Cognitive Impairment Is Associated with a Low Omega-3 Index in the Elderly: Results from the KORA-Age Study
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Abstract
Background: Omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) may affect the risk of cognitive decline in older adults. Methods: Cross-sectional analysis was conducted among 720 (50.4% women) participants aged 68–92 years (mean age: 77.6, SD ±6.2) of the population-based KORA-Age study. Eicosapentaenoic acid and docosahexaenoic acid (omega-3 index) were measured in erythrocytes as a percentage of total fatty acids. The categories low (<5.7), intermediate (5.7–6.8), and high (>6.8) levels of the omega-3 index were built using tertiles. The association between cognitive status and omega-3 levels was assessed by logistic regression analyses with adjustments for important concurrent risk factors of cognitive decline. Results: In the sex- and age-adjusted model (model 1), subjects with a low omega-3 index were at a significantly higher risk for cognitive impairment (OR: 1.77, 95% CI: 1.15–2.73, p = 0.009). This association remained stable after further adjusting for educational level (model 2; OR: 1.75, 95% CI: 1.13–2.71, p = 0.01) and metabolic risk factors (model 3; OR: 1.77, 95% CI: 1.14–2.75, p = 0.01). After further controlling for affective disorders (model 4), the association did not attenuate (OR: 1.77, 95% CI: 1.14–2.76, p = 0.01). Conclusion: A robust association was found between low omega-3 levels and cognitive impairment in an elderly population. Further research is needed to understand the link between omega-3 PUFA and cognitive functioning.

© 2016 S. Karger AG, Basel
Introduction

A growing body of evidence suggests that the two omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) could have neuroprotective properties [1, 2]. With ageing, omega-3 PUFA levels in the brain tend to decrease, suggesting that low levels of EPA and DHA could contribute to deterioration in memory and other cognitive functions [3]. Many of the benefits of DHA may be due its derivative neuroprotection D1 (NPD1), which helps promote membrane fluidity, regulate apoptosis, and modulate inflammation [4]. EPA plays a major role in the modulation of blood flow and inflammation [2]. Both EPA and DHA potentially play a role in synaptic plasticity, neurogenesis, cognition, and vascular health [5, 6].

Notwithstanding the biological plausibility that explains the potential protective role of omega-3 PUFAs [5, 6], and despite considerable efforts in the last decade, interventional trials regarding the effect of omega-3 do not univocally show an inverse relation between levels of omega-3 PUFAs and cognitive impairment [7–9].

Furthermore, population-based studies on the relationship between blood (plasma or erythrocyte) omega-3 PUFA composition and some form of cognitive impairment yielded inconclusive results [10], either showing a strong relationship between DHA and/or EPA and a vascular pattern of cognitive impairment [11], global cognitive function [12], and major aspects of cognitive performance [13] or failing to show significant associations [13]. In some studies, the association attenuated after adjustment for confounders [14]. Likewise, some longitudinal studies revealed that lower erythrocyte EPA and DHA levels were associated with a higher risk of cognitive decline [15, 16]. However, this association could not be confirmed by other studies [17–19].

Given the controversial evidence, we hypothesized that erythrocyte EPA and DHA are independent predictors for cognitive impairment. Since cognitive impairment is influenced by a multitude of factors [20–22], we took special effort to control for potential confounding factors. As in the previous Framingham study [11], we chose the HS-Omega-3 Index method, a widely used standardized method to analyze erythrocyte fatty acid composition [23]. Erythrocyte fatty acids have a low biological variability and thus reflect tissue fatty acid composition [24]. We report the association of erythrocyte EPA and DHA with cognitive impairment in a population-based sample of older subjects (n = 720 participants, mean age: 77.6 years, SD ±6.2).

Methods

Study Setting and Population

The KORA (Cooperative Health Research in the Region of Augsburg)-Age study is a population-based study conducted in southern Germany. Between 1984/1985 and 1999/2000, four cross-sectional population-based surveys were conducted in Augsburg and two surrounding counties with response rates from 79% in the first survey to 67% in the fourth survey [25]. The KORA-Age cohort includes all participants from those KORA surveys aged 65 years or older at the end of 2008, i.e. born in or before 1943. Details about KORA-Age have been reported elsewhere [26]. Briefly, 1079 participants were examined at baseline in 2009 (KORA-Age 1). In 2012, 822 of these participants took part in a follow-up study including a telephone interview, physical examinations, a face-to-face interview, and a self-assessment form (KORA-Age 2). The participants of KORA-Age 2 provided the data for this cross-sectional study. The examinations and the interviews were performed by trained and experienced staff from the KORA study center in Augsburg. All participants had given informed consent prior to their inclusion in the study. The KORA-Age study was approved by the ethics committee of the Bavarian Medical Association. All investigations have been conducted according to the principles expressed in the Declaration of Helsinki.
After exclusion of participants with missing data on exposure and outcome variables, the final data set for the present analysis consisted of 720 subjects (online suppl. fig. 1; available on www.karger.com/doi/10.1159/000448805).

