Dalton Transactions



PAPER View Article Online
View Journal | View Issue

Cite this: *Dalton Trans.*, 2014, **43**, 10033

Low temperature synthesis of ionic phosphates in dimethyl sulfoxide†

Martin Mangstl,^a Vinicius R. Celinski,^a Sebastian Johansson,^a Johannes Weber,^a Feng An^b and Jörn Schmedt auf der Günne*^a

A new synthesis route for phosphates in an organic solvent at low temperatures is presented. The synthesis was done by dispersing a nitrate salt and phosphorus pentoxide in dimethyl sulfoxide. The synthesis can be performed under water-free conditions and yielded several organic and inorganic phosphates. Crystal structure solution of bistetramethylammonium hydrogencyclotriphosphate, [N(CH₃)₄]₂HP₃O₉, was achieved by combining information gained from powder X-ray diffraction, liquid NMR and solid state (2D) NMR. The molecular structure of rubidium cyclotetraphosphate, Rb₄P₄O₁₂, was determined using liquid state NMR and solid state (2D) NMR spectroscopy.

Received 20th February 2014, Accepted 9th May 2014 DOI: 10.1039/c4dt00544a

www.rsc.org/dalton

Introduction

Phosphates are commonly used as flame retardant additives, ^{1–3} heterogeneous catalysts in organic synthesis, ⁴ non-linear optic materials, ⁵ luminescent materials, ^{6–8} cathode materials for rechargeable batteries ^{9–11} and ion conductors. ^{12–15} The targeted materials often require anhydrous experimental conditions to ensure water exclusion from synthesis.

There are several synthesis routes for obtaining phosphates as reported by Durif. The most common routes in aqueous solution are the Boullé's process, 17 ion-exchange techniques, 18 crystallization in $\rm H_2O^{19}$ and gel diffusion techniques. 20 Functional materials based on nanoscale phosphates can be prepared $\it via$ polyol-mediated synthesis. 21,22 The most usual synthesis routes at high temperatures are hydrothermal syntheses, 23,24 flux methods 25 and solid state reactions (calcination). Thermal methods can often be supported by mechanochemical activation. $^{27-29}$ To the best of our knowledge no synthesis routes for ionic phosphates are known which combine low temperature, non-aqueous solutions and $\rm P_4O_{10}$ as a starting material.

The presented synthesis is based on the idea that the nitrate salt $M(NO_3)_x$ (M^{x+} being an organic or inorganic cation, x is 1 or 2 for mono- or divalent cations, respectively) can be thought of as the source for " M_xO " which subsequently reacts with P_4O_{10} in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). This hypothesis is

corroborated by the observation of brown gases (nitrogen oxides) and the finding that polyphosphates are produced (*vide infra*).

Formally, the total reaction can be described by the following equation:

$$4/x\, M(NO_3)_x + \,\, P_4O_{10} \stackrel{\Delta,DMSO}{\longrightarrow} M_{4/x}P_4O_{12} + 4NO_2 \,\, + O_2$$

In this contribution we provide evidence for the feasibility of this approach by characterization of reaction products of different nitrates with a combination of NMR and diffraction techniques.

Experimental

All solid educts were stored inside a glove box (MBraun, Garching, Germany) filled with dry argon. Every synthesis step was done under argon atmosphere using air-free techniques. In general we used for the described synthesis approach temperatures spanning a range from 58 °C to 135 °C and reaction times from 12 to 72 hours. An explanation for the long reactions times are the small solvent products of reagents and products in DMSO.

For synthesis of bistetramethylammonium (TMA) hydrogencyclotriphosphate 2.5 mmol (354.8 mg) phosphorus pentoxide (Riedel de Haën, 99%) and 5.0 mmol (680.8 mg) TMA nitrate (Alfa Aesar, 98%) were mixed. Subsequently 10 mL dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, Sigma Aldrich, anhydrous, >99.9%) was added dropwise under ice cooling. Cooling helps to reduce the product spectrum. We observed a wider product spectrum without cooling possibly due to decomposition reactions of DMSO. After reaching room temperature the suspension was heated to 58 °C for twelve hours. The obtained product was

^aInorganic Materials Chemistry, University of Siegen, Adolf Reichwein-Straße 2, D-57068 Siegen, Germany. E-mail: schmedt_auf_der_guenne@chemie.uni-siegen.de ^bDepartment of Chemistry, University of Munich, Butenandtstraße 5-13, D-81377 Munich. Germany

 $[\]dagger\, Electronic$ supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c4dt00544a

Dalton Transactions Paper

precipitated and washed five times with acetonitrile (Sigma Aldrich, 99.9%). A colourless phase pure powder was obtained.

For synthesis of rubidium cyclotetraphosphate 0.58 mmol (165.0 mg) phosphorus pentoxide (Riedel de Haën, 99%) and 2.32 mmol (342.1 mg) rubidium nitrate (Alfa Aesar, 99%) were mixed. Subsequently 2.5 mL dimethyl sulfoxide (Sigma Aldrich, anhydrous, >99.9%) was added dropwise under ice cooling. After reaching room temperature the suspension was heated to 135 °C for 72 hours. The obtained product was washed three times with acetonitrile (Sigma Aldrich, 99.9%). A colourless powder was obtained.

