Cell Reports

Heterochromatin-Mediated Gene Silencing Facilitates the Diversification of Olfactory Neurons

Graphical Abstract



Authors

David B. Lyons, Angeliki Magklara, ..., Gunnar Schotta, Stavros Lomvardas

Correspondence sl682@columbia.edu

In Brief

Olfactory receptor (OR) genes become marked by constitutive heterochromatin during early olfactory neuron differentiation. Lyons et al. now show that histone methyltransferases G9a and Glp govern the heterochromatic silencing of OR genes, which is essential for diverse and singular OR expression.

Highlights

G9a and GLP help to generate stochastic olfactory receptor (OR) choice

OSN diversity exhibits a G9a/GLP dose dependency

The "one receptor per neuron" rule is violated in the absence of G9a and GLP

Loss of H3K9me3 at OR clusters impairs OR nuclear topology

Accession Numbers GSE54473







Heterochromatin-Mediated Gene Silencing Facilitates the Diversification of Olfactory Neurons

David B. Lyons,¹ Angeliki Magklara,² Tracie Goh,³ Srihari C. Sampath,^{4,6} Anne Schaefer,^{4,7} Gunnar Schotta,⁵ and Stavros Lomvardas^{1,3,8,*}

¹Tetrad Program, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA 94158, USA

²Division of Biomedical Research, Institute of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, Foundation for Research and Technology-Hellas, 45110 Ioannina, Greece

³Department of Anatomy, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA 94920, USA

⁴Laboratory of Immune Cell Epigenetics and Signaling, The Rockefeller University, 1230 York Avenue, New York, NY 10065, USA ⁵Munich Center for Integrated Protein Science, Adolf-Butenandt-Institute, Ludwig Maximilian University, Schillerstrasse 44, 80336 Munich, Germany

⁶Present address: Genomics Institute of the Novartis Research Foundation, 10675 John Jay Hopkins Drive, San Diego, CA 92121, USA ⁷Present address: Mount Sinai School of Medicine, One Gustave L. Levy Place, Box 1065, New York, NY 10029, USA

⁸Present address: Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biophysics, Mortimer B. Zuckerman Mind, Brain, and Behavior Institute, Columbia University, New York, NY 10032, USA

*Correspondence: sl682@columbia.edu

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2014.10.001

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/).

SUMMARY

An astounding property of the nervous system is its cellular diversity. This diversity, which was initially realized by morphological and electrophysiological differences, is ultimately produced by variations in gene-expression programs. In most cases, these variations are determined by external cues. However, a growing number of neuronal types have been identified in which inductive signals cannot explain the few but decisive transcriptional differences that cause cell diversification. Here, we show that heterochromatic silencing, which we find is governed by histone methyltransferases G9a (KMT1C) and GLP (KMT1D), is essential for stochastic and singular olfactory receptor (OR) expression. Deletion of G9a and GLP dramatically reduces the complexity of the OR transcriptome, resulting in transcriptional domination by a few ORs and loss of singularity in OR expression. Thus, our data suggest that, in addition to its previously known functions, heterochromatin creates an epigenetic platform that affords stochastic, mutually exclusive gene choices and promotes cellular diversity.

INTRODUCTION

Stochastic gene expression is important in generating the diverse cell types of the nervous system. The *Drosophila* Dscam family of alternatively spliced isoforms (Zipursky et al., 2006), photoreceptor choice in mammals and flies (Rister and Desplan, 2011), cellular differentiation within motor neuron pools in the spinal

cord (Dasen et al., 2005, 2008), and the choice of mammalian protocadherin promoters (Chen and Maniatis, 2013) all provide examples of nondeterministic gene-expression programs with critical roles in the generation of neuronal diversity (Chen et al., 2012; Lefebvre et al., 2012). However, the monogenic and monoallelic expression of a single olfactory receptor (OR) gene (Chess et al., 1994) from more than 1,000 available alleles (Buck and Axel, 1991) provides the most-extreme paradigm of stochastic transcriptional choice that determines the fate, circuitry, and functional identity of an olfactory sensory neuron (OSN).

The molecular mechanisms of OR gene choice in mammals remained unknown until the identification of a feedback signal that stabilizes the expression of the chosen OR allele and prevents the transcriptional activation of additional alleles (Lewcock and Reed, 2004; Serizawa et al., 2003; Shykind et al., 2004). This feedback, which is generated by the OR protein-dependent activation of the ER-resident kinase Perk, leads to transient translation of transcription factor Atf5 and downregulation of histone demethylase LSD1 (Dalton et al., 2013; Lyons et al., 2013). LSD1 activates OR transcription most likely via the demethylation of lysine 9 of histone H3 (Lyons et al., 2013), an epigenetic mark that is deposited on OR genes at the early stages of OSN differentiation, along with histone H4 lysine 20 trimethylation (Magklara et al., 2011).

These observations suggest that the heterochromatic silencing of OR genes plays an important role in singular and stochastic OR expression. First, it keeps the nonchosen ORs completely silent, thereby ensuring coherent neuronal targeting and activity. Second, it affords a feedback process, which "silences the desilencer" and thus prevents activation of additional ORs without affecting the expression of the already chosen allele. It is not clear from these data, however, whether H3K9 demethylation, ostensibly required based on the effects of LSD1 deletion, is also sufficient for OR transcription. In other words,



it remains unknown whether the stochastic H3K9 demethylation of a single allele constitutes the singular choice per se or an additional superimposed mechanism provides the initial singularity of choice. Because perturbations in the OR-elicited feedback result in frequent OR switching, but not simultaneous coexpression of multiple OR alleles (Dalton et al., 2013; Lyons et al., 2013), the latter hypothesis is more likely. However, recent theoretical models suggest that singular OR expression could also be explained just by a combination of a slow H3K9 demethylation process and a fast feedback that blocks further demethylation (Tan et al., 2013). In this scenario, removal of the repressive histone marks from a randomly chosen OR allele could be essential and sufficient for OR expression, a hypothesis supported by pharmacological inhibition of G9a/GLP in zebrafish embryos (Ferreira et al., 2014).

