PSC: Protect and Serve with Colitis: does it help the liver to have severe ulcerative colitis?

Christian Rust, Stephan Brand

Primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC) is a rare chronic cholestatic disease of unknown aetiology affecting the biliary tree. It is characterised by bile duct inflammation and fibrosis, and in many patients it progresses to biliary cirrhosis and hepatic failure necessitating liver transplantation. A unique feature of PSC is its strong association with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). In fact, at least 70% of patients with PSC also suffer from IBD, most commonly ulcerative colitis (UC). Conversely, only 2.5-7.5% of patients with IBD will develop PSC. Although this association has been known since 1965,¹ the underlying pathophysiology is still unknown. Despite this lack of fundamental information, hepatologists in clinical day-to-day business often note that patients with PSC are seldom troubled by severe UC. Are these just individual observations or does a common scheme exist?

Surprisingly, there are only a few studies addressing this issue. Patients with PSC with IBD seem to have a higher prevalence of pancolitis with rectal sparing and back-wash ileitis.² In this study, a lower rate of colectomies was noted in patients with PSC/UC as compared with patients with UC, suggesting a milder course of colitis in the PSC/UC group. Indeed, UC may have a long subclinical course in patients with PSC, as shown in a small Swedish cohort.³ Two case-control studies also suggest that UC runs a milder course in patients with PSC than in those without this liver disease.^{4 5} However, a possible association between the severity of PSC and the severity of UC has not been studied so far.

In their paper published in this issue of Gut, Marelli and co-workers (see page 1224) fill this gap with a single-centre

study following 96 patients with PSC/UC over a long median follow-up period of 12 years.⁶ These patients were divided into two groups according to PSC disease severity. This distinction was made using the need for liver transplantation (LT) during the follow-up period as a single, robust discriminatory parameter. Patients requiring LT (indicative of a clinically progressive PSC) had significantly less UC activity than those without LT. Moreover, the LT group needed fewer steroids or azathioprine for UC and had histologically significantly milder disease. Of note, none of the LT patients developed high grade dysplasia or colorectal cancer compared with 15% in the group without LT, although a bias might exist since the patients in the LT group were significantly younger. Thus, an inverse relationship between PSC and UC was revealed: mild UC associated with more severe PSC and. conversely, active UC associated with less progressive PSC. How can these interesting results be explained?

Again, little is known to enable this question to be answered. It would be interesting to know if IBD activity changes after the diseased liver is removed by LT. According to the data of Marelli et al, UC activity should worsen, although the situation after LT is more complex. Several studies showed more exacerbations of IBD after LT despite immunosuppressive maintenance treatment which has a beneficial effect on UC. This trend was also confirmed in the most recent study addressing this problem.⁷ Fifty-nine patients with PSC were followed for up to 68 months after LT, and the course of IBD either remained stable or worsened. Interestingly, 29% of patients without a pretransplant diagnosis of IBD developed de novo disease after LT, which is also consistent with the results of Marelli et al.⁶

The underlying mechanisms cannot be explained satisfactorily at the moment, but some speculations are possible. Immune mechanisms play an important role in the pathogenesis of both PSC and UC. A number of associations have been made with HLA (human leucocyte antigen) haplotypes as well as non-HLA genes in patients with PSC, supporting an autoreactive component in PSC pathogenesis.⁸ So far, only five of 47 established UC risk loci have also been replicated in PSC, suggesting a limited genetic overlap between both diseases.^{9–12} Among these shared risk loci, *IL2/IL21, MST1* and *CARD9* represent interesting candidate genes for PSC and UC.

Interleukin 2 (IL-2) and IL-21 are important mediators of T cell development and seem to have opposing functions in immunity, with IL-21 predominantly mediating T helper 17 (Th17) cell-induced autoimmunity, while IL-2 has protective functions. For example, Il2ra-/- mice spontaneously develop both intestinal and biliary inflammation.¹³ Defective IL-2 expression has also been shown in liverderived T cells from patients with PSC.¹⁴ Given the overlapping expression of endothelial adhesion molecules and tissuespecific chemokines between the liver and the intestine,¹⁵ Marelli et al suggest that severe PSC with decreased liver function may also depress T cell function and therefore prevent Tcell-mediated intestinal inflammation.6

