ATP puts the brake on DNA double-strand break repair

A new study shows that ATP switches the Mre11-Rad50-Nbs1 repair factor between signaling and processing of DNA ends
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Summary
DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) are one of the most deleterious forms of DNA damage and can result in cell inviability or chromosomal aberrations. The Mre11-Rad50-Nbs1 (MRN) ATPase-nuclease complex is a central player in the cellular response to DSBs and is implicated in the sensing and nucleolytic processing of DSBs, as well as in DSBs signaling by activating the cell cycle checkpoint kinase ATM. ATP binding to Rad50 switches MRN from an open state with exposed Mre11 nuclease sites to a closed state with partially buried nuclease sites. The functional meaning of this switch remained unclear. A new study shows that ATP binding to Rad50 promotes DSB recognition, tethering and ATM activation, while ATP hydrolysis opens the nuclease active sites to promote processing of DSBs. MRN thus emerges as functional switch that may coordinate the temporal transition from signaling to processing of DSBs.

Abbreviations:
53BP1  p53 binding protein 1
ABC  ATP binding cassette
ATM  Ataxia telangiectasia-mutated protein kinase
ATR  ATM and Rad3-related protein kinase
BRCA1  Breast cancer 1, early onset
BRCA2  Breast cancer 2, early onset
CtIP  CtBP interacting protein
DDR  DNA damage response
DSB  DNA double-strand break
H2AX  Histone 2AX
HDR  Homology directed repair
Mre11  Meiotic recombination gene 11
MRN  Mre11-Rad50-Nbs1
MMEJ  Microhomology mediated end joining
NBD  Nucleotide binding domain
NBS1  Nijmegen breakage syndrome 1
NHEJ  Non-homologous end joining
Rad50  Radiation gene 50
Rad51  Radiation gene 51
SAE2  Sporulation in the Absence of Spo Eleven 2
XRS2  X-ray sensitivity 2
Introduction

DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) threaten genomic integrity in all domains of life. DSBs arise during genome replication and can be induced by ionizing radiation, topoisomerase poisons and genotoxic chemicals. Furthermore, programmed DSBs are important intermediates in the generation of genetic diversity during meiosis and the rearrangements of immunoglobulin loci in the B- and T-cell development.

DSB formation is intimately connected to cancer development. Two prominent breast cancer susceptibility genes, breast cancer associated (BRCA) 1 and 2 [1,2], are involved in the repair of DSBs. Inactivation of many other DSB signaling and repair factors leads to human disease syndromes such as Bloom’s Syndrome [3], Ataxia telangiectasia [4] and Nijmegen breakage syndrome [5]. Patients are predisposed to cancer, but also display to varying extents neurodegeneration, developmental problems and immune deficiencies [6].

Two principal types of repair mechanisms repair DSBs. End joining is a template independent ligation reactions of the two ends. In the “canonical” non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) reaction, the DNA ends are bound by Ku and ligated by Ligase IV and associated factors. In alternative non-homologous end joining pathways (alt-NHEJ), Ku is eventually removed from DNA ends in a process that requires the MRN complex (see below) and the DNA ends are resected to a limited extent and often joined at microhomologies (denoted then as microhomology mediated end joining (MMEJ)) (for a recent review, see e.g. [7]). The homology directed repair mechanism (HDR), on the other hand, uses the sister chromatid (or the homologous chromosome in meiosis) as a template, resulting in an accurate restoration of the genetic information. The DNA ends are long-range resected and the resulting 3’ single-stranded tails are paired with the homologous region in the sister-chromatid (or homologous chromosome in meiosis). The paired 3’ tail serves as the primer for template DNA synthesis and accurate restoration of the disrupted genetic information.

It became evident in recent years that the choice of repair pathways – in particular in mammals – is not random but regulated in a cell cycle dependent manner (for a review, see e.g. [8]). The fast but potentially mutagenic end-joining pathway – due to misrecombination or limited processing of DNA ends – is the preferred mode of repair in G1 phase and in human cells also in G2 phase. The accurate HDR is preferred in the S phase as well as for the repair of meiotic DSBs,
where NHEJ is actively suppressed [9]. The pathway choice is determined in part by mediator proteins. 53BP1 is a mediator in mammalian cells and localizes to chromatin at DSBs, preventing DNA resection and promoting repair by NHEJ [10]. BRCA1 opposes the binding of 53BP1 in S-phase and promotes repair by HDR [11].