Here, we genetically remove both H3K9 (G9a and GLP) and H4K20 (SUV4-20H1 and SUV4-20H2) histone methyltransferases, which we find are responsible for repressive lysine methylation at OR genes. In the case of H3K9me3 disruption, OR expression becomes heavily skewed toward a small subset of OR genes at the expense of all other ORs, such that the main olfactory epithelium (MOE) becomes reproducibly homogenous. These few dominating ORs defy the "one receptor per neuron" rule but at low frequency. Furthermore, we find that the severe skew toward these OR genes is G9a/Glp dose dependent. In contrast, we eliminated H4K20me3 and found no overt phenotype at the level of OR expression. Together, these data link H3K9me3-based heterochromatic OR gene silencing to the diversity of OR expression and the broad chemical sensitivity of the mammalian nose.

RESULTS

Removal of G9a and GLP Severely Deregulates OR Expression

To test how removal of repressive heterochromatic histone marks affects OR choice, we deleted H3K9 and H4K20 methyltransferases from the developing MOE. Because OR loci are first marked with H3K9me2, and only upon further differentiation with H3K9me3 and H4K20me3 (Magklara et al., 2011), we reasoned that H3K9me2 is the substrate upon which OR chromatin is further methylated in the OSN lineage. In this scenario, prevention of H3K9 dimethylation early would also prevent subsequent trimethylation, resulting in OR chromatin devoid of repressive H3K9 methylation. To remove H3K9me2 activity before the addition of the extra methyl group on H3K9, we crossed G9a (Tachibana et al., 2005) and GLP floxed alleles (Schaefer et al., 2009) to Foxg1-Cre that is expressed very early, during olfactory placode development (Hébert and McConnell, 2000). Because the KO mice die perinatally, our analysis was restricted to the last day of gestation (embryonic day 18.5).

For a global view of the transcriptional consequences of G9a and GLP deletion, we performed RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) analysis in G9a/GLP double knockouts (dKOs). Surprisingly, genome-wide transcription is not heavily affected by homozy-gote deletion of G9a and GLP together—the number of genes that increase or decrease beyond a log₂ fold-change of two is limited to a small fraction of the total transcriptome (66 of

19,850 Ensembl gene records included in this analysis, only three of which are known transcriptional regulators; Figure 1B; Table S1). In contrast, OR expression is profoundly misregulated by loss of G9a and GLP, with a few OR genes being markedly upregulated and most OR genes being downregulated (Figure 1A). One OR in particular, Olfr231, is upregulated by ~90-fold in the double KO MOEs, a result confirmed by RNA fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) (Figure 1C). Strikingly, Olfr231⁺ OSNs fill the entire MOE, abandoning the zonal pattern of expression typically seen for OR genes (Figure S1A).

G9a/GLP Deletion Disrupts the One Receptor per Neuron Rule

The high cellular frequency of Olfr231 expression in the G9a/GLP dKO MOEs suggests that the singularity of OR expression may be perturbed in the mutant mice, because other ORs are also expressed with increased frequency. To test this directly, we performed two color FISH with Cy3-labeled Olfr231 (red) and a pool of probes against the next most highly expressed OR genes, labeled with fluorescein (green; Olfr878 pool; Figure 2A). Remarkably, although we never see coexpression in control sections, we found that Olfr231 is coexpressed in low frequency with at least one of the other ORs in sections from the dKO MOEs (Figures 2B and 2C). This is a profound violation of the one receptor per neuron rule. Despite this, double KO OSNs express mature OSN markers at nearly wild-type levels and their axons reach the olfactory bulb (Figure S2H). Furthermore, double KO OSNs likely retain their ability to elicit an OR-dependent feedback, because Atf5 translation, as well as LSD1 and Adcy3 expression, appear indistinguishable between control and double KO MOEs (Figures S3F and S3G). This observation suggests that OR clusters are devoid of H3K9 methylation in the G9a/GLP dKO, rendering dynamic LSD1 activity insufficient for singular OR expression.

G9a/GLP Govern the Formation of OR Heterochromatin

To test the effects of G9a/GLP deletion in the heterochromatinization of OR loci, we used imaging-based approaches, because chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)-based assays are not feasible with the limited number of olfactory neurons in embryonic MOEs and the infrequency by which we obtain double conditional KO mice. OR genes physically cluster together in a few topological clusters in the nucleus that are enriched for H3K9me3 and are functionally important for the singular expression of ORs (Clowney et al., 2012). We thus performed DNA FISH with a complex probe that recognizes the majority of OR genomic clusters in the genome ("pan-OR") and immunofluorescence (IF) with an antibody against H3K9me3, as previously described (Clowney et al., 2012). Pan-OR DNA FISH shows that OR aggregation still occurs in the G9a/Glp double KO (Figures 3A and 3B). However, we observe statistically significant differences in the organization of the OR foci. Quantitation of the radial distribution of signal intensity in the OR foci shows that the average radius of these foci increases from 12 pixels in control nuclei to 17 pixels in nuclei from the dKO sections (Figure 3C, top; n = 100; p < 0.001; Student's unpaired t test). This increase may reflect decompaction of the OR chromatin in the double KO. Moreover, there is a highly significant increase in the signal intensity of the pan-OR probe outside the OR foci in



the dKO nuclei (Figure 3C, bottom; p < 0.001), which could also reflect increased accessibility of the pan-OR probe or incomplete nuclear aggregation of the OR loci.

