Impact of Serum Cytokine Levels on EEG-Measured Arousal Regulation in Patients with Major Depressive Disorder and Healthy Controls
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were significantly associated with the time course of EEG vigilance in the MDD group only. In both groups, IL-13 was significantly associated with the course of EEG vigilance. In MDD compared to controls, a hyperstable EEG vigilance regulation was found, significant for group and group × time course interaction. After controlling for wakefulness-promoting cytokines, differences in vigilance regulation between groups remained significant.

Conclusions: The present study demonstrated a relationship between wakefulness-promoting cytokines and objectively measured EEG vigilance as an indicator for brain arousal. Altered brain arousal regulation in MDD gives support for future evaluation of vigilance measures as a biomarker in MDD. Since interactions between cytokines and EEG vigilance only moderately differed between the groups and cytokine levels could not explain the group differences in EEG vigilance regulation, cytokines and brain arousal regulation are likely to be associated with MDD in independent ways.
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Abstract
Background: In major depressive disorder (MDD), findings include hyperstable regulation of brain arousal measured by electroencephalography (EEG) vigilance analysis and alterations in serum levels of cytokines. It is also known that cytokines affect sleep-wake regulation. This study investigated the relationship between cytokines and EEG vigilance in participants with MDD and nondepressed controls, and the influence of cytokines on differences in vigilance between the two groups. Methods: In 60 patients with MDD and 129 controls, 15-min resting-state EEG recordings were performed and vigilance was automatically assessed with the VIGALL 2.0 (Vigilance Algorithm Leipzig). Serum levels of the wakefulness-promoting cytokines interleukin (IL)-4, IL-10, IL-13 and somnogenic cytokines tumor necrosis factor-α, interferon-γ and IL-2 were measured prior to the EEG. Results: Summed wakefulness-promoting cytokines, but not somnogenic cytokines, were significantly associated with the time course of EEG vigilance in the MDD group only. In both groups, IL-13 was significantly associated with the course of EEG vigilance. In MDD compared to controls, a hyperstable EEG vigilance regulation was found, significant for group and group × time course interaction. After controlling for wakefulness-promoting cytokines, differences in vigilance regulation between groups remained significant.

Conclusions: The present study demonstrated a relationship between wakefulness-promoting cytokines and objectively measured EEG vigilance as an indicator for brain arousal. Altered brain arousal regulation in MDD gives support for future evaluation of vigilance measures as a biomarker in MDD. Since interactions between cytokines and EEG vigilance only moderately differed between the groups and cytokine levels could not explain the group differences in EEG vigilance regulation, cytokines and brain arousal regulation are likely to be associated with MDD in independent ways.
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Introduction

The majority of patients suffering from major depressive disorder (MDD) not only exhibit core symptoms of MDD such as depressed mood, reduced drive and loss of interest, but also disturbances pointing to a preponderance of wakefulness-promoting over sleep-promoting (somnogenic) brain mechanisms. Among these symptoms are prolonged sleep onset latencies, impaired sleep maintenance, inner tension and difficulty relaxing. Within the arousal model of affective disorders these symptoms are associated with a high and hyperstable regulation of vigilance, which is postulated to play an important pathogenetic role [1]. Within this model, symptoms of sensation avoidance and social withdrawal are interpreted as an autoregulatory reaction of the organism to this state of high brain arousal: the organism aims to avoid any further arousal-increasing sensory or social stimulation. Using resting-state EEG (electroencephalography) recordings, a replicated finding is that patients with MDD compared to healthy controls show hyperstable EEG vigilance regulation characterized by fewer and later transitions to EEG signs of drowsiness or sleep onset [2, 3].