In eukaryotic cells, DSBs elicit a complex DNA damage response (DDR) that delays the cell cycle, activates transcriptional responses, results in alterations of the chromatin at the damage sites, activates the particular DSB repair pathway and – depending on the damage load - induces apoptosis [12]. The DDR is triggered by activation of the kinases ATM (Ataxia telangiectasia mutated) and ATR (ATM and Rad3 related). ATM is activated in response to ionizing radiation (which is believed to cause DSBs directly or by generating free radicals through the radiolysis of water [13]) [14-16] while ATR is activated by replication protein A coated single-stranded (ss)DNA (e.g. from UV induced replication fork stalling) [17]. ATM and ATR phosphorylate more than 700 target proteins in human cells [18], including histone 2AX (resulting in γ-H2AX) [19,20], DNA repair factors and checkpoint mediators, cell cycle regulators and kinases, and the stress response transcription factor p53 [21].

Although ATM can be activated also by oxidative stress [22] and alterations in chromatin structure [23], a key factor for the DSBs dependent activation of ATM is the Mre11-Rad50-Nbs1 (MRN) complex [24-32]. MRN recruits ATM to DSBs but is also a substrate of ATM and functions both up- and downstream of ATM [14,15]. Besides ATM activation, MRN is also implicated in the structural tethering of DSBs and the processing of DNA ends by means of an endo-/exonuclease function, generating DNA ends that can be repaired by MMEJ or HDR (Fig. 1). The structural and enzymatic roles of MRN in the signaling and repair of DSBs are only partly understood at the mechanistic level. A new study now reveals how different ATP-induced conformational states of MRN regulate DNA tethering, ATM activation, and DNA processing [33].

The Mre11-Rad50-Nbs1 Complex

Mre11 and Rad50 polypeptides are found in all phylogenetic kingdoms and even in some phages [34], identifying these proteins as an ancient component of the replication/recombination machinery, while Nbs1 (also denoted Xrs2 in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) is only found in eukaryotes [5,35]. MRN (or Mre11-Rad50-Xrs2) participates in HDR [36,37], end joining [38-42], meiotic recombination [43-46], and telomere maintenance [42,47-49]. It is also implicated in class switch
recombination in B-cells [50-53] and the detection of cytosolic DNA, which often arises from pathogen invasion [54,55].

MRN integrates both structural as well as enzymatic functions at DSBs. As an early factor at DSBs [56] it is implicated in the structural tethering of DNA and telomeres [57-61], in the initial nucleolytic processing of DNA ends [39,62], and in the recruitment and activation of ATM [63,64] (Fig. 1).

MRN’s ATP-regulated nuclease activity is rather unusual. MRN degrades dsDNA in 3’->5’ direction in vitro [65-68] but this activity would generate 5’ single-strand overhangs at DNA ends. In vivo, DNA ends are likely protected from this activity by Ku [69-71] or by Rad51/BRCA2 [72,73]. MRN and its prokaryotic homologs, however, also have endonuclease [74,75] as well as hairpin opening activities [76]. The endonuclease activity is primarily observed at obstructed DNA ends [65,74,75] near the DSBs in vitro and in vivo [74,77-80]. This endonucleolytic cleavage also requires CtIP (denoted SAE2 in S. cerevisiae and Ctp1 in S. pombe), which is specifically activated in S and G2 phases and contributes to the pathway choice [81-84]. Sae2/CtIP is an endonuclease itself and cleaves near hairpin structures that are opened by MRN [85,86]. The initially processed DNA ends are repaired by MMEJ or are further resected by Exo1 or BLM and DNA2 and repaired by HDR.

MRN can, however, also nick the DNA at some considerable distance from the DSB followed by 3’-5’ resection towards the DNA ends [87,88]. This is an intriguing model for explaining why MRN possesses both endo- and 3’-5’ exonuclease activities. Of note, if the two DNA strands were cut in a random fashion, MRN would in 50% of the cases degrade DNA away from the break. It is still unclear how the sites for the endonucleolytic cut are chosen several hundred base pairs away from the DSB. Given MRN’s ability to open hairpin structures [66,68,85,86,89], the involvement of the bacterial homologs in degrading hairpin structures at replication forks [89], and S. cerevisiae Mre11’s binding preference for G-quadruplex DNA in vitro [90], hairpins could play a role.

