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COMPARATIVE STUDIES OF LUMINESCENCE IN COPEPODS AND OTHER PELAGIC MARINE ANIMALS*

By G. L. CLARKE, R. J. CONOVER, C. N. DAVID and J. A. C. NICOL

Harvard University, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution and the Plymouth Laboratory

(2 Plates and Text-figs. 1–7)

Many marine copepods are luminescent. Some secrete a luminous material, and there is evidence that they produce light internally as well (Vanhöffen, 1895). Using photo-electric recording, David & Conover (1961) investigated the flashing of *Metridia lucens* Boeck and described its luminous responses and behaviour. In the present investigations the luminescence of various other copepods is compared with that of *M. lucens*, and some measurements of light-emission in other animals are presented to illustrate differences in the nature of luminescent discharges among certain pelagic species. The positions of the luminescent glands have been determined in several species of copepods, and histological studies have been made. The habits of *M. lucens* and the literature dealing with luminescence in copepods have been reviewed previously (David & Conover, 1961). Measurements and records of luminous flashing made with underwater photometers in the Atlantic and in the Mediterranean have been reported by Clarke & Hubbard (1959) and Clarke & Breslau, (1959, 1960). The relations between the intensity of bioluminescence, the strength of daylight penetrating from the surface, and the photosensitivities of animals in the sea are discussed by Clarke & Denton (1962).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The majority of copepods and other animals examined in this investigation was collected during cruise 64 of R.V. ‘Crawford’ (Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution), 24–30 July, 1961, from stations as follows: Jy 1, 38° 42' N., 60° 52' W.; Jy 2, 37° 28' N., 69° 0' W.; Jy 3, 39° 58' N., 66° 30' W.; Jy 4, 41° 30' N., 65° 0' W.; Jy 5, 43° 22' N., 67° 42' W. A log of pertinent information regarding these stations is given in the Appendix (p. 564). Further supplies of copepods were collected by R.V. ‘Crawford’ at 39° 40' N., 69° 48' W., 05.00–06.00 h, 7 September 1961. A collection of *Metridia* spp. was obtained from the Gulf of Maine by the M.V. ‘Captain Bill III’ (Woods Hole), 29 June 1961. Some specimens of *M. lucens* were collected at Millport at intervals and sent to the Plymouth laboratory.

* Contribution no. 1285 from the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution. Research supported by National Science Foundation Grants 8913, 8339 and 16355.
Collections were made with open nets \( \frac{3}{4} \) or 1 m diameter, made of no. 80 or 600 nylon, lowered obliquely to maximum depth, towed about \( \frac{1}{2} \)–1 h, and returned to surface (Appendix, p. 564). Copepods were kept under refrigeration on shipboard and in the laboratory, and were removed immediately prior to examination (see Conover, 1960; and David & Conover, 1961, for details of culture procedure). Other animals were examined immediately after capture.

Copepods flash only when stimulated. For electrical excitation, short bursts of a.c. (60 cyc/sec) or condenser shocks (up to 20 V, 0.5 \( \mu \)F) were used. The animals were held in small chambers of wax or lucite (poly(methyl methacrylate)), containing platinum or carbon electrodes.

Procedures used for mechanical or tactile stimulation were these. A copepod, in sea water, was dropped upon a piece of filter-paper, or it was placed in a sintered glass funnel, the water from which was removed by a vacuum pump. With either procedure the copepod usually flashed brilliantly when the water was withdrawn. Lucite chamber 1 consisted of a central well, semicircular in cross-section, \( 4 \times 4.5 \times 5.5 \) cm, connected with two smaller electrode wells by salt-agar plugs (see David & Conover, 1961, fig. 1). Lucite chamber 2 contained a cylindrical well, \( 1 \times 1 \) cm, connected with two large electrode pools by cotton and sea-water plugs (Chang, 1954, fig. 1). Wax chamber 3 contained a slit 3 mm wide \( \times \) 3 mm deep, with a short central area separated from lateral electrode areas by cotton and sea-water plugs.

Luminous flashes were detected by a photomultiplier tube (E.M.I. type no. 6095 B) at a distance of 15 cm. The tube was connected to a cathode-ray oscilloscope through d.c. amplifier, and vertical excursions of the upper beam were photographed on moving paper. Other records were obtained with sweeps triggered by the stimulating pulse. The voltage of the photomultiplier was held constant and sensitivity of the oscilloscope was varied. A daily check of sensitivity was made with a stable light emitter (button containing radioactivated phosphor), and the sensitivity of the photomultiplier was calibrated against a substandard lamp (Clarke & Wertheim, 1956).

In the laboratory, the temperature of the room where observations were made was \( 14^\circ \)C. At sea, laboratory temperatures lay mostly in the range \( 10^\circ \)–\( 20^\circ \)C.

Harvey (1926b) noted that the luminescent glands of some copepods are fluorescent. This feature was utilized to assist in mapping out the location of the luminescent glands in those species in which they are fluorescent, and to photograph them. Ultraviolet sources were: Osram HBO 200; Blak-ray long-wave u.v. (Ultra-violet Products, Inc.); American Optical Co. Mercury Vapor lamp model 760; G.E. lamp AH 4; Philips lamp ME/D Compact Source. Excitation filters used were Chance OX 1, Corning 5113, 5850, 9863, Wratten 18 A; barrier filters were Chance OB2 plus OGr 2, Corning Noviol A and Yellow Shade.

Histological methods are dealt with below (p. 552).

**OBSERVATIONS ON COPEPODA**

**LUMINOUS RESPONSES**

**Whole animals**

The following species, taken in our tows, were found to be luminous: *Metridia lucens* Boeck, *M. longa* (Lubbock), *M. princeps* Giesbrecht, *Pleurormamma robusta* (F. Dahl), *P. xiphas* (Giesbrecht), *Heterorhabdus norvegicus* (Boeck), *H. robustus* Farran, *Heterostylites longicornis* (Giesbrecht), *Lucicutia grandis* (Giesbrecht), *Hemirhabdus grimaldii* Richard, *Disseta palumboi* Gies-
brecht, *Euaugaptilus magnus* (Wolfenden) and *Centraugaptilus horridus* (Farran). The genera *Heterostylites, Hemirhabdus, Disseta, Euaugaptilus* and *Centraugaptilus* have not been described previously as luminous. Only one or a few individuals of some of these species were available for study. Many *M. lucens, M. longa* and *P. robusta* were obtained and these were used in the majority of experiments.

When stimulated electrically or mechanically, luminescent copepods discharge a luminous secretion; at the same time they make a spasmodic movement of abdomen and appendages and, if free to do so, they dart away. All species examined possess several or many luminous glands; *M. lucens*, for example, has glands on the head, middle thorax and urosome (details on p. 549). In a fresh animal, material may be discharged simultaneously or nearly simultaneously from all these glands; several patches of glowing secretion can be seen in the water and these become agitated and diffused when the animal moves. During the course of repeated stimulation, flashes become weak and some glands cease discharging before others. A luminous response may be a complex event consisting of several luminous discharges with changing temporal and spatial characteristics. Glands also luminesce within the animal; if the animal moves, these spots of light move about correspondingly, sometimes becoming exposed to view and at other times becoming hidden by the animal's body.