In contrast to the small effects in the nuclear organization of the OR loci, we detect an overt decrease of H3K9me3 at the OR foci in the double KO nuclei (compare Figures 3E and 3F to 3G and 3H; Pearson's (r) and Manders' coefficients (M); $r_{control} =$ 0.657; $r_{dKO} = 0.275$; $M_{control} = 0.882$; $M_{dKO} = 0.361$). Importantly, in the double KO, overall levels of H3K9me3 in the heterochromatic chromocenters remain high (Figure 3D), which is expected because G9a/Glp do not play a significant role in the trimethylation of pericentromeric and subtelomeric repeats (Shinkai and Tachibana, 2011); instead, trimethylation of pericentromeric and subtelomeric repeats is accomplished by Suv39H1 and Suv39H2 (Schotta et al., 2004), which trimethylate H3K9 in these genomic loci much prior to the deletion of G9a/Glp by Foxg1-Cre. Therefore, G9a/GLP deletion from the differentiating MOE reduces the levels of H3K9me3 from OR loci and affects the

Figure 1. H3K9 Methyltransferases G9a and GLP Are Needed for OR Expression and Ensure Neuronal Diversity in the MOE

(A) Circos plot (Krzywinski et al., 2009) depicting fold change in all olfactory receptor (OR) genes calculated as log_2 of G9a/GLP double knockout (dKO) (OR RPKM + 1)/G9a heterozygote (OR RPKM +1). Chromosomes are shown as an ideogram with differently colored lines. Each OR cluster is delineated by a gray line dividing the chromosome ideogram such that the relative size of each cluster is maintained. Position of Olfr231 is denoted on Chr.1. y axis ranges from -7 to 7. Axis marks are every 0.7.

(B) Nonchemoreceptor genes were plotted as a function of their expression levels in control and dKO. Genes up- or downregulated log₂ 2-fold or more are shown in blue and are listed in Table S1.
(C) RNA fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) of Olfr231 in Cre-negative control MOEs (left) and G9a-GLP dKO (right). DAPI nuclear stain shown in blue.

nuclear organization of OR loci. This observation is consistent with recent experiments demonstrating a direct correlation between epigenetic state and nuclear distribution in mammalian cells (Pinheiro et al., 2012). However, it should be noted that the overall aggregation of OR genes still takes place in the dKO nuclei, in sharp contrast with the complete disruption of these structures upon ectopic lamin-B receptor (Lbr) expression in mature OSNs (Clowney et al., 2012)

H4K20me3 Has Nonessential Function in OR Gene Repression

To examine whether trimethylation of H4K20 is as important in OR gene regulation as trimethylation of H3K9, we

generated conditional dKO mice by crossing Foxg1-Cre and Suv4-20H1 floxed allele (Schotta et al., 2004) to the Suv4-20H2 KO allele. Because these mice are viable, we analyzed the dKO postnatally so we could biochemically address the effect of the deletion in H4K20 trimethylation. Although our ChIPquantitative PCR (qPCR) analysis shows that, in dKO MOEs, H4K20me3 is significantly reduced at OR genes (Figure S3E), our RNA-seq, qRT-PCR, and IF analysis shows that OR expression is not affected by loss of this histone modification (Figures S3A, S3C, and S3D). Both the mean and median levels of OR expression remain the same between control and double KO MOEs (Figure S3A); the number of OR alleles detected is nearly identical (ORs detected in dHet: 1,019; ORs detected in dKO: 1,020). In agreement with a more dominant role in OR gene expression, H3K9me3 levels remain high on OR loci in the double KO, consistent with previous observations (Schotta et al., 2004) that dissociate H3K9 from H4K20 methylation (Figure S3A).



Figure 2. Coexpression of Multiple ORs in OSNs Lacking G9a and GLP

(A) Bar plot illustrating relative expression level of five most highly expressed ORs in G9a/GLP dKO used to make RNA probes for FISH below.

(B) Two-color FISH with Olfr231 probe in red and ten other ORs in green including the four next most highly expressed ORs in dKO (Olfr878, Olfr1339, Olfr464, and Olfr1361), four other highly upregulated ORs (Olfr446, Olfr419, Olfr433, and Olfr420), and two control probes that are readily detected in nonmutant embryonic day 18.5 (E18.5) MOE (Olfr686 and Olfr556). Left is control; right is dKO. DAPI is removed from bottom panels.

(C) Magnified view of inset as shown in (B) to highlight red/green coexpressing OSNs (arrowheads). Count totals for dKO are coexpressing cells: 49, Olfr231+ cells: 698, and Olfr878 pool+: 350. Totals for control are coexpressing cells: 0, Olfr231+: 4, and Olfr878 pool+: 81.

LSD1 Is Partially Dispensable in the Setting of Reduced H3K9 Methylation

Because Olfr231 is expressed at a significantly higher frequency in the G9a/GLP dKO, we hypothesized that its expression is independent of LSD1-mediated H3K9 demethylation. If this were true, then deletion of LSD1 should have no impact on Olfr231 expression in the G9a/GLP dKO background. Because generation of a conditional triple KO was not feasible, we asked if single deletion of G9a or GLP results in increased Olfr231 expression frequency as seen in G9a/GLP dKO. Indeed, RNA-seq, qRT-PCR, and RNA-FISH analysis in either G9a or GLP single KO reveals a significant increase in the number of Olfr231-expressing neurons, suggesting that the two methyltransferases are partially redundant in the MOE (Figures 4A, S4A, and S4B). This allowed us to test whether LSD1 is required in the G9a KO setting for the increase in Olfr231. Although Olfr231 expression is completely abolished in the LSD1 KO MOEs (Figure 4A), its expression is partially restored in the G9a/LSD1 dKO MOEs, supporting direct and opposing roles of the two enzymes in H3K9 methylation of OR loci. The fact that G9a deletion in the LSD1 KO background does not restore Olfr231 expression to the levels of the G9a single KO is likely explained by compound toxicity of the two independent deletions. Additionally, we reasoned that, if there was a reduced role for LSD1 in the activation of ORs in the G9a KO MOE, a comparison of the DNA damage produced by its activity, 8-oxoguanosine, would reflect this LSD1 independence (Lyons et al., 2013). Indeed, we find no evidence for increased LSD1 activity at the Olfr231 locus in the G9a single KO compared to the control 8-oxoguanosine DNA immunoprecipitation (Figure 4B). These observations support a model where G9a and GLP methylate H3K9 on every OR gene and then LSD1 stochastically demethylates H3K9 from the chosen OR allele. In agreement with a sequential fashion by which H3K9 methylation and demethylation regulate OR expression, deletion of G9a immediately after OR choice or upon terminal



