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Abstract

Background: Antidepressants (ADs) are known to have the potential to cause various cardiovascular adverse drug reactions (ADRs). The tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs) were first revealed to be a possible source of cardiovascular ADRs. In recent years, newer classes of ADs were also suggested to have a higher risk of cardiovascular adverse effects. In particular, the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) were suspected to have the potential to induce QTc interval prolongation, and therefore increase the risk of ventricular arrhythmia. This descriptive study is based on the continuous pharmacovigilance program of German-speaking countries (Austria, Germany, and Switzerland), the Arzneimittelsicherheit in der Psychiatrie (AMSP), which assesses severe ADRs occurring in clinical routine situations.

Methods: Of 169,278 psychiatric inpatients treated with ADs between 1993 and 2010, 198 cases of cardiovascular ADRs (0.12%) were analyzed.

Results: Our study showed that the incidence rates of cardiovascular ADRs were highest during treatment with monoamine oxidase inhibitors (0.27%), TCAs (0.15%), and serotonin noradrenaline reuptake inhibitors (0.14%); the risk of occurring during treatment with SSRIs (0.08%) was significantly lower. The noradrenergic and specific serotonergic AD mirtazapine (0.07%) had a significantly lower risk of cardiovascular ADRs than all other ADs. Severe hypotension was the most frequent ADR, followed by hypertension, arrhythmia, and in some rare cases heart failure.

Conclusions: Despite certain limitations due to the AMSP study design, our observations on cardiovascular ADRs can contribute to a better knowledge of the cardiovascular risk profiles of antidepressants in the clinical routine setting. However, prospective studies are needed to verify our findings.
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Introduction

Psychotropic drugs, like all other compounds used medically, are known to have the potential to cause cardiovascular adverse drug reactions (ADRs; Mackin, 2008). Besides antipsychotics (Kasper et al., 2010), the tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs) were first revealed to be a possible source of life-threatening arrhythmia, including QTc prolongation, by blocking cardiovascular ion channels (Davison, 1985; Lentini et al., 2001; Pacher and Kecskemeti, 2004; van Noord et al., 2009). Furthermore, they were consistently shown to cause orthostatic hypotension at therapeutic dosages (Glassman et al., 1979; Glassman and Bigger, 1981). Compared to TCAs, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) and newer classes of antidepressants (ADs) have been suggested to have safer cardiovascular profiles (Grimley and Jann, 1992; Leonard, 1993; Kasper et al., 1996; Pacher et al., 1999; Ray et al., 2004; Fernandez et al., 2007; Zemrak and Kenna, 2008). However, an increasing number of case reports (Friberg et al., 2006; Winkler et al., 2006; Kozian and Syrbe, 2010; Deshmukh et al., 2012) and pharmacovigilance studies (Sadanaga et al., 2004; Wilting et al., 2006; Astrom-Lilja et al., 2008; Martinez et al., 2010) have revealed arrhythmias (Leonard et al., 2011), QT interval prolongation (Girardin et al., 2013; for review see Vieweg et al., 2009), and orthostatic hypotension during treatment with the new ADs. This has led to the ongoing discussion about their cardiovascular safety profiles (Pacher and Kecskemeti, 2004).

Recently, the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the European Medicines Agency (EMA) got involved in this discussion. Following postmarketing reports of a dose-dependent QTc interval prolongation during treatment with citalopram, the FDA announced that the compound could be safely prescribed (FDA, 2011). However, the FDA, as well as the EMA (EMA PWPP, 2011) stipulated that doses exceeding 40 mg citalopram per day should no longer be used due to possible changes in the electrical activity of the heart. Further recommendations were made for its active S-isomer, escitalopram. With reference to the FDA statements, a cross-sectional study by Castro et al. (2013) reported a modest QTc interval prolongation during use of citalopram, escitalopram, and amitriptyline. In contrast to their findings, Thase et al. (2013) did not find a clinically meaningful effect of escitalopram treatment on electrocardiographic measurement (ECG) values; only placebo-level incidence rates of cardiac-associated adverse events were observed.