*Electrical stimulation*

With brief pulses of a.c. (60 V), copepods emit irregular flashes having durations of less than a second to 7 sec on the oscilloscope records (Table 1). Durations of responses, estimated visually, are: 3–22 sec for *M. longa*; 3–37 sec for *M. lucens*; 2–16 sec for *P. robusta*. Maximal intensities are reached in 0.03–0.8 sec, often within 0.1 sec. Intensities (all species) range from $0.02 \times 10^{-5}$ to $9.4 \times 10^{-5} \mu W/cm^2$ receptor surface at 15 cm distance ($0.0045 \times 10^{-2}$ to $2.015 \times 10^{-2} \mu W/cm^2$ at 1 cm). The following observations refer especially to *Metridia* and *Pleuromamma*. Luminescent responses appear as quick flashes, prolonged glows, or combinations thereof (Text-fig. 1A-C, E, G–M, O–Q). Flashes are irregular and show one or several major peaks and often several smaller peaks, or a quick flash may be followed by a glow-response. The maximum is followed by a protracted decay period, longer in glow-responses. With repetitive stimulation the intensities of consecutive responses vary in a random manner, but there is a general tendency for the responses of a series to become weaker and finally cease, as photogeny becomes progressively exhausted (Text-fig. 1K–M).

With single condenser discharges ($0.5 \mu F$, 20 V, chamber 3), the luminescent responses tend to be simpler in form. Often the response is a brief flash with quick rise and slower decay (Text-figs. 1H, N; 2). Maximum is reached in about 0.03 sec and decay occurs more slowly (90% decay in 0.5 sec,
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Stimulus</th>
<th>Duration (sec)</th>
<th>To maximum (sec)</th>
<th>90% decay (sec)</th>
<th>Luminescent intensity, $\mu W/cm^2$ receptor surface at 15 cm</th>
<th>Temperature ($^\circ$ C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Metridia lucens</em></td>
<td>a.c.</td>
<td>0.1-5.5</td>
<td>0.03-0.83</td>
<td>0.07-4.1</td>
<td>0.02-0.77 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. lucens</em></td>
<td>Condenser shocks</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.13-2.58 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. longa</em></td>
<td>a.c.</td>
<td>0.5-6.3</td>
<td>0.06-0.33</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.07-1.5 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. longa</em></td>
<td>Condenser shocks</td>
<td>0.3-11</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. princeps</em></td>
<td>a.c.</td>
<td>1.6-7</td>
<td>0.1-0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.17-9.4 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>10-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pleuromamma</em> spp.</td>
<td>Condenser shocks</td>
<td>0.2-0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.12 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. robusta</em></td>
<td>a.c.</td>
<td>0.2-2.5</td>
<td>0.06-0.11</td>
<td>0.01-1.5</td>
<td>0.01-0.05 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. xiphias</em></td>
<td>a.c.</td>
<td>0.3-6.1</td>
<td>0.04-0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.34-1.29 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>10-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lucicutia</em> grandis</td>
<td>a.c.</td>
<td>0.2-2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.12-0.70 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>10-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Euaugaptilus</em> magnus</td>
<td>a.c.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.66 x 10^{-8}</td>
<td>10-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Heterorhabdus</em> spp.</td>
<td>a.c.</td>
<td>0.4-1.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.16-0.42 x 10^{-3}</td>
<td>10-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Heterorhabdus</em> spp.</td>
<td>Condenser shocks</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3-0.77 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>10-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Heterostylites</em> longicornis</td>
<td>a.c.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.12 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>10-20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sometimes flashes are complex, showing several spikes, indicative of summated flashes having dissimilar temporal characteristics, and following at irregular intervals (Text-fig. 1R). Delay is protracted in some responses, lasting more than 2 sec. The first few responses of a series sometimes consist of bursts of multiple flashes; later responses, of single flashes. With repetitive stimulation these features are usually observed: first flash is maximal, subsequent flashes are weaker; intensities of individual flashes in a series vary in a random manner, but there is a general tendency towards diminution of intensity; the form of flash-curves alters, changing from complex curves to single curves, or from simple curves to curves with several shifting peaks. Sometimes a copepod responds only to some of the shocks in a series; this may be the result of the animal changing position.

**Fatigue and recovery.** Copepods (*M. lucens*, *M. longa* and *P. robusta*) were subjected to repeated electrical stimulation until luminescence ceased. They were allowed to rest and were then tested during the course of the next 24 h in order to ascertain when the luminous response recovered. There was no luminescence, or at best very faint light, in 1–2 h. Weak or moderate luminescence occurred after 8 h. Some specimens produced bright luminescence, others weak responses, after 24 h. Luminescence is partially or largely restored within 8–24 h in these copepods.

**Latency of the luminous response.** An unusual feature of the luminescence of *M. lucens*, observed by David & Conover (1961), is the brief latent period between electrical stimulus and response. The very short latency, of the order of 8–10 msec, is of about the same duration as those recorded for intracellular flashes in other animals, e.g. 9 msec, *Noctiluca*; 4.5–10 msec, *Mnemiopsis* (by Chang, 1954); 13–21 msec, *Polynoe*; but 26–206 msec, *Photinus* (by Buck & Case, 1961). Copepods, however, discharge a luminous secretion and, hitherto, it has been usual to regard such responses as having long latencies and prolonged durations, as compared with intracellular flashes. It was of interest, therefore, to secure information for latent periods of other copepods.

The measurements were made with chamber 3 using condenser shocks of 0.5 μF and 50–100 V. The pulse was used to trigger a single sweep on a dual-beam oscilloscope, the screen of which could be photographed. The lower trace displayed the stimulus while the upper trace recorded the luminescence detected by the photomultiplier (Text-fig. 2).

The latent periods for nine species are tabulated in Table 2. The short latency has been observed again in *M. lucens* (ca. 8 msec) and also in two other species of the Metridiidae, viz. *M. longa* (ca. 9 msec) and *Pleuromamma robusta* (ca. 7 msec) (14°C). The reason for the slightly longer latency of *M. longa* may perhaps be associated with its greater size relative to *M. lucens*. (It seems to have a slightly higher threshold than *M. lucens* although the thresholds were not systematically measured.) The latency of an individual animal was usually consistent within the range in Table 2 for the first

**Table 2. Latency of Luminous Responses of Copepods**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Metridia lucens</em></td>
<td>5.1–36.2</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. longa</em></td>
<td>5.3–25.5</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. princeps</em></td>
<td>24.2–45.0 (3), 320</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pleuromamma robusta</em></td>
<td>4.2–10.9</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lucicutia grandis</em></td>
<td>133–151 (3)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Heterorhabdus robustus</em></td>
<td>15.1–189</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>H. norvegicus</em></td>
<td>9.0–422</td>
<td>65.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hemirhabdus grimaldii</em></td>
<td>31.4, 133 (2)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Heterostylites longicornis</em></td>
<td>21.2–48 (7)</td>
<td>32.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(When only a few records were available, numbers examined are shown in parentheses.)
10–20 responses. Following this, however, the latency became 3–4 times longer and exhibited considerable variability as fatigue set in. Latency measurements of *M. princeps*, *Lucicutia grandis* and *Hemirhabdus grimaldii* were inadequate for analysis.

The latencies of anaesthetized *M. longa* (1/1000 tricaine methanesulphonate) increased from 9 to 30 msec.

*Heterorhabdus norvegicus* and *H. robustus* show some distinct differences from the species of Metridiidae examined. The latent period of fresh animals is far more variable and is generally from 4 to 10 times longer than in *M. lucens* and *P. robusta* (Text-fig. 2A, B). Flashes of *Heterorhabdus* also show a simple form of facilitation upon repeated stimulation at intervals of about 0.1 sec (Fig. 2F) and the kinetics of the flashes are markedly different from those of *Metridia* and *Pleuromamma*. Instead of the swift rise to maximal intensity generally observed in the latter genera, the species of *Heterorhabdus* more frequently show a slow, sloping increase in flash intensity. Protracted latencies were also encountered in *Heterostylites longicornis*, belonging to the same family (*Heterorhabdidae*) (Text-fig. 2F).

**Mechanical stimulation**

Specimens of *M. lucens* were stimulated mechanically, and recordings were made of the luminous responses. The animals usually responded by bouts of prolonged and complex flashing, occasionally with one or two single peaked flashes (Text-fig. 1D). Durations range from 1.4 to 12 sec (14°C). Intensities are $0.2 \times 10^{-5}$ to $14.4 \times 10^{-6} \mu W/cm^2$ receptor surface at 15 cm distance ($0.045 \times 10^{-2}$ to $3.34 \times 10^{-2} \mu W/cm^2$ at 1 cm).