Instruments and Procedures
Exposure: Omega-3 PUFA Concentration and Omega-3 Index
Erythrocyte fatty acid composition was analyzed according to the HS-Omega-3 Index(R) methodology as previously described [27]. Fatty acid methyl esters were generated from erythrocytes by acid transesterification and analyzed by gas chromatography using a GC2010 Gas Chromatograph (Shimadzu, Duisburg, Germany) equipped with a SP2560, 100-meter column (Supelco, Bellefonte, Pa., USA) using hydrogen as carrier gas. Fatty acids were identified by comparison with a standard mixture of fatty acids characteristic of erythrocytes. Results are given as EPA plus DHA expressed as a percentage of total identified fatty acids after response factor correction. The coefficient of variation for EPA plus DHA was 5%. Analyses were quality-controlled according to DIN ISO 15189. The omega-3 index was approximately normally distributed in the study population. The categories low (<5.7), intermediate (5.7–6.8), and high (>6.8) levels of the omega-3 index were built using tertiles [28].

Outcome: Cognitive Status
Cognitive status was assessed by using the German version of the Telephone Interview for Cognitive Status modified (TICS-m), which includes adjustment for years of education [29]. The instrument includes four cognitive domains: (a) orientation; (b) memory (registration, recent memory, and delayed recall); (c) attention/calculation, and (d) language (semantic memory, comprehension and repetition). The TICS-m was administered according to published procedures and followed a standardized script [30]. The TICS-m score ranges from 0 to 50 [29]. Normal cognitive function was defined as having ≥31 of the TICS-m score; mild cognitive impairment was between 28 and 30, and probable dementia was ≤27 of the TICS-m score [31]. The variable was dichotomized into good (= normal) cognitive status (n = 561) and mildly impaired cognitive status/suspected dementia (n = 159). We tested for differences in the omega-3 index levels between mildly cognitive impaired subjects (n = 105) and subjects suffering from suspected dementia (n = 54). No difference was detected (χ² = 0.17, d.f. = 2, p = 0.92), thus supporting our decision of combining those two categories into one.

Confounders
We chose a variety of known and potential risk factors for cognitive decline as confounders [22]. Socioeconomic variables included age and sex. Living alone was recorded in the telephone interview and assessed by a one-item instrument with a yes/no answer. Education level was dichotomized in <12 years and ≥12 years of schooling. Physical activity was dichotomized into physically active or physically inactive. Individuals were rated as ‘active’, if they reported regularly participating in sports for 1 h/week on average during leisure time in either season. Current smoking was defined as regularly or occasionally smoking cigarettes. Following WHO recommendations, obesity was defined as a BMI ≥30 and hypertension as a (systolic/diastolic) blood pressure ≥140/90 mm Hg or taking antihypertensive medication. Total cholesterol (TC) and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) were measured in mg/dl by enzymatic methods (CHOD-PAP; Boehringer, Mannheim, Germany) and combined to TC/HDL-C for the present analyses. Hypercholesterolemia was defined as a TC/HDL-C ratio >5. Depression was measured in the telephone interview by the Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS-15) from Sheikh and Yesavage[32]. This scale comprises 15 items with yes/no answers. The maximal score is 15 points, where 0–5 indicates normal, 6–10 light-to-moderate depression, and 11–15 severe depression. Participants with a score ≥10 were considered to have depressive symptoms. Anxiety was assessed using the Generalized Anxiety Disorder Scale-7 (GAD-7) [33]. The GAD-7 consists of 7 items with answers ranging from ‘not at all’ (0) to ‘nearly every day’ (3). Thus, the score ranges from 0 to 21. In the present investigation, participants with a score ≥10 were considered to have anxiety. Sleep-related characteristics were evaluated in the interview using the Uppsala Sleep Inventory (USI) [34]. Two separate 3-category interview questions were asked concerning an individual's difficulty initiating sleep (‘Do you have trouble falling asleep?’) and the difficulty maintaining sleep (‘Do you wake up during the night?’). Individuals were considered to have difficulties if they answered ‘often’ or ‘sometimes’ and were compared to participants who answered ‘almost never’ in response to the questions.
Statistical Analysis