Serotonin noradrenaline reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs; e.g. venlafaxine), have also been reported to cause severe cardiovascular ADRs, in particular QTc interval prolongation (Letsas et al., 2006) or hypertension (Feighner, 1995). In contrast, Zhang et al. (2007) found no changes in ventricular repolarization for duloxetine, another SNRI, when administered in daily dosages higher than recommended.

In view of the existing disparity of the data on safety profiles of the newer ADs, findings on severe cardiovascular ADRs during AD treatment in a natural setting are of particular interest. Therefore, this descriptive analysis draws on the data of an ongoing pharmacovigilance program for its analysis of the incidence rates of severe cardiovascular ADRs and risk factors observed during treatment with different classes of ADs.

Methods

This analysis of severe cardiovascular ADRs during AD treatment was based on data obtained from the drug safety AMSP program (Arzneimittelsicherheit in der Psychiatrie, www.amsp.de). This ongoing pharmacovigilance program assesses severe ADRs in psychiatric inpatients under natural conditions (Grohmann et al., 2004; Letmaier et al., 2011; Konstantinidis et al., 2012). Up to 93 hospitals, including university, state, and municipal psychiatric hospitals or departments, participated in the AMSP program from 1993 until 2010. Methods of assessment and evaluation of severe ADRs in the AMSP program were previously published by Grohmann et al. (2004). In brief, drug monitors at the local psychiatric departments regularly monitored severe ADRs on their wards. The cases are documented by means of a standardized anonymized questionnaire. Information on potential risk factors, course and alternative explanations for the ADRs, measures taken, and prior exposure to the drug are also documented. An evaluation of possible pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic causes was given for each case and each individually used medication. Following the ADR assessment, a re-examination took place by senior psychiatrists, and cases were evaluated at regional and central case conferences attended by drug monitors from all participating hospitals, representatives of the national drug-regulating authorities, and drug safety experts of the pharmaceutical industry.

Adverse Drug Reactions

ADRs assessed in the AMSP program are defined as adverse events of psychotropic drugs at adequate doses for therapeutic or prophylactic treatment (adverse events occurring due to intoxication or inefficiency are not included in the AMSP database). Only severe ADRs, defined as (potentially) life-threatening or seriously endangering the patient’s health, considerably impairing everyday functioning, or requiring the patient’s transfer to another department or ward providing more intensive care are included in this AMSP analysis.

Probability Rating

Probability ratings for ADRs were performed on the basis of the proposals of Hurwitz and Wade (1969), as well as Seidl et al. (1965) and the AMSP study guidelines (Grohmann et al., 2004). An ADR is rated as possible if the ADR is not known for the drug in question, the time course or dosage of the drug is unusual, or alternative explanations are more probable. An ADR is rated as probable if the ADR is known for the given drug, time course and dosage are in accordance with previous experience, and alternative explanations are less likely. ADRs are rated as definite when the criteria necessary for “probable” are fulfilled and a re-appearance of the ADR occurs after re-exposure to the drug in question.

Cardiovascular Adverse Drug Reactions

Severe cardiovascular ADRs were defined according to the AMSP study guidelines (Grohmann et al., 2004) as follows: cardiac failure; collapse; severe hypotension (symptomatic and systolic blood pressure less than 90 mmHg); hypertension (systolic blood pressure more than 180 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure more than 120 mmHg); arrhythmias, including bradycardia (heart rate less than 40 bpm); tachycardia (heart rate more than 120 bpm); atrial flutter; AV-block II° or III°; prolongation of heart rate–corrected QT interval (QTc; QTc more than 500 ms or an increase of more than 60 ms); or ventricular arrhythmia of at least Lown III. In addition, all cases of death following use of ADs—alone or in combination therapy—were reviewed to identify cases of a possible cardiac death with AD involvement.
Statistical Analysis