**Restricted areas**

Copepods (*M. longa*) were cut into two, some under anaesthesia (tricaine methanesulphonate, 1/1000), others not, and records were made of the responses of each part, separately. Alternatively, part of a copepod was covered with opaque cotton (head or tail), and luminescence of the exposed region was recorded. Specimens were placed in chamber 3 and stimulated with condenser pulses (0.5 µF, 20 V; 14°C).

In records from these specimens, responses are simpler than in those from whole animals. Flashes usually show a single peak only, and have durations up to 2.5 sec (Text-fig. 1F). No consistent pattern is discernible during repetitive stimulation. The first flash may be the brightest; or there may be a progressive increase in the peaks of the first few flashes; or the intensities of the flashes in a series may vary at random. Intensities of the head glands or the tail glands of *M. longa* range from $0.02 \times 10^{-5}$ to $0.7 \times 10^{-5} \mu W/cm^2$ receptor surface at 15 cm distance.
POSITION OF LUMINESCENT GLANDS AND FLUORESCENCE

Giesbrecht (1895) studied in some detail the distribution of luminescent glands in several copepods, and he observed that they appeared greenish yellow or yellow in fresh specimens, and Harvey (1926b) observed fluorescence in luminous glands of copepods. In the present study all specimens were examined for fluorescence under ultra-violet light and, in most cases, they also were stimulated electrically under the microscope, using dark-field illumination. The glands of the Metridiidae (Metridia, Pleuromamma), Lucicutiidae (Lucicutia), and Augaptilidae (Euaugaptilus, Centraugaptilus) are fluorescent and this feature aided greatly in determining their position. A photograph showing the pattern of fluorescence of M. lucens is reproduced in Pl. I. The glands of the Heterorhabidae (Heterorhabdus, Heterostylites, Hemirhabdus, Disseta) are not fluorescent and therefore the location of luminescent organs could not be determined with the same degree of accuracy as in the first three families.

In the Metridiidae, the location of luminescent glands is constant within a species and differs from species to species. The patterns of distribution for two species, M. lucens and M. longa are compared in Text-fig. 3. Both species have the same distribution of glands in the urosome, namely a pair of glands opening just dorsal to the middle caudal bristle on each caudal ramus, and glands, perhaps paired, opening on the lateral posterior corner of the anal segments (cf. Text-fig. 3C, F). However, M. lucens has conspicuous glands opening laterally on the second thoracic segment (Text-fig. 3A), whereas M. longa has no glands on the thorax (Text-fig. 3E). The most striking differences between these species are shown by the glands on the head (see Text-fig. 3B, D). M. lucens always has ten glands, arranged in a distinctive pattern: three forming a triangle, on each side of the head just dorsal to the antenna; three in a row along the anterior edge of the carapace just dorsal to the rostrum; and a single gland centrally located (Text-fig. 3B). In M. longa the glands are clustered near the midline of the head as shown in Text-fig. 3D. The number of glands seems to vary from 11 to 15, with the most probable number being 13. Their number may be fixed, but due to their close proximity in some specimens one or two glands may have been obscured by others.

The distribution of glands in M. lucens does not agree entirely with the description given by David & Conover (1961), who reported glands on the third and fourth thoracic segments and on all segments of the urosome. Probably these additional glands observed by David and Conover were non-luminous skin glands also seen in copepods by Giesbrecht (1895).

The few specimens of M. princeps examined showed still another pattern of fluorescence. In this species no gland was found in the caudal rami though large glands are present in the anal segment. There are single glands on either side of thoracic segments one and two in most specimens, but in one
individual the left-hand gland was missing from segment two and was present instead on segment three, giving a curiously asymmetrical appearance to the fluorescence when viewed from above. A single gland or small cluster occurs at the most anterior margin of the head and two more fluorescent spots are seen at about the level of the mandibles on either side of the midline, at the shoulder between the dorsal and lateral body wall. Conspicuous glands also occur in the basipods of the first and second swimming legs.

Text-fig. 3. Disposition of fluorescent glands in *Metridia lucens* (A–C) and *M. longa* (D–F). *M. lucens.* A, Lateral view of the left side of the whole animal; B, dorso-frontal view of head; C, dorsal view of anal segment and caudal rami. *M. longa.* D, Dorsal view of the whole animal; E, lateral view of the right side of the whole animal; F, dorsal view of anal segment and caudal rami. f, fluorescent areas.

The pattern of fluorescence for *Pleuromamma robusta* is shown in Text-fig. 4 A–C. Typically, three glands occur just dorsal to the rostrum and another two in the posterior and lateral region of the head at the level of the mandibles (Text-fig. 4 A), as in *M. princeps*. The curious pigment knob sometimes said to be the ‘light organ’ occurs either on the right or left-hand side of the first
LUMINESCENCE IN PELAGIC COPEPODS

thoracic segment and is always balanced by a single luminescent gland on the side opposite. As observed by Giesbrecht (1895) this so-called light organ apparently has no function in the production of light and it shows no fluorescence. Double glands are also found on thoracic segment 2 (Text-fig. 4A and B) and paired glands on the anal segment and caudal rami are also present (Text-fig. 4C).

Text-fig. 4. Disposition of fluorescent and luminescent glands in several copepods. A–C, Fluorescent glands of Pleuromamma robusta: A, dorsal view of whole animal; B, lateral view, right side; C, dorsal view of anal segment and caudal rami. D, Anterior lateral view of Lucicutia grandis, showing position of fluorescent glands on the first thoracic segment. E, Position of fluorescent glands on the second swimming leg of Euaugaptilus magnus. F, Position of luminescent glands on the fourth swimming leg of Heterorhabdus norvegicus. f, fluorescent glands; Lg., luminescent glands; p.k., pigment knob.

A few Pleuromamma xiphias were also examined. The pattern was not very different from that observed in P. robusta except that only two glands were seen on the anterior portion of the head on either side of the crest near its base.

Both males and females of these five species were examined and no sexual dimorphism in number or location of luminescent glands was observed,
although the asymmetrical urosome in the male *P. xiphias* results in a slightly different orientation of glands in the caudal rami and anal segment from that in the female.

Only a single pair of luminous glands opening along the anterior ventral margin of the first thoracic segment was found in *Lucicutia grandis* (Text-fig. 4D), although Giesbrecht (1895) described the position of ten glands for *Lucicutia flavicornis*. As the animals were not examined by us until after they had been in the laboratory for more than a week, other glands might have been present in fresh specimens, but those found were large and conspicuous under ordinary illumination even when fluorescence was absent.

Several species of Augaptilidae, belonging to the genera *Euaugaptilus* and *Centraugaptilus*, were found to have large yellow-green glands on the distal two segments of the exopods of swimming legs (Text-fig. 4E). Few specimens of each species were available for study and it was not possible to determine if the distribution of glands was different from species to species.

In the absence of fluorescence, only general areas of luminescent activity could be determined with assurance for *Heterorhabdus norvegicus*. Several glands occur in the head region, but the pattern could not be precisely discerned. Glands were seen dorsally in the thorax and in the anal segment and caudal rami. Most if not all of the head and thoracic appendages also contain glands. In the distal segments of the swimming legs the glands are sometimes conspicuously visible under ordinary illumination (Text-fig. 4F). Glands are also found on at least the first three segments of the first antennae, exopodite of the mandibles and second maxillae, and they almost certainly occur on the second antennae and the first maxillae as well. Giesbrecht (1895) found at least thirty-six pairs of glands in *H. papilliger*.

