Relativistic second-order Born theory for electron capture

D. H. Jakubassa-Amundsen

Physics Section, University of Munich, 8046 Garching, West Germany (Received 29 September 1989; revised manuscript received 15 February 1990)

The asymptotic dependence of the second-order Born term on the collision energy E is reexamined. In contrast to previous work, a *relativistic* free-particle propagator is used, and allowance is made for the spectator electrons of the target by means of a screened potential. These modifications do not change the behavior of the capture cross section like $(\ln E)^2/E$.

In a recent study^{1,2} of electron capture by swift bare ions in collisions with target atoms the second-order Born approximation has been used to extract the highenergy behavior of the capture cross section, as it is known from nonrelativistic theories that the secondorder term in the Born series is asymptotically the dominating one for rearrangement collisions.3 Two approximations were made in these calculations, first, a nonrelativistic propagator was used in the second-order Born term and second, the target atom was idealized by a oneelectron ion. In addition, in the work by Humphries and Moiseiwitsch¹ a peaking approximation was introduced and only the lowest-order terms in the fine-structure constant were retained. Their result, an asymptotic E^{-1} dependence of the capture cross section, differs, however, from the logarithmic energy dependence, $(\ln E)^2/E$, which has been found without this peaking approxima-

In the present communication we improve upon our previous work² by replacing the nonrelativistic free-particle propagator by a relativistic one and by adding to the interaction between the active target electron and the target nucleus an average field caused by the presence of the spectator electrons. Atomic units $(\hbar = e = m = 1)$ are used.

In the semiclassical theory, the exact transition amplitude for electron capture by a bare projectile with charge Z_P is given by

$$a_{fi} = -i \int dt' d\mathbf{r}' \bar{\psi}_{f}^{(-)'}(r') \gamma_{0} V_{P}'(r') [T^{-1} \psi_{i}^{T}(r)] , \qquad (1$$

with $\bar{\psi} = \psi^{\dagger} \gamma_0$ and γ_0 a Dirac matrix. The quantities defined in the projectile frame of reference are denoted by a prime, and $V_P'(r') = -Z_P/|\mathbf{r}'|$ is the electron-projectile interaction. $\psi_i^T(r)$ describes the bound target electron, and the operator T^{-1} transforms this function into the projectile reference frame. The coordinates $r' = (ct', \mathbf{r}')$ and $r = (ct, \mathbf{r})$ are connected by a Lorentz transformation.

The second-order Born approximation is obtained upon expanding the exact electronic scattering state $\psi_f^{(-)}$ which asymptotically develops into a projectile bound state ψ_f^P in terms of the electron-target and electron-projectile interactions, V_T and V_P , respectively, and retaining only the first two terms⁴

$$\begin{split} \psi_f^{(-)}(r_1) &= \psi_f^P(r_1) + \frac{1}{c} \int d^4r_2 S_F^{(-)}(r_1 - r_2) A_T(r_2) \\ &\times \psi_f^P(r_2) \ . \end{split} \tag{2}$$

The electromagnetic potential of the residual target ion is $A_T = \gamma_0 V_T$ with

$$V_T(r) = -(1/|\mathbf{r}|)[1+(Z_T-1)\exp(-\mu|\mathbf{r}|)]$$
,

where Z_T is the nuclear target charge and, for definiteness, an exponential screening function is used to represent the presence of the Z_T-1 spectator electrons; the precise form of the screening function is, however, not important in the present context. For $\mu=0$, the pure Coulomb field $V_T=-Z_T/|\mathbf{r}|$ of Ref. 2 is recovered.