Figure 3. Heterochromatin Is Reduced at OR Clusters in G9a/GLP dKO

(A and B) DNA FISH and immunofluorescence for OR gene cluster DNA (green; "pan-OR") and H3K9me3 (red) in MOE cryosections at E18.5 of control and G9a/ GLP dKO, respectively. Upper left: merged image; upper right: pan-OR with DAPI; lower left: pan-OR with H3K9me3; lower right: H3K9me3 with DAPI. (C) Summary of measurements from pan-OR aggregate radial analysis (top) and peripheral signal quantification (bottom; n = 100 pan-OR foci for both). Cartoon depicts source of measurements for these analyses, whereby pan-OR aggregates were defined as the area containing signal between 25% and 100% maximum intensity. (D) H3K9me3 signal intensity in two different regions of the nucleus illustrate a specific loss of H3K9me3 from OR gene foci in the dKO. OR, olfactory receptor gene aggregates; PH, pericentromeric heterochromatin. Error bars are SD from the mean (for both genotypes: $n_{PH} = 50$; $n_{OR} = 150$).

(E) Image of pan-OR and H3K9me3 in control E18.5 OSN, shown with reference line used for signal quantification (white, top panel); intensity plot corresponding to pixels intersecting reference line (bottom panel). a.u., arbitrary unit.

(F) Pixel intensity plot for entire control image plane (shown in inset). H3K9me3 intensity is plotted along y axis; pan-OR intensity is plotted on x-axis. Hotter colors correspond to greater frequency of occurrence.

(G) Same as (E) but with G9a/GLP dKO OSN.

(H) Same as (F) but with G9a/GLP dKO image plane.

For (C)-(E) and (G), morphologically identified OSNs were used for measurements whereas (F) and (H) are quantifications of entire fields of cells in an MOE section.



Figure 4. Reduction of H3K9me3 at OR Genes Allows LSD1-Independent OR Activation

(A) Olfr231 ISH in control (Cre-) MOE (leftmost; average approximately two Olfr231+ cells per section; n = 20 sections) compared with (from left to right) LSD1 KO (average zero Olfr231+ cells per section; n = 20 sections), and LSD1/G9a dKO (average approximately four Olfr231+ cells per section; n = 20 sections), and LSD1/G9a dKO (average approximately four Olfr231+ cells per section; n = 20 secti

(B) 8-oxoguanosine DNA immunoprecipitation in G9a heterozygote (cont.) and G9a KO MOE at E18.5. Values represent the mean of technical duplicates; error bars are mean ± SEM.

(C) Percentage of total OR RPKM that the top ten most highly expressed ORs represent for each genotype as shown (all are Foxg1-Cre+, each color corresponds only to rank, not Olfr gene identity). Flox is abbreviated "fl."

(D) Lorenz curve depicting OR expression across all OR genes in the G9a-GLP dosage series (if all OR genes express at equal levels, Gini = 0 and curve is perfect diagonal; see Figures S2C and S2D). Curve depicts cumulative fraction of OR expression as a function of cumulative OR genes detected ("Het": flox/+; "KO": flox/flox).

neuronal differentiation has no detectable consequences in OR expression or axonal targeting (Figures S1B–S1D).

G9a and GLP Drive the Diversification of OR Expression in a Dose-Dependent Fashion

The observation that G9a KO has an intermediate OR expression phenotype compared to the G9a/GLP dKO is intriguing. Because both genes are very likely to be coexpressed in the same cells of the MOE (Figure S4C), an explanation for this phenotype is that OR silencing is effective only in the presence of both enzymes. We previously showed that OR heterochromatin forms blocks that extend throughout their genomic clusters (Magklara et al., 2011); thus, it is possible that high concentration of these enzymes is required for the complete marking of the OR chromatin and that, by reducing the number of functional methyltransferase alleles, H3K9 methylation at OR loci becomes incomplete. To test this, we performed RNA-seq on G9a KO as well as G9a KO; GLP heterozygote MOEs to compare with the double KO and control samples. Strikingly, we observe a direct dose dependency for G9a/ GLP levels on OR expression. Olfr231 and the rest of the ORs that are upregulated in the dKO increase their expression as the number of methyltransferase alleles decreases (Figure 4C). Interestingly, at the same time, the number of detected ORs decreases