**HISTOLOGY OF LUMINESCENT GLANDS**

For histological study copepods were fixed in Bouin’s and Helly’s fluids, sectioned in paraffin or polyester wax (Steedman, 1960) and stained with Ehrlich’s haematoxylin and eosin, Heidenhain-azan, modified Masson’s trichrome (containing Bordeaux red and fast green or aniline blue) and Heidenhain’s iron haematoxylin. Some attempts to stain sections with toluidine blue, neutral red, Bodian’s silver and Jenner’s blood stain were not particularly successful.

The following account is limited to the Metridiidae. The histology of the Heterorhabdidae presents special features which warrant a full investigation at another time.

*Metridia longa*. The luminous glands consist of elongate sacs lying beneath the hypodermis of the head, the third abdominal segment and the caudal furcae. The whole sac is spindle-shaped; for convenience of description two regions are distinguished, a distal ampullary half and a proximal stem. The distal half is pyriform, with a distal neck pointing towards the cuticle, and it is
formed like an ampulla (Text-fig. 5). This region is about 65–70μ long and 20–25μ at its greatest diameter. At the neck the sac tapers to 5–6μ. The lateral walls are thin, about 2μ in section, and basally the sac wall increases in thickness to about 4μ. The base of the sac continues internally as a tapering cytoplasmic pyramid, about 70μ long.

The cytoplasm of the lateral walls appears to contain fine corrugations or sinuous longitudinal striations, which stain poorly and which are at about the limit of microscopic resolution. In the base of the ampulla and in the basal stem the cytoplasm is granular and stains with haematoxylin. One or two nuclei (two seem to be usual) lie in the cytoplasm at the base of the distal half. The sacs are closely invested by stellate connective tissue cells of the mesoderm (Text-fig. 5; Pl. II).

Each sac is a single large cell, in the ampullary distal half of which there is a large pyriform lumen containing conspicuous corpuscles (Pl. II). The contents of the sacs show differential staining with Heidenhain-azan; some

Text-fig. 5. Luminous glands in the head of *Metridia longa*. Left, longitudinal horizontal section, stained with Heidenhain-azan. Right, longitudinal vertical section stained with iron haematoxylin. *p*, Pore; *pl*, plug of secretory material in neck of gland cell; 1, 2, glands, types 1 and 2 respectively.
sacs (gland type 1) contain blue bodies staining with aniline blue (‘blue’ corpuscles); others (gland type 2), red bodies staining with azocarmine (‘red’ corpuscles). ‘Blue’ and ‘red’ sacs lie side by side in groups; a ‘blue’ and a ‘red’ sac open through the cuticle by a common aperture (pore, Pl. II).

‘Blue’ corpuscles, type 1 are very variable in shape, often subspherical or polyhedral, about 3–6μ in size. They are closely packed together in the sacs, sometimes coalescing or fusing together. They look like blobs of material coagulated by fixation. The interior of the corpuscles contains a homogenous or fine granular material staining with aniline blue; staining is moderate with iron haematoxylin; following Masson’s trichrome, the granular material is reddish. The corpuscle seems to be neither strongly basophilic nor acidophilic.

‘Red’ corpuscle, type 2 is variable in shape, polygonal, rectangular or pyriform in section, 7–11μ in size. Usually it displays conspicuous collateral striations. A characteristic ‘red’ corpuscle has a homogeneous margin (usually towards the exterior), succeeded by a wide zone of striae, which grade into lines of granules, 0.5–0.8μ. The entire corpuscle stains bright red with azocarmine, densely with iron haematoxylin, and blue with Weigert’s haematoxylin.

Both kinds of corpuscles seem to be formed in pockets of the cytoplasm at the bases of the ampullae, and then pass into the lumina of the sacs. Towards the exterior the corpuscles are elongated, as if being squeezed through the neck of the sac. The different appearances of the corpuscles in gland types 1 and 2, often within the confines of a single cell, suggest that changes occur in them prior to discharge.

*Metridia lucens* possesses gland types 1 and 2, having the same character as those of *M. longa*. Red corpuscles, 6–12μ in size, are striated. The ‘blue’ corpuscles, staining feebly with aniline blue, are about 8μ across; in some cells they are fused into larger, deeply staining masses.

*Pleuromamma robusta*. Sections, stained with Heidenhain-azan, showed luminescent glands in the head, which closely resembled those of *M. longa*. Gland types 1 and 2 were distinguishable. Gland type 1 contains small, blue-staining corpuscles (ca. 4μ). Gland type 2 possesses deeply staining red corpuscles, which are oval or ovoid in shape, and have longitudinal striations. These are 3–5μ × 15–18μ in size. Other red corpuscles, apparently disintegrating, contain coarse reddish granules. The pore through the cuticle is 6μ in diameter, and contains a plug of striated red-staining material. The base of the cells contains two nuclei.

*Gaussia princeps*. One formalin-preserved specimen from 6° 26’ S., 39° 44’ E. was examined. Sections were stained with Ehrlich’s haematoxylin and eosin. Luminescent glands resembling, in general, those of *Metridia* were observed in the head and in abdominal segments 3 and 4. Sacs of the glands are about 50 × 200μ in size, and individual corpuscles are about 10 × 30μ.

Two kinds of glands are present: blue (type 1), staining faintly with
haematoxylin; and red (type 2), staining with eosin and showing striations and coarse granules. The two types of gland cells lie side by side.

Sewell (1929) has given details of cuticular pores in many copepods. In luminous species the position of some pores corresponds to luminous glands and these pores are probably the orifices through which the luminous glands discharge, but others are manifestly the openings of non-luminous skin-glands.

OBSERVATIONS ON MISCELLANEOUS PELAGIC ANIMALS

Luminescence was observed in various pelagic animals, in addition to copepods, and some measurements of light intensities were made.

Coeleterates

Hydromedusae

Aequorea macrodactyla (Brandt). Two specimens were caught at the surface in hand-nets, at station Jy 2, 23:30 h. When the animal was touched the margin of the umbrella luminesced. Light appeared in spots about the circumference, apparently in or near the tentacular bulbs. Only the immediate region that was stimulated flashed and there was no spread of luminescence from that locus to regions elsewhere around the margin. The light was seen best from below the umbrella.

Text-fig. 6. Oscilloscope records (moving paper) of the luminous flashes of: A, Aeginura grimaldii; B, Periphylla periphylla; C, Meganyctiphanes norvegica; D, Myctophum punctatum. Flashing induced by short bursts of a.c. Time, horizontal bar (continuous line), 1 sec.

Aeginura grimaldii Maas. Two specimens from station Jy 3, tow no. 1. The animals were stimulated with short pulses of a.c. in chamber no. 2. They responded with glows lasting 2–6 sec (Text-fig. 6A). Intensities recorded
were $0.5 \times 10^{-5}$ and $1.3 \times 10^{-5} \mu W/cm^2$ receptor surface at 15 cm distance ($15^\circ C$).

**Scyphomedusae**

*Periphylla periphylla* (Péron & Lesueur). Two specimens from station Jy 3, tow no. 1. These were stimulated in chamber no. 2 with short pulses of a.c. They responded with glows lasting 0.8–4 sec and consisting of summated flashes (Text-fig. 6B). Intensities were $0.13 \times 10^{-5}$ and $0.3 \times 10^{-5} \mu W/cm^2$ receptor surface at 15 cm distance ($15^\circ C$).

**Euphausiacea**

*Meganyctiphanes norvegicus* (M. Sars). Two specimens from Station Jy 5. They were stimulated in chamber no. 1 with short pulses of a.c. (50–60 V). They gave rather prolonged feeble glows lasting 4–22 sec; intensities were $0.04 \times 10^{-5}$ and $0.13 \times 10^{-5} \mu W/cm^2$ receptor surface at 15 cm distance (Text-fig. 6c).