Univariate associations were assessed using the χ² test or Fisher exact test (in case the cell count was <5). To assess the association between omega-3 index levels (exposure) and cognitive status (outcome), multinomial logistic regression analyses with different adjustments for potential confounding factors were performed. The first model (model 1) was adjusted for age and sex, the second model (model 2) was additionally adjusted for education, the third model (model 3) additionally for metabolic risk factors (obesity, actual hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, smoking, and physical inactivity) and the final model (model 4) additionally for depression and anxiety.

For all statistical analyses, a p value <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. All evaluations were performed with the statistical software SAS Version 9.3 for Windows (SAS Institute, Cary, N.C., USA). The study follows the STROBE guidelines for observational studies [35].

Results

Description of the Study Population

The population under study consisted of a total of 720 participants, among them 357 (49.6%) men and 363 (50.4%) women in an age range between 68 and 92 years (mean age: 77.6, SD ± 6.2). The mean omega-3 index score was 6.4 (range: 3.15–12.95, SD ± 1.4). One third each (n = 240) of the study population showed low, intermediate, or high (>6.8) levels of the omega-3 index. The characteristics of the study population stratified for omega-3 index levels are given in table 1. Compared to subjects with low levels, participants with high levels were significantly better educated, older, and less likely to suffer from anxiety. No significant differences were observed regarding sex, living alone, metabolic risk factors including sleep problems, and depressed mood.

Table 1. Association of socioeconomic, lifestyle, and metabolic risk factors with low (<5.7), intermediate (5.7–6.8), and high (>6.8) levels of the omega-3 index (n = 720)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Omega-3 index</th>
<th>Low (n = 240)</th>
<th>Intermediate (n = 240)</th>
<th>High (n = 240)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Socioeconomic factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>50.4 (121)</td>
<td>48.8 (117)</td>
<td>49.6 (119)</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>49.6 (119)</td>
<td>51.2 (123)</td>
<td>50.4 (121)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;78 years</td>
<td>52.1 (125)</td>
<td>60.0 (144)</td>
<td>42.5 (102)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78–88 years</td>
<td>40.0 (96)</td>
<td>35.0 (84)</td>
<td>48.8 (117)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥88 years</td>
<td>7.9 (19)</td>
<td>5.0 (12)</td>
<td>8.8 (21)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education ≥12 years</td>
<td>22.5 (54)</td>
<td>26.3 (63)</td>
<td>36.7 (88)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living alone</td>
<td>39.2 (94)</td>
<td>30.0 (72)</td>
<td>36.3 (87)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic risk factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical inactivity (sporting &lt;1 h/week)</td>
<td>43.8 (105)</td>
<td>41.3 (99)</td>
<td>47.5 (114)</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoking</td>
<td>2.9 (7)</td>
<td>4.2 (10)</td>
<td>3.3 (8)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity (BMI ≥30)</td>
<td>31.7 (76)</td>
<td>27.5 (66)</td>
<td>23.8 (57)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension (≥140/90 mm Hg)</td>
<td>68.3 (164)</td>
<td>67.1 (161)</td>
<td>75.8 (182)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2 diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>15.4 (37)</td>
<td>16.7 (40)</td>
<td>15.8 (38)</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercholesterolemia (TC/HDL-C ratio &gt;5)</td>
<td>16.7 (40)</td>
<td>20.4 (49)</td>
<td>15.0 (36)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as percentages with numbers in parentheses.
Distribution of Healthy and Cognitively Impaired Participants

In the lowest omega-3 tertile, the percentage of cognitively impaired participants was highest (n = 71, 29.6%), whereas in the intermediate tertile, this percentage was 15.8% (n = 38), and in the highest tertile it was 20.8% (n = 50). This difference of distribution was significant ($\chi^2 = 13.51$, d.f. = 2, p = 0.001). As can be seen in Figure 1, in each omega-3 tertile, more men than women were in the cognitively impaired group. However, the observed difference between the sexes was significant only in the lowest omega-3 tertile ($\chi^2 = 4.15$, d.f. = 1, p = 0.04).