The relativistic free-particle propagator S_F is most readily given by its Fourier representation. It can be expressed in terms of the normalized Dirac plane waves

$$q_s(r) = (2\pi)^{-2} u_q^s \exp(i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r} - i\delta_s \omega t)$$
,

where u_a^s is a Dirac spinor,

$$u_a^{s} = [(\omega + mc^2)/2\omega]^{1/2} [1 + \delta_s \alpha \cdot qc/(\omega + mc^2)]e_s$$
,

with $\alpha_{x,y,z}$ Dirac matrices and e_s a four-dimensional unit vector⁵ (these spinors obey the conventional completeness relation, $\sum_{s=1}^{4} u_q^s u_q^{s\dagger} = 1$, in contrast to the spinors as defined in Ref. 4):

$$S_F^{(\pm)}(r_1 - r_2) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \int d\mathbf{q} \frac{1}{\omega - q_0 c \pm i\epsilon} \sum_{s=1}^{4} \delta_s q_s(r_1) \overline{q}_s(r_2)$$
(3)

with $\epsilon \rightarrow 0+$,

$$\delta_s = \begin{cases} 1, & s = 1, 2 \\ -1, & s = 3, 4, \end{cases}$$

 $q_0c \equiv E_q = (\mathbf{q}^2c^2 + m^2c^4)^{1/2}$ and $\overline{q}_s = q_s^\dagger \gamma_0$. The different sign for s=1,2 and s=3,4 is a consequence of the relativistic propagation of positive-energy states (s=1,2) forward in time and negative-energy states (s=3,4) backward in time in case of $S_F^{(+)}$ and vice versa for $S_F^{(-)}$.

The transition amplitude in the second-order Born approximation is obtained by inserting (2) into (1). In the following, only the second-order Born term to a_{fi} , denoted by a_{fi}^{B2} [which follows from inserting the second term

on the right-hand side of (2) into (1)] will be considered. As we have chosen to evaluate the transition matrix element in the projectile reference frame, the target field A_T has to be transformed according to²

$$A_{T}'(r') = T^{-1}A_{T}(r)T = \gamma \left[1 + \frac{v}{c}\alpha_{z} \right] V_{T}(r)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2\pi^{2}} \gamma \left[1 + \frac{v}{c}\alpha_{z} \right] \int d\mathbf{s}_{0}e^{i\mathbf{s}_{01}\cdot(\mathbf{r}_{1}'+\mathbf{b})} e^{i\mathbf{s}_{0z}\gamma(z'+vt')} \left[\frac{1}{s_{0}^{2}} + \frac{Z_{T}-1}{s_{0}^{2}+\mu^{2}} \right], \tag{4}$$

where the z direction is defined by the collision velocity v and $\gamma = (1 - v^2/c^2)^{-1/2}$. In the last step, the Fourier representation of V_T has been used together with the transformation from r to r' at impact parameter b.

By introducing a complete set of Dirac plane waves $k_{\sigma}(r)$ into (1) after T^{-1} , the evaluation of the transition amplitude proceeds in the same way as done in Ref. 2 and will not be repeated here. We only give the final result for the second-order Born term

$$a_{fi}^{B2} = -i \frac{Z_{P}}{4\pi^{4}\gamma v} \sum_{s,\sigma=1}^{4} \delta_{s} \int d\mathbf{q} d\mathbf{k}' \frac{1}{\delta_{s}(E_{i}/\gamma - vk_{z}') - q_{0}c + i\epsilon} [u_{k}^{\sigma\dagger}\phi_{i}(\mathbf{k})] e^{i\mathbf{k}_{\perp}'\cdot\mathbf{b}} (u_{q}^{s\dagger}T^{-1}u_{k}^{\sigma}) \frac{1}{(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k}')^{2}}$$

$$\times \int d\mathbf{s}_{0\perp} \left[\frac{1}{s_{0\perp}^{2} + s_{0z}^{2}} + \frac{Z_{T} - 1}{s_{0\perp}^{2} + s_{0z}^{2} + \mu^{2}} \right] e^{i\mathbf{s}_{0\perp}\cdot\mathbf{b}} \left[\phi_{f}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{s}_{0\perp} + \mathbf{q}_{\perp}, \gamma s_{0z} + q_{z}) \left[1 + \frac{v}{c}\alpha_{z} \right] u_{q}^{s} \right] , \qquad (5)$$