**Decapoda**

*Acanthephyra pelagica* (Risso). When handled these shrimp discharge a copious luminous secretion, the light from which persists for several seconds. With repeated stimulation the luminescence becomes weaker at each discharge. Some animals, when first examined, gave a very feeble glow and possibly had become exhausted during capture and sorting. The intensity was recorded from one specimen caught at station Jy 3, tow no. 1. It was stimulated with short bursts of a.c. in chamber no. 1. The glow lasted 3–4 sec, the light was blue and the intensity was $11.2 \times 10^{-5} \mu W/cm^2$ receptor surface at 15 cm distance ($15^\circ C$). Luminescence in *Acanthephyra* has been overlooked hitherto, despite the fact that specimens frequently are caught alive. Luminescence has long been known in another common hoplophorid (acanthephyrid) of the North Atlantic, *Systellaspis debilis* (A. Milne-Edwards) which has discrete photophores and which discharges a luminous cloud. Previous references to luminescence in *Acanthephyra* were thought to be based upon misidentification of specimens of *Systellaspis* (see Chace, 1940).

**Teleost Fish**

*Mycotophum affine* (Lütken) and *M. punctatum* Rafinesque. Two specimens were caught in hand-nets at station Jy 3, 23.00 h. They were attracted to the side of the ship by a lamp hanging in the water. The fish were stimulated with short bursts of a.c. (80 V) in chamber no. 1. They emitted a steady glow lasting 1.3–4 sec from all photophores during each stimulus (Text-fig. 6d). The intensity of the light of *M. punctatum* at 14 cm distance was $0.1 \times 10^{-5} \mu W/cm^2$ receptor surface ($23^\circ C$).
DISCUSSION

Subsequent to Giesbrecht’s monographs on marine copepods (1892, 1895), very little descriptive information concerning luminescence in this important group of planktonic Crustacea has been published. Much of the literature consists of casual observations of mixed plankton tows, often by workers with little knowledge of zooplankton taxonomy. Harvey (1952) lists nine families

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3: Luminous Copepods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Literature reference or original observation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiernik (1908)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giesbrecht (1895)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boeck (1864), Kiernik (1908), Bigelow (1926)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dahl (1894)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giesbrecht (1895)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giesbrecht (1895)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boeck (1864), Kiernik (1908), Bigelow (1926)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dahl (1894)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giesbrecht (1895)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dahl, (1893, 1894)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giesbrecht (1895)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giesbrecht (1895)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal communication, W. Aron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giesbrecht (1895)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giesbrecht (1895)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doubtful records, all of which need confirmation before acceptance, are:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euchaetidae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiernik (1908), Harvey (1952), Hardy (1956)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardy (1956)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardy (1956)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvey (1952)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(one doubtful)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On present evidence, we regard four of the families listed by Harvey as definitely luminous and add a fifth, the Augaptilidae (Table 3).

The sources for the identification of luminous species, so far as known, are given in Table 3. Only one copepod in the suborder Cyclopoidea is reported to be luminous, viz. Oncaea conifera. Giesbrecht (1895) stated that several
other species of *Oncaea* which he examined were not luminous. Giesbrecht's observations seem to be reliable. Only Kiernik (1908) has observed luminescence in *Chiridius*, and it would be well to have this observation confirmed; several other genera in the Aetideidae examined during the present investigation were not luminous. Other records of luminous copepods, for which there is reasonable doubt, are also presented in the lower part of Table 3. *Euchaeta*, according to Giesbrecht (1895), is not luminous, and confirmation of luminescence is desirable; Hardy (1956) seems to have assumed that *Paraeuchaeta* and *Scoleithricella* are luminous, and firm observations are wanting. In two other copepods, viz. *Cephalophanes* and *Corycaeus* mentioned by Harvey (1952), supposed luminescence is based upon tenuous conjecture: the peculiar eye of *Cephalophanes* has been misinterpreted as a luminous organ; Dana (1846) and Giesbrecht (1895) stated that *Corycaeus* was not luminous. We have been unable to find the source from which Harvey (1952) concluded that *Ponella* is luminous. Perhaps also there has been confusion with the large eyes of this species; moreover, Giesbrecht (1895) states that it is not luminous. The large eyes of *Cephalophanes* and *Ponella* are described by Vaissière (1961).

In the present study it has been shown that the luminescent glands of the Metridiidae, Lucicutiidae and Augaptillidae fluoresce, whereas those of the Heterorhabdidae do not. It is possible that, corresponding with this distinction, there are biochemical dissimilarities in the luminescent reactions of the Heterorhabdidae, compared with the other families. The Heterorhabdidae, moreover, show some physiological difference in their luminous responses to repetitive electrical stimulation. Further biophysical and biochemical studies of these several copepod families, in order to discover what differences exist in their luminescent systems, would be interesting.

Among the Metridiidae studied, each species possesses a peculiar pattern of fluorescence associated with the position of the luminescent glands. Giesbrecht (1895) also found striking differences in the disposition of luminescent glands between *Pleuromamma abdominalis* and *P. gracile*. However, from Giesbrecht's verbal description (1895) and illustration (1892, pl. 5, fig. 8) of *P. abdominalis*, it has not been possible to differentiate the luminous glands of that species from those of *P. robusta* (Text-fig. 4, p. 551) studied by us. A more extensive survey of luminous copepods is desirable to establish whether the number and arrangement of luminescent glands can serve as useful taxonomic characters, particularly for identification of living animals. Some luminescent genera contain many species of rather similar appearance, which are presently separated by the detailed structure of appendages, not easily examined without dissection. For example, *Metridia lucens* and *M. longa* are quite similar in appearance, except for size, and under some conditions it is rather difficult to make a positive species-identification of living animals, yet the fluorescence patterns shown in Text-figs. 3 and 4 can be readily observed.

'Fluorescence taxonomy' may even have some use among species which do
not have fluorescent luminescent glands. Two species, *Heterorhabdus norvegicus* and *H. robustus*, are not easily distinguished except by differences in the armature of the mouthparts. *H. robustus*, in particular, has a heavily chitinized spur on the left mandible, and this feature is frequently difficult to distinguish when partially obscured by the other mouth-parts. Yet the spur, as well as other dense chitinous structures, fluoresces strongly and can be recognized at once in ventral view when illuminated with ultra-violet light from above or below.

The discharge of a luminous secretion is well established in copepods; nevertheless, it has been observed that after repeated stimulation the response becomes weaker and appears to be entirely generated within the gland itself. Sometimes an after-glow of several seconds can be observed in a gland which has previously been stimulated several times. On one occasion only the portion nearest the external pore in a single luminescent gland on one of the swimming legs of *H. norvegicus* was seen to glow for several seconds after stimulation, although the entire gland seemed to be more or less homogeneous in appearance under natural illumination. In *Heterorhabdus* also the response was seldom synchronous (see also Fig. 16, p. 545). Under dark-field illumination multiple flashing was often noted on different parts of the head, and a progressive wave of flashing could sometimes be seen to move out into the first antennae.

With those animals which fluoresce under ultra-violet light repeated stimulation reduces the intensity of the response until only a dull glow is produced in the glands. At the same time the intensity of the fluorescence itself gradually diminishes, suggesting that fluorescence is associated with the photogenic material.

Unfortunately nothing is known about the biochemistry of luminescence in copepods, apart from Harvey's report (1926 a) that he was unable to elicit a luciferin-luciferase reaction by the usual method. More refined techniques, however, may reveal the nature of the reactants, and the presence of two disparate kind of glands, in close juxtaposition, does suggest that two reactants are released that interact together in the sea water. The residual luminescence sometimes seen at the locus of the luminescent glands in the animal may occur in the necks of the glands, or in the cuticular pore where the reactants first encounter each other.

The very brief latencies of the luminous responses of *Metridia* and *Pleuromamma* demand some special explanation. It may be, for example, that the luminous response is initiated internally, before the secretion is expelled to the exterior. However, the usual response that one sees in fresh animals is associated with discharged secretion. It is not known how the material is discharged: gland cells themselves may be contractile, or raised hydrostatic pressure, produced by muscular contraction, may be involved.

