conference of Orientalists in Japan* 34 (1989), pp. 53-55, and TILMANN VETTER, *The Ideas and Meditative Practices of Early Buddhism*, Leiden, 1988, p. 89 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> SHT I, pp. 90-91. – There is another small fragment, SHT VI 1561, which on one side appears to contain an encounter between the Buddha and Angulimāla, but the few *akṣaras* which have been preserved do not permit one to relate it to any of the existing versions.

fragment, it is clear from his notes that it corresponds much better to the (Mūla-)Sarvāstivāda version of the Saṃyuktāgama than to the *Majjhimanikāya* of the Pāli. Consequently, Fumio Enomoto included this fragment in his study of the Chinese *Saṃyuktāgama* 19.

During a survey of the Sanskrit manuscripts from Northern Turkestan in the Hoernle collection in London, two more fragments of the same text could be identified<sup>20</sup>, which will be introduced in the following. They form part of a single leaf, starting at the right side of the punch hole, and can be joined without a gap. The leaf contains six lines and the text is written in the standard form of the later Northern Turkestan Brāhmī. In the first line of the recto side the last sentence of the preceding sūtra is preserved, and in the second line the Angulimālasūtra begins. The end of the preceding text entails a certain problem, since according to the Chinese Samyuktāgama this sūtra closes with the standard formula that the monks rejoiced in the words of the Blessed One. The corresponding Sanskrit phrase would be something like te bhiksavo bhagavato bhāsitam abhyanandan, cf. SWTF s.v. abhinand. However, the present fragment starts with ///sya bhasitam, which could hardly refer to the Buddha as the speaker of the foregoing. Since the genitive ending alone offers little basis for further speculation, at present it must suffice to draw attention to the problem.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Fumio Enomoto, A Comprehensive Study of the Chinese Saṃyuktāgama. Indic Texts Corresponding to the Chinese Saṃyuktāgama as Found in the Sarvāstivāda-Mūlasarvāstivāda Literature. Part I: \*Saṃgītanipāta, Kyoto, 1994, p. 22.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> JENS-UWE HARTMANN and KLAUS WILLE, "Die nordturkistanischen Sanskrit-Handschriften der Sammlung Hoernle (Funde buddhistischer Sanskrit-Handschriften, II)", in *Sanskrit-Texte aus dem buddhistischen Kanon: Neuentdeckungen u. Neueditionen, 2,* Göttingen, 1992 (SWTF, Beiheft, 4), p. 38 (ad Photo 172; right part of the fragment) and 41 (ad Photo 179; left part); both fragments carry no number and are therefore referred to by means of a microfilm of the collection produced in 1950. The originals could be compared during a visit to the India Office Library in April 1990. They are not placed under glass, but kept loose in envelopes, and on the microfilm, the lower edge is folded back in two places which conceals a few *aksaras* in lines r 6 and v 1.

# Recto<sup>21</sup>

- 1 / / / + [sya] bhāṣita[m abhi]na .[yā]numodya utthāyāsa[n]. .[r]. / / /
- 2 /// .. deşu caryām caram yena dhavajākāvanaṣanḍa tam mārgam .[r]. ///
- 3 /// O utpathājīvā manuşyā bhagavamtam mārgam pra .. ///
- 4 /// O tra corah pratyasthāt\* mā te sa vihethayi + ///
- 5 /// + + [tar] api gopālakāh paśupālakah pūrvava + ///
- 6 / / / + + ca punar asiñ ca + [kh]e .. kam ..+ + + + + + / / /

#### Verso

- 1 /// + tam dṛṣṭvā ca punar asyetad abhavat\* + + + + + + ///
- 2 /// + śaknuyām prākṛtayā gatyā gacchamtam anvāgamtum + + ///
- 3 /// O rvajanena dhāvam bhagavamtam na śaknoti prā[k]r + ///
- 4 /// O vamtam idam avocat\* tis[th]a tistha śramana + ///
- 5 /// .gulimālas coras tasyām velāyām gāthām babhāse · || [g]. ///
- 6 /// rtha[m] sthitas [tvam k]. .. m a[sthi]to ham\* bhagavāmn āha [ | ] + ///

