Bimetallic Complexes in Zeolites: Reactivity of Tetracarbonyl(trimethylstannyl)cobalt in Acidic Zeolite Y
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Introduction

The preparation and reactivity of hybrid catalysts combining organometallic molecular species with a solid support structure continues to be of great interest.1 One anticipates that facile product separation, flexibility in the choice of reactant medium, and stability of heterogeneous systems could be combined with the high selectivity and activity of some organometallic catalysts. Hybrid catalysts have often been employed for olefin hydrogenation, but also for reactions such as olefin hydroformylation, hydroislylation, dimerization, and metathesis.2 Typically, amorphous supports such as polystyrene or silica have been used. When the catalytic centers are encapsulated in crystalline, microporous hosts such as zeolites,3 catalytic selectivity could be enhanced by controlling access and transport of reactants and products in the catalysis pores. Zeolites are the archetypical crystalline porous inorganic hosts with pore dimensions spanning now 3-30 Å and beyond.4 The well-defined structure of the pores, ion exchange capability, and variety of surface properties make zeolites ideal systems for imaginative host-guest chemistry aimed at the preparation of novel catalysts.5 Recent examples of such systems include the assembly of organometallic complexes in the zeolite pores from preadsorbed precursors, for example, with Os–Carbonyl clusters,6 the formation of [(Ir6(CO)15)]4− in NaX from preadsorbed [Ir(CO)2(acac)] and with CO7 or palladium carbonyl clusters in NaY.8

We have developed a concept for stabilizing low-valent transition metal moieties (such as Cl2(THF)GeMo(CO)39 or Me2SnMn(CO)510) in large-pore zeolites, by using bimetallic complexes where the second, oxophilic main-group element serves to attach the complex to the internal zeolite cage surface. The protons located at the zeolite Si–O–Al bridges are highly acidic. These hydroxyls represent an attractive, largely unexplored type of reactive site for attaching organometallic fragments. Since small species can be anchored, pore clogging can be avoided, and a homogeneous site distribution is likely.

This approach differs from other strategies such as physiosorption of neutral metal carbonyls,11 diffusional blocking of phthalocyanine and other chelate complexes,12 and ligation at transition metal cations such as formation of Rh carbonyl complex cations.13 Improved stability of intrazeolite species should be expected from surface-attached complexes that utilize the bridging zeolite hydroxyls for anchoring reactions, such as Rh(allyl)3 in partially proton-exchanged X and Y type zeolite.14 In this article we discuss the surface chemistry and stability of Me2SnCo(CO)4 in different acidic Y type supports. The reactivity of the SnCo complex and the stability of the Sn–Co bond under different conditions have been explored.15 Reaction with phosphines leads exclusively to monosubstituted products in the trans position to Me3Sn.16 Heterolytic cleavage of the Sn–Co bond and recumbination are possible in certain ionizing solvents such as DMF17 and acetone.18 The precursor is expected to react with the internal surface hydroxyl groups of the zeolites by loss of methane gas whereas the Sn–Co bond and the coordination sphere of Co should remain intact under certain conditions.

A comprehensive combination of analytical techniques has been used to probe local structural changes at the molecular level. These techniques include EXAFS (extended X-ray absorption fine structure) spectroscopy utilizing synchrotron radiation and in situ FTIR coupled to thermodesorption.

Experimental Section

Sample Preparation. All manipulations were carried out under nitrogen atmosphere, and nitrogen-saturated solvents were distilled from appropriate drying agents. The precursor Me2SnCo(CO)4 (mp 73–74 °C,19 H-NMR: 0.36 ppm in CDC13, J(119Sn–H) = 52.6 Hz, J(117Sn–H) = 50.6 Hz) was synthesized from the reaction between CO2(CO)8 and Me2SnCl in MeOH following a modified reported method.19 The SnCo complex was purified by vacuum sublimation prior to use.