Solid-state NMR spectroscopy

For all measurements the ¹H resonance of 1% Si(CH₃)₄ in $CDCl_3$ served as an external secondary reference using the Ξ values for ¹³C, ¹⁵N and ³¹P as reported by the IUPAC. ³⁰

The ¹H and ³¹P solid-state NMR spectra were measured on a Bruker Avance II-200 spectrometer operating at the frequencies of 200.18 and 81.03 MHz, respectively (magnetic field strength $B_0 = 4.7$ T). Magic angle sample spinning (MAS) was carried out with a commercial 2.5 mm double resonance MAS probe.

The ³¹P{¹H} MAS spectrum of (TMA)₂HP₃O₉ was obtained at a sample spinning frequency of 6 kHz with a repetition delay of 128 s. Proton decoupling was implemented using continuous wave (CW) decoupling with a nutation frequency of 100 kHz. The ³¹P-³¹P 2D double-quantum (DQ) singlequantum (SQ) correlation MAS-NMR spectrum was obtained at a sample spinning frequency of 6 kHz with a repetition delay of 20 s using a transient adapted PostC7 sequence^{31,32} with a conversion period of 1.3 ms and rotor-synchronized data sampling of the indirect dimension. It accumulated 64 transients/FID. Proton decoupling was implemented using CW decoupling with a nutation frequency of 120 kHz.

Furthermore ¹H, ¹³C, ¹⁵N and ³¹P solid-state MAS NMR spectra were recorded at ambient temperature on a Bruker Avance III spectrometer with an 11.7 T magnet, operating at the frequencies of 500.25, 125.79, 50.71 and 202.51 MHz, respectively. For 1H and 31P measurements magic angle sample spinning was carried out with a commercial 2.5 mm and for 13C and 15N with a commercial 4 mm double resonance MAS probe. The ³¹P{¹H} MAS spectrum of Rb₄P₄O₁₂ was obtained at a sample spinning frequency of 25 kHz with a repetition delay of 1200 s. Proton decoupling was implemented using CW decoupling with a nutation frequency of 100 kHz. The ¹H spectrum was gained with a spin echo experiment at a sample spinning frequency of 25 kHz and with a repetition delay of 8 s. Moreover the 15N{1H} spectrum based on ramped cross-polarization33 (CP) with magic angle spinning was obtained at a sample spinning frequency of 5 kHz with a recycle delay of 8 s. The ¹³C{¹H} MAS spectra based on ramped CP was obtained at a sample spinning frequency of 5 kHz with a recycle delay of 8 s. In both cases proton decoupling was achieved using TPPM decoupling with a nutation frequency of 22 kHz. 2D ³¹P-¹H-heteronuclear-correlation MAS NMR spectra were obtained with a 2D correlation experiment based

on the PRESTO-II pulse sequence³⁴ as described in ref. 35. Proton decoupling was implemented using TPPM decoupling with a nutation frequency of 115 kHz. The nutation frequency for the R18⁵₂ recoupling sequence used a ¹H nutation frequency of 112.5 kHz for the R-elements which consisted of simple π -pulses. All other hard pulses used on both channels were implemented with a nutation frequency of 100 kHz. Both experiments were performed at 25 kHz sample rotation frequency and accumulated 8 transients/FID. Coherence transfer pathway selection was achieved with an 8 step phase-cycle. For (TMA)₂HP₃O₉ and Rb₄P₄O₁₂ we used a recycle delay of 6 and 32 s, respectively. The ³¹P-³¹P 2D double-quantum (DQ) singlequantum (SQ) correlation MAS-NMR spectrum of Rb₄P₄O₁₂ was obtained at a sample spinning frequency of 20 kHz with a repetition delay of 49 s using a transient adapted PostC7 sequence^{31,32} with a conversion period of 1.2 ms and rotorsynchronized data sampling of the indirect dimension. It accumulated 16 transients/FID. We used rotor synchronized t_1 increments for all 2D experiments and acquired data according to the States method.³⁶ The ³¹P{¹H} C-REDOR experiment using the POST C-element^{37,38} was obtained at a sample spinning frequency of 25 kHz with a repetition delay of 32 s and accumulated 16 transients/FID. Coherence transfer pathway selection was achieved with a 16 step phase-cycle.

Powder X-ray diffraction

The powder X-ray diffraction pattern of (TMA)₂HP₃O₉ was recorded at 298 K on a STOE Stadi P powder diffractometer (STOE, Darmstadt, Germany) in Debye-Scherrer geometry (capillary inner diameter: 0.48 mm) by using Ge(111)-monochromated CuKa1 radiation (154.0596 pm) and a positionsensitive detector. Extraction of the peak positions and pattern indexing and Rietveld refinement were carried out by using the TOPAS package.³⁹ Indexing by using the SVD method yielded an orthorhombic unit cell with parameters a = 10.506, b = 10.986 and c = 30.339 Å.

Structure solution was done with parallel tempering by using the FOX40 program. The molecules were restrained in different ways: cyclic phosphate units with the flexibility model "automatic from restraints, strict" and TMA units with the flexibility model "rigid bodies". The molecules chosen reflect the prior knowledge due to the NMR experiments. Rietveld refinement of the final structure model was realized by applying the fundamental parameter approach implemented in TOPAS (direct convolution of source emission profiles, axial instrument contributions, crystallite size and micro-strain effects). 41 For the TMA cation the bond lengths 42 of C-H were constrained to 0.96 Å and N-C-H angles to 108.4° (average value of a TMA salt via neutron diffraction analysis given in ref. 43). The position of H97 was constrained to the center of the straight line between O7 and O9' from a neighbouring cyclotriphosphate unit. This is consistent with the presence of a strong (linear) hydrogen bond. 44 The crystallographic data and further details of the data collection are given in Table 2. The positional and displacement parameters are shown in Table 3. The experimental powder diffraction pattern, the

Dalton Transactions Paper




Fig. 1 Observed (black line) powder diffraction pattern of (TMA)₂HP₃O₉ $(Cu_{K\alpha 1}, 154.06 \text{ pm})$ as well as the difference profile (blue line) of the Rietveld refinement. Peak positions are marked by vertical red lines.

difference profile of Rietveld refinement and peak positions are shown in Fig. 1.