While further work is required to unravel the precise modes of MRN’s and CtIP’s nuclease activities at DSBs, the endonuclease activities are well suited to clear obstructed DNA ends, for instance by removing covalently bound Spo11 at meiotic breaks [91] or covalently bound poisoned topoisomerases [92-95]. Perhaps most importantly, the NHEJ factor Ku [96] has a very high affinity for DNA ends and needs to be removed from DNA ends by MRN/CtIP prior to HDR or MMEJ [69,71].

In summary, MRN/CtIP is a highly regulated nuclease system that can clear blocked DNA ends prior to MMEJ or HDR.
Functional Architecture of MRN

MRN has an intriguing bipolar architecture with a globular ATP- and DNA-binding “head” and two long coiled-coil “tails”. A model that integrates results from different structural approaches is shown in Fig. 2, [33,57,66,67,97-109].

MRN and its related bacterial SbcCD and archaeal MR complexes possess a conserved Mre112-Rad502 core. In eukaryotes, this core assembles with one or two polypeptides of Nbs1. Mre11 links all three different proteins of the complex together in a highly flexible structure [100,105,110]. Mre11 dimer formation is critical for its activity [102,103,111]. Rad50 is a fairly large polypeptide that possesses a signature 30-50 nm long coiled-coil domain [57,98,99]. On one end of the coiled-coil is the nucleotide-binding domain (NBD), while on the other end is the Zn2+-hook dimerization motif (Fig. 2). Upon binding to ATP, the two NBDs of the Mre112-Rad502 complex engage by sandwiching two ATP molecules in their interface [97]. In the presence of ATP, the NBD dimer binds into the DNA binding cleft of the Mre11 dimer and partially blocks the nuclease active site [101,105]. Biochemical studies suggest that in this conformation, the processive exonuclease activity of Mre11 is inhibited, while the endonuclease activity is unaffected [65,105,112]. It remains unclear how DNA interacts with MRN in this ATP-bound conformation. On one hand, ATP blocks the dsDNA-binding site of Mre11, yet ATP-binding is also critical for DNA binding by Rad50. Recent single molecule studies suggest that MRN can substantially melt DNA ends in the presence of ATP [113]. The active site in the ATP-bound closed conformation of MRN is perhaps still accessible to ssDNA and might allow endonucleolytic cleavage. Nevertheless, it remains unclear how DNA interacts with the MRN complex in the ATP-bound closed conformation and the nature of the endonuclease activity, especially in DNA duplexes, needs to be determined.

The hook motif joins the coiled-coil domains of two Rad50 polypeptides from the same MRN complex or from different complexes, depending on MRN’s interaction with DNA [107]. Both the coiled-coil as well as the hook are essential for the cellular function of the MRN complex [59,114]. Studies with murine MRN suggested that the hook is important for ATM dependent activation of the DDR [115]. The ATM activation capability of hook mutants of Rad50 can, however, be rescued by overexpression, suggesting that the coiled-coil domains might ensure an appropriate local concentration of MRN at DSBs [116]. Of note, the coiled-coil domains of Rad50 are fairly conserved in length within, but not across, phylogenetic kingdoms. Reducing the length of the Rad50 coiled-coil abolishes Mre11-Rad50-
Xrs2 function in \textit{S. cerevisiae} \cite{114}. This result is remarkable, considering that prokaryotes and bacteriophages naturally contain much shorter coiled-coil domains. Thus, much remains to be learned about the functional role of the coiled-coil domains of Rad50.

Nbs1 (or Xrs2) is responsible for nuclear localization of the complex \cite{117} and contains a variety of protein-protein interaction modules (Fig. 2). The N-terminus, which is truncated or mutated in Nijmegen breakage syndrome \cite{5}, possesses a forkhead associated (FHA) domain, in addition to a tandem breast cancer associated C-terminal (BRCT) domain, both of which bind phosphorylated repair or checkpoint factors\cite{104,108}. The C-terminal part of Nbs1 contains Mre11- and ATM-binding motifs \cite{29,63,117}. Nbs1 binds across the Mre11 dimer opposite to Mre11’s DNA and Rad50 interaction sites, apparently breaking the symmetry of the Mre11-Rad50 complex \cite{102}. It is not yet clear whether these motifs are just attachment sites to recruit ATM to MRN or, alternatively, whether interactions with ATM or Mre11 are linked to the activation of ATM’s kinase. MRN can directly stimulate the kinase activity of ATM in vitro in the presence of DNA \cite{64}. The C-terminus of Nbs1 is, however, important for activation of apoptosis in mice by mediating phosphorylation of pro-apoptotic factors by ATM \cite{118}, while mutations in the N-terminus of Nbs1 interfere with checkpoint activation as seen in cells from NBS patients. These data suggest that full activation of ATM requires multiple regions of the Nbs1 polypeptide.