All ADs imputed to cause defined severe cardiovascular ADRs and rated as possible, probable, or definite were included in this AMSP analysis. Since drug combination therapy is very common in psychiatry and ADR profiles of co-prescribed drugs are often similar, each co-prescribed drug was given a causality rating in cases in which pharmacodynamic interactions were suspected to be responsible for the ADR. In a first step, the incidence rates of cardiovascular ADRs caused by AD treatment were calculated. This included cases in which ADs were imputed in combination with other drug groups or alone (all cases). Additionally, the incidence rates of cardiovascular ADRs in cases in which the ADs in question were only imputed alone (imputed alone) were calculated. Due to the heterogeneous group of co-administered psychotropic and non-psychotropic medication to antidepressant treatment, a statistical analysis of the influence of individual co-prescribed compounds on incidence rates of cardiovascular ADRs was not performed. Furthermore, dosage of ADs imputed to cause severe cardiovascular ADRs is stated as median dosage per day, whereas individual dose was not included in the statistical analyses. The total number of assessed cases differed from the sum of numbers for single ADs, due to antidepressant drug combinations and multiple imputations of different antidepressants.

Cardiovascular ADRs were divided into the following four groups: hypotension and collapse; hypertension; heart failure; and arrhythmias (including bradycardia, tachycardia, atrial flutter, AV-block II* or III*, QTc interval prolongation, and ventricular arrhythmias). ADs were grouped into the following classes: all tricyclic ADs and tetracyclic maprotiline were grouped as TCAs; all licensed SSRIs as one group; venlafaxine and duloxetine as SNRIs; tranylcypromine and moclobemide as monoamine oxidase inhibitors (MAOIs); mirtazapine and mianserin as noradrenergic and specific serotonergic AD (NaSSAs); and all others form the heterogeneous group of “other ADs,” consisting of reboxetine, trazodone, bupropion, agomelatine, and nefazodone.

The incidence rates of cardiovascular ADRs were calculated as percentage of patients exposed to a given compound, drug class, or subclass between 1993 and 2010. The incidence rates are presented together with their 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Since the actual ADR incidence rates were low and the number of individual patients exposed was high, CIs were calculated according to the exact method and not approximately (Vollset, 1993). Furthermore, demographic variables of age and gender are stated. As a guide to the interpretation of the CIs, Fisher’s exact tests were applied to compare ADR incidence rates during administration of a single drug or drug group with ADR incidence rates during administration of all other drugs. These comparisons were not independent of each other and were interpreted in a descriptive sense.

Ethical Section

The AMSP pharmacovigilance program was approved by the leading boards of each participating institute prior to implementation, and the Ethics Committee of the University of Munich formally approved evaluations based on the AMSP databank.

Results

During the observation period (1993 to 2010), 362 577 psychiatric inpatients were monitored in the AMSP program. Among the reported classes of severe ADRs (e.g. neurological, psychiatric, urological, cutaneous, haematological, and hepatic ADRs; toxic delirium; hormone/ electrolyte disturbances; and impaired sexual function) cardiovascular ADRs constituted almost 6% of all ADRs monitored.

Of a total of 169 278 patients treated with ADs (46.7% of all monitored subjects), 198 cases of severe cardiovascular ADRs were recorded (all cases). This yielded a relative frequency of 0.12% for cardiovascular ADRs during antidepressant treatment. A combination of multiple drug groups (e.g. antipsychotics, tranquilizers, or other drug classes) was imputed in 136 cases (68.7% of all cardiovascular ADR cases). The highest proportion of combined compounds during treatment with ADs constituted antipsychotics (93 cases). Non-psychotropic drugs, mostly anti-hypertensive and cardiac drugs, were “co-imputed” in 43 cases. Two ADs were involved in 34 cases. Only 63 cases (incidence rate of 0.04%) were identified in which no other treatment besides a given AD drug was imputed for a severe cardiovascular ADR.