stepwise with each additional missing G9a/GLP allele as fewer ORs contribute to OSN diversity (Figures S2A and 4C). Mean OR transcription remains similar across samples (mean reads per kilobase of exon per million reads mapped [RPKM] = 0.37; SD = 0.14), but median OR RPKM is severely reduced in MOE lacking these methyltransferases (Figure S2B), indicating a reduction in the complexity of the transcribed OR repertoire. The complexity of the OR transcriptome can be best depicted with a Lorenz curve, which was first used for the description of income inequality among nations (Lorenz, 1905). In this graph, which shows cumulative OR expression levels, each OR gene contributes a percentage of total expression; the more equally each OR contributes to the total expression, the lower the Gini coefficient is. Thus, if each OR gene had equal contribution to the cumulative OR transcriptome, the Lorenz curve would approach the diagonal of perfect equality and Gini coefficient would be zero (Figures 4D, S2C, and S2D; Wittebolle et al., 2009). In control mice, 85% of the ORs contribute to the total OR mRNA and the Lorenz curve is the closest to the diagonal. In contrast, in the dKO, less than 20% of the OR genes account for all of the observed OR gene expression, providing the highest deviation from the perfect diagonal (Figure 4D). The rest of the genotypes examined have intermediate levels of OR diversity that decrease with the number of available methyltransferase alleles (Figure 4D). Importantly, the decreased equality of OR expression in the dKO is counterbalanced by dramatically increased transcription of fewer than ten OR genes, which correspond to 6.4% of the total OR transcriptome in control MOEs and 60% in the double KO (Figure 4C). In contrast, the complexity of the OR transcriptome remains the same in the Suv4-20h1/Suv4-20h2 dKO MOEs (Figure S3B).

DISCUSSION

The data presented here demonstrate that OR heterochromatinization is critical for proper OR expression. In the double G9a/GLP KO MOEs, where H3K9me3 is significantly reduced from the OR genome, the vast majority of ORs are not highly transcribed at the cellular level, whereas fewer than ten ORs are expressed in most OSNs. In addition to their zonal expansion, these ORs evade the one receptor per neuron rule according to our two color RNA FISH experiments. Remarkably, although OR gene silencing is significantly reduced in the G9a/GLP KO MOEs, coexpression among the ten dominant ORs is infrequent (Figure 2). Thus, for most ORs, H3K9 demethylation is necessary, but not sufficient, for expression at high cellular levels. Although recent theoretical calculations support that a slow demethylation process combined with a fast feedback can explain singular OR expression (Tan et al., 2013), our experimental findings suggest that an additional regulatory layer may also contribute to the singularity of OR choice. Were the absence of H3K9 methylation sufficient for robust OR transcription, then most G9a/GLP KO OSNs should express multiple ORs at high levels. Instead, we observe only a subset of OR genes being expressed at high levels throughout the MOE and only occasional coexpression of more than one OR per sensory neuron (Figure 2).

In this note, our data, with the exemption of the few upregulated ORs, diverge from recent observations in zebrafish OSNs, whereby pharmacological inhibition of G9a/Glp results in increased frequency of OR coexpression (Ferreira et al., 2014). Although, in zebrafish embryos, the global effects of G9a/Glp inhibition on OR transcription were not determined, inhibition of G9a/Glp caused robust OR coexpression for several ORs analyzed by two-color RNA FISH, suggesting that desilencing is sufficient for robust OR expression in this organism. Thus, despite the deep conservation of H3K9 methylation in OR regulation from fish to mammals, the lineage leading to mice has gained what could be termed a "singularity enforcer" that adds a regulatory layer to OR choice. The source of this singularity may be the stochastic convergence of multiple distant enhancer elements in a unique nuclear location of the olfactory neurons (Markenscoff-Papadimitriou et al., 2014). This additional layer may be helping to ensure singular expression in the face of logarithmic expansion of this gene family in terrestrial vertebrates compared to fish (Nei et al., 2008). Obviously, we cannot exclude the intriguing possibility that G9a/Glps, beyond their H3K9 methyltransferase activity, have additional regulatory functions that are not perturbed by pharmacological inhibition but are only revealed upon genetic deletion of the whole protein.

It is possible that both loss of H3K9me3 and complete disruption of the OR aggregates, like the one caused by ectopic Lbr expression in mature OSNs (Clowney et al., 2012), are required for robust

expression from multiple ORs. Moreover, although loss of H4K20me3 from OR clusters does not have detectable transcriptional consequences, the removal of this mark may also be essential for robust OR expression, explaining why loss of H3K9 methylation does not cause frequent OR coexpression. Both scenarios are not currently testable because they require crossing several additional alleles to the G9a/Glp double KO. Moreover, we cannot exclude that indirect effects from these genetic manipulations convolute the interpretation of our analysis. However, our previous work showed that, in OSNs, H3K9me3 is deposited almost exclusively on OR genes and pericentromeric and subtelomeric repeats (Magklara et al., 2011). In agreement with this, our RNA-seq data from G9a/Glp KO mice suggest that, by and large, only OR genes are significantly affected by this deletion. Finally, the fact that mature OSN markers and components of the OR feedback signal have normal expression levels and distribution (Figures S2E-S2H) make the possibility of indirect effects less likely.

Our findings pose an important question: why in the absence of heterochromatic silencing are only these few ORs expressed at significant cellular levels? We propose that chromatin-mediated silencing, which occurs before the onset of OR transcription, masks the DNA sequence of OR promoters and equalizes their frequency of choice, explaining the relatively equal representation of ORs observed in control MOEs. According to this model, LSD1 and the rest of the OR transcriptional machinery cannot "predict" a priori the strength of an OR promoter, making the exact sequence of the promoter irrelevant for the initial choice. In the G9a/GLP dKO with much reduced H3K9 methylation, the role for LSD1-mediated activation is accordingly diminished, and stronger OR promoters may prevail, transforming a stochastic process to a deterministic one. Computational analysis comparing the promoters of the most upregulated ORs with the rest of the repertoire failed to reveal significant differences in predicted transcription-factor-binding motifs (data not shown). Moreover, de novo motif analysis revealed only differences in low-complexity repetitive sequences, for which there are no data supporting a role in transcriptional activation (data not shown). Thus, at the moment, the reason(s) why certain ORs are specifically upregulated in a reproducible manner across multiple experimental animals remains mysterious and likely will remain so until we obtain a comprehensive understanding of the transcription factors that bind to and regulate OR transcription.