\textbf{ATP triggers conformational changes in Mre11-Rad50 and regulates the nuclease activity}

The distinct structurally-derived ATP-induced conformational states of MRN raise the important question of whether different nucleotide states of MRN possess different cellular functions. Some NTPases, such as small GTPases and associated factors are indeed nucleotide regulated molecular switches, where GTP and GDP states are intrinsically stable and have different functions and interaction partners. For many other NTPases, such as ATP-dependent helicases, the ATP state can be very transient and is part of a single functional cycle that e.g. moves the helicase along DNA.

MRN has a rather slow ATPase activity, suggesting it does not function as a molecular machine but more as a molecular switch. Indeed, a recent study reported that ATM activation by MRN required ATP binding, but not ATP hydrolysis by Rad50 in vitro \cite{116}. In contrast, the Mre11 nuclease activity (but not the Mre11 protein) is
dispensable for ATM activation, suggesting that a specific ATP-induced conformation of MRN triggers ATM activation.

A new report has now extended this analysis through looking for ATP-triggered separation of function effects in different in vitro and in vivo assays [33]. Prior structural analyses have shown that ATP triggers two types of conformational changes in the Rad50 NBDs. ATP changes the conformation of the NBD itself by rotating one lobe of the ABC type ATPase fold with respect to the other [97]. This rotation properly positions ATP binding motifs to allow, in a second conformational change, the engagement of the two NBDs through sandwiching two ATP molecules in their interface (Fig. 2).

Tanya Paull, John Tainer and colleagues determined high-resolution structures of archaeal Rad50 and noticed that ATP-induced conformational changes go hand in hand with an extensive rearrangement of the hydrogen bonding network, as well as with the filling of structural cavities in the protein [33]. The authors designed mutants to stabilize either one or the other conformational state of Rad50, e.g. by filling a cavity with a bulky residue in order to freeze the conformational state. In vitro, these mutations displayed a several-fold increased or decreased ATP hydrolysis rate, consistent with the design intention. This clever approach allowed the authors to analyze the underlying alleles and mutant proteins in different biochemical and cellular contexts.

By using an in vitro plasmid ligation assay, Desphande et al. showed with archaeal MR that the tethering of DNA ends is largely a function of Rad50 and its coiled-coil domains, although Mre11 can also achieve tethering at much higher concentrations. Rad50 dimers can possibly bind to two DNA ends simultaneously or, alternatively, higher-order complexes could bring DNA ends into closer proximity. Interestingly, mutants that promote the ATP-bound closed conformation of Rad50 exhibit a higher degree of tethering activity. Either increased DNA binding by ATP-Rad50 together with hook-mediated higher-order complex formation can bring DNA ends together or a single ATP-Rad50 dimer can bind two DNA ends simultaneously. While ATP binding to archaeal MR promotes the tethering of DNA in ligation assays, the opposite is true for the resection activity [65,105]. Here, disengagement of the two Rad50 domains by ATP hydrolysis is necessary, presumably to open Mre11’s active sites so that they may engage in exonuclease activity.

The authors went on to address the effect of Rad50 mutations in different assays in vivo. Significantly, the mutation that stabilizes the ATP-bound form of MRN grows poorly in the presence of a topoisomerase poison (suggesting a DNA processing defect) but appears to be hyperactive in an end-joining assay with clean
breaks generated by an endonuclease. Finally, Desphande et al. addressed the signaling functions of the complex and measured H2A phosphorylation after ionizing radiation. Interestingly, both mutations that impair ATP-induced Rad50 dimer formation or increase ATP hydrolysis in vitro – and thus destabilize the ATP-bound state - severely affected *S. pombe* MRN's ability to activate Tel1 (the ATM homolog) in vivo.