The precursor was immobilized into acidic forms of zeolite Y, denominated H6Y (6 H+/sc), obtained from Linde LZ-Y62 ([NH4]4SNa10Al15Si37O112)235H2O, and H2Y, obtained from stoichiometric ammonium exchange of NaY zeolite at 80 °C for 4 h (commercial PQ Valfor CBV 100 [Na8Si15Al15O38H12]·235H2O). Heating the ammonium-exchanged zeolite under
The EXAFS data were analyzed using standard procedures, as combination until the total pressure (including H2) was a mixture of octadecane and eicosane at 30-35 °C, and the complex were studied with. The intraezolite samples were dried at 10−5 Torr for 1 h. X-ray fluorescence measurements showed the expected loading levels (1.0 ± 0.2 molecule per supercage); thus, loading was nearly quantitative.

The structural features of the intraezolite SnCo species were determined by EXAFS spectroscopy at both the Co edge and Sn edge. EXAFS samples were prepared by heating 0.500 g batches of loaded zeolites in a tube furnace between 90 and 300 °C under vacuum (10−5 Torr; heating rate 1 °C/min). The samples were kept at the desired temperature for 6 h. The powdered samples were mixed with a molten, degassed 1:1 mixture of octadecane and eicosane at 30-35 °C, and the encapsulated samples were packaged in EXAFS sample holders, sealed with Kapton tape, and kept under nitrogen until EXAFS measurements were performed. Our previous studies indicate that this technique precludes air oxidation (see ref 9). Powder X-ray diffraction shows that the samples remain crystalline after the heat treatments.

Characterization. FTIR data of thin zeolite dispersions on Si wafers in a steel cell with heating block were taken with a Mattson Polaris spectrometer at 4 cm−1 resolution. The samples were evacuated with a molecular sieve/turbomolecular pump combination until the total pressure (including H2) was ~10−6 Torr prior to any treatment. Zeolite samples were heated at 2 °C/min while FTIR and mass spectra were monitored. Electronic spectra were obtained in the diffuse reflectance mode with an integrating sphere in an Hitachi 3501 UV—near-IR spectrometer. X-ray fluorescence provided elemental composition of the samples (Sn, Co).

EXAFS measurements were carried out at NSLS (Brookhaven National Laboratories) at beamline X-11A with a stored energy of 2.5 GeV and ring currents between 100 and 200 mA. Data were collected at the Co K edge (7709 eV) and the Sn K edge (29 200 eV). The experiments were performed at about 100 K in transmission using a double crystal Si(311) monochromator. The EXAFS data were analyzed using standard procedures, as described in a previous article.10 Fourier transform ranges (k^2-weighted) were chosen close to 2.5−11.0 Å−1.

To evaluate the carbonyl ligation at the cobalt edge, the C and O components of the carbonyl ligands were fitted with the respective contribution in Ni(CO)4. The metal—metal bond (Co-Sn) is partially obscured by the carbonyl ligands. After subtracting the calculated CO backscattering from the original data, the remaining amplitude function is similar to a heavier metal backscatterer. This function was used as internal reference for the zeolite samples for the metal—metal bond. A combined fit of the carbon- and metal shells gave the final results. The tin EXAFS data were fitted with SnMe4 and Me2SnMn(CO)3 as reference for the Sn—C/O and Sn—metal bonds, respectively. (No X-ray structure is available for Me2SnCo(CO)4.)

Results and Discussion

Me2SnCo(CO)4 in HY Zeolite. The complex—surface interactions and the thermal stability of the encapsulated complex were studied with in-situ infrared spectroscopy coupled with temperature-programmed desorption.

Figure 1. Infrared spectra of the carbonyl stretching region of Me3SnCo(CO)4 in H6Y (A) compared with the precursor in Fluorolube mull (B).

Figure 2. In-situ FTIR spectra of Me3SnCo(CO)4 in the H6Y host under vacuum: A, 30; B, 100; C, 120; D, 130; E, 150; F, 190; G, 300 °C. Heating rate 2 °C/min.

Figure 3. TPD/MS data of Me3SnCo(CO)4 in the H6Y host, heated under vacuum at a heating rate of 2 °C/min. (A) CO (m/e = 28). (B) CH4 (m/e = 16). The methane signal is 5× enlarged.