Cytokines, transmitters of the immune system, have been shown to be involved in both wakefulness regulation and the pathogenesis of depression. They influence several neurotransmitter systems such as the serotonin, glutamate and dopamine systems, and neuroendocrine systems such as the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, which are involved in sleep-wake-regulation and implicated in the pathogenesis of depression [4–6]. During states with increased proinflammatory cytokine production or after proinflammatory cytokine administration, humans and laboratory animals exhibit anhedonia, psychomotor slowing, sleepiness, fever and increased sensitivity to pain, termed ‘sickness behavior’ [4, 7]. In depression, proinflammatory cytokines were found to interact with the HPA axis, to stimulate the serotonin-depleting indolamine-2,3-dioxygenase and to trigger serotonin reuptake [6, 8, 9]. Levels of proinflammatory cytokines were repeatedly found to be elevated in depressed patients as well as in animal models of depression [6, 10, 11], potentially representing an inflammation-associated specific subtype of MDD [12–14] and a target for new antidepressant treatment strategies [15, 16].

Moreover, a body of studies applying objective and subjective measures for conditions of sleep and wakefulness, performed in both preclinical and clinical settings, showed that proinflammatory cytokines like tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α and interferon (IFN)-γ exhibit sleep-inducing properties. Somnogenic properties of proinflammatory cytokines, best researched for TNF-α and interleukin (IL)-1β, presumably arise from activation of the humoral agents nuclear factor-kappa B (NF-kB) and nitric oxide. Somnogenic effects may be further promoted by an impact on the HPA axis, including an increase in growth hormone-releasing hormone [5, 17–19]. States of low-grade inflammation with upregulation of cytokine signaling feature in disorders in which excessive daytime sleepiness is commonly observed, such as metabolic syndrome [20], obstructive sleep apnea and HLA-DR2-positive narcolepsy [21, 22]. Cytokine levels are further related to certain habits like daily napping and the extent of physical activity [10]. Whereas the connection between sleep and cytokines has been more broadly investigated, little is known concerning the role of cytokines in arousal and wakefulness regulation. Predominantly, anti-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-4, IL-10 and IL-13 are regarded to have wakefulness-promoting effects. However, this was mostly concluded by the impact on sleep duration and sleep stage fractions in animal models [17, 18, 23]. In these, the administration of IL-10 and IL-13 reduced time spent asleep, probably by limiting the production of sleep-inducing TNF-α and IL-1 as well as interfering with transcription factor NF-kB [24–28]. Wake-promoting cytokines further peak in concentrations during daytime [29], levels of IL-10 reduce during sleep [30], sleep restriction leads to an increase in the IL-4 to IL-2 ratio [31] and insomniac men show higher levels of IL-4 than noninsomniac men [32].

In the present study, we used a recently developed and validated EEG-based algorithm which allows objective assessment of different EEG vigilance stages from active wakefulness to sleep onset. The aim of this study was to explore the relationship between EEG vigilance regulation and serum levels of wakefulness-promoting and somnogenic cytokines in depressed and nondepressed participants.

Methods

Subjects

The 189 participants comprised 35 consecutively admitted depressed patients from the Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy of the University Hospital Leipzig, 25 depressed patients from the outpatient clinic of the Integrated Research and Treatment Center for Adiposity Diseases Leipzig (IFB) and 54 nondepressed patients from the IFB and 75 community volunteers recruited via announcements (intranet, internet, local newspapers). A mix of obese and nonobese subjects was made possible given a missing relationship between EEG vigilance regulation and BMI.
EEG Recordings

Fifteen minutes of resting-state EEG were recorded. All recordings were taken between 08:00 and 18:00 hr. Participants were instructed to keep their eyes closed, relax and not resist any urge to fall asleep. The EEG was recorded with a 40-channel QuickAmp amplifier (Brain Products GmbH, Gilching, Germany) from 31 electrode sites according to an extended version of the international 10–20 system at a sampling rate of 1 kHz, referenced against the common average using a low-pass filter at 280 Hz. Impedances were kept below 10 kΩ. EEG data were processed using a Brain Vision Analyzer 2.0 (Brain Products GmbH). EOG (electrooculogram) electrodes were placed above the upper left eye and under the lower right eye. EEG raw data were filtered at 70 Hz (low-pass), 0.5 Hz (high-pass) and 50 Hz (notch filter, range ± 2 Hz). EOG channels were screened for periods of open eyes which were excluded from further analysis. Eye movement artifacts were removed with an independent component analysis approach by extracting 1–3 independent components that clearly represented vertical and horizontal eye movements. Likewise, persistent muscle artifacts were removed using the independent component analysis method. Following this, segments with remaining muscle, movement, eye and sweating artifacts were marked for exclusion from further analysis.