Table 1. Socio-Demographic Data of Cardiovascular Adverse Drug Reactions During Antidepressant Treatment Between 1993 and 2010.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>All patients monitored treated with AD</th>
<th>Cardiovascular ADRs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>n (%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>n (%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>169,278 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (yr)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;65</td>
<td>127,944 (75.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥65</td>
<td>41,334 (24.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>106,890 (63.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>62,388 (36.9%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AD, antidepressant; ADRs, adverse drug reactions.

*Significantly higher risk of cardiovascular ADRs in patients older than 65 years (Fisher Exact Significance Test).
same information for all individual drugs given to more than 2,000 patients. As a single compound, the selective noradrenaline reuptake inhibitor reboxetine was imputed in a total of 10 cases of 321 prescriptions (incidence rates 0.31). This is significantly above the mean incidence rates for cardiovascular ADRs during AD treatment (0.12). Five cases of cardiovascular adverse drug reactions were found where agomelatine (one case), bupropion (two cases), and nefazodone (two cases) have been imputed in combination with other compounds to cause the adverse reaction. No case of cardiovascular adverse drug reaction was detected when agomelatine, bupropion, or nefazodone was imputed alone.

Clomipramine had enhanced risks within the general imputations, but the risks were not significantly enhanced within the single imputations. Reduced risks for cardiovascular ADRs were seen when the AD treatment consisted of mirtazapine, citalopram, or escitalopram (imputed alone).

**Fatal Cardiovascular ADRs**

Five fatal (incidence rate 0.0029%) cardiovascular ADRs during AD treatment were recorded during the observation period. The death of the first patient (male, 76 years, hypertension as pre-existing risk factor) was classified as a probable consequence of an orthostatic collapse during nortriptyline therapy (see Grohmann et al., 1999). The second patient (female, 52 years, hypertension and prior asymptomatic myocardial infarction as pre-existing cardiac risk factors) experienced syncope and hypotension 2 days after mirtazapine was added to a long-standing regime of several antipsychotics and two antipsychotics (fluphenazine and risperidone). She was found dead the following morning. The suspected cause of death was insufficient cardiac perfusion due to drug-induced hypotension. The combination of antihypertensive medication and antipsychotics, as well as mirtazapine, was imputed. The third patient (female, 84 years, hypertension as risk factor) had been on long-term treatment with doxepin, had already suffered from several syncopes, and had had a left bundle branch block on admission. Doxepin dosage was lowered and citalopram was introduced and increased to 20 mg per day. Two weeks later the patient experienced another syncope and death was instantaneous. In addition to the left bundle branch block, a QTc prolongation (>500 ms) had been documented the day before. A fatal conduction defect due to the combined antidepressive medication was suspected, whereas non-drug related acute myocardial infarction or embolism were possible alternative explanations. The fourth patient (female, 60 years, no pre-existing cardiac illness or risk factors) was found comatose one morning following three weeks of uneventful treatment with trimipramine and clomipramine (TCAs) in combination with olanzapine. An atrioventricular block III (AV-block III) as well as a diffusely-impaired myocardial contractility had been detected in the intensive care unit. The patient died within 24 hours in spite of intensive attempts at reanimation. The AV-block was suspected to be the cause of myocardial ischemia, which led to death. This ADR was judged a possible ADR due to the combination of two TCAs and olanzapine. The fifth patient (male, 85 years, hypertension and coronary arterial disease as risk factors) experienced severe hypotension and collapse following an increase in dosage of the recently introduced tranylcypromine (MAOI) therapy. Due to treatment-resistant depression, tranylcypromine had been added to two low-potency antipsychotics (prothipendyl and melperone) and a long-standing antihypertensive treatment. The patient suffered a cardiac arrest shortly after collapsing and died. Again, severe hypotension due to the drug combination was suspected as crucial for the fatal outcome.