Importantly, whatever transcription factors are responsible for the increased expression frequencies of Olfr231 and the other upregulated ORs, they are not expressed in a zonal fashion, because, in the double KO, zonal boundaries are violated (Figure S1A). This could in fact provide mechanistic insight into the zonal nature of OR expression, which may not be primarily determined by zonal expression of specific transcription factors but by the selective demethylation of specific OR clusters within different zones, in agreement with the homogeneity among OR promoters from different zones (Clowney et al., 2011).

In summary, for OR genes, heterochromatinization facilitates their singular, stochastic selection, ascribing a novel regulatory role for heterochromatic gene silencing as that of a source of cellular diversity. Interestingly, it was recently reported that silencing, in this case in the form DNA methylation by Dnmt3b, also governs the stochastic and mutually exclusive nature of clustered protocadherin gene expression (Toyoda et al., 2014). With an increasing number of reports of widespread stochastic and monoallelic expression during mammalian development (Deng et al., 2014; Eckersley-Maslin et al., 2014; Nag et al., 2013), it will be interesting to examine whether epigenetic silencing is generally used for the diversification of gene-expression programs.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Animals

All mice were housed in standard conditions with a 12 hr light/dark cycle and access to food and water ad libitum and in accordance with the University of California Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee guidelines. All strains were maintained on a mixed genetic background. Mouse strains used are as follows: Foxg1-Cre (Hébert and McConnell, 2000), OMP-IRES-Cre (Eggan et al., 2004), MOR28-IRES-Cre (Shykind et al., 2004), R26R mT/mG (Muzum-dar et al., 2007), G9a flox (Tachibana et al., 2005), GLP flox (Schaefer et al., 2009), LSD1 flox (Wang et al., 2007), SUV4-20H1 flox, and SUV4-20H2 null (Schotta et al., 2004).

DNA Deep Sequencing

Sequencing was performed on the Illumina HiSeq 2000 and 2500. cDNA libraries for all samples were prepared with the ScriptSeq kit V2 (Epicenter; Illumina) with total mappable reads from 50 bp paired end reads as follows: G9a heterozygote: 69,620,496; G9a KO: 73,023,480; G9a KO/GLP heterozygote: 67,119,073; G9a/GLP double KO: 59,623,472; SUV4-20H1/H2 double heterozygote: 52,438,433; and SUV4-20 double knockout: 46,703,558.

Sequence Data Analysis

RNA-seq reads were mapped with Tophat (Trapnell et al., 2009) using Bowtie (Langmead et al., 2009). Differential expression was quantified with the Cufflinks software package (Trapnell et al., 2010) using classic-fpkm library normalization methods. Downstream visualization was carried out with a combination of Excel (Microsoft) and R (http://www.R-project.org). Lorenz curves were generated with the Ineq R package (http://cran.r-project.org/web/ packages/ineq/), and additional visualization of RNA-seq data was carried out in Perl using Circos data visualization software (Krzywinski et al., 2009).

In Situ Hybridization

Sixteen micrometer cryosections were air dried briefly at 37°C before 10 min fixation in ice-cold 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS at pH 7.4. PBS with 0.1% Tween was used to wash the slides thrice prior to one 10 min acetylation step carried out in diethylpyrocarbonate-treated water. A single PBS-Tween wash immediately preceded the prehybridization step, in which slides are submerged in a room-temperature solution containing 50% formamide, 5× saline sodium citrate (SSC), Denhardt's solution, and yeast tRNA. Probes were diluted in this solution and hybridized to sections overnight at 65°C, after which slides were washed briefly in $65^{\circ}C 5 \times$ SSC then for 90 min in 0.2× SSC again at $65^{\circ}C$. We then used 0.1 M Tris-HCI /0.15 M NaCl buffer at pH 7.5 to wash slides, followed by a 1 hr blocking step in 10% sheep serum with anti-digoxigenin-conjugated alkaline phosphatase (Roche). Tris (pH 9.5) buffer with 1 mM levamisole and 0.3% Tween-20 was used as the buffer for the NBT/ BCIP (Roche) color reaction.

FISH was carried out similarly, with the following differences: following the initial 0.1 M Tris-HCl washes after hybridization, 0.5% block (PerkinElmer) in Tris buffer was applied to the slides for 30 min followed by an anti-digoxigenin antibody conjugated to peroxidase (Roche) incubation in Tris-NaCl buffer at 1:500 for 30 min. In situ hybridization (ISH) was developed using TSA reagent (PerkinElmer).

For two-color ISH, both probes were detected using TSA signal amplification (PerkinElmer) with a 30% hydrogen peroxide wash between development steps to quench peroxidase.

DNA FISH/Immunofluorescence

IF for H3K9me3 (Abcam 8898) was performed as described above, prior to annealing DNA FISH probes. pan-OR DNA probe was synthesized as previously described (Clowney et al., 2012). Following the addition of Alexa-conjugated secondary antibody, sections were fixed for 10 min in 2–4 mM ethylene glycol-bis-succinimidyl succinate at 37°C. DNA FISH was performed using standard protocol. Briefly, RNase1 treatment was carried out at 37°C for 1 hr followed by 0.1 N HCI treatment. Probes were applied following brief denaturation at exactly 85°C in 75% formamide in 2x SSC. Probes were detected with Dylight-488-conjugated anti-biotin (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories) following 8% formamide in PBS-Triton washes.

DNA Immunoprecipitation

Purified genomic DNA was sonicated in PBS with 0.5% Tween-20 to approximately 400 bp fragments using the Bioruptor (Diagenode). For sorted cells, fragmentation of DNA was assumed to be complete following 15 min of sonication using medium power output with samples on ice. 8-oxodG monoclonal antibody (Trevigen) was incubated with DNA rotating overnight at 4°C prior to immunoprecipitation.