When the tin—cobalt complex is absorbed into the H6Y host, an increased number of bands and a moderate shift of major bands to higher energies is observed in the CO stretching region (major peaks among at least seven listed in Figure 1: 2108 and 2016 cm−1, compared to Fluorolube data: 2098, 2034, and 2004 cm−1 (in hexane: 2083, 2020, and 1988 cm−1)). This shift is indicative of a possible substitution of one or more methyl ligands by the zeolite oxygen framework (see EXAFS data below), similar to the reaction observed with Me3SnMn(CO)3.10 We note that the CO stretch modes of Cl3SnCo(CO)4 occur at
higher frequencies (2120, 2068, and 2048 cm$^{-1}$) than those of the Me$_3$Sn derivative. The spectrum in the acidic host is different from the corresponding one in the nonacidic form because only a small number of sodium ions are available for association with the carbonyl ligands. The presence of other potential products, such as Co(CO)$_4^-$ (1886 cm$^{-1}$ in THF) or HCo(CO)$_4$ (2116, 2053, and 2030 cm$^{-1}$ in hexane), is considered unlikely because the peak positions differ significantly from the encapsulated SnCo complex. The volatile hydrido complex is not expected to remain in the H6Y host under high vacuum at elevated temperature.

The reactivity of the SnCo complex in proton-containing zeolite H6Y is quite different from that in NaY, where alloy cluster formation is observed. Figure 2 shows the in-situ IR spectra of the thermal decomposition of the carbonyl moiety in H6Y. In contrast to the NaY system, the carbonyl pattern does not change much except for a simultaneous decrease in intensity. A small broad band remains at ca. 2000 cm$^{-1}$, which shifts to lower energy until all CO ligands are lost at 300 °C under these dynamic conditions. Apparently, no clusters with bridging CO ligands are formed. These data show that the zeolite-attached
species are decomposed at lower temperature than in the NaY host where the CO evolution peaks at 150 °C. (Minor loss of CO in H6Y begins at 60 °C and peaks at 130 °C, and significant loss of methane occurs at 120 °C; see thermodesorption data in Figure 3.) The methane evolution occurs in two steps (maxima at 140 and 180 °C), suggesting stepwise reaction of the methyl ligands of the SnMe3 moiety with the zeolite hydroxyl groups. The continued consumption of the zeolite hydroxyl groups up to temperatures above 240 °C can be observed in the hydroxyl stretching region (Figure 4).

The UV/vis region (measured in diffuse reflectance, Figure 5) of the SnCo complex in H6Y at different temperatures shows complete destruction of the carbonyl-related charge-transfer band at 267 nm on heating to 160 °C. Three new bands at 535, 590, and 646 nm are observed at the same temperature, indicating that cobalt has been oxidized to Co(II) by the acidic zeolite and that it now coordinates to the zeolite framework in a pseudotetrahedral geometry. The above bands coincide with those observed when dehydrating Co(II)-exchanged zeolite Y at 300 °C.23 In the latter study it was suggested that the fourth ligand (in addition to three framework oxygens from a 6-ring) could be oxygen or hydroxide or represented by the field of the sodalite cavity. On heating to 300 °C, the SnCo system shows a new band at 420 nm that could be associated with trigonal-planar Co coordination.23 These spectral changes confirm our EXAFS data, where the average Co-O coordination drops by about one ligand on heating to 300 °C (see below).

**Adsorption in H2Y Host.** When the SnCo complex is adsorbed into the H2Y host, we observe a strong CO stretch band at 1960 cm⁻¹ in addition to the pattern observed for H6Y (Figure 6). The new band is related to Na-associated Me3SnCo(CO)4, where carbonyl ligands interact with the Lewis acidic sodium ions according to Na⁻OC-Co. This assignment is based on the dominant peak at similar position observed when the complex resides in NaY.21 **In-situ** IR measurements of the decomposition pathway in H2Y reveal that species with a low-energy carbonyl stretch of similar energy as in NaY are formed, suggesting the growth of very small carbonyl-decorated clusters (Figure 6). The TPD/MS data of this system show CO evolution peaking at 130 °C and only little methane production (Figure 6).
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Figure 11. Fourier-transformed EXAFS data of Me₃SnCo(CO)₃ in H6Y at different treatment temperatures, Co edge: top, 160 °C; bottom, 190 °C. Abscissa: distance in Å. Inserts: edge structures, from 7600 to 7900 eV.