Copepods emit a blue light, and previous physical measurements of
M. lucens have revealed an emission peak at 482 m$\mu$<sub>l</sub>, and intensities up to $1.2 \times 10^{-3} \mu$W per square cm of receptor surface at 18 cm distance (David & Conover, 1961). In the present study the intensities of light emitted by all species of copepods examined ranged from $0.01 \times 10^{-5}$ to $14.4 \times 10^{-5} \mu$W per square cm of receptor surface at a distance of 15 cm. Compared with other planktonic organisms, copepods emit bright flashes. The intensity of luminescence of copepods lies within the range recorded for other marine pelagic animals, and the brightest flashes equal those produced by euphausiids, acanthephyrids and pyrosomes. However, the total amount of light produced by copepods may be much less than in some of these other animals mentioned because the response is usually rather brief. There is little doubt that a great deal of luminescence in the mid-waters of the ocean is due to copepods, such as *Metridia* and *Pleuromamma*, which execute diurnal movements, moving
towards the surface at night. Text-fig. 7 contains records made with a submerged bathyphotometer (Clarke & Wertheim, 1956), at depths of 550–2000 m at station Jy 3 and illustrates some patterns of flashing frequently observed with this instrument. Such flashes are not unlike those produced by copepods, and it is hoped that future work will render it possible to identify the organisms responsible for the luminous flashes that can now be detected in the sea.

David & Conover (1961) have indicated that the luminous response of copepods forms part of an escape reaction. In this behaviour a rapid luminous response, having a brief latency and fast increment of light intensity, would be of value. It is probably analogous to the luminous discharges of decapod crustaceans (e.g. Acanthephyra), squid (Heteroteuthis), and fish (Searisia): such discharges, in dark environments, appear to detract momentarily the attention of some other animal while the creature making them escapes quickly. Copepods, like decapod Crustacea, have quick escape reactions, probably mediated by giant axons (Lowe, 1935; Holmes, 1942).

We should like to thank Dr P. L. Sachs and Mr N. R. Andersen for collecting luminous copepods for us on R.V. ‘Crawford’ on 7 September 1961, and Dr S. M. Marshall for securing live Metridia lucens at Millport and sending them to Plymouth. Mr G. H. Volkmann loaned us a cathode-ray oscilloscope; Dr K. S. Tweedell, and Messrs R. C. Kahn and G. C. Whiteley helped us with fluorescence microscopy; Mr T. R. Renshaw and Miss J. R. Beebe rendered general assistance. Mr A. C. G. Best prepared the histological sections and made the photomicrographs. Mr J. H. Wickstead loaned us his sections of Gaussia princeps for examination.

Animals were identified by Dr F. S. Russell (medusae), Dr G. D. Grice (copepods), Dr Fenner A. Chace, Jr. (acanthephyrid), Dr N. B. Marshall (myctophids).

One of us (J.A.C.N.) acknowledges receipt of a fellowship from the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution and should like to thank the Director of that Institution and his staff for many kindnesses.

**SUMMARY**

A comparative study of the following luminous copepods was undertaken: Metridia lucens, M. longa, M. princeps, Pleuromamma robusta, P. xiphias, Heterorhabdus norvegicus, H. robustus, Heterostylites longicornis, Lucicutia grandis, Hemirhabdus grimaldii, Disseta palumboi, Euaugaptilus magnus and Centraugaptilus horridus. Flashes produced by electrical stimulation (a.c. or condenser shocks) and mechanical stimulation were recorded photoelectrically. Flashes lasted from 2 to 37 sec. Latencies of some species (Metridiiidae), following electrical stimulation, were very short, 7–9 msec. Intensities ranged from $0.02 \times 10^{-5}$ to $14.4 \times 10^{-5} \mu W/s cm^2$ of receptor surface at 15 cm distance
Luminous glands of Metridiidae, Lucicutiidae, and Augaptilidae are autofluorescent; the location of the luminous glands in these families and in Heterorhabdidae is described. Two kinds of glandular cells (types 1 and 2) occur in the luminescent areas. The cells are large saccular structures containing granular or homogeneous material, and are distinguished by staining peculiarities. Cell types 1 and 2 open through common pores, and may be the source of luminous reactants. Some measurements of luminescence in other pelagic animals are presented, for comparison with copepods, viz. Aequorea macrodactyla, Aeginura grimaldii (Hydromedusae), Periphylla periphylla (Scyphomedusa), Meganyctiphanes norvegica and Acanthephyra pelagica (Crustacea), Myctophum punctatum (Teleostei). Our present knowledge regarding luminescence among copepods is reviewed.
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LUMINESCENCE IN PELAGIC COPEPODS


EXPLANATION OF PLATES

PLATE I
Photomicrograph of *Metridia lucens* exposed to ultra-violet light from below. Luminous areas and exoskeleton were autofluorescent. Luminous glands show as white patches on the head, second thoracic segment, and abdomen. The abdomen gave a muscular spasm during exposure. ×63. (Photograph by A. C. G. Best.)

PLATE II
Luminous glands of *M. longa*. Helly’s fluid. Heidenhain-azan. Fig. 1. Longitudinal vertical section through middle of head. ×192. Fig. 2. Longitudinal horizontal section through head. ×789. 1, gland type 1; 2, gland type 2; p, pore.
# APPENDIX I

## STATION LOCATIONS WITH PERTINENT INFORMATION REGARDING COLLECTION OF EXPERIMENTAL MATERIAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cruise</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Sta. no.</th>
<th>Latitude N.</th>
<th>Longitude W.</th>
<th>Depth to bottom (m)</th>
<th>Depth of tow (m)</th>
<th>Time of tow (h)</th>
<th>Type of gear</th>
<th>Sea temp. (°C)</th>
<th>Surface state (Beaufort)</th>
<th>Weather</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MV 'Capt. Bill III'</td>
<td>29 June 1961</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>42° 11'</td>
<td>69° 37'</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>ca. 230</td>
<td>19.22-21.20</td>
<td>1 m, no. 000 net</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV 'Crawford' 64</td>
<td>25 July 1961</td>
<td>Jy 1</td>
<td>38° 42'</td>
<td>70° 53'</td>
<td>2900</td>
<td>ca. 1000</td>
<td>12.53-15.15</td>
<td>1 m, no. 000 net</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26 July</td>
<td>Jy 2</td>
<td>37° 28'</td>
<td>69° 02'</td>
<td>4200</td>
<td>ca. 1000*</td>
<td>15.10-16.40</td>
<td>1 m, no. 000 net</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Partly cloudy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27 July</td>
<td>Jy 3</td>
<td>39° 58'</td>
<td>66° 30'</td>
<td>3660</td>
<td>975</td>
<td>14.28-16.00</td>
<td>1 m, no. 000 net</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Foggy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28 July</td>
<td>Jy 4</td>
<td>41° 30'</td>
<td>65° 01'</td>
<td>2740</td>
<td>ca. 1000</td>
<td>19.41-20.50</td>
<td>1 m, no. 000 net</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Clear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29 July</td>
<td>Jy 5</td>
<td>43° 22'</td>
<td>67° 42'</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>ca. 200</td>
<td>16.45-17.45</td>
<td>1 m, no. 000 net</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>High clouds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV 'Crawford' 68</td>
<td>7 Sept. 1961</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>39° 40'</td>
<td>69° 48'</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>ca. 500</td>
<td>05.00-06.00</td>
<td>½ m, no. 00 net</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Strand of cable broke with about 425 m wire still out. Recovery of net delayed about 40 min.
INDEX