Microscopy and Image Analysis

All images were captured with a Zeiss LSM 700 confocal microscope. Pixel intensity scatterplots from Zen stock software of entire image planes were directly exported to Adobe Photoshop to increase blue signal intensity (these points lacked sufficient contrast in raw image); single cross-section intensity tables were exported and plotted using R. The JACoP plugin for ImageJ was used for the generation of both Pearson's correlation and Manders' coefficients in association with data in Figure 3; image intensity calculation and quantitation of pan-OR signal distribution was done with the Radial Profile plugin.

ACCESSION NUMBERS

The Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) accession number for the sequence data reported in this paper is GSE54473.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes four figures and one table and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2014.10.001.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

D.B.L. conceived, designed, and performed experiments; analyzed data; and wrote the paper. A.M. performed ChIP experiments and designed experiments. T.G. designed experiments and performed FISH and IF. S.S., A.S., and G.S. contributed reagents. S.L. conceived the project, analyzed data, and wrote the paper.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Drs. Yoichi Shinkai and Makoto Tachibana for kindly sharing their G9a floxed mouse, as well as Dr. Alexander Tarakhovsky for his help in procuring the GLP floxed allele. We would like to thank Drs. Richard Axel and Tom Maniatis as well as members of the S.L. lab for critical reading of the manuscript. Work in the lab of S.L. was funded by the Roadmap for Epigenomics grant R01DA030320, EUREKA grant 5R01MH091661, and the Mcknight Foundation. Work in the lab of G.S. was funded by grants from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB1064, SPP1356, and SFB684).

Received: April 3, 2014 Revised: August 29, 2014 Accepted: September 27, 2014 Published: October 30, 2014

REFERENCES

Buck, L., and Axel, R. (1991). A novel multigene family may encode odorant receptors: a molecular basis for odor recognition. Cell 65, 175–187.

Chen, W.V., and Maniatis, T. (2013). Clustered protocadherins. Development 140, 3297–3302.

Chen, W.V., Alvarez, F.J., Lefebvre, J.L., Friedman, B., Nwakeze, C., Geiman, E., Smith, C., Thu, C.A., Tapia, J.C., Tasic, B., et al. (2012). Functional significance of isoform diversification in the protocadherin gamma gene cluster. Neuron *75*, 402–409.

Chess, A., Simon, I., Cedar, H., and Axel, R. (1994). Allelic inactivation regulates olfactory receptor gene expression. Cell 78, 823–834.

Clowney, E.J., Magklara, A., Colquitt, B.M., Pathak, N., Lane, R.P., and Lomvardas, S. (2011). High-throughput mapping of the promoters of the mouse olfactory receptor genes reveals a new type of mammalian promoter and provides insight into olfactory receptor gene regulation. Genome Res. *21*, 1249–1259.

Clowney, E.J., LeGros, M.A., Mosley, C.P., Clowney, F.G., Markenskoff-Papadimitriou, E.C., Myllys, M., Barnea, G., Larabell, C.A., and Lomvardas, S. (2012). Nuclear aggregation of olfactory receptor genes governs their monogenic expression. Cell *151*, 724–737.

Dalton, R.P., Lyons, D.B., and Lomvardas, S. (2013). Co-opting the unfolded protein response to elicit olfactory receptor feedback. Cell *155*, 321–332.

Dasen, J.S., Tice, B.C., Brenner-Morton, S., and Jessell, T.M. (2005). A Hox regulatory network establishes motor neuron pool identity and target-muscle connectivity. Cell *123*, 477–491.

Dasen, J.S., De Camilli, A., Wang, B., Tucker, P.W., and Jessell, T.M. (2008). Hox repertoires for motor neuron diversity and connectivity gated by a single accessory factor, FoxP1. Cell *134*, 304–316.

Deng, Q., Ramsköld, D., Reinius, B., and Sandberg, R. (2014). Single-cell RNAseq reveals dynamic, random monoallelic gene expression in mammalian cells. Science *343*, 193–196.

Eckersley-Maslin, M.A., Thybert, D., Bergmann, J.H., Marioni, J.C., Flicek, P., and Spector, D.L. (2014). Random monoallelic gene expression increases upon embryonic stem cell differentiation. Dev. Cell *28*, 351–365.

Eggan, K., Baldwin, K., Tackett, M., Osborne, J., Gogos, J., Chess, A., Axel, R., and Jaenisch, R. (2004). Mice cloned from olfactory sensory neurons. Nature 428, 44–49.

Ferreira, T., Wilson, S.R., Choi, Y.G., Risso, D., Dudoit, S., Speed, T.P., and Ngai, J. (2014). Silencing of odorant receptor genes by G protein $\beta\gamma$ signaling ensures the expression of one odorant receptor per olfactory sensory neuron. Neuron *81*, 847–859.

Hébert, J.M., and McConnell, S.K. (2000). Targeting of cre to the Foxg1 (BF-1) locus mediates loxP recombination in the telencephalon and other developing head structures. Dev. Biol. *222*, 296–306.

Krzywinski, M., Schein, J., Birol, I., Connors, J., Gascoyne, R., Horsman, D., Jones, S.J., and Marra, M.A. (2009). Circos: an information aesthetic for comparative genomics. Genome Res. *19*, 1639–1645.

Langmead, B., Trapnell, C., Pop, M., and Salzberg, S.L. (2009). Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. Genome Biol. *10*, R25.

Lefebvre, J.L., Kostadinov, D., Chen, W.V., Maniatis, T., and Sanes, J.R. (2012). Protocadherins mediate dendritic self-avoidance in the mammalian nervous system. Nature 488, 517–521.

Lewcock, J.W., and Reed, R.R. (2004). A feedback mechanism regulates monoallelic odorant receptor expression. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *101*, 1069–1074.