7). Taken together, these data suggest that the lower number of protons available per complex (a maximum of 2 vs 6 in H6Y) leads to a profound change in intrazeolite surface reactivity. The complex can attach to the zeolite via one or two Si-O-Sn bridges, but oxidation of cobalt cannot take place; thus, metal clusters can form at higher temperature.

Chemical Reactivity of the Sn-Co Precursor in H6Y Zeolite. The reactivity of trimethylphosphine with the encapsulated SnCo complex was studied in comparison to the solution reaction. The latter was carried out under nitrogen by stirring 1:5 molar ratios of complex:PMe₃ for 12 h at 70 °C in THF. The product shows two strong infrared CO stretching modes (1943 and 1891 cm⁻¹ in hexane; 1936 and 1880 cm⁻¹ in THF), compared with the three modes of the precursor at 2083, 2020, and 1988 cm⁻¹. The new IR pattern is typical for increased n-backbonding on substitution of carbonyls with phosphine, and together with NMR data it is consistent with the exclusive formation of monosubstituted Me₃SnCo(CO)₃(PMe₃) (Figure 8).

The reaction of PMe₃ with the encapsulated SnCo complex in H6Y was carried out in a Schlenk flask. A vial with a 3-fold excess of the phosphine relative to the SnCo complex was connected to an evacuated flask containing SnCo-zeolite. The phosphine was heated to 80 °C and was then allowed to expand into the flask. Subsequently, the flask was closed and heated for 48 h at 70 °C, and the sample was evacuated and washed with pentane after cooling to remove excess phosphine. The CO stretch pattern shows drastic changes after this reaction. The original bands in H6Y (major peaks at 2108, 2016, and 1951 cm⁻¹) are replaced by a broader peak at 1868 cm⁻¹ with a shoulder at 1911 cm⁻¹. This red shift is consistent with phosphine substitution and increased π-backbonding, as in the solution reaction (Figure 8). These results show that the intrazeolite complex Me₃SnCo(CO)₄ can react with a phosphine ligand diffusing into the pore system. Thermal desorption of the more stable phosphine-substituted product in the H6Y host (3 °C/min heating rate) shows phosphine evolution at 150 °C, due to desorption of excess PMe₃, and complex decomposition accompanied by evolution of phosphine and CO at about 190 °C.

The EXAFS analysis shows that the cobalt carbonyl coordination sphere remains intact on introduction of the complex.
into the acidic H6Y zeolite at room temperature (Co–CO, 4.2 ligands at 1.78 Å; Co–CO, 4.7 at 2.96 Å). The Co–Sn bond is detected at 2.68 Å, when using a Sn–Mn reference for the fit (Figure 9, Co edge; Figure 10, Sn edge; Table 1). We note that the coordination number for the Co–Sn bond cannot be determined reliably because of significant overlap with the oxygen shell. At the tin edge, a total of three carbon/oxygen ligands at 2.13 Å is observed. In comparison with tin edge data in the NaY host (not shown), the tin edge of the SnCo complex in H6Y indicates that some of the carbon is already replaced by zeolite oxygen, which implies chemical attachment to the zeolite framework. No zeolite framework metal is detected, probably a result of disorder in the weakly zeolite-associated state of the tin–cobalt complex at low temperature. In addition to the first tin shell, a well-separated second shell due to the Sn–Co bond is observed. The corresponding Sn–Co distance, 2.55 Å, appears to be 0.13 Å shorter than that obtained at the cobalt edge, probably because optimal reference EXAFS data for this bond are not available and because of overlapping shells at the Co edge.