Associations of Omega-3 Index Levels with Cognitive Status by Logistic Regression (Table 2)

In the sex- and age-adjusted model (model 1), subjects with a low omega-3 index level were at a significantly higher risk for mild cognitive impairment/suspected dementia (OR: 1.77, 95% CI: 1.15–2.73, p = 0.009). This association remained stable after further adjusting for educational level (model 2; OR: 1.75, 95% CI: 1.13–2.71, p = 0.01) and additionally for metabolic risk factors (obesity, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, smoking, and physical inactivity) (model 3; OR: 1.77, 95% CI: 1.14–2.75, p = 0.01). Even after further controlling for affective disorders (depression and anxiety) in the final model (model 4), the association did not attenuate (OR: 1.77, 95% CI: 1.14–2.76, p = 0.01). The model fit for all models was sufficient as indicated by the c statistic (0.69).

Sensitivity Analyses: Sleep Problems

In a reduced data set (n = 715), no significant associations were found between omega-3 index levels and initiating sleep ($\chi^2 = 3.47$, d.f. = 2, p = 0.18), maintaining sleep ($\chi^2 = 3.51$, 70%)}
A robust association between low omega-3 index levels and cognitive impairment was found in the present study based on data of a large, population-based sample of elderly subjects. The association resisted adjustment for sociodemographic and metabolic risk factors and for affective disorders. Our findings provide further evidence for the neuroprotective role of omega-3 fatty acids against cognitive decline [3] and corroborate previously published data. In a cross-sectional study in dementia-free Framingham study participants (n = 1,575, mean age: 67 years, SD ±9), low levels of EPA and DHA in erythrocytes were associated with small brain volumes and a vascular pattern of cognitive impairment [11]. Another cross-sectional study from Japan including 158 non-demented participants (mean age: 84.1 years, SD ±3.4) showed that higher global cognitive function was significantly associated with higher levels of serum EPA and DHA+EPA after controlling for confounders [12]. Nonetheless, there is conflicting evidence from population-based studies of the relationship between blood (plasma
or erythrocyte) omega-3 PUFA composition and some form of cognitive impairment. A study from Italy (n = 935, age >65 years) found that participants with dementia had significantly lower omega-3 PUFA levels (although not EPA and DHA specifically) than participants with normal cognitive function after adjustment for confounders [14]. Furthermore, there was no statistical difference regarding omega-3 PUFAS between cognitively impaired and normal participants [14]. Another cross-sectional study found DHA, but not EPA, to be associated with major aspects of cognitive performance in healthy adults (n = 280) <55 years of age [13]. Support for our findings also comes from longitudinal studies. In a 4-year follow-up time of 246 men and women aged 63–75 years, lower erythrocyte EPA and DHA were associated with a higher risk of cognitive decline [15]. This, however, could not be confirmed in an observation of 663 initially non-demented subjects (≥65 years of age) [17]. In a US study, lower plasma levels of DHA and EPA were associated with a higher risk of decline in verbal fluency, particularly in hypertensive and dyslipidemic subjects, but not with changes in psychomotor speed or delayed word recall in a 11-year follow-up (n = 2,251, age >50 years) [16].

In the multivariate model, we controlled for a variety of factors known to have an independent impact on cognitive decline. Male sex and increasing age were strongly associated with cognitive impairment in all models, thereby corroborating previous results [20, 36]. Furthermore, physical inactivity was significantly associated with cognitive impairment; physical activity has been found to be a protective factor against cognitive decline [20]. Although sustained exposure to smoking and hypertension [20, 21, 37, 38] has been confirmed risk factors for cognitive decline, we did not find a significant association in our models. Furthermore, other risk factors such as low education or obesity [20, 21], hypercholesterolemia [39], depressive mood [22], or difficulty in maintaining sleep [40] were not significantly associated with cognitive impairment in the present study.

In our sample, omega-3 levels were surprisingly high among the older-age groups. We cannot explain this finding, which contrasts the general scientific opinion promoting that the content of omega-3 PUFA in the human brain generally increases with age over the first 2 decades and then levels off [41] or even drops [3, 12]. However, previous research on cardiovascular patients has shown that a higher omega-3 index was associated with longer survival [42].