#### Recto

- 1: restore to abhina(nd)yānumodya utthāyāsan(āt p)r(akrāntah) or (p)r(akrāntāḥ), one of the possible formulas at the end of a sūtra or of a passage within a sūtra. For the different ending in the Chinese SĀ (the monks rejoicing in the word of the Buddha: T 99, 280 c 16), cf. above.
- 2: restore to (magadheṣu or māgadhakeṣu janapa)deṣu caryāṃ caran yena dhavajākāvanaṣaṇḍa<ṃ> taṃ mārgaṃ (p)r(atipannaḥ), cf. T 99, 280c 19 and T 100, 378b 17. The restoration of the location is based on T 100 (mojietuo); T 99 has yangquduolo. For the Sanskrit forms of the name see, e.g., SHT VI 1415 v2 bhagavāṃ [maga]dheṣu viharati and 1381 folio 175 r3, vl māgadhako gopālakaḥ. According to the Pāli the Buddha stays in Sāvatthī.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Round brackets () signify restoration in a gap, square brackets [] damaged *akṣaras*, pointed brackets <> the omission of (part of) an *akṣara*; a cross + denotes a destroyed *akṣara*, two dots .. denote an illegible *akṣara*, one dot an illegible part of an *akṣara*; O stands for the punch hole, /// for the breaking off of the fragment, and \* denotes the *virāma*.

- For dhavajākāvanaṣaṇḍa compare the similar jalūkāvanaṣaṇḍa in MPS 26.3. In T 99 the name is transcribed (tuoposhelijia), but in T 100 translated (taohe shulin, "Peach River Coppice").
- 3: restore probably to (adrākṣuḥ) . . . pra(tipannam) "People making their living in out-of-the-way places saw the Lord wandering along the way", cf. T 99, 280c 20-21 and MN II 98, 7-9 Addasāsum kho gopālakā pasupālakā kassakā padhāvino Bhagavantam yena coro Angulimālo ten' addhānamaggam paṭipannam.
- 4: restore to (ya)tra, "where the brigand dwelled"? The exact reference of this sentence remains unclear, but apparently it belongs to the words of the cowherds etc. At the end of the line, restore to vihethayi(ṣyati), "May he not harm you!" There is no verbal correspondence in either the Chinese SĀ (cf. T 99, 280 c 22) or in the Pāli text.
- 5: read and restore to (pu)nar? Or should one, on the contrary, read t[r]r? Cf. T 99, 280 c 23 and MN II 98,23-24 Tatiyam pi kho gopālakā pasupālakā kassakā padhāvino Bhagavantam etad avocum. Moreover, paśupālakaḥ is to be corrected to °pālakāḥ. Restore to pūrvava(d yāvat); apparently the formula which precedes utpathājīvā manuṣyāḥ in r3 is repeated, cf. T 99, 280 c 19-20.
- 6: asi shows that Aṅgulimāla has been introduced, cf. T 99, 280 c 24 and MN II 99,5-6 Atha kho coro Aṅgulimālo asicammaṃ gahetvā dhanukalāpaṃ sannayhitvā. The line is probably to be restored to (adrākṣīd aṅgulimālas coro bhagavantaṃ mārgaṃ pratipannaṃ dṛṣṭvā) ca punar asiñ ca khe(ṭa)kaṃ and a form of grah, "the brigand Aṅgulimāla saw the Lord wandering along the way and, having seen [him], took sword and shield"; cf. also T 99 ("with his hand he seized sword and shield").

### Verso

1: the Buddha notices that Angulimāla is following him. At the beginning, the line is probably to be restored to (adrākṣīd bhagavān angulimālam coram anvāgaccham)tam or (... dhāvam)tam (for the latter, cf. v3), "The Lord saw the brigand