Given the limited shared genetic background of PSC and UC, other factors such as bacterial antigens have been suggested to contribute to the pathogenesis of these diseases. Many pathogens affect the intestine as well as the liver (and the biliary tract). It has been hypothesised that colonic bacteria enter the portal circulation through a leaky mucosa in IBD, thereby causing PSC.¹⁶ Bacterial antigens may act as molecular mimics in genetically susceptible people and cause an immune reaction responsible for initiating PSC. However, this does not explain why there are fewer patients with PSC with Crohn's colitis, which is characterised by transmural inflammation, as compared with UC in which inflammation is limited mostly to the mucosa. There is ample evidence since the 1970s that cell-mediated and humoral immunity is impaired in patients with liver cirrhosis.¹⁷ So maybe it is the other way around: in PSC-associated liver cirrhosis the immunological attack against the colonic mucosa responsible for UC is blunted, resulting in less active colonic disease. In line with this hypothesis, re-establishment of the liverassociated immune system by LT could lead to more active UC despite the use of immune-suppressive drugs as has been shown.7

Department of Medicine II, Klinikum Grosshadern, Ludwig-Maximilians-University, Munich, Germany

Correspondence to Dr Christian Rust, Department of Medicine II, Klinikum Grosshadern, Ludwig-Maximilians-University, Marchioninistrasse 15, D-81377 Munich, Germany; christian.rust@med.uni-muenchen.de

Commentary

In conclusion, the paper by Marelli and co-workers provides important clinical insight into the interaction of PSC and UC. Since this was a single-centre study, it is worthwhile for these findings to be reproduced by others to exclude a potential bias. If reproduced, future research should be directed to unravel the underlying mechanisms, which should help to understand not only the interaction between UC and PSC but also the still enigmatic pathophysiology of PSC itself.

Competing interests None.

Provenance and peer review Commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

Published Online First 11 May 2011

Gut 2011;60:1165-1166. doi:10.1136/gut.2011.240309

REFERENCES

- Smith MP, Loe RH. Sclerosing cholangitis; review of recent case reports and associated diseases and four new cases. Am J Surg 1965;110:239–46.
- Loftus EV Jr, Harewood GC, Loftus CG, et al. PSC-IBD: a unique form of inflammatory bowel disease associated with primary sclerosing cholangitis. Gut 2005;54:91-6.

- Broome U, Lofberg R, Lundqvist K, et al. Subclinical time span of inflammatory bowel disease in patients with primary sclerosing cholangitis. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1995;38:1301-5.
- Lundqvist K, Broome U. Differences in colonic disease activity in patients with ulcerative colitis with and without primary sclerosing cholangitis: a case control study. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1997;40:451-6.
- Sokol H, Cosnes J, Chazouilleres O, et al. Disease activity and cancer risk in inflammatory bowel disease associated with primary sclerosing cholangitis. World J Gastroenterol 2008;14:3497–503.
- Marelli L, Xirouchakis E, Kalambokis G, et al. Does the severity of primary sclerosing cholangitis influence the clinical course of associated ulcerative colitis? Gut 2011;60:1224–8.
- Moncrief KJ, Savu A, Ma MM, et al. The natural history of inflammatory bowel disease and primary sclerosing cholangitis after liver transplantation—a single-centre experience. Can J Gastroenterol 2010;24:40–6.
- Melum E, Franke A, Schramm C, et al. Genome-wide association analysis in primary sclerosing cholangitis identifies two non-HLA susceptibility loci. Nat Genet 2011;43:17–19.
- Karlsen TH, Franke A, Melum E, *et al.* Genome-wide association analysis in primary sclerosing cholangitis. *Gastroenterology* 2010;138:1102–11.
- Anderson CA, Boucher G, Lees CW, et al. Meta-analysis identifies 29 additional ulcerative colitis

risk loci, increasing the number of confirmed associations to 47. Nat Genet 2011;43:246-52.