Lorenz, M.O. (1905). Methods of measuring the concentration of wealth. Publ. Am. Stat. Assoc. 9, 209–219.

Lyons, D.B., Allen, W.E., Goh, T., Tsai, L., Barnea, G., and Lomvardas, S. (2013). An epigenetic trap stabilizes singular olfactory receptor expression. Cell *154*, 325–336.

Magklara, A., Yen, A., Colquitt, B.M., Clowney, E.J., Allen, W., Markenscoff-Papadimitriou, E., Evans, Z.A., Kheradpour, P., Mountoufaris, G., Carey, C., et al. (2011). An epigenetic signature for monoallelic olfactory receptor expression. Cell *145*, 555–570.

Markenscoff-Papadimitriou, E., Allen, W.E., Colquitt, B.M., Goh, T., Murphy, K.M., Monahan, K., Mosley, C.P., Ahituv, N., and Lomvardas, S. (2014). Enhancer interaction networks as a means for singular olfactory receptor expression. Cell, Published online October 23, 2014. http://dx.doi.org/10. 1016/j.cell.2014.09.033.

Muzumdar, M.D., Tasic, B., Miyamichi, K., Li, L., and Luo, L. (2007). A global double-fluorescent Cre reporter mouse. Genesis 45, 593–605.

Nag, A., Savova, V., Fung, H.L., Miron, A., Yuan, G.C., Zhang, K., and Gimelbrant, A.A. (2013). Chromatin signature of widespread monoallelic expression. Elife 2, e01256.

Nei, M., Niimura, Y., and Nozawa, M. (2008). The evolution of animal chemosensory receptor gene repertoires: roles of chance and necessity. Nat. Rev. Genet. 9, 951–963.

Pinheiro, I., Margueron, R., Shukeir, N., Eisold, M., Fritzsch, C., Richter, F.M., Mittler, G., Genoud, C., Goyama, S., Kurokawa, M., et al. (2012). Prdm3 and Prdm16 are H3K9me1 methyltransferases required for mammalian hetero-chromatin integrity. Cell *150*, 948–960.

Rister, J., and Desplan, C. (2011). The retinal mosaics of opsin expression in invertebrates and vertebrates. Dev. Neurobiol. *71*, 1212–1226.

Schaefer, A., Sampath, S.C., Intrator, A., Min, A., Gertler, T.S., Surmeier, D.J., Tarakhovsky, A., and Greengard, P. (2009). Control of cognition and adaptive behavior by the GLP/G9a epigenetic suppressor complex. Neuron 64, 678–691.

Schotta, G., Lachner, M., Sarma, K., Ebert, A., Sengupta, R., Reuter, G., Reinberg, D., and Jenuwein, T. (2004). A silencing pathway to induce H3-K9 and H4-K20 trimethylation at constitutive heterochromatin. Genes Dev. *18*, 1251–1262.

Serizawa, S., Miyamichi, K., Nakatani, H., Suzuki, M., Saito, M., Yoshihara, Y., and Sakano, H. (2003). Negative feedback regulation ensures the one receptor-one olfactory neuron rule in mouse. Science *302*, 2088–2094.

Shinkai, Y., and Tachibana, M. (2011). H3K9 methyltransferase G9a and the related molecule GLP. Genes Dev. 25, 781–788.

Shykind, B.M., Rohani, S.C., O'Donnell, S., Nemes, A., Mendelsohn, M., Sun, Y., Axel, R., and Barnea, G. (2004). Gene switching and the stability of odorant receptor gene choice. Cell *117*, 801–815.

Tachibana, M., Ueda, J., Fukuda, M., Takeda, N., Ohta, T., Iwanari, H., Sakihama, T., Kodama, T., Hamakubo, T., and Shinkai, Y. (2005). Histone methyltransferases G9a and GLP form heteromeric complexes and are both crucial for methylation of euchromatin at H3-K9. Genes Dev. *19*, 815–826.

Tan, L., Zong, C., and Xie, X.S. (2013). Rare event of histone demethylation can initiate singular gene expression of olfactory receptors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *110*, 21148–21152.

Toyoda, S., Kawaguchi, M., Kobayashi, T., Tarusawa, E., Toyama, T., Okano, M., Oda, M., Nakauchi, H., Yoshimura, Y., Sanbo, M., et al. (2014). Developmental epigenetic modification regulates stochastic expression of clustered protocadherin genes, generating single neuron diversity. Neuron *82*, 94–108. Trapnell, C., Pachter, L., and Salzberg, S.L. (2009). TopHat: discovering splice junctions with RNA-Seq. Bioinformatics *25*, 1105–1111.

Trapnell, C., Williams, B.A., Pertea, G., Mortazavi, A., Kwan, G., van Baren, M.J., Salzberg, S.L., Wold, B.J., and Pachter, L. (2010). Transcript assembly and quantification by RNA-Seq reveals unannotated transcripts and isoform switching during cell differentiation. Nat. Biotechnol. *28*, 511–515.

Wang, J., Scully, K., Zhu, X., Cai, L., Zhang, J., Prefontaine, G.G., Krones, A., Ohgi, K.A., Zhu, P., Garcia-Bassets, I., et al. (2007). Opposing LSD1 complexes function in developmental gene activation and repression programmes. Nature 446, 882–887.

Wittebolle, L., Marzorati, M., Clement, L., Balloi, A., Daffonchio, D., Heylen, K., De Vos, P., Verstraete, W., and Boon, N. (2009). Initial community evenness favours functionality under selective stress. Nature 458, 623–626.

Zipursky, S.L., Wojtowicz, W.M., and Hattori, D. (2006). Got diversity? Wiring the fly brain with Dscam. Trends Biochem. Sci. *31*, 581–588.