where $s_{0z} = \gamma^{-1}[-E_f/v + E_i/(\gamma v) - k_z']$ is fixed by energy conservation, E_f and E_i are the energies and $\phi_i(\mathbf{p})$ and $\phi_f(\mathbf{p}_1, p_z)$ the Fourier transforms of the final and initial bound states, respectively. The vectors \mathbf{k} and \mathbf{k}' are related by $\mathbf{k}_1 = \mathbf{k}'_1$ and $k_z = k'_z/\gamma + E_i v/c^2$ [note that in Eq. (6) of Ref. 2, $(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k})^2$ should read $(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k}')^2$].

Equation (5) differs from the corresponding equation of our previous work in the energy denominator $\delta_s(E_i/\gamma - vk_z') - q_0c$ and in the multiplicative sign factor δ_s which results from the proper propagation of the electron and positron states; in the case of a nonrelativistic propagator, $\delta_s = 1$ and $q_0c = q^2/2$. Moreover, consideration of the presence of the spectator electrons introduces an additional term in the s_{01} integrand which is finite as $s_0 \rightarrow 0$.

Let us now consider the energy dependence of the transition amplitude as $E = \gamma M_P c^2 \rightarrow \infty$ (M_P being the projectile mass). A $\gamma^{-1/2}$ dependence arises from the combination of the prefactor γ^{-1} in (5) and from the asymptotic dependence of T^{-1} like $\gamma^{1/2}$. Moreover, s_{0z} behaves like γ^{-1} which implies that the integral over ds_{01} of the term proportional to $(s_{01}^2 + s_{0z}^2)^{-1}$ diverges asymptotically like $\ln \gamma$, while the integral over the second term containing the screening constant μ is independent of γ as $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$. All other quantities in (5) remain finite in this

limit. Hence $a_{fi}^{B2} \sim \gamma^{-1/2} \ln \gamma$, and the energy dependence of the capture cross section σ as obtained by adding the first-order Born term (which behaves asymptotically like $\gamma^{-1/2}$) to a_{fi}^{B2} and squaring the amplitude is $\sigma \sim (\ln E)^2/E$ for $E \to \infty$. This energy dependence is the same as found in our previous work.

The omission of the two above-mentioned approximations affects, however, the magnitude of the $\gamma^{-1/2} \ln \gamma$ term in the transition amplitude and hence its importance for finite γ . In particular, the consideration of screening—with a contribution to a_{fi}^{B2} proportional to $\gamma^{-1/2}$ —will strongly reduce the prefactor of the $\gamma^{-1/2} \ln \gamma$ term (with $1/Z_T$) as compared to a pure Coulomb target field.

If, on the other hand, allowance is made for an ultimate *complete* screening of the target potential, the Coulombic term in (5) proportional to s_0^{-2} is no longer present. In this case, the first and second-order Born term will show the same asymptotic energy dependence, leading to $\sigma \sim E^{-1}$ for $E \to \infty$.

I would like to thank F. Decker for directing my attention to the inadequate use of the nonrelativistic propagator, and the Gesellschaft für Schwerionenforschung Darmstadt m.b.H. for financial support.

¹W. J. Humphries and B. L. Moiseiwitsch, J. Phys. B 17, 2655 (1984)

²D. H. Jakubassa-Amundsen and P. A. Amundsen, Phys. Rev. A **32**, 3106 (1985).

³K. Dettmann and G. Leibfried, Z. Phys. 218, 1 (1969).

⁴J. D. Bjorken and S. D. Drell, *Relativistische Quantenmechanik* (BI Hochschultaschenbücher, Mannheim, 1964) Sec. 6.4.

M. Rose, Relativistische Elektronentheorie (B. Hochschultaschenbücher, Mannheim, 1961), Secs. 15 and 17.
 M. H. Mittleman, Proc. Phys. Soc. London 84, 453 (1964).