Results and discussion

All products that we were able to trace by NMR so far, are related to P₄O₁₀ by selectively breaking P-O-P bonds, thus only mono-, di-, tri, cyclotri- and tetraphosphate were present but no higher polyphosphates. If H2O is used as reagent, different hydrogenphosphates can be synthesized, for example (TMA)₂HP₃O₉ (see below). We note in passing that the mixture of the solvent dimethyl sulfoxide and P4O10 is known in organic chemistry as "Onodera reagent" as a soft reagent for oxidizing alcohols which involves the formation of esters. 45 In this contribution we analyzed the products starting from TMA nitrate and rubidium nitrate following the described recipe which proves the formation of different polyphosphates.

Bistetramethylammonium hydrogencyclotriphosphate

To unambiguously identify the structure of (TMA)₂HP₃O₉ we characterized it by X-ray diffraction and NMR spectroscopy. The X-ray diffraction data were recorded from a powdered sample in a sealed glass capillary because suitable single crystals could not be obtained, despite several tries under different conditions. The structure solution had to respect constraints obtained from 1D and 2D NMR experiments, namely a limitation to three crystallographic orbits for the P atoms within the same cyclotriphosphate group (see NMR section below) which allow the definition of an asymmetric unit made of molecular units. This turns the structure solution into a simple task, despite the likely positional disorder in the tetramethylammonium ions. After indexing and a LeBail fit, all of the likely space groups are subjected to a "multiple world simulation" within the FOX40 program. P atoms on special positions are not to be expected because of 3 crystallographic P atoms in a single cyclotriphosphate anion evident through

³¹P 2D NMR spectroscopy (see below). Repeatedly, the same solution in the space group Pcab was found after parallel tempering of the 7 best space groups. The second best solution (Pca21) has an about 8 times bigger cost function than the solution in Pcab. The solution is in full agreement with the observed ³¹P, ¹H, ¹³C and ¹⁵N NMR spectra.

All observed reflections were indexed on the basis of orthorhombic unit cell parameters a = 10.5057, b = 10.9861, c =30.3397 Å and according to that (TMA)₂HP₃O₉ turned out to be the only crystalline phase. A Rietveld refinement was performed in space group *Pcab* with a structure model that contained three phosphorus, nine oxygen, two nitrogen and eight carbon atoms in the asymmetric unit. Due to the low scattering power of hydrogen its positions are difficult to determine by X-ray diffraction. Therefore the hydrogen positions were constrained based on neutron diffraction analysis data of a TMA salt. Additional information about the hydrogen atoms are presented in the NMR section.

For the NMR study (TMA)₂HP₃O₉ was completely dissolved in D₂O to measure a ³¹P liquid NMR spectrum, where a single signal with a chemical shift of -20.91 ppm can be observed. This is in agreement with the spectrum of a cyclotriphosphate.46

The quantitative ¹H MAS NMR spectrum (Fig. 2) features a peak at 3.1 ppm that can be assigned to the TMA and a peak at 15.3 ppm that is typical for a strong hydrogen bond⁴⁷ between oxygen atoms of cyclotriphosphate units. The signal intensity ratio of 24:1 is in agreement with the chemical formula (TMA)₂HP₃O₉ determined from X-ray diffraction.

The ³¹P{¹H} MAS NMR spectrum of (TMA)₂HP₃O₉ (Fig. 3) displays signals of three different crystallographic orbits of phosphorus atoms at -20.7, -24.5 and -26.5 ppm. Note that no signal is visible at $\delta = -45.9$ ppm, which indicates that P₄O₁₀ reacts quantitatively.

The homonuclear ³¹P MAS single-quantum (SQ) doublequantum (DQ) correlation spectrum (Fig. 4) proves that these three signals belong to one crystalline phase due to the correlation peaks between them. Furthermore this correlation pattern is consistent with that of a cyclotriphosphate.

The heteronuclear 2D ³¹P{¹H} MAS correlation spectrum of (TMA)₂HP₃O₉ (Fig. 5) indicates spatial proximity between phosphorus and hydrogen atoms. A correlation peak can only be




Fig. 2 ¹H MAS NMR spectrum of (TMA)₂HP₃O₉ measured at a sample spinning frequency of 25 kHz. The peak at 3.1 ppm can be assigned to the TMA cation and the peak at 15.3 ppm is typical for a strong hydrogen

Paper

-5 -10 -15 -20 -25 -30 -35 -40 -45 δ/ppm

Fig. 3 Isotropic signals in a ^{31}P MAS NMR spectrum of $(TMA)_2HP_3O_9$ obtained at a sample spinning frequency of 6 kHz. The spectrum shows three signals corresponding to three different crystallographic orbits of phosphorus atoms.