- Angulimāla coming after him and, having seen [him], he considered". The beginning of his reflections on making use of his supernatural faculties is preserved in SHT 1 160 c r1; there one has to restore to (yan)[v a]ham tad[rū](pān rddhyabhisaṃskārān abhisaṃskuryāṃ yathā ...) "I should now accomplish such a performance of supernatural power that ...", cf. SWTF s.v. rddhy-abhisaṃskāra. The Chinese version is shorter (cf. T 99, 280 c 25), and the Pāli text shows no verbal correspondence (MN II 99, 7-8).
- 2: apparently Angulimāla talks to himself: "I should be able to follow the [ascetic] who is walking at a normal pace". In the Pāli, a sentence with partially similar wording appears in a later place atha ca panāhaṃ imaṃ samaṇaṃ pakatiyā gacchantaṃ sabbatthāmena gacchanto na sakkomi sampāpuṇitun iti, MN II 99,15-16. The reading in SHT I 160 c r2 has to be corrected to (gac)[ch]antam, cf. the facsimile in SHT II, plate 31.
- 3: ///rvajanena obviously corresponds to MN II 99,9 sabbatthāmena, but remains unintelligible in this form. Read (sa)rvajavena, "with all speed", cf. kuai in T 99, 280 c 26? For the whole line cf. MN II 99,8-10 (as quoted for v2, but now in the 3rd person). The line overlaps with SHT 1 160 c r3.
- 4: restore to (athāṅgulimālaś coro bhaga)vaṃtam ..., "Then the brigand Aṅgulimāla said to the Lord: 'Stay! Stay, ascetic!'", see T 99, 280c26 and MN II 99,16-17 Thito Bhagavantaṃ etad avoca: Tiṭṭha, samaṇa; tiṭṭha, samaṇāti', see also SHT I 160 c r4. Cf., moreover, the corresponding version of the story in the Sūtra of the Wise and the Foolish, TT no. 1008, vol. 40, 106.2.3-4 = mdo hu, 260r3-4 saṅs rgyas kyis de ltar 'oṅs pa gzigs nas | źabs kyis dal gyis gśegs kyaṅ sor phreṅ can mthu stobs gtugs te | brgyugs kyaṅ ma slebs nas | rgyaṅ ma nas dge sloṅ khyod cuṅ zad cig sdod cig ces bos so || bcom ldan 'das kyis kyaṅ rgyaṅ ma nas | ṅa ni rtag tu sdod na | khyod ñid mi sdod do źes bka' stsal pa daṅ etc.
- 5: restore with SHT I 160 c r5 to (athān)gulimālas, cf. T 99, 280 c 28 and MN II 99,23 -24 Atha kho coro Angulimālo Bhagavantam gāthāya ajjhabhāsi. Furthermore, MN II 99, 25

- Gaccham vadesi suggests the restoration to a form of gam at the end of the line.
- 6: restore to k(atha)m, cf. MN II 99, 27-28 Pucchāmi taṃ, samaṇa, etam atthaṃ: Kathaṃ thito tvaṃ, aham aṭṭhito 'mhi? It would be tempting to use SHT 1 160 c r6 ///[s]e tvaṃ śramaṇaitam a/// for a restoration (tvaṃ śramaṇaitam a)rthaṃ, but this is impossible for metrical reasons. The metre is probably Indravajra, which would become defective by such a restoration. Is it possible that another katham has been omitted and that one should therefore restore to  $(-- \cup tvaṃ śramaṇaitam a)rthaṃ < kathaṃ > sthitas tvaṃ k(atha)m asthito 'ham, "Tell me, ascetic, about this matter: How is it that you are standing still, how is it that I am not standing still"?$

Finally, the first  $ak\bar{s}ara$  in SHT 160 cr6 is rather to be read as ///[m]e, and such a reading suggests  $br\bar{u}hi$  me or the like as a possible restoration. This is indirectly confirmed by T 99, 281 a2, where a verb is used meaning "to speak" and not "to ask" (as in the Pāli).

## Abbreviations

- MN = *Majjhima-Nikāya*, ed. V. TRENCKNER, ROBERT CHALMERS, 3 vols., London 1888-1899 (The Pāli Text Society).
- MPS = Das Mahāparinirvāṇasūtra, Teil I-III, ed. ERNST WALDSCHMIDT, Berlin 1950-1951 (Abhandlungen der Deutschen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin, 1949,1, 1950, 2-3).
- Schulzugehörigkeit = Zur Schulzugehörigkeit von Werken der Hīnayāna-Literatur (Symposien zur Buddhismusforschung, 111,1-2), ed. HEINZ BECHERT, vols. 1-2, Göttingen, 1985-1987 (Abhandlungen der Akademie der Wissenschaften in Göttingen, 149, 154).
- SHT = Sanskrithandschriften aus den Turfanfunden, Teil 1 -7, ed. ERNST WALDSCHMIDT, LORE SANDER, KLAUS WILLE, Wiesbaden 1965-1995 (Verzeichnis der orientalischen Handschriften in Deutschland, X, 1 -7).
- SWTF = Sanskrit-Wörterbuch der buddhistischen Texte aus den Turfan-Funden, begonnen von ERNST WALDSCHMIDT, hrsg.

- von Heinz Bechert, bearbeitet von Georg von Simson, Michael Schmidt, Jens-Uwe Hartmann, Siglinde Dietz, Bd. I, Göttingen, 1973-1994.
- T = *Taishō Shinshū Daizōkyō* or *Taishō Issaikyō*, 100 vols., Tokyo, 1924 ff.
- TT = *The Tibetan Tripiṭaka*, Peking Edition (repr.), ed. Daisetz T. SUZUKI, 168 vols., Tokyo, Kyoto, 1955-1961.