In addition, 13 cases of life-threatening cardiovascular ADRs (during treatment with ADs mostly in combination with antipsychotics) were detected in the observation period between 1993 and 2010. Seven cases of life-threatening arrhythmia, three cases of severe hypotension, and three cases of heart failure were monitored in this group.

For a more detailed statistical analysis, the cardiovascular ADRs were divided into the following four groups: hypotension and collapse, hypertension, heart failure and arrhythmias (see Methods).

**Hypotension and Collapse**

Between 1993 and 2010, 89 cases of hypotension or collapse (incidence rate 0.053%, all cases) during AD treatment were recorded in the AMSP program. Only 12 cases of hypotension or collapse were found in which ADs were imputed alone (incidence rate 0.01%). Hypotension or collapse made up almost half (45%) of the cardiovascular ADRs in this study. In about one third (30%) of hypotension or collapse cases, TCAs (incidence rate 0.057%, not significant) were imputed to have caused the ADR alone or in combination with other drug groups. Mirtazapine caused 22% of cases of severe hypotension (incidence rate 0.056%). However, there was no significant difference in the risk of this compound...
Table 2. Cardiovascular Adverse Drug Reactions During Antidepressant Treatment Between 1993 and 2010.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cardiovascular ADRs (AD imputed alone and in combination)</th>
<th>Hypotension (AD imputed alone)</th>
<th>Hypertension (AD imputed alone)</th>
<th>Arrhythmia (AD imputed alone)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AD total</td>
<td>169,278</td>
<td>198 (0.12)</td>
<td>63 (0.04)</td>
<td>12 (0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCA</td>
<td>46,915</td>
<td>69 (0.15)</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>23 (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amitriptyline</td>
<td>11,733</td>
<td>17 (0.14)</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>3 (0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clomipramine</td>
<td>5,451</td>
<td>14 (0.26)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doxepin</td>
<td>12,010</td>
<td>11 (0.09)</td>
<td>2 (0.02)</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mirtazapine</td>
<td>12,026</td>
<td>10 (0.07)</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>2 (0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sertraline</td>
<td>11,782</td>
<td>9 (0.05)</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>1 (0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trazodone</td>
<td>5,655</td>
<td>8 (0.14)</td>
<td>0 (0.00)</td>
<td>0 (0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCA</td>
<td>46,915</td>
<td>69 (0.15)</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>23 (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amitriptyline</td>
<td>11,733</td>
<td>17 (0.14)</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>3 (0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clomipramine</td>
<td>5,451</td>
<td>14 (0.26)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doxepin</td>
<td>12,010</td>
<td>11 (0.09)</td>
<td>2 (0.02)</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mirtazapine</td>
<td>12,026</td>
<td>10 (0.07)</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>2 (0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sertraline</td>
<td>11,782</td>
<td>9 (0.05)</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>1 (0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trazodone</td>
<td>5,655</td>
<td>8 (0.14)</td>
<td>0 (0.00)</td>
<td>0 (0.00)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total prescriptions (only ADs with more than 2,000 prescriptions are depicted) of different antidepressants (ADs) and incidence rates of severe cardiovascular adverse drug reactions (ADRs) during AD treatment.

*Significantly higher risk for cardiovascular ADRs compared to the risk of all ADs. **Significantly lower risk for cardiovascular ADRs compared to the risk of all ADs.

Compared to all other ADs, MAOIs, SSRIs, SNRIs, or other ADs accounted for 10–15% each of cases of hypotension or collapse. SSRIs showed a significantly lower risk than all other ADs (p = 0.007, imputed alone). Reboxetine (median dosage 2.5 mg/day) was revealed to have a higher risk (p = 0.042, imputed alone) for this ADR than all other ADs. Incidence rates and significance levels are given in Table 2 (for ADs imputed alone).