Assessment and Classification of EEG Vigilance

Each of the consecutive 900 one-second segments for each participant was classified according to the seven EEG vigilance stages of the computer-based VIGALL (Vigilance Algorithm Leipzig; http://www.uni-leipzig.de/~vagall): 

- Stage W with low-amplitude, non-alpha activity during an activated state
- Stage A with dominant alpha activity corresponding to relaxed wakefulness (divided into substages A1, A2 and A3 according to the degree of spreading of alpha activity from occipital to more anterior cortices)
- Stage B with low-amplitude non-alpha (substage B1) and increasing theta and delta activity corresponding to drowsiness (substage B2/B3)
- Stage C with sleep spindles or K-complexes characterizing sleep onset

Cytokine Measurement

All blood draws were performed immediately preceding the resting-state EEG recordings. Blood samples were immediately centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 10 min. The supernatant was aliquoted and stored in nonabsorbing polypropylene 300-μl tubes. Probes of serum were shock-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored in freezers at –80°C until further measurement. Cytokines were measured using the Bio-Plex Pro™ human cytokine Th1/Th2 immunoassay from Bio-Rad, Germany, a 96-well kit that includes coupled magnetic beads and detection antibodies. This multiplex assay detects IL-2, IL-4, IL-5, IL-10, IL-12, IL-13, GM-CSF, IFN-γ and TNF-α. Levels of these cytokines and an evaluation of differences between depressed and nondepressed subjects in the present study sample have been reported [10]. In the present article, we aimed to explore a possible relationship between cytokines and vigilance regulation and therefore only included those subjects with EEG recordings matching the inclusion criteria.

Statistics

For differences in sociodemographic data and depression scores, Student’s t test (age, BMI), χ² test (sex) and Mann-Whitney U test (BDI-II) were performed. Of the 9 cytokines assayed, those with somnogenic properties (TNF-α, IFN-γ, IL-2) and wakefulness-promoting properties (IL-4, IL-10, IL-13) were selected based on the literature [17]. Z-transformations were performed for each of these 6 individual cytokine levels and Z-values for TNF-α, IFN-γ and IL-2 were summed to produce the covariate ‘somnogenic cytokines’ and Z-values for IL-4, IL-10 and IL-13 to produce the covariate ‘wakefulness-promoting cytokines’.

EEG vigilance stages were compared using the Mann-Whitney U test due to a nonnormal distribution of stages, based on the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The average vigilance values for the fifteen 1-min and five 3-min epochs showed a normal distribution. The relationship between depression and the time course of EEG vigilance was examined in a 2 (‘group’: depressed vs. non-depressed) × 5 (‘time’: min 1–3, min 4–6, min 7–9, min 10–12, min 13–15 epochs) repeated measures ANOVA, with the average vigilance value per 3-min epoch as the dependent variable and time of recording as the covariate. Post hoc tests for the five
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Analyses of covariance with repeated measures (MANCOVA) were used to investigate the relationships between depression, cytokine levels and the time course of EEG vigilance. In a first step, separate MANCOVAs were performed in the total sample and the two subgroups (depressed vs. nondepressed participants; fig. 1), with the average vigilance value serving as the dependent variable and 'time' serving as the within-subject factor, controlled for levels of each separate cytokine or levels of somnogenic or wakefulness-promoting cytokines (covariate). Two separate 2 (between-subject factor 'group') × 5 (repeated measure 'time') MANCOVAs were then performed in the total sample, with levels of somnogenic or wakefulness-promoting cytokines as the respective covariate and time of recording as the covariate. For figure 2, the subjects were allocated into groups of 'high IL-13' and 'low IL-13' when levels were below the 1st quartile (IL-13 ≤2.48 pg/ml, n = 49) or above the 4th quartile (IL-13 ≥8.44 pg/ml, n = 47). The IBM Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) program version 20.0 for Windows was used for all statistical analyses. The significance level was set at p < 0.05.