Fig. 4 Homonuclear $^{31}P-^{31}P$ MAS NMR single-quantum double-quantum correlation spectrum of (TMA) $_2$ HP $_3$ O $_9$ obtained at a sample spinning frequency of 6 kHz. The 1D projection at the top of the 2D spectrum stems from a separate one-pulse experiment. Correlation peaks are shown via contour plots. The diagonal line refers to the hypothetic peak position of two isochronous spins (autocorrelation diagonal). 31,32

observed in the case of close $^{31}P^{-1}H$ vicinity. As there are correlation peaks between the ^{1}H signal at 3.1 ppm and all three ^{31}P signals we conclude that every phosphorus site of the cyclotriphosphate is close to a TMA molecule. In contrast the ^{1}H signal at 15.3 ppm correlates only with the two ^{31}P peaks at -24.5 and -26.5 ppm. This denotes that these two phosphorus sites are closer to the strong hydrogen bond than the third one. A higher correlation signal intensity can be observed for the peak at -24.5 ppm than for the one at -26.5 ppm, which indicates that the hydrogen atom in the H-bond is located closer to the P atom with the chemical shift of -24.5 ppm. Thereby the nearest P-H distances are: P1-H97 = 2.3767, P1-H232 = 3.1455, P2-H142 = 2.9717, P2-H131 = 3.2154, P2-H111 = 3.2513, P2-H122 = 3.3473, P3-H97 = 2.5364, P3-H242 = 3.3110, P3-H111 = 3.3656 Å.

The crystal structure of (TMA)₂HP₃O₉ (Fig. 6) can be described by a chainlike arrangement of cyclotriphosphate units (orange




Fig. 5 31 P{ 1 H} Heteronuclear correlation MAS NMR spectrum of (TMA) $_{2}$ HP $_{3}$ O $_{9}$ measured at a sample spinning frequency of 25 kHz. Correlation peaks are shown *via* contour plots. 34,35




Fig. 6 Crystal structure of $(TMA)_2HP_3O_9$ viewed along [010]. Blue polyhedra: TMA, orange polyhedra: cyclotriphosphate. Orange spheres: phosphorus, red spheres: oxygen, blue spheres: nitrogen, black spheres: carbon, white spheres: hydrogen.

polyhedra) which are linked by strong hydrogen bonds. The gaps are filled by the TMA cations indicated by the blue polyhedra. The empirical formula of $(TMA)_2HP_3O_9$ was clearly determined by structure solution and solid-state NMR study.

Rubidium cyclotetraphosphate and orthophosphate

An example of an inorganic phosphate will be presented by discussing the case of the hitherto unknown phase of Rb₄P₄O₁₂.

Dalton Transactions

The existence of this cyclotetraphosphate was confirmed using liquid and solid state NMR only, because the phase of Rb₄P₄O₁₂ turned out to be X-ray amorphous. Rubidium cyclotetraphosphate occurred in mixtures with crystals of monoclinic⁴⁸ RbH₂PO₄ and an unknown phosphorus-free crystalline side-phase. A detailed discussion of the side-phases and the amorphous character of Rb4P4O12 can be found in the ESI† together with additional experimental evidence. The term Q_n here refers to phosphate groups classified by the number of bridging oxygen atoms n.

To this end the sample was completely dissolved in water. The liquid-state ³¹P NMR spectrum shows a single peak in Q₂ range with 81% and a single peak in the Q_0 range with 16% of the total peak area. 49 The rest (<3%) was distributed onto small peaks in the Q₁ and Q₂ range and is neglected in the following. This observation is consistent with a single phase or phase mixture consisting of cyclic phosphates and orthophosphate anions only.

The solid state NMR spectrum agrees with the quantitative liquid state NMR analysis: the ³¹P{¹H} MAS NMR spectrum (Fig. 7) displays peaks 1 at -20.6 and 2 at -21.7 ppm indicating the presence of two different phosphorus environments in the Q_2 regime. Peaks 3 at -2.6, 4 at -9.8 and 5 at -23.5 ppm can be assigned to monoclinic RbH2PO450 and small unknown impurities, respectively. Note the absence of the P_4O_{10} peak ($\delta = -45.9$ ppm) which indicates that the reaction of the reagent P₄O₁₀ was again quantitative.

The homonuclear ³¹P-³¹P MAS SQ-DQ correlation spectrum (Fig. 8) proves that peaks 1 and 2 belong to one phase due to the correlation peaks between them. The correlation pattern and shift range are consistent with the presence of a cyclotetraphosphate but not to a cyclotriphosphate because of the connectivity and peak areas of the Q2 peaks. Catena phosphates can be excluded due to the absence of Q_1 signals.

Furthermore the absence of correlation peaks between Q2 and Q₀ peaks means that the sample is a heterogeneous mixture of rubidium orthophosphate and rubidium cyclotetraphosphate.

The corresponding heteronuclear 2D 31P{1H} MAS correlation spectrum (Fig. 9) indicates spatial proximity between phosphorus and hydrogen atoms in monoclinic RbH2PO4.




Fig. 7 ³¹P{¹H} MAS NMR spectrum of Rb₄P₄O₁₂, received at a spinning frequency of 25 kHz. Peaks 1 and 2 at -20.6 and -21.7 ppm indicate two different phosphorus environments. Peak 3 at -2.6 ppm can be assigned to monoclinic RbH₂PO₄ 50 and peaks 4 at -9.8 and 5 at -23.5 ppm show the marginal presence of an unknown side phase.