While 64% of the patients developing hypotension during antidepressant treatment were female, the ADR was distributed almost equally between the age groups <65 and ≥65 years (i.e. there was no significant difference in risk between sex or age groups). The analysis of risk factors revealed that 67.5% of the patients with hypertension or collapse during antidepressant treatment showed some risk factors for developing this ADR. The cardiovascular system was commonly affected (52.1%): in 25 cases there had been a pre-existing cardiac disease (25 cases, 28.1%); hypertension treated with antihypertensive drugs was present as a risk factor in 23 out of the 89 cases (25.8%), and the antihypertensives were co-imputed in these cases due to possible additive pharmacodynamic effects; and pre-existing hypertension was documented in 15 cases (16.9%).

**Hypertension**

Twenty-five cases of hypertension were detected during AD treatment (incidence rate 0.01%, all cases) in the observation period (13% of all reported cardiovascular ADRs in this study). ADs were imputed alone in most of these cases (22 of 25 cases). In 68% SNRIs were imputed to cause the ADR (all cases) and showed a significantly higher risk of this ADR (p < 0.001) in cases in which SNRIs had been imputed alone. Especially venlafaxine (incidence rate 0.05%, median dosage 150 mg/day) was revealed to have a significantly higher risk of hypertension (p < 0.001) than all other ADs. The risk for hypertension during the administration of SSRIs was significantly lower (p < 0.001, imputed alone) than that of all other ADs (see Table 2). Only one case of hypertension was recorded during SSRI treatment (sertraline median dosage, 100 mg/day).

Risk factors were identified in 52% of the cases. A pre-existing hypertension was documented in 48% of the patients. The proportion of females (72%) affected by hypertension due to antidepressant treatment was higher; this was consistent with the other cardiovascular ADRs reported in this study and reflected the sex distribution of the total study population. Analysis of the age distribution in this group of ADRs showed that 68% of the patients were below the age of 65.

**Heart Failure**

Heart failure comprised the smallest group of the cardiovascular ADRs (2%) with only five cases recorded. TCAs were imputed in three of these cases, namely clomipramine (one case, daily...
dosage of 150 mg) and nortriptyline (two cases, daily dosages 25 mg and 150 mg). Another two cases of heart failure were assessed during trazodone treatment (incidence rate 0.04%, daily dosage of 100 mg). In two cases the AD treatment was imputed alone for the heart failure (one case of nortriptyline and one of trazodone). The combination of AD and antipsychotic treatment was imputed for the ADR in the other three cases.

All patients with heart failure were female (four patients over 65 years), and each showed at least one pre-existing risk factor (cardiac insufficiency, right ventricular load, mild AV-block, renal insufficiency, and diabetes).

**Arrhythmias**

A total of 79 cases of arrhythmia (41% of all cardiovascular ADRs, incidence rate 0.05%) during treatment with ADs (all cases) were documented (see Figure 3). Nine cases of bradycardia, 23 cases of tachycardia, 17 cases of multiple extrasystoles, 1 case of ventricular fibrillation, 6 cases of atrial fibrillation, 4 cases of heart blocks, and 19 cases of prolonged QTc interval were recorded. Antidepressant treatment was imputed alone for the ADR arrhythmia in only 27 (0.02%) cases. The different types of arrhythmia showed incidence rates between 0.002% (heart blocks) and 0.014% (tachycardia). Overall, the treatment with TCAs was connected with a higher risk for arrhythmia (0.08%, all cases, p = 0.02; see Figure 3A). Especially, maprotiline (0.1%, p = 0.024, imputed alone) had a higher risk for this ADR than all other ADs. In contrast, mirtazapine showed a significantly lower risk for arrhythmia than all other ADs (6%, p < 0.001, all cases; see Table 2 and Figure 3A). No case of severe arrhythmia was detected during treatment with the SNRI venlafaxine (imputed alone). Incidence rates for arrhythmia during treatment of ADs are given in Table 2 and Figure 3.

Analysis of sex and age distribution in the arrhythmia group revealed that 62% of those affected were female, and 72% of the patients were below the age of 65 years. Risk factors like pre-existing cardiac problems were documented in 38% of all arrhythmia cases.