| Table 1. Differences in sociodemographics, depression scores, time of EEG recordings and sleep quality between groups of depressed and nondepressed participants |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                                                  | Depressed participants (n = 60)                  | Nondepressed participants (n = 129)                  | Test statistics | p values       |
| Age, years                                       | 39.30 ± 13.02                                   | 37.12 ± 12.85                                   | T = -1.074      | 0.285          |
| Sex (male/female)                                | 30/30                                           | 30/81                                         | χ² = 2.764      | 0.096          |
| BMI                                              | 29.61 ± 9.70                                    | 36.24 ± 12.11                                   | T = 1.011       | 0.314          |
| BDI-II score                                     | 27.19 ± 11.68                                   | 6.18 ± 6.69                                    | Z = -9.702      | <0.001         |
| HAMD-17 score                                    | 17.56 ± 6.65                                    | n.a.                                          | n.a.            | n.a.           |
| Recording time of day¹, h                        | 11:13 ± 2:13                                    | 12:03 ± 2:42                                   | Z = -1.840      | 0.066          |
| Sleep quality (SF-A)                             | 2.67 ± 0.80                                     | 3.81 ± 0.67                                    | Z = -7.938      | <0.001         |
| Refreshed in the morning (SF-A)                  | 2.69 ± 0.90                                     | 3.33 ± 0.65                                    | Z = -4.421      | <0.001         |
| Mental balance in the evening (SF-A)             | 2.27 ± 0.82                                     | 3.46 ± 0.71                                    | Z = -7.506      | <0.001         |
| Mental tiredness in the evening (SF-A)           | 3.48 ± 0.96                                     | 2.94 ± 0.83                                    | Z = -3.742      | <0.001         |
| Psychosomatic complaints during sleep (SF-A)     | 1.73 ± 0.70                                     | 1.33 ± 0.37                                    | Z = -3.532      | <0.001         |
| Self-reported sleep duration, h/min              | 7/47 ± 1/73                                     | 7/18 ± 1/17                                    | Z = -1.278      | 0.201          |

Data are presented as means ± SD unless otherwise indicated. Significant comparisons are in italics. n.a. = Not applicable.

¹ SD indicates hours and minutes.
Results

The depressed and nondepressed groups did not differ in age, sex or BMI. As expected, the depressed participants showed significantly higher self-rated scores on the BDI-II, with overall moderate severity of depressive symptoms according to HAMD-17 (table 1). On the SF-A, the depressed participants reported reduced ‘sleep quality’, reduced ‘feeling of being refreshed in the morning’, increased ‘psychosomatic complaints during the sleep phase’, reduced ‘mental balance in the evening’ and increased ‘mental tiredness in the evening’. Reported sleep duration did not vary between groups. The EEG recording was performed slightly later in the day in the nondepressed group (table 1).

EEG Vigilance and Cytokines

Repeated measures ANOVA analyses of EEG vigilance by time for each of the cytokines revealed significance for the factor ‘IL-13’ in the total sample, and an ‘IL-13 × time’ interaction in the total sample and in both depressed patients and controls (fig. 1). For grouped cytokines, a significant interaction for ‘wakefulness-promoting cytokines × time’ was found within the total sample. Within the depressed group, there was a significant interaction ‘wakefulness-promoting cytokines × time’. Within the nondepressed controls no interaction ‘wakefulness-promoting cytokines × time’ could be found. No significant interaction for ‘somnogenic cytokines × time’ was found within the total sample or separate groups (table 2).