In this regard, MRN shares interesting qualities with the general family of ABC proteins to which Rad50 belongs. ABC transporters have both switch- and molecular machine-like properties. They can transport ligands across gradients (energy converting molecular machine) but are often tightly regulated and allosterically activated by the correct ligand (switch). Notably, although MRN and its prokaryotic homologs have a rather poor ATP hydrolysis activity, consistent with them acting as switches, the ATPase of the prokaryotic homologs can be considerably (up to 20 fold) activated by dsDNA in vitro [65,105]. Whether this is also true for the eukaryotic complex and whether the activity is subject to further regulation by e.g. Nbs1 or ATM needs to be addressed in future studies.

**Conclusions and Outlook**

The new data reveal that the different ATP-regulated structural states of MRN can be functionally separated in a living cell and promote different aspects of the DDR and the DSB repair (Fig. 3). This implies that the ATP-bound state of Rad50 has a sufficiently long lifetime to participate in different biochemical pathways. To better understand the regulation of this state we need to move from a qualitative to a more quantitative analysis of MRN in living cells or more complete biochemical systems.

Although the identification of MRN's ATP-bound form as necessary for ATM activation is an important step forward, several questions remain. The two state model of “open” and “closed” MRN is too simple. If this were true, a signature motif mutant, which prevents formation of the closed state, would also stimulate resection and inhibit ATM activation. Such a mutation, however, behaves like a Rad50 null [119], showing that the ATP-bound closed form of MRN is also critical in HDR.

Furthermore, we lack a molecular model for the tethering functions of the complex, which likely involves formation of supramolecular aggregates [58,60,107]. To this end, it will be necessary to provide a more detailed analysis of the interactions of MRN - in particular of Rad50 - with DNA, which remain uncharacterised. This analysis should also help to unravel how DNA end recognition,
unwinding and endonuclease reactions are achieved in the ATP-bound conformation of MRN, considering that Rad50 blocks Mre11’s dsDNA-binding sites in this form.

In summary, while MRN emerges as an ATP-controlled functional switch at DSBs that may coordinate the temporal transition from signaling and tethering to the processing of DSBs, further studies are necessary to provide an overarching mechanistic framework for this key DSB repair factor.
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Figure Legends:

**Fig. 1. Roles of the Mre11-Rad50-Nbs1 complex - coloured ovals marked M, R, N - in the DNA double-strand break response.**

Shown in a simplified scheme, MRN (which has a stoichiometry of M2, R2 and N1 or N2) helps recruit ATM to the sites of the break, which upon activation phosphorylates hundreds of target proteins including histone 2AX. MRN is also a structural component at DNA ends and helps tether DNA ends, although the precise mode of this tethering function remains to be established. In conjunction with CtIP, MRN is involved in initial processing of DNA ends that can be repaired by mismatch mediated end joining and are substrates for long-range resection by Exo1 and DNA2 exonucleases prior to HDR.
**Fig. 2. Structural model of the Mre11-Rad50-Nbs1.**

Two Rad50 subunits (yellow/yellow-orange) associate with an Mre11 dimer (light/dark blue). One (as shown, magenta) or possibly two Nbs1 polypeptides bind to Mre11 and confer protein interactions to ATM and other DNA damage response and repair proteins. Parts of the model are derived from crystal structures ([58,101,104,105,108]) while the long coiled-coil tails are modeled. Experimentally-determined structures are shown for the hook (in the structurally-derived complex with a hook from another coiled-coil [58]), for the “open” conformation of the Mre11 nuclease dimer in complex with the Rad50 NBD in the absence of ATP [100], and for the corresponding closed conformation with two ATPs (magenta spheres) bound to Rad50 [101,105]. In the open conformation, the Mre11 nuclease sites are exposed (red stars), while in the closed conformation they are partially blocked by Rad50. Thus, MR acts as an ATP-regulated switch or clamp.

**Fig. 3. Simplified Model for the proposed ATP-regulated functional switch of the MRN complex in DSB repair.** In the “closed” ATP-bound state, the nucleotide binding domains (NBDs) of Rad50 partially block the Mre11 nuclease (Nuc) and the MRN complex promotes DNA tethering and ATM activation. The way MRN binds DNA in this state is still unclear. In the “open” state, after ATP hydrolysis by Rad50, the Mre11 nuclease sites are exposed and can bind and cleave DNA in DSB processing. The precise way the coiled-coil domains of Rad50 interact or form supramolecular aggregates in different functional states is unclear and not explicitly depicted.
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