**Subanalysis: QTc Interval Prolongation**

The QTc interval prolongation was monitored in this AMSP observation in 19 cases (incidence 0.01%, AD imputed alone and in combination). A significant QTc interval prolongation was detected in only one case without an increase of the QTc interval above 500 ms (prolongation from 300 ms to 460 ms). All other cases showed a QTc interval prolongation above 500 ms (maximum found QTc interval 621 ms) during treatment with ADs. TCAs were imputed for more than half of these cases (incidence rate 0.02%). Due to the small number of events, statistical significance cannot be shown. Alternative explanations for the QTc interval prolongation or pre-existing risk factors were suspected in 11 cases. In two cases a hypopotassemia was detected. Five patients showed pre-existing heart damage or hypothyroidism. In one case, hepatitis resulting in a possible liver insufficiency...
was suspected to have led to higher levels of imputed drugs (no
drug levels were measured).

Discussion
Cardiovascular ADRs are severe and potentially life-threaten-
ing side effects that are known to occur during AD treatment
(Pacher and Kecskemeti, 2004; Vieweg et al., 2009). The ongoing
discussion about the possibility of non-beneficial cardiovascular
safety profiles for the newer classes of ADs has suggested that
the incidence rates of cardiovascular ADRs for these drugs are
higher than for TCAs (Coupland et al., 2011).

Our descriptive study identified 198 cases of severe cardiovas-
cular ADRs in a natural setting (169 278 inpatients were exposed to
antidepressants and ADRs were monitored). The analysis revealed
that the cardiovascular ADR incidence rates and the risk of cardiovascular ADRs were highest for the AD classes of
MAOIs and “other ADs” (like reboxetine, all cases). MAOIs were
imputed only for the ADR hypotension or collapse, which is in
line with previous reported findings (Volz and Gleiter, 1998).
However, MAOIs did not show a significantly higher risk for this
ADR when imputed alone. Compared to all other ADs, SSRIs and
mirtazapine were revealed to have a significantly lower inci-
dence rate of cardiovascular ADRs in this study. Especially in the
case of arrhythmia, the class of TCAs showed higher incidence
rates than other classes of ADs.

The risk of cardiovascular ADRs like orthostatic hypotension
and tachycardia has been reported to have increased during
administration of citalopram, which has incidence rates of about
1% (Fernandez et al., 2007). In contrast, our analysis showed a
lower incidence rate of citalopram for orthostatic hypotension
and tachycardia. Furthermore, this compound was suggested to
have an increased risk for QTc interval prolongation, which can
lead to life-threatening arrhythmias like torsades de pointes
(FDA, 2011; Castro et al., 2013). Only two cases of QTc interval
prolongation were reported during treatment with citalopram
(17 844 patients exposed) in this study. In these cases, citalopram
was co-administered with TCA (trimipramine) and other drug
classes (antipsychotics and anticonvulsants). Not a single case of
QTc interval prolongation was detected for citalopram, when
imputed alone for this ADR between 1993 and 2010. However,
in 2013, QTc prolongation >500 ms was observed in the AMSP
project in a 49-year-old male patient without cardiovascular
risk factors during monotherapy with citalopram at a dosage of
60 mg (R Grohmann, personal communication). Like citalopram,
its active S-isomer escitalopram was imputed for QTc interval
prolongation in two cases when administered in combination
with antipsychotics. One case was detected in combination with
the two antipsychotics amisulpride and quetiapine; the second
case was described during a combination of escitalopram and
prothipendy. The probability rating for escitalopram was stated
as possible in both cases. In our study, escitalopram had an
overall significantly lower risk for cardiovascular ADRs than all
other ADs. However, this observation generally revealed that the
incidence rates of cardiovascular ADRs during different SSRI
treatments were lower than previously reported (Hytel, 1994;
Fernandez et al., 2007). According to a recent paper of Girardin
et al. (2013), citalopram (including escitalopram) appears to
carry a higher risk for QTc prolongation than other ADs.