Fig. 8 Homonuclear ³¹P-³¹P MAS NMR single-quantum doublequantum correlation spectrum of Rb₄P₄O₁₂ received at a sample spinning frequency of 20 kHz. The 1D projection at the top of the 2D spectrum stems from a separate one-pulse experiment. Correlation peaks are shown via contour plots. The diagonal line refers to the hypothetic peak position of two isochronous spins (autocorrelation diagonal).^{34,35}




Fig. 9 ³¹P{¹H} Heteronuclear correlation MAS NMR spectrum of Rb₄P₄O₁₂ and monoclinic RbH₂PO₄ measured at a sample spinning frequency of 25 kHz. Correlation peaks are shown via contour plots. 34,35

A correlation peak can only be observed in the case of ³¹P-¹H vicinity. Absence of correlation peaks for the 31P peaks 1 and 2, suggests that the synthesized cyclotetraphosphate is hydrogen-free, in contrast to the observed correlation peaks for 31P peak 3. This hypothesis was tested with the help of heteronuclear recoupling experiments.

Fig. 10 shows ³¹P{¹H} C-REDOR curves of the deconvoluted peaks 1 (circles), 2 (crosses) and 3 (squares). This experiment is much more sensitive to ³¹P-¹H proximities than cross**Paper**




Fig. 10 $^{31}P\{^{1}H\}$ C-REDOR experiment of $Rb_{4}P_{4}O_{12}$, measured at a spinning frequency of 25 kHz; circles belong to the peak 1, crosses to peak 2 and squares to peak 3 as shown in Fig. 7.37,38

Table 1 Observed isotropic chemical shift values in ppm of $(TMA)_2HP_3O_9$, $Rb_4P_4O_{12}$, RbH_2PO_4 and P_4O_{10}

	$\delta_{\rm iso}(^{31}{\rm P})/{\rm ppm}$	$\delta_{\rm iso}(^{15}{\rm N})/{\rm ppm}$	$\delta_{\rm iso}(^{13}{ m C})/{ m ppm}$
(TMA) ₂ HP ₃ O ₉ Rb ₄ P ₄ O ₁₂ RbH ₂ PO ₄ P ₄ O ₁₀	-20.7; -24.5; -26.5 -20.6; -21.7 -2.6 -45.9	-337.0	-55.1