GENERA AND SPECIES

Abietinaria abietina, 607
Acanthephyra pelagica, 556, 561
Acanthocotyle, 99, 102-3
— merlucci, 707
Acanthonchocotyle appendiculatum, 552
— canicula, 522
Acartia, 629, 637-8
— bifilosa, 131-54
— clausi, 131-54
— discaudata, 131-54
— tonsa, 131-54
Aeginura grimaldii, 555, 562
Aequorea macrodactyla, 555, 562
Agalma, 285
Aglantha, 373-4
— digitale, 284-366
Agrobacterium tumefasciens, 579
Aloidis, 118-19
— gibba, 117
Alosa, 598
Anabaena, 490
— cylindrica, 489, 492
Ancyrocotyle, 102
Anomia, 116
Anthocotyle merluccii, 707
Antithamnion, 726
— plumula, 71, 580-3
Apherusa, 283-366
— clevei, 283
— ovalipes, 283
Arca senilis, 116, 120
— tetragona, 120
Artemia salina, 270
Ascophyllum, 650
— nodosum, 71, 607
Astacus, 199-241
Asterias nigra, 1
— rubens, 49-64
Aurelia aurita, 173
Austropotamobius pallipes pallipes, 199-241

Balanus, 174
— amphitrite, 163-74
— cirratus, 175
— denticulata, 163-75
— hawaiiensis, 169
— variegatus, 175
— balanoides, 172-4, 672, 720
— crenatus, 172-3
Balanus eburneus, 163-77
— improvisus, 171-4
— assimilis, 172
— perforatus, 172-3
— tintinabulum, 163, 171-2
— variegatus, 175
— cirratus, 175
Bankia, 119
— gouldi, 115
— setacea, 114
Bathyella natans, 721
Benendenia, 102
— convolutus, 102
— macroalpa, 102
— pacifica, 102
Biddulphia aurita, 518
— sinensis, 303
Blennius pholis, 156
Botula, 116, 121
Brachiomonas submarina, 513
Brachyschendyla montana, 656
Branchionoma, 537
— tesculosum, 259-74, 536
Brechites, 117
Calanus, 611, 616-19, 628, 631, 634
— finmarchicus, 349, 517
— helgolandicus, 719, 728
— hyperboreus, 349, 638
Calliactis parasitica, 466
Callithamnion tetricum, 581-2
Calocaris, 705
Campepea hirsuta, 665
Candacia, 284
— armata, 284-366
Carcinus, 199, 204, 207, 218-19, 237-40
— maenas, 56, 262, 706, 728
Cardium edule, 428, 609
— exiguum, 115
— lamarchi, 115
Caudina chilensis, 59
Centraugaptilus, 543, 549, 552
— horridus, 543, 557, 561
Centroderes eisigii, 505
— multispinosus, 505-6
— spinosus, 505
Centropages, 283
— bradyi, 283
— hamatus, 131-54, 283-366
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Cryptomya, 117
Crystallogobius, 321
Crystallolithus hyalinus, 403
Cultellus, 119
— pellucidus, 114-15
Cuspidaria cuspidata, 610, 614, 618-23
Cyrtodaria, 117
Dasyatis pastinaca, 102
Deania, 724
— calceus, 722
Delesseria decipiens, 85
Devonia, 119
Diadema, 26-7
— antillarum, 18, 26
— setosum, 12
Dicratera inornata, 271
Diopatra, 536
Diplozoon paradoxum, 523
Discinia, 479
Discocysta sagittata, 523
Disseta, 543
— palumboi, 542, 557, 561
Doliolum, 283-366
— nationalis, 340
Dreissenia, 114
Dunaliella, 131
— tertiolecta, 271
Dynamene bidentata, 665
Echinocardium cordatum, 119
Echinochama, 117
Echinoderella elongata, 504
— setigera, 504
Echinoderes dujardini, 503
— worthingi, 503
Echinus, 722
— esculentus, 53, 59, 718
Ectocarpus, 728-9
Eledone cirrhosa, 405
Elminius modestus, 173, 720
Elphidium crispum, 728
Enigmoma, 116
Enteromorpha, 728-9
— intestinalis, 607
Entobdella diadema, 102
— hippoclossi, 104
— soleae, 93-104
— squamula, 93, 102
Entodesma, 117-18, 120
Epinephelus akaara, 102
Etmopterus, 724
Eucapitulus, 543, 545, 549, 552
— magnus, 543-4, 550, 557, 561
Euchaeta, 557-8
— acuta, 339
— hebes, 284-366
INDEX

Euchaeta norvegica, 725
Eudistyli polymorpha, 259–74
— vancouveri, 259–74
Eupagurus bernhardus, 607
Euthemisto, 285
— compressa, 285, 349
Eoadne, 332
— nordmanni, 243
Filograna, 536
Foramelia, 116
Fucus, 643, 666, 674–5
— serratus, 601–7, 641–53, 655, 665, 672, 676, 681
— vesiculosus, 606–7
Gafrarium minimum, 421
Galathea squamifera, 728
Galeolaria caespitosa, 259–74
Galeomma, 117, 119
Gastrochaena, 117
Gastrocotyle trachuri, 587–600
Gaulia princeps, 554, 557, 561
Gelidium amansii, 65
Geophilus algarum, 661–4
— decipiens, 662
— fucorum, 661–4
— fucorum, 661–2
— seurati, 660–2
— pusillifrater, 663
Gibbula, 173
Gigartina, 605
— stellata, 606
Glanis, 117
Glottidia, 478
Glycimeris, 116, 120
Gracilaria verrucosa, 65
Gymnodinium veneficum, 719
Hydrobunda, 708
Haliclystus auricula, 721
Haliois, 722
— tuberculata, 493–8
Halophila, 718
— viridis, 128, 717
Haplosporoloplos bostorus, 537
Harmothoe, 538
Heptabrachia, 467
Hemirhabdus, 543
— grimaldii, 542, 547–8, 557, 561
Hemithyris pisitacea, 478
Heterorhabdus, 544
— norvegicus, 542, 545, 547–8, 550, 552, 559, 561
— papilliger, 552, 557
— robustus, 542, 547–8, 557, 559, 561
Heterostylites, 543
— longicornis, 542, 544–5, 547–8, 557, 561
Heteroteuthis, 561
Hexabothrium, 521
— appendiculatum, 521–5
— canicula, 521–2, 525
— caniculae, 523
— musteli, 521
Hexagrammos, 598
Hetia, 117
Himites multitentacularis, 685
Hippoglossus hippoglossus, 104
Holothuria, 722
— forskali, 18, 26
Homarus americanus, 208–9
— vulgaris, 199–241, 728
Hyalinocia, 536
Hyalophyes, 507–8
— calmani, 503
Hydrallmania falcata, 607
Hydrobia jenkinsi, 231
Hydroscyphus submarina, 655–7, 662–4
Isocardia, 117
Isochrysis, 485
— galbana, 271, 491–2
Jaera albifrons, 721
— nordmanni, 721
Kellia, 117, 119
— subbuccularis, 128
Kelliella, 117–18
— miliaris, 119
Kuhnia minor, 598
— scombi, 598
Laminaria, 493
— digitata, 129, 378–81
— hyperborea, 604
— saccharina, 378–85, 606–7
Lampetra, 727
Lasaea, 117
— rubra, 128
Lepidometria, 536
Leptocotyle minor, 99–103
Leptodermus, 507–8
Libinia, 705
Ligia oceanica, 659
Lima, 122
— hans, 116
Limanda aspera, 598
Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri, 101–2
Lingula, 470, 477–9
— unguis, 478
Liriope tetraphylla, 279, 284–366
Lithophaga, 116, 121
INDEX