- Janse M, Lamberts LE, Franke L, et al. Three ulcerative colitis susceptibility loci are associated with primary sclerosing cholangitis and indicate a role for IL2, REL and CARD9. *Hepatology* 2011:53:1977–85.
- Stallhofer J, Denk GU, Glas J, et al. Analysis of IL2/IL21 gene variants in cholestatic liver diseases reveals an association with primary sclerosing cholangitis. *Digestion* 2011;84:29–35.
- Hsu W, Zhang W, Tsuneyama K, et al. Differential mechanisms in the pathogenesis of autoimmune cholangitis versus inflammatory bowel disease in interleukin-2Ralpha(-/-) mice. *Hepatology* 2009;49:133-40.
- Bo X, Broome U, Remberger M, et al. Tumour necrosis factor alpha impairs function of liver derived T lymphocytes and natural killer cells in patients with primary sclerosing cholangitis. Gut 2001;49:131–41.
- Eksteen B, Grant AJ, Miles A, et al. Hepatic endothelial CCL25 mediates the recruitment of CCR9+ gut-homing lymphocytes to the liver in primary sclerosing cholangitis. J Exp Med 2004;200:1511–17.
- O'Mahony CA, Vierling JM. Etiopathogenesis of primary sclerosing cholangitis. *Semin Liver Dis* 2006;26:3–21.
- Berenyi MR, Straus B, Cruz D. In vitro and in vivo studies of cellular immunity in alcoholic cirrhosis. *Am J Dig Dis* 1974;19:199–205.

Benefits of bariatric surgery: an issue of microbial—host metabolism interactions?

Patrice D Cani, Nathalie M Delzenne

Obesity and type 2 diabetes are becoming worldwide epidemics. In this regard, the literature provides evidence that low-grade inflammation contributes to the onset of the metabolic disorders associated with overweight and obesity (insulin resistance, type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular diseases).¹ A considerable number of factors have been associated with the development of obesity, including the gut microbiota. The novel concept, that we defined as 'MicrObesity' (Microbes and Obesity), is devoted to delineating the impact of dysbiosis (changes in gut microbiota composition and/or activity) and its implications on host metabolism and energy storage.² Although the exact composition of the gut microbiota is not known, advances in metagenomic and metabonomic technologies have recently begun to unravel our microbial partners including the symbiotic complexity of the host—gut microbiota interactions, reflected by a specific chemical signature in the different biofluids.³

Over the last years, bariatric surgical approaches (eg, Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB) surgery) have provided interesting results, not only in achieving and maintaining appropriate weight loss, but most importantly by the resolution of type 2 diabetes independently of weight changes.⁴ Although the modulation of gut peptides (glucagon-like peptide-1 and peptide YY (PYY)) has been shown to contribute to the improvement of diabetes and appetite sensations, these specific modifications do not explain per se all the metabolic changes associated with these surgical interventions.

In their paper published in this issue of Gut (see page 1214), Li et al have characterised the metabolic impact of RYGB in rats, through a ¹H nuclear magnetic resonance (¹H NMR) spectroscopy-based metabonomic approach, in combination with culture-independent technology (454 pyrosequencing) to characterise the gut microbiota.⁵ Among the key findings, the authors have demonstrated an important increase in Proteobacteria (52-fold) and a fall in levels of Firmicutes (4.5-fold). Although the increase in Proteobacteria (γ-proteobacteria) has been reported previously in obese human subjects undergoing RYGB surgery,⁶ this work further demonstrates that the main contributor to this change was Enterobacter hormaechei which increased by \sim 200- and 43-fold at weeks 2 and 8 post-RYGB surgery. Importantly, the study of Li et al was performed in non-obese rats. One would expect to find major differences between the lean and obese situation regarding the metabolic and the gut microbiota profiles following RYGB surgical intervention. However, a similar pattern (increase in Proteobacteria) was observed in the study of Zhang et al, performed in obese human subjects, and the experimental study of Liu et al performed in non-obese

Université catholique de Louvain, Louvain Drug Research Institute, Metabolism and Nutrition research group, Brussels, Belgium

Correspondence to Professor Patrice D Cani, Université catholique de Louvain, Louvain Drug Research Institute, Metabolism and Nutrition research group, Av. E. Mounier, 73 box B1.73.11, B-1200 Brussels, Belgium; patrice.cani@uclouvain.be



PSC: *P*rotect and Serve with Colitis: does it help the liver to have severe ulcerative colitis?

Christian Rust and Stephan Brand

Gut 2011 60: 1165-1166 originally published online May 11, 2011 doi: 10.1136/gut.2011.240309

Updated information and services can be found at: http://gut.bmj.com/content/60/9/1165

Т	hese	incl	lud	le:
•	1000		uu	۰.

References	This article cites 17 articles, 4 of which you can access for free at: http://gut.bmj.com/content/60/9/1165#BIBL
Email alerting service	Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Notes

To request permissions go to: http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to: http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to: http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/