Improvement of histological biopsy diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma by genomic biomarkers?

Rolf Lamerz

Screening programmes have been developed for the surveillance of patients at risk of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC; mainly liver cirrhosis) for the detection at an early stage, ie, suspicious liver nodules not larger than 2 cm in diameter often detected by ultrasound. Treatment indication needs a clear diagnosis for which one option is a biopsy often hampered by a relevant rate of false negatives and by a risk of bleeding and malignant seeding. Therefore, for the diagnosis of HCC imaging criteria are preferred requiring contrast enhancement and dynamic imaging. The recent update of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD) guidelines in 2010 recommends for a nodule greater than 1 cm one single imaging technique to be used among CT and MRI, and in the case of non-diagnostic criteria the choice between a second technique or a biopsy.

Establishing HCC diagnosis at an ideal size of less than 2 cm relates to the transition from low to high grade dysplasia and very early HCC as described by the international working party classification and a final international diagnostic consensus. From two types of HCC less than 2 cm, early HCC has a vaguely nodular appearance, is well differentiated and corresponds to the very early HCC stage of the Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer classification, with the absence of microvascular invasion excluding a clear imaging profile for diagnosis. The progressed HCC has a distinctly nodular pattern, is more moderately differentiated and often with evidence of microvascular invasion. As the diagnostic sensitivity of biopsy may also be limited in very early HCC because of its composition by well differentiated hepatocytes, the additional use of genomic biomarkers has been introduced. According to a study for the diagnosis of early HCC, a 3-gen set obtained a discriminatory accuracy of 94% with glypican-3 (GPC3) of most predictive power.4 GPC3 is a cell-surface heparan sulphate proteoglycan secreted into plasma, its immunoreactivity is cytoplasmic, sometimes membranous or canalicular, seldom only focal.5 Another study6 identified heat-shock protein 70 (HSP70) as the most abundantly upregulated gene in early HCC components. HSP70 is implicated in the regulation of cell cycle progression, in apoptosis and in tumorigenesis. Its nucleocytoplasmic and mostly focal immunoreactivity is found in most HCC including early and well-differentiated forms.5 Another profiling HCC study7 identified one clone as the glutamine synthetase (GS) transcript expressed and tightly regulated during development in the hepatic lobule. In human HCC, an upregulation of GS messenger RNA, protein and activity was described as well as a stepwise increase in GS immunoreactivity from precancerous lesions to early HCC with strong and diffuse staining intensity.5

Di Tommaso et al8 performed a retrospective immunohistochemistry marker study of HSP70, GPC3 and GS aiming at the distinction of high-grade dysplasia from well-differentiated HCC in 18 to 19-gauge needle liver biopsy specimens comprising large regenerative nodules (13), low-grade (21) and high-grade dysplastic nodules (50), very well-differentiated (17), well-differentiated (40) and moderately poorly differentiated (35) HCC. Using at least two markers positive for the differentiation of HCC from non-malignant nodules, the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and accuracy rates were 58.7%, 100%, 100% and 78.4%, respectively, and for differentiating high-grade dysplastic nodules from very well-differentiated HCC, were still 49.1%, 100%, 100% and 72.9%, respectively.

In their paper published in Gut, Cai et al9 (see page 967) try to improve the immunohistological diagnosis of HCC in liver biopsies by implementing in addition to the biomarkers HSP70 and GPC3 the molecular marker enhancer of zeste homolog 2 (EZH2) known for its important role in hepatocellular carcinoma tumorigenesis. EZH2 is the histone H3 lysine 27 methyltransferase of polycomb-repressive complex 2 (PRC2), mediates transcription silencing, and has been shown to maintain cell identity, cell cycle regulation and oncogenesis.10 The authors investigated retrospectively by immunohistochemistry the expression dynamics of EZH2, HSP70 and GPC3 in two independent surgical testing (212 HCC) and validation (126 HCC, 37 dysplastic nodules) cohorts of HCC patients in order to evaluate their diagnostic yield for HCC detection. The criteria obtained there were then applied to the diagnostic performance of 18-gauge needle biopsies from 72 HCC and 57 different precancerous lesions from nodules less than 5 cm in diameter of an additional biopsy cohort with consecutively confirmed diagnosis from surgical specimens following operation. By immunohistochemistry analysis the sensitivity and specificity of EZH2 for HCC detection was 95.8% and 97.8% in the testing cohort, with lower results in the validation cohort (83.5%, 90.0%). For the diagnosis of well-differentiated HCC, these rates amounted to 68.9% and 91.5% (EZH2), 62.5% and 98.3% (HSP70), 50.0% and 92.1% (GPC3), and to 75.0% and 100.0% for the three marker panel, respectively.