In agreement with previously reported findings (Feighner,
1995; Thase, 1998), SNRIs showed the highest incidence rates
for hypertension than all other ADs. The relative frequency of
hypertension was found to be higher during venlafaxine than
during duloxetine administration. Our observation is consistent
with previous findings (Stahl et al., 2005), which showed a more
beneficial cardiovascular safety profile for duloxetine than
suspected.

An increased risk of sudden death and ventricular arrhyth-
mia has been reported for the NaSSA mirtazapine compared to
SSRI treatment with paroxetine (Leonard et al., 2011). The inci-
dence rates of cardiovascular ADRs during mirtazapine were
comparable to those of SSRIs in the present AMSP observation.
Mirtazapine had a significantly lower risk of cardiovascular
ADRs than all other ADs in the AMSP program.

Socio-demographic variables like age (Coupland et al., 2011)
and gender (Vieweg et al., 2009), as well as pre-existing risk fac-
tors (Glassman et al., 1993; Schmid et al., 2004), have been sug-
gested to influence the development of cardiovascular ADRs.
The findings of this study support this suggestion by showing a
high percentage of pre-existing cardiovascular risk factors. For
example, patients above age 65 years were observed to have a
significantly higher risk of most cardiovascular ADRs.

Limitations
In contrast to prospective, placebo-controlled studies con-
ducted with a randomized design and healthy controls, the data
obtained in this naturalistic study have several limitations. First
of all, this study is based on data obtained from the drug safety
AMSP program that only records severe adverse drug reactions
in hospitalized patients, whereas the majority of antidepress-
ants are prescribed to outpatients. However, since hospitalized
patients can be viewed as more vulnerable for cardiovascular
adverse reactions, due to the severity, complexity, and comor-
bidity of the disorder, we do not think that our sample is biased
towards detection of low rates of cardiovascular side effects.
Secondly, an individual bias in detecting severe cardiovascular
ADRs cannot be ruled out. The AMSP group is aware of this pos-
sible bias, and in order to address this point, reported ADR cases
are discussed and evaluated in a systematic way at regional as
well as central case conferences. Furthermore, underreport-
ing must be taken into account, and thus the incidence rates
of ADRs may be underestimated. This is probably particularly
relevant in the case of asymptomatic ADRs such as QTc inter-
val prolongation. These ADRs are difficult to detect without
periodic examination of ECGs. However, ECGs were probably
not regularly performed as part of the established clinical rou-
tine, in particular during SSRI therapy alone, since these drugs
have been considered safe for many years as regards their car-
diac effects. In addition, differences in surveillance procedures
(e.g. rare ECGs under treatment with antidepressants vs. peri-
odic ECG measurements) across hospitals participating in the
AMSP program may contribute to an underestimation of specific
cardiovascular ADRs. Due to the low number of cardiovascular
ADR cases and the fact that the comparisons between different
antidepressants were not independent of each other, statistical
analyses are interpreted only in a descriptive sense.

In conclusion, the present findings suggest that the cardio-
vascular safety profiles of newer ADs, especially SSRIs and mir-
tazapine (but not SNRIs), are more favorable than that of TCAs
and MAOIs. Although SSRIs were suspected to have an increased
risk of cardiovascular ADRs, we found overall a significantly
lower risk for this class compared to all other ADs in the present
study. However, the limitations of our observational study have
to be considered. Our data show that pre-existing cardiovascular
risk factors as well as additive effects with other drug groups
like antipsychotics and even long-established cardiac drug
regimens should be taken into account in the decision process.
when prescribing an AD drug to prevent life-threatening cardiovascular ADRs. Furthermore, the cardiovascular safety profile of an AD drug should also be considered in this decision. Further prospective and placebo-controlled investigations are needed to supplement our naturalistic observations.
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