EEG Vigilance in Depression

Comparisons of EEG vigilance stages between groups revealed a significantly higher amount of total A stages and substage A2 and a lower amount of total B stages in the depressed compared to nondepressed groups (table 3). Repeated measures ANOVA of EEG vigilance course between groups revealed a highly significant effect of the factor ‘group’ on the dependent variable ‘average vigilance value’. The interaction ‘group × time’ was also significant (table 3). Post hoc tests revealed significant group differences for the min 7–9, min 10–12 and min 13–15 epochs (fig. 2).

Impact of Cytokine Levels on EEG Vigilance in Depression

ANOVA analysis of the dependent variable ‘average vigilance value’ between groups showed significant interactions in ‘group × time’ ($F_{2,444} = 2.995, p = 0.041$) and in

### Table 2. Cytokines as factor for overall EEG vigilance and interaction between different cytokines and time course of EEG vigilance in the total sample and in depressed and nondepressed groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cytokine</th>
<th>Main Effect</th>
<th>Interaction</th>
<th>Depressed</th>
<th>Nondepressed</th>
<th>Depressed</th>
<th>Nondepressed</th>
<th>Depressed</th>
<th>Nondepressed</th>
<th>Depressed</th>
<th>Nondepressed</th>
<th>Depressed</th>
<th>Nondepressed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α</td>
<td>1.598</td>
<td>0.208</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>1.634</td>
<td>0.191</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>1.051</td>
<td>0.360</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.607</td>
<td>0.575</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-γ</td>
<td>1.912</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>2.435</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>1.529</td>
<td>0.399</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.543</td>
<td>0.679</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-2</td>
<td>0.619</td>
<td>0.432</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>1.006</td>
<td>0.377</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.747</td>
<td>0.492</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.433</td>
<td>0.672</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-4</td>
<td>1.047</td>
<td>0.307</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>2.142</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>0.635</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.888</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-10</td>
<td>0.952</td>
<td>0.206</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.658</td>
<td>0.563</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.836</td>
<td>0.433</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-13</td>
<td>4.473</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>5.811</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.530</td>
<td>0.469</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.410</td>
<td>0.871</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wakefulness-promoting cytoxines</td>
<td>3.560</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>2.635</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.664</td>
<td>0.417</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>0.330</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somnogenic cytoxines</td>
<td>1.422</td>
<td>0.235</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>3.695</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.326</td>
<td>0.570</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.665</td>
<td>0.189</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significant comparisons are in italics.
‘wakefulness-promoting cytokines × time’ (F 2, 444 = 3.105, p = 0.037). ‘EEG vigilance’ trended for the factor ‘group’ (F 1, 185 = 3.416, p = 0.066) but not for ‘wakefulness-promoting cytokines’ (F 1, 185 = 0.968, p = 0.326).

Discussion

This is, to the authors’ knowledge, the first study comparing resting-state EEG measures and cytokine serum concentrations in unmedicated depressed and nondepressed participants. The results demonstrate a positive association between EEG-based measures of brain arousal (EEG vigilance) and concentrations of cytokines in the total sample and separate groups, which was not related to differences in brain arousal regulation between MDD and nondepressed controls.