Lithophyllum, 605–7, 642–50
— incrustans, 641, 652–3
Lithothamnion, 493
Loligo, 536, 726
— forbesi, 405–18
Lucernariopsis, 721
— campanulata, 721
Lumbricus, 537–8
Macoma balthica, 119
Malleus, 116
Marthasterias glacialis, 45, 270, 497
Mazocraes alosae, 598
Meganyciphanes, 374–5
— norvegica, 284–366, 555–6, 562, 725
Mercenaria mercenaria, 432
Metridia, 543, 549, 559, 560
— lucens, 541–63
— princeps, 542–50, 557, 563
Metridium senile, 18, 27, 44, 466
Microcharon harrisi, 720
Microcoleus vaginatus, 490, 492
Microcotyle gotoi, 598
Micromonas squamatus, 565
Mnemiopsis, 546
Modiolaria, 122
Modiolus, 683
— demissus, 615
Monia macroschima, 116
Monochrysis lutheri, 427
Monodonita, 173
Monodus, 490
— subterraneus, 489, 492
Montacuta, 117, 119
— ferruginosa, 119, 128
— striata, 119, 128
Mora mediterranea, 722
Muggiaea, 279, 283–366
— atlantica, 283
— kochi, 283
Musculus, 122
Mustelus canis, 521
Mya, 117
— arenaria, 114–15, 119, 123, 609–23
Myctophum affine, 556
— punctatum, 555–6, 562
Myliobatis aquila, 102
— californicus, 102
Mytilus, 113, 121, 131, 217, 615, 618, 727
— edulis, 113–14, 116, 123, 215, 432
— californianus, 44
Myxicola infundibulum, 259–74, 527–39
Myxin, 727
Naesa bidentata, 665–82
Nannochloris, 626–7
— oculata, 511
Nanomia, 284–366
Necrophloeophagus longicornis, 659, 662
Nemalion multifidum, 65
Neothyris lenticularis, 477
Nerita, 537–8
Nitzschia closterium, 592
Noctiluca, 546
Nucula, 116, 439
Nyctiphanes, 373, 375
— couchi, 279, 283–366
Ochrophaera nepalitana, 483
Octopus, 415
— vulgaris, 405
Octostoma scombris, 598
Oikopleura dioica, 243–52
Onclea, 558
— conifera, 557
Onchocotyle, 521
— appendiculata, 523
— emarginulata, 523–4
Ophidiaster, 270
Ophiocoma nigra, 1–8, 9–31, 33–47
— raschi, 2
Ophiomyxa pentagona, 26
Ophioperis papillosa, 40
Ophioprique spiculata, 40
Orchestia gamarella, 659
Ostrea, 438
— edulis, 114, 427, 429, 610–19, 623, 690
Pagurus bernhardus, 466
Palaemon adspersus, 705
— serratus, 156, 199–241, 728
Palaemonetes, 223
— varians, 211, 222
Pandalus, 705
— borealis, 725
Pandora, 119
— inaequivalvis, 114
Panomya, 117
Panope, 117
Paraeuchaeta, 557–8
Paralichthys californicus, 93, 102
Paraphysomonas vestita, 565, 569
Parathemisto, 283
— gaudichaudi, 285, 349
— gracilipes, 284–366
— oblivia, 285
Parasphaea, 321, 346, 349
— sivado, 299
INDEX

Pecten, 116
— grandis, 685
— inflexus, 685
— maximus, 683–5, 691
— yessoensis, 685
Pedalion, 116
Pedum, 116
Peridinium triquetrum, 512
Periphylla periphylla, 555–6, 562
Petricola, 117
Petromyzon, 727
Phaeocystis, 303
Phaeodactylum, 131, 515, 609–23
— tricornutum, 491–2, 513, 516–17
Phallusia mammillata, 127
Photinus, 546
Physophora, 285
Pinca, 113, 121
Placenta, 116, 122
Placuna, 116, 122
Platymonas, 129
Plectanocotyle gurnardi, 523
Pleurochrysis scherffii, 482
Pleurochaetoma, 543–4, 549, 557, 559, 560
— abdominalis, 557–8
— gracile, 558
— gracilis, 557
— robusta, 542–61
— xiphias, 542–5, 550–2, 557, 561
Pleuronectes platessa, 101, 105–12
Plumaria elegans, 65–92, 583, 726
Pneumatophorus japonicus, 598
Pododesmus macroschima, 116, 123
Podon, 332
Polybrachia, 467
Polides rotundatus, 607
Polynoe, 546
Polysiphonia lanosa, 71, 85
Polystoma integerrimum, 707
Pomacutera triqueter, 259–74
Porella, 557–8
Porifera, 387–90
Porcellana longicornis, 131–54
Porphyra nereocystis, 87
— perforata, 87
— officinalis, 521–6, 705
— stellaris, 521–2, 525
— costatum, 512–13, 516
Sabella spallanzanii, 259–74, 465
— pavonina, 259–74
Sabella, 719
Saccorhiza polyschides, 378–81
Sagitta elegans, 275, 279, 284–366
— friderici, 322, 325
— serratodentata, 284–366
— setosa, 279, 284–366
Salpa, 284–366
— fusiformis, 331–2, 340, 347
Sardina pilchardus, 284–366
Schendyla peyerimhoffi, 657, 663
Scolicia insignis, 259–74
Scoloplos maritimus, 655–7
Scomber scombrus, 319
Scolitella, 489, 492
Scyllobarchus canicula, 99, 102–3, 521–6, 705
— stellaris, 521–2, 525
Scyliorhinus catulus, 521
Searsia, 561
Semnoderes, 116
Semenoderes armiger, 505
Senilia senilis, 120
Sepia, 15, 415
— officinalis, 405–18
Serpula corrugata, 605
— vermicularis, 259–74
Siboglinum weberi, 467
Skeletomena, 517, 626–7
— costatum, 512–13, 516
Solea solea, 93, 99–101, 103, 723
Solen, 120
Spatangus purpureus, 119
Spermothamnion repens, 581–2
Sphaeroma hookeri, 728
Sphenia, 118, 120
INDEX

Sphenia binghami, 117-18
Spiratella leseueri, 284-366
— retroversa, 284-366
Spirorbis borealis, 601-7, 641-53
— tridentatus, 603
— coralinae, 601-8, 641, 650-1
— corrugatus, 605
— granulatus, 601-7
— tridentatus, 603
— linei, 602
— malardi, 605-7
— medius, 605
— militaris, 605
— pagenstecheri, 601-7, 650
— pusilloides, 605
— rupestris, 602-7, 641-54
— spirillum, 601-7
— spirobis, 602
— tridentatus, 601-7, 641, 650-1
— violaceus, 605
Squalus acanthias, 179-97, 722
— suckleyi, 195
Squatina squatina, 99, 102-3
Stolephorus encrasicolus, 319
Strigamia maritima, 656-64
Syrocospheera, 626
— elongata, 513
Systellaspis debilis, 556
Tegulorhynchia, 479
— doderleini, 478
— nigricans, 475, 478-9
Temora longicornis, 131-54, 625-40
Tetractita, 172, 174
— radiata, 163, 171
— squamosa, 163, 171
Thalassiosira, 517-18
— gravida, 518
Thalassiosira nordenskjoeldi, 518
Thyone, 26
Thysanoessa inermis, 284-366
Tivela stultorum, 114
Tomopteris catherina, 349
— helgolandica, 284-366
Trachinus draco, 155
— vipera, 155-62
Trachurus trachurus, 308, 587-600
Tribrunema aequale, 489, 492
Tridacna, 117
— croceae, 116, 123
Tubifex rivulorum, 101
Turtonia, 117-18
— minuta, 128
Ulua lactuca, 606-7
Venerupis pullastra, 114, 419-22, 430, 438
— rhomboideae, 722
— saxatilis, 117
Venus fasciata, 421
— mercenaria, 432, 609-10, 618-19
— ovata, 421
— striatula, 419-43, 610-23
Verrucaria stroemia, 173, 282
Verrucaria, 655, 657, 660
Vulsella, 116
Xanthoria, 657
Xylotrya gouldi, 114, 115
Yoldia, 116
Zirphaea, 119
— crispata, 114
Zostera, 659