Table 2 Crystallographic data^a for (TMA)₂HP₃O₉

Formula $H_{25}C_8P_3O_9N_2$ Formula mass/(g mol $^{-1}$) 386.213 Crystal system Orthorhombic Space group $Pcab$ (no. 61) a/A 10.5057 (1) b/A 10.9861 (2) c/A 30.3397 (4) Cell volume/ A^3 3501.70 (8) Z 8 $\rho/(g \text{ cm}^{-3})$ calc. from XRD 1.46518(3) Data collection Diffractometer Stoe Stadi P Radiation, monochromator CuK α 1, λ = 154.06 pm, Ge(111) Temperature/K 294(2) 2θ range/ $^{\circ}$ 2.0–66.99 Step width/ $^{\circ}$ 0.1 Data points 6500 Number of observed reflections Structure refinement Structure refinement Fundamental parameter Structure refinement method Program used Background function/parameters shifted Number of profile and other parameters Number of profile and other parameters Number of profile and other parameters Number of profile and other parameters χ^2 1.194 R_p 0.0330 WR_p 0.0448	Crystal structure data	
Formula mass/(g mol $^{-1}$) 386.213 Orthorhombic Space group ρ	•	$H_{25}C_0P_2O_0N_2$
Crystal system Space group $Pcab$ (no. 61) a/A b/A 10.5057 (1) b/A 10.9861 (2) c/A 30.3397 (4) c/A 3501.70 (8) c/A a/A a	Formula mass/(g mol ⁻¹)	
Space group ρ		Orthorhombic
a/\hat{A} $10.5057 (1)$ b/\hat{A} $10.9861 (2)$ c/\hat{A} $30.3397 (4)$ Cell volume/ \hat{A}^3 $3501.70 (8)$ Z 8 $\rho/(g \text{ cm}^{-3})$ calc. from XRD $1.46518(3)$ Data collection $1.46518(3)$ DiffractometerStoe Stadi PRadiation, monochromator $CuK\alpha 1, \lambda = 154.06 \text{ pm}, Ge(111)$ Detector, internal step width $[\circ]$ $Linear PSD (\Delta(2\theta) = 5^\circ), 0.01$ Temperature/K $294(2)$ 2θ range/ \circ $2.0-66.99$ Step width/ \circ 0.1 Data points 6500 Number of observed reflections 681 Structure refinement 681 Structure refinement methodFundamental parameterProgram used 681 Background function/parameters 681 Shifted $Chebyshev/19$ Number of atomic parameters $Chebyshev/19$ Number of profile and other parameters 10 Constraints/restraints $90/0$ χ^2 1.194 R_p 0.0330		Pcah (no. 61)
$\begin{array}{c} b/\mathring{\mathrm{A}} \\ c/\mathring{\mathrm{A}} \\ c/\mathring{\mathrm{A}} \\ \\ \hline \\ 20 \\ color $		
c/Å 30.3397 (4)Cell volume/ų 3501.70 (8)Z8 $\rho/(g \text{ cm}^{-3})$ calc. from XRD $1.46518(3)$ Data collection $1.46518(3)$ DiffractometerStoe Stadi PRadiation, monochromator $CuK\alpha1, \lambda = 154.06$ pm, $Ge(111)$ Detector, internal step width [°]Linear PSD ($\Delta(2\theta) = 5^{\circ}$), 0.01 Temperature/K $294(2)$ 2θ range/° $2.0-66.99$ Step width/° 0.1 Data points 6500 Number of observed reflections 681 Structure refinementFundamental parameterStructure refinement methodmodel 41 Program used $TOPAS$ -Academic 4.1 Background function/parameters 10 ShiftedChebyshev/19Number of atomic parameters 95 Number of profile and other 95 parameters 10 Constraints/restraints $90/0$ χ^2 1.194 R_p 0.0330		
Cell volume/ų 3501.70 (8) Z 8 $\rho/(g \text{ cm}^{-3}) \text{ calc. from XRD}$ 1.46518(3) 1.46518(3) Data collection Diffractometer Stoe Stadi P Radiation, monochromator Detector, internal step width [°] Linear PSD ($\Delta(2\theta) = 5^{\circ}$), 0.01 Temperature/K 294(2) 2.0–66.99 Step width/° 0.1 Data points 6500 Number of observed reflections Structure refinement Fundamental parameter Structure refinement Fundamental parameter model TOPAS-Academic 4.1 Background function/parameters shifted Chebyshev/19 Number of atomic parameters Number of profile and other parameters Constraints/restraints 90/0 χ^2 1.194 $R_{\rm p}$ 0.0330	~ /	
Z8 $\rho/(g \text{ cm}^{-3}) \text{ calc. from XRD}$ 1.46518(3)Data collectionStoe Stadi PRadiation, monochromatorCuK α 1, λ = 154.06 pm, Ge(111)Detector, internal step width [°]Linear PSD (Δ (2θ) = 5°), 0.01Temperature/K294(2) 2θ range/°2.0-66.99Step width/°0.1Data points6500Number of observed reflections681Structure refinementFundamental parameterStructure refinement methodFundamental parameterProgram usedTOPAS-Academic 4.1Background function/parameters95Number of atomic parameters95Number of profile and other parameters10Constraints/restraints90/0 χ^2 1.194 R_p 0.0330		
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$\rho/(g \text{ cm}^{-3})$ calc. from XRD	1.46518(3)
Radiation, monochromator Detector, internal step width [°] Linear PSD ($\Delta(2\theta) = 5^{\circ}$), 0.01 Temperature/K 294(2) 2.0-66.99 Step width/° 0.1 Data points 6500 Number of observed reflections Structure refinement Fundamental parameter Structure refinement method Program used Background function/parameters shifted Chebyshev/19 Number of profile and other parameters χ^2 1.194 χ^2 1.194 χ^2 0.0330		
Detector, internal step width [°] Linear PSD ($\Delta(2\theta) = 5^{\circ}$), 0.01 Temperature/K 294(2) 20 range/° 2.0-66.99 Step width/° 0.1 Data points 6500 Number of observed reflections Structure refinement Structure refinement Fundamental parameter Structure refinement method Program used Background function/parameters shifted Chebyshev/19 Number of atomic parameters Number of profile and other parameters Constraints/restraints 90/0 χ^2 1.194 R_p 0.0330	Diffractometer	Stoe Stadi P
Detector, internal step width [°] Linear PSD ($\Delta(2\theta) = 5^{\circ}$), 0.01 Temperature/K 294(2) 20 range/° 2.0-66.99 Step width/° 0.1 Data points 6500 Number of observed reflections Structure refinement Structure refinement Fundamental parameter Structure refinement method Program used Background function/parameters shifted Chebyshev/19 Number of atomic parameters Number of profile and other parameters Constraints/restraints 90/0 χ^2 1.194 R_p 0.0330	Radiation, monochromator	$CuK\alpha 1$, $\lambda = 154.06$ pm, $Ge(111)$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Detector, internal step width [°]	
Step width/ $^{\circ}$ 0.1Data points6500Number of observed reflections681Structure refinementFundamental parameterStructure refinement methodmodel 41 Program usedTOPAS-Academic 4.1Background function/parametersShiftedNumber of atomic parameters95Number of profile and other95parameters10Constraints/restraints90/0 χ^2 1.194 R_p 0.0330	Temperature/K	294(2)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2θ range/°	2.0-66.99
Number of observed reflections Structure refinement Fundamental parameter Structure refinement method Program used TOPAS-Academic 4.1 Background function/parameters shifted Chebyshev/19 Number of atomic parameters 95 Number of profile and other parameters 10 Constraints/restraints 90/0 χ^2 1.194 $R_{\rm p}$ 0.0330	Step width/o	0.1
Structure refinement Structure refinement method Program used Background function/parameters shifted Number of atomic parameters Number of profile and other parameters Constraints/restraints χ^2 R_p Structure refinement Fundamental parameter model ⁴¹ TOPAS-Academic 4.1 Chebyshev/19 95 Shifted Chebyshev/19 95 10 10 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	Data points	6500
Structure refinement method Program used TOPAS-Academic 4.1 Background function/parameters shifted Chebyshev/19 Number of atomic parameters Number of profile and other parameters 10 Constraints/restraints 90/0 χ^2 1.194 $R_{\rm p}$ 0.0330	Number of observed reflections	681
Structure refinement method Program used TOPAS-Academic 4.1 Background function/parameters shifted Chebyshev/19 Number of atomic parameters Parameters 10 Constraints/restraints 90/0 χ^2 1.194 $R_{\rm p}$ 0.0330	Structure refinement	
Program used TOPAS-Academic 4.1 Background function/parameters shifted Chebyshev/19 Number of atomic parameters 95 Number of profile and other parameters 10 Constraints/restraints 90/0 χ^2 1.194 $R_{\rm p}$ 0.0330		
Background function/parameters shifted Chebyshev/19 Number of atomic parameters 95 Number of profile and other parameters 10 Constraints/restraints 90/0 χ^2 1.194 $R_{\rm p}$ 0.0330	Structure refinement method	model ⁴¹
shifted Chebyshev/19 Number of atomic parameters 95 Number of profile and other parameters 10 Constraints/restraints 90/0 χ^2 1.194 $R_{\rm p}$ 0.0330	Program used	TOPAS-Academic 4.1
Number of atomic parameters 95 Number of profile and other parameters 10 Constraints/restraints 90/0 χ^2 1.194 $R_{\rm p}$ 0.0330	Background function/parameters	
Number of profile and other parameters 10 Constraints/restraints 90/0 χ^2 1.194 $R_{\rm p}$ 0.0330	shifted	Chebyshev/19
parameters 10 Constraints/restraints 90/0 χ^2 1.194 $R_{\rm p}$ 0.0330	Number of atomic parameters	95
Constraints/restraints 90/0 χ^2 1.194 R_p 0.0330	Number of profile and other	
χ^2 1.194 $R_{\rm p}$ 0.0330	*	10
$R_{\rm p}$ 0.0330		90/0
$R_{\rm p} = 0.0330 \\ wR_{\rm p} = 0.0448$		
wR_{p} 0.0448	$R_{ m p}$	
	wR_p	0.0448