Interestingly, we found a significant association of high levels of the omega-3 index and better education. Comparable findings came from the Heart and Soul Study, where low education levels were associated with low levels of DHA and EPA [43]. Although there is still debate on the social determination of taste and food preferences and their impact on nutritional balance [44], one can assume that subjects with better education, i.e. higher social status, are better informed of healthy dietary components. Following Darmon et al. [45], higher-quality diets are, in general, consumed by better-educated and more affluent people. Conversely, lower quality diets tended to be consumed by groups of lower socioeconomic status and more limited economic means. However, it is of note that a substantial proportion of our study population received their education during World War II and in the immediate post-war period when little choice was possible in food. Furthermore, the intake of EPA and DHA is only one determinant of the omega-3 index [46].

Potential Mechanisms Underlying the Link between Cognitive Functioning and PUFA

The potential cognitive benefit of omega-3 PUFA may result from targeted modulation of neurogenesis and synaptic plasticity in brain regions that are particularly susceptible to oxidative stress and amyloid burden [6, 7]. As such, the hippocampus, a region thought to govern verbal recall, is sensitive to age-associated brain atrophy in both healthy and impaired individuals [7, 47]. As has been shown, the omega-3 index was positively related to brain and hippocampal volume [48], which plays an important role in memory function.
Recommmendations

In our study, a low omega-3 index was a powerful predictor of cognitive impairment (OR: 1.77). Increasing the omega-3 index by increased intake of EPA and DHA is easily feasible [49, 50]. Moreover, a dose of up to 3 g EPA and DHA per day is considered safe by the Federal Drug Administration and up to 5 g per day by its European counterpart, the European Food Safety Authority [23]. However, issues in bioavailability and a large interindividual variability in the uptake of ingested EPA and DHA suggest an individually targeted approach towards dosing of EPA and DHA. Earlier, we suggested a target range for the omega-3 index of 8–11% for the cardiovascular area [49]. The present data, with a mean of the upper tertile of 8.02 ± 1.02, support this target range in the area of cognitive impairment. Surprisingly, the general public does not associate diet habits with dementia [51].

Strengths and Limitations

The strength of the study is the focus on elderly subjects taken from a well-established, large population-based data set and the use of a reliable biological measure of omega-3 fatty acid levels. A further strength is the use of a validated instrument to assess cognitive status: the TICS-m [29]. This instrument includes the four cognitive domains orientation, memory, attention/calculation, and language and has been performed in population-based studies under strict assessment regulation and quality control. Furthermore, the erythrocyte membrane fatty acid composition is biologically rather stable: omega-3 PUFAs from red blood cells can be used as biochemical indicators of their corresponding midterm (60–90 days) dietary intakes [15]. This is an advantage to plasma fatty acid concentrations, which fluctuate according to shorter-term intake [52].

Limitations have to be considered, however. We cannot address the impact of the dietary intake of omega-3 PUFAs in the KORA age study [53]. Reverse causation, although highly unlikely, cannot be ruled out. Although the demographic and socioeconomic characteristics of the study population roughly reflect those of the average central European population in general, differences in diet between countries may limit generalizability.

Conclusion

Low omega-3 index levels are robustly associated with cognitive impairment in the present investigation. This association was not influenced by a variety of confounding factors. Further research is needed to better understand the role of omega-3 PUFA in ageing brain physiology and to explain the link between omega-3 PUFA and cognitive functioning. Our data support the usefulness of conducting an intervention trial with omega-3 fatty acids in the prevention of cognitive impairment, targeting an omega-3 index of 8–11%.

Acknowledgments

The KORA (Cooperative Research in the Region of Augsburg) was initiated and financed by the Helmholtz Zentrum München – German Research Center for Environmental Health, which is funded by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research and by the State of Bavaria. The KORA-Age project was financed by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF FKZ 01ET0713 and 01ET1003A) as part of the 'Health in old age' program. Omegametrix provided fatty acid analyses free of charge.

Disclosure Statement

C.v.S. founded Omegametrix, a laboratory for fatty acid analyses. He received lecture fees from BASF, DSM, and consulting fees from Huntsworth Medical.
References


Knopman DS, Roberts RO, Geda YE, Pankratz VS, Christianson TJ, Petersen RC, Rocca WA: Validation of the telephone interview for cognitive status-modified in subjects with normal cognition, mild cognitive impairment, or dementia. Neuroepidemiology 2010;34:34–42.


Arab L: Biomarkers of fat and fatty acid intake. J Nutr 2003;133(suppl 3):925S–932S.