In fine-needle biopsies, positive cases for at least one panel marker consecutively increased from large regenerative nodules (0/6) and hepatocellular adenomas (0/6) to focal nodular hyperplasias (2/20, 10%), dysplastic nodules (7/25, 28%), well-differentiated HCC (16/18, 88.9%) and moderately/poorly differentiated HCC (54/54, 100%). Considering at least two positive panel markers, positive cases were detected in none of the large regenerative nodules/hepatocellular adenomas, focal nodular hyperplasias and dysplastic nodules, but in 11/18 (61.1%) well-differentiated HCC, 52/57 (96.5%) moderately differentiated HCC and 15/17 (88.2%) poorly differentiated HCC. Accordingly, the relevant statistical parameters of sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value at a prevalence of 55.3%, accuracy and Youden index (J) values changed from
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97.2%, 84.2%, 88.6%, 96.0%, 91.5% and 0.81, respectively, for at least one marker positive to 80.6%, 100%, 100%, 80.5%, 89.2% and 0.81, respectively, for at least two positive markers. These findings qualify EZH2 protein as a promising diagnostic biomarker of HCC and the use of the three marker panel as a significant improvement of HCC detection in liver biopsy tissues.

Despite the intriguing study findings some limitations have to be considered: first, the study is of retrospective nature and is thus less reliable than a prospective study. Second, only patients with lesions confidently classified as HCC by biopsy and explant analysis were included in the study, while excluding cases without later operation.

Third, the evaluation criteria of biopsy specimens were derived from the surgically resected specimens of the testing and validation cohorts including a high proportion of large HCC lesions (60% >5 cm in diameter, 56% stages III and IV cases), which only afford a haematoxylin–eosin staining for confident histological HCC diagnosis. However, the authors have not specified the size of their surgically resected tumours (or non-tumours) for confirmation of biopsy diagnosis.

It is understood that well-established criteria for an unequivocal HCC diagnosis are also needed for the inclusion of genomic biomarkers and their final validation. Therefore, further prospective studies with a predominant inclusion of HCC lesions of less than 2 cm in diameter are needed for the final validation and confirmation of the conclusions of this interesting study.
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**Prebiotic carbohydrates: not sweet yet for Crohn’s disease?**

Philippe Marteau

The double-blind placebo controlled study performed by Benjamin et al1 published in *Gut* (see page 923) shows that the daily consumption of 15 g fructo-oligosaccharides (FOS) worsens the clinical signs of active Crohn’s disease. The message for the clinician and the patient is clear: one should avoid throwing the baby out with the bath water.

Descriptive studies of the microbiota and microenvironment in Crohn’s disease have repeatedly shown dysbiosis, which is probably partly a consequence of the ecological modifications induced by the disease but also a worsening factor.4 It is thus important to obtain knowledge on both the positive and detrimental consequences of manipulating the microbiota in the various clinical situations of the disease.5 FOS are non-digestible oligosaccharides, and as such exhibit an osmotic effect and increase fermentation (gas and short chain fatty acids and low pH at least in the right colon).6 Moreover, they usually have prebiotic properties, especially a bifidogenic effect (ie, they increase concentrations of bifidobacteria in the colonic lumen).6 These metabolic characteristics (osmotic effect and fermentation) explain their potential risks of increasing gas production, bloating, abdominal pain and diarrhoea. The osmotic effect depends on the chain length and the results obtained here with synergy1 may not apply to other FOS with a longer chain length. The bet for any trial is the hope that, at the chosen dose, efficacy would overweight those predictable dose-dependent side effects.

Clinicians must keep on advising patients with inflammatory bowel disease complaining of bloating or diarrhoea to restrict their consumption of non-digestible oligosaccharides (lactose in milk, sugar alcohols and FOS).7 They should explain diet and correct any confusing information (often coming from the internet) including advertisements for products without any clinical study. The probiotic *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG is an example in which optimistic open studies were followed by well-designed negative randomised controlled trials in patients.8 9

The study by Benjamin et al1 also brings important new facts to the researcher and raises new questions. Indeed, FOS did not exhibit a bifidogenic effect and this contrasts with trials in healthy subjects in whom FOS at this dose is usually well tolerated and has a bifidogenic effect.10 Why was that? Is it just because the endogenous levels of bifidobacteria were already quite high in the patients?1 9 A short colonic transit time may also play a role.
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