^a Estimated standard deviations are given in parentheses.

Table 3 Atomic coordinates, and isotropic displacement parameters (B_{iso}) for the atoms in $(TMA)_2HP_3O_9$ (space group Pcab)

Atom	x	у	z	$B_{\rm iso}/{\rm \mathring{A}}^2$
P1	0.4381(6)	0.6822(6)	0.5977(2)	3.6(2)
P2	0.4308(6)	0.4835(6)	0.6601(2)	3.7(2)
P3	0.2123(7)	0.5225(6)	0.6021(2)	3.9(2)
01	0.5019(10)	0.5669(11)	0.6251(3)	2.6(4)
O2	0.3110(11)	0.4376(9)	0.6298(3)	2.4(4)
O3	0.2935(12)	0.6418(11)	0.5941(4)	4.6(4)
O4	0.5103(11)	0.3734(11)	0.6622(3)	6.1(5)
O5	0.3841(10)	0.5485(1)	0.6985(4)	3.1(4)
O6	0.1877(9)	0.4639(10)	0.5607(4)	4.3(4)
O7	0.1055(12)	0.5605(9)	0.6324(3)	3.8(5)
O8	0.4928(9)	0.6930(10)	0.5558(3)	3.0(4)
O9	0.4347(10)	0.7847(11)	0.6289(3)	1.9(4)

^a Estimated standard deviations are given in parentheses.

polarization and is used to determine heteronuclear dipoledipole coupling constants, which are closely related to internuclear distances. In agreement with the conclusions gained from the heteronuclear ³¹P{¹H} MAS NMR spectrum (Fig. 9), peak 3 shows a dephased curve, due to hydrogen's vicinity. As expected, the deconvoluted curves from peaks 1 and 2 display almost no dephasing. We estimate that less than one percent of the Rb⁺-cations is replaced with H⁺-cations (Fig. 10). These findings allow us to unambiguously establish the molecular structure and composition of the previously unknown phase Rb₄P₄O₁₂, which proves that the synthesis via nitrate decomposition in DMSO works also with inorganic cations (Table 1).

Conclusion

The presented novel synthesis route gives access to unknown crystalline ionic phosphates at low temperatures. The usage of anhydrous solvents allows controlling the amount of water incorporated into the crystal structures. We foresee an impact of this route onto the synthesis of organic (temperature sensitive) phosphates and onto the synthesis of water-free phosphates which are necessary for many battery materials. Furthermore the soft reaction conditions may open a new way to porous phosphates which can't be synthesized from the melt.

Acknowledgements

We want to acknowledge Prof. Wolfgang Schnick for financial support, Florian Huber and Demetria Pérez Hernández for practical support, Christian Minke for technical support at the NMR, Dominik Baumann, Phillip Pust and Sebastian Schneider for getting started with TOPAS.

Notes and references

1 S. V. Levchik and E. D. Weil, *J. Fire Sci.*, 2006, 24, 345–364.

2 V. Brodski, R. Peschar, H. Schenk, A. Brinkmann, E. R. H. van Eck, A. P. M. Kentgens, B. Coussens and A. Braam, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2004, **108**, 15069–15076.