This positive association was not a general finding for all 6 cytokines investigated but limited to IL-13 and, possibly driven by IL-13, the grouped IL-4, IL-10 and IL-13 cytokines with wakefulness-regulating properties. For the individual and summed somnogenic cytokines TNF-α, IFN-γ and IL-2, all mediators of proinflammatory immune responses, no such association could be shown. Notwithstanding that proinflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α have been most often implicated in the pathophysiology of depression [12, 37], our results indicate that anti-inflammatory, wakefulness-promoting cytokines such as IL-4, IL-10 and IL-13 should be borne in mind when investigating specific features of depression such as abnormalities in sleep-wake regulation. Both the anti-inflammatory and wakefulness-promoting properties of IL-13, levels of which are reported to be elevated in MDD [10], potentially arise from the suppression of important molecular pathway agents like second messenger nitric oxide release [38] and, together with IL-4 and IL-10, by the blockade of the transcriptional factor NF-κB [5]. Indirect sleep-inhibiting effects of these cytokines may also arise from the inhibition of the differentiation and shift of naïve T cells into T-helper 1 cells and activation of macrophages, respectively, from a reduction of TNF-α and IFN-γ levels. Peripheral and hypothalamic TNF-α has been suggested to show a direct impact on non-REM sleep and modulate molecular networks relevant for sleep regulation [5, 17, 19]. TNF-α levels were linked with daytime sleepiness [39] and levels increased after supplementation with sleep-inducing antidepressants and antipsychotics [40, 41]. Whilst IFN-γ-

Table 3. Occurrence of EEG vigilance stages between depressed and nondepressed groups and differences of EEG vigilance regulation over time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage, %</th>
<th>Depressed value</th>
<th>Nondepressed value</th>
<th>Test statistics</th>
<th>p values</th>
<th>Partial η²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stage W</td>
<td>12.98 ± 16.57</td>
<td>12.50 ± 15.79</td>
<td>F=0.036</td>
<td>0.849</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage A</td>
<td>52.62 ± 30.08</td>
<td>42.83 ± 28.65</td>
<td>F=4.636</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substage A1</td>
<td>22.61 ± 23.07</td>
<td>21.38 ± 21.61</td>
<td>F=0.126</td>
<td>0.723</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substage A2</td>
<td>27.26 ± 24.95</td>
<td>17.99 ± 19.79</td>
<td>F=7.572</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substage A3</td>
<td>2.76 ± 3.63</td>
<td>3.45 ± 5.76</td>
<td>F=0.763</td>
<td>0.383</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage B</td>
<td>34.08 ± 26.72</td>
<td>43.51 ± 25.15</td>
<td>F=5.533</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substage B1</td>
<td>21.32 ± 20.98</td>
<td>27.06 ± 21.10</td>
<td>F=3.039</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substage B2/3</td>
<td>12.76 ± 17.59</td>
<td>16.45 ± 18.07</td>
<td>F=1.739</td>
<td>0.189</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage C</td>
<td>0.32 ± 1.30</td>
<td>1.16 ± 4.33</td>
<td>F=2.164</td>
<td>0.143</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Repeated measures ANOVA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Time × group</th>
<th>Post hoc min 1–3</th>
<th>Post hoc min 4–6</th>
<th>Post hoc min 7–9</th>
<th>Post hoc min 10–12</th>
<th>Post hoc min 13–15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.96 ± 0.94</td>
<td>4.93 ± 0.87</td>
<td>4.75 ± 0.92</td>
<td>4.64 ± 0.93</td>
<td>4.48 ± 1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>F= 1.423</td>
<td>0.844</td>
<td>0.632</td>
<td>0.536</td>
<td>0.536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.240</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as means ± SD unless otherwise indicated. Significant comparisons are in italics.
mediated sleep-inducing properties are considered to depend on the interaction with TNF-α, as deduced from symptoms of sleepiness and fatigue during infections and IFN treatments [5, 17], data is lacking on its role on wakefulness. Several lines of evidence link the immune response to arousal regulation, possibly via the HPA axis or monoaminergic transmitter systems [1, 4, 5]. Subjects suffering from inflammation frequently exhibit tiredness or sleepiness mediated by the increased production of proinflammatory cytokines in the setting of 'sickness behavior', which resembles depressive symptoms [4, 7]. Narcolepsy, a disorder with increased daytime sleepiness, was found to be associated with specific markers of the HLA system and increased production of proinflammatory cytokines [21, 42, 43]. Cytokines not only influence wakefulness regulation, but their concentrations also change depending on sleep characteristics or following sleep deprivation [44–46].