On heating to 90 °C, the cobalt carbonyl sphere remains largely intact (Co–CO, 3.7 ligands at 1.73 Å; Co–CO, 3.6 at 2.91 Å; Figures 9 and 10), and the Co–Sn bond is again observed at 2.64 Å. The tin edge shows further reduction of carbon features, and the tin–carbon/oxygen coordination appears now more disordered (no unique fits were obtained), suggesting a greater degree of oxygen substitution and attachment to the zeolite framework. This is supported by the evolution of methane in the IR/TPD-MS experiment (Figure 3).24

Drastic changes occur between 90 and 160 °C, notably the removal of most of the carbonyl ligands, appearance of Co–O coordination (Co–O, at 2.06 Å), and a strong indication for coordination of Co to the zeolite framework: A new shell emerges at 3 Å in the radial distribution function (Figure 11). The drastic decarbonylation in this temperature range is confirmed in the IR/TPD-MS experiments discussed above (see Figure 3 for mass spectrometric data). The above trends continue on heating to 190 °C. Complete dissociation of CO (the Co edge shows complete removal of the CO-based feature that was seen at RT and 90 °C), significant Co–O, coordination (4.6 Å, at 2.07 Å; O, = zeolite oxygen), and oxygen–framework backscattering are observed. (The outer shell cannot be fitted with Co backscattering and is believed to be due to Si/Al.)

The evolution of the cobalt coordination at elevated temperatures signals a reactivity of the SnCo complex in H6Y that is very different from that in the nonacidic NaY host. In the latter, small metal alloy clusters are formed at 300 °C. In contrast, the H6Y host provides enough protons (ca. 6 per complex) for complete removal of methyl ligands at tin and for the oxidative decarbonylation of the cobalt moiety, leading to framework-attached Co(OH). Accordingly, no cluster formation is detected at 300 °C in H6Y. Instead, we observe Co–O, coordination (3.7 at 2.05 Å), very similar to that of tin–oxygen framework backscattering and is believed to be due to Si/Al.

The evolution of the cobalt coordination at elevated temperatures signals a reactivity of the SnCo complex in H6Y that is very different from that in the nonacidic NaY host. In the latter, small metal alloy clusters are formed at 300 °C. In contrast, the H6Y host provides enough protons (ca. 6 per complex) for complete removal of methyl ligands at tin and for the oxidative decarbonylation of the cobalt moiety, leading to framework-attached Co(OH). Accordingly, no cluster formation is detected at 300 °C in H6Y. Instead, we observe Co–O, coordination (3.7 at 2.05 Å), very similar to that of tin–oxygen framework backscattering and is believed to be due to Si/Al.

The cobalt–oxygen coordination (about four oxygen ligands at 2.05 Å) is typical for cobalt atoms in zeolite frameworks. The cobalt–oxygen coordination is detected at 2.07 Å (Figure 12),25 and outer-shell zeolite scattering. Thus, on heating the sample to 190 and 300 °C, the cobalt moves into close coordination with the zeolite framework. The cobalt–oxygen coordination at 2.05 Å is typical for cobalt atoms in zeolite frameworks. The cobalt–oxygen coordination is detected at 2.07 Å, very similar to that of tin–oxygen framework backscattering and is believed to be due to Si/Al.

At high temperatures, the acidic host thus leads to oxidative decarbonylation and cleavage of the tin–cobalt complex, to form cobalt ions attached to the zeolite framework.

Conclusions

The combination of EXAFS data and in-situ FTIR coupled with TPD-MS measurements discussed above provides a comprehensive picture of the intrazeolite structure and chemistry of Me3SnCo(CO)4 in the acidic zeolite Y containing an average of six protons per supercage (Figure 13).

The H6Y host offers a chemically reactive surface that can interact with the Me3Sn moiety of the bimetallic complex. Increasing degrees of substitution of the methyl groups by the oxygen framework are observed. The degree of substitution increases with temperature. The Sn–Co bond is cleaved between about 90 and 160 °C, and oxidative decarbonylation of Co leads to Co(OH) attached to the zeolite framework with pseudotetrahedral coordination.

These results show that the heterobimetallic compound Me3SnCo(CO)4 can be anchored into the cages of acidic zeolites at room temperature under retention of the Sn–Co bond. The methyl ligands are good leaving groups in H6Y. The intrazeolite complex in H6Y is accessible toward carbonyl substitution with PMe3 at the cobalt atom.

In comparison with the very different reactivity of the same precursor complex in nonacidic NaY, these results show that the intrazeolite chemistry and reactivity can be controlled by the choice of host reactivity, i.e., the number of acidic protons lining the host cages. The interplay of host reactivity and precursor structure and reactivity allows us to produce a wide range of intrazeolite species with potential as selective catalysts and other materials with interesting functionality.
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