Dalton Transactions

- 3 Y. E. Hyung, D. R. Vissers and K. Amine, *J. Power Sources*, 2003, **119–121**, 383–387.
- 4 S. Sebti, M. Zahouily, H. B. Lazrek, J. A. Mayoral and D. J. Macquarrie, *Curr. Org. Chem.*, 2008, **12**, 203–232.
- J. D. Bierlein and H. Vanherzeele, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B, 1989,
 6, 622–633.
- 6 C. H. Huang, W. R. Liu and T. M. Chen, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2010, 114, 18698–18701.
- 7 C. K. Lin, Y. Luo, H. You, Z. Quan, J. Zhang, J. Fang and J. Lin, *Chem. Mater.*, 2006, 18, 458–464.
- 8 V. Makhov, N. Y. Kirikova, M. Kirm, J. Krupa, P. Liblik, A. Lushchik, C. Lushchik, E. Negodin and G. Zimmerer, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A*, 2002, **486**, 437–442.
- 9 W.-J. Zhang, Power Sources, 2011, 196, 2962-2970.
- 10 A. K. Padhi, K. S. Nanjundaswamy and J. B. Goodenough, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1997, 144, 1188–1194.
- 11 M. Thackeray, Nat. Mater., 2002, 1, 81-82.
- 12 M. Cretin and P. Fabry, J. Eur. Ceram. Soc., 1999, 19, 2931–2940.
- 13 K. Arbi, M. Tabellout and J. Sanz, Solid State Ionics, 2010, 180, 1613–1619.
- 14 J. Fu, J. Mater. Sci., 1998, 33, 1549-1553.
- 15 P. Knauth, Solid State Ionics, 2009, 180, 911-916.
- 16 A. Durif, *Crystal Chemistry of Condensed Phosphates*, Plenum Press, New York, 1995.
- 17 A. Boullé, C. R. Hebd. Seances Acad. Sci., 1939, 206, 517-519.
- 18 E. Soumhi, I. Saadoune and A. Driss, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 2001, **156**, 364–369.
- 19 M. Mathew and L. W. Schroeder, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Crystallogr. Cryst. Chem.*, 1979, 35, 11–13.
- 20 E. Banks, R. Chianelli and R. Korenstein, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1975, **14**, 1634–1639.
- 21 C. Feldmann and H.-O. Jungk, *J. Mater. Sci.*, 2002, 37, 3251–3254.
- 22 C. Feldmann, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2003, 13, 101-107.
- 23 R. A. Laudise, Chem. Eng. News, 1987, 65, 30-43.
- 24 J. Chen and M. S. Whittingham, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2006, **8**, 855–858.
- 25 J. C. Jacco, G. M. Loiacono, M. Jaso, G. Mizell and B. Greenberg, J. Cryst. Growth, 1984, 70, 484–488.
- 26 R. Andrieu, R. Diament, A. Durif, M. T. Pouchot and D. Tranqui, C. R. Seances Acad. Sci., Ser. B, 1966, 262, 718–721.
- 27 I. Nikčević, V. Jokanović, M. Mitrić, Z. Nedić, D. Makovec and D. Uskoković, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 2004, 177, 2565–2574.
- 28 O. Toprakci, H. A. K. Toprakci, L. Ji and X. Zhang, KONA Powder Part. J., 2010, 28, 50–73.

- 29 H.-W. Chen, C. S. Oakes, K. Byrappa, R. E. Riman, K. Brown, K. S. TenHuisen and V. F. Janas, *Mater. Chem.*, 2004, 14, 2425–2432.
- 30 R. K. Harris, E. D. Becker, S. M. Cabral de Menezes, P. Granger, R. E. Hoffman and K. W. Zilm, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 2008, 80, 59–84.
- 31 M. Hohwy, H. J. Jakobsen, M. Edén, M. H. Levitt and N. C. Nielsen, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1998, 108, 2686–2694.
- 32 J. Weber, M. Seemann and J. Schmedt auf der Günne, *Solid State Nucl. Magn. Reson.*, 2012, 43–44, 42–50.
- 33 G. Metz, X. L. Wu and S. O. Smith, *J. Magn. Reson., Ser. A*, 1994, **110**, 219–227.
- 34 X. Zhao, W. Hoffbauer, J. Schmedt auf der Günne and M. H. Levitt, *Solid State Nucl. Magn. Reson.*, 2004, **26**, 57–64.
- 35 Y. S. Avadhut, J. Weber, E. Hammarberg, C. Feldmann and J. Schmedt auf der Günne, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2012, 14, 11610–11625.
- 36 D. J. States, R. A. Haberkorn and D. J. Ruben, J. Magn. Reson., 1982, 48, 286–292.
- 37 J. C. C. Chan and H. Eckert, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2001, **115**, 6095–6105.
- 38 J. C. C. Chan, Chem. Phys. Lett., 2001, 335, 289-297.
- 39 A. A. Coelho, *TOPAS-Academic, Version 4.1*, Coelho Software, Brisbane, 2007.
- 40 V. Favre-Nicolin and R. Cherny, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2002, 35, 734.
- 41 J. Bergmann, R. Kleeberg, A. Haase and B. Breidenstein, *Mater. Sci. Forum*, 2000, 347–349, 303–308.
- 42 SHELXL User Guide, http://shelx.uni-ac-gwdg.de/SHELX/shelxl_user_guide.pdf, link accessed 27.04.2014.
- 43 F. A. Cotton, P. C. W. Leung, W. J. Roth, A. J. Schultz and J. M. Williams, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1984, 106, 117–120.
- 44 T. Steiner, Angew. Chem., 2002, 114, 50-80.
- 45 K. Onodera, S. Hirano and N. Kashimura, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1965, **87**, 4651–4652.
- 46 T. Glonek, J. R. Van Wazer, M. Mudgett and T. C. Myers, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1972, 11, 567–570.
- 47 J. P. Yesinowski and H. Eckert, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1987, 109, 6274–6282.
- 48 M. T. Averbouch-Pouchot and A. Durif, *Acta Crystallogr.*, *Sect. C: Cryst. Struct. Commun.*, 1985, 41, 665–667.
- 49 Integrating the five peaks which can be observed in the 31 P liquid NMR spectrum dissolved in D_2 O results in the following values: one peak at 0.84 ppm (Q_0) with a peak area of 1.75 a.u. (15.96%), two peaks at -9.7 and -9.89 ppm (Q_1) with a combined peak area of 0.32 a.u. (2.91%), one peak at -20.79 ppm (Q_2) with a peak area of 0.11 a.u. (1%) and one peak at -21.55 ppm (Q_2) with a peak area of 8.78 a.u. (80.1%).
- 50 M. Vijayakumar, A. Bain and G. Goward, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2009, **113**, 17950–17957.