Our finding of more stable EEG vigilance regulation in MDD is in agreement with previous studies [2, 3] and extends past findings using a refined version of the VIGALL algorithm in a larger sample of unmedicated depressed patients. The pattern across the 15-min EEG sampling frame of fewer declines into lower EEG vigilance stages, and a greater percentage of high EEG vigilance stages in the unmedicated depressed group, supports the theory of hyperstable arousal regulation in MDD [1]. This potential pathophysiological feature of depression is considered to be a state-dependent trait, with depressive symptoms both as features of the hyperstable brain arousal regulation (e.g. sleep disturbances, diurnal variation of mood) and as a result of an autoregulation response to this (e.g. social withdrawal, sensation avoidance). Findings of hyperstable brain arousal regulation are not exclusive to MDD, having been recently demonstrated in obsessive-compulsive disorder [47]. This pathophysiological overlap between the disorders may contribute to high reciprocal comorbidity rates [48]. Our results of diminished sleep quality in depressed patients is also in accordance with the literature [49] and these sleep disturbances may be a result of hyperstable wakefulness regulation not allowing patients to reach lower arousal levels that would be needed to attain sleep or deep sleep.

Despite the interactions found between cytokines and EEG vigilance herein as well as both altered brain arousal regulation and cytokine levels in MDD, cytokines did not significantly influence EEG vigilance differences between the groups. Therefore, our findings do not provide support for a pathogenic link between hyperstable brain arousal regulation and proinflammatory or somnogenic or anti-inflammatory/wakefulness-promoting cytokines.

There are several limitations of this study. As well as analyzing the impact of each cytokine on EEG vigilance regulation separately, we grouped cytokines into wakefulness-promoting and somnogenic cytokines based on a review of the published literature [17]. This is a somewhat imprecise classification, grouping cytokines from results of experimentally and statistically heterogeneous previous studies. Another limitation is that both blood samples and EEG measurements were taken at varying hours of the day, between 8:00 and 18:00 h. Therefore, an influence of circadian rhythmicity on the levels and effects of cytokines cannot be excluded [50, 51]. The temporal resolution of EEG and cytokine measures and the extent to which they may be linked to changes in brain arousal are also disparate. Whereas the EEG has the methodological strength of instant and objective vigilance recording, changes in peripheral cytokines show latency due to synthesis and secretion and the passing of the blood-brain barrier [4]. Although not investigated to date, measurable changes in cytokine concentrations related to short-term, nonrecurring changes in EEG vigilance can hardly be expected, whereas a long-term recording with, for example, sustained high vigilance regulation, prolonged vigilance patterns could be accompanied by sustained changes in cytokine concentrations. Therefore, the methods applied in the present study may account for not finding a link between somnogenic cytokines and arousal patterns. The 15-min EEG recording time during which the vast majority of participants did not manifest signs of sleep onset may have been too brief to elicit or discern neurophysiological connections between somnogenic cytokines and parameters of sleep onset. However, as most previous studies used subjective questionnaires to assess sleepiness or wakefulness while investigating the relationship between cytokines and wakefulness regulation [17] and as this is the first study investigating serum cytokine levels together with EEG measurements combined with VIGALL, we think that this negative result is important to report.

In conclusion, this study provides further evidence for hyperstable brain arousal regulation in depressed patients and for a putative role of the immune system in wakefulness regulation. The hyperstable brain arousal regulation in MDD and elevated cytokine levels in this disorder appear to be the most likely independent pathways relevant to the pathogenesis of MDD, a subject requiring further investigation. The observed connection
between serum levels of cytokines and objective, algorithm-based, measurement of EEG vigilance indicates the potential utility of studies combining EEG vigilance and EEG sleep measures, along with repeated cytokine assaying during the recordings, to elucidate this interesting but little-investigated field of psychoneuroimmunology.
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