Evaluation of early-phase $^{[18F]}$-florbetaben PET acquisition in clinical routine cases
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: In recent years several $^{[18F]}$-labelled amyloid PET tracers have been developed and have obtained clinical approval. There is accumulating evidence that early (post injection) acquisitions with these tracers are equally informative as conventional blood flow and metabolism studies for diagnosis of Alzheimer’s disease, but there have been few side-by-side studies. Therefore, we investigated the performance of early acquisitions of $^{[18F]}$-florbetaben (FBB) PET compared to $^{[18F]}$-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) PET in a clinical setting.

Methods: All subjects were recruited with clinical suspicion of dementia due to neurodegenerative disease. FDG PET was undertaken by conventional methods, and amyloid PET was performed with FBB, with early recordings for the initial 10 min (early-phase FBB), and late recordings at 90–110 min p.i. (late-phase FBB). Regional SUVR with cerebellar and global mean normalization were calculated for early-phase FBB and FDG PET. Pearson correlation coefficients between FDG and early-phase FBB were calculated for predefined cortical brain regions. Furthermore, a visual interpretation of disease pattern using 3-dimensional stereotactic surface projections (3D-SSP) was performed, with assessment of intra-reader agreement.

Results: Among a total of 33 patients (mean age 67.5 ± 11.0 years) included in the study, 18 were visually rated amyloid-positive, and 15 amyloid-negative based on late-phase FBB scans. Correlation coefficients for early-phase FBB vs. FDG scans displayed excellent agreement in all target brain regions for global mean normalization. Cerebellar normalization gave strong, but significantly lower correlations. 3D representations of early-phase FBB visually resembled the corresponding FDG PET images, irrespective of the amyloid-status of the late FBB scans.

Conclusions: Early-phase FBB acquisitions correlate on a relative quantitative and visual level with FDG PET scans, irrespective of the amyloid plaque density assessed in late FBB imaging. Thus, early-phase FBB uptake depicts a metabolism-like image, suggesting it as a valid surrogate marker for synaptic dysfunction, which could ultimately circumvent the need for additional FDG PET investigation in diagnosis of dementia.

© 2016 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

As the most prevalent form of neurodegenerative dementias, Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is imposing an onerous burden on health care systems in societies with aging populations (Ziegler-Graham et al., 2008). Intracellular neurofibrillary tangles and extracellular amyloid plaques together comprise the hallmark neuropathology of AD (Braak and Braak, 1991). Elevated brain amyloid burden is associated with cognitive decline in cognitively normal (CN) subjects (Lim et al., 2012), and in cases of mild cognitive impairment (MCI), who are at high risk for...
conversion to AD in a matter of years (Lim et al., 2014). Recently, amyloid PET radiotracers such as \([^{18}F]\)florbetaben (FBB) have been developed, and have proven to be sensitive indicators for brain amyloid pathology in vivo (Barthel and Sabri, 2011). Amyloid plaques play a role in early pathogenesis of AD, and may even be present 10–15 years prior to onset of discernible cognitive decline, before developing to a stable level observed at the clinical stages of AD (Kadri et al., 2012). Thus, the extensive amyloid accumulation during the pre-clinical stage may disfavor the use of FBB and related PET tracers to determine the extent of neurodegeneration or to monitor disease progression in clinical stages of AD (Furst et al., 2012). In contrast, findings with more conventional \([^{18}F]\)fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) PET for measuring cerebral glucose metabolism, or perfusion SPECT scans, are a much more sensitive indicator for disease stage, and can provide information about synaptic dysfunction and the degree of neurodegeneration (Herholz, 2011; Shokouhi et al., 2013).

In addition to these considerations, positive amyloid burden is seen not only in AD but also in other neurodegenerative dementias, notably in a subset of patients with dementia with Lewy bodies or Parkinson’s disease dementia (Donaghy et al., 2015). Accordingly, additional FDG PET or perfusion SPECT is considered beneficial for differentiating amyloid pathology in AD cases from that arising in other amyloid-positive diseases, on the basis of a disease-specific pattern of tracer impaired cerebrovascular blood flow (CBF) or energy metabolism. Even more importantly in amyloid-negative cases, further differential diagnoses can be informed by depiction of the hypometabolic/hypoperfusion pattern.

As such, combining amyloid PET with FDG PET or perfusion SPECT delivers complementary information, which helps to improve accuracy of AD diagnosis, and the specification of disease progression (Ossenkoppele et al., 2013). In this regard, it seems relevant that several recent studies have shown comparable reductions of early-phase amyloid PET tracer uptake and metabolic deficits in PET using FDG (Meyer et al., 2011; Rostomian et al., 2011; Hsiao et al., 2012; Tiefolt et al., 2016). This concordance arises from the nature of lipophilic radiotracers such as FBB for amyloid PET and \([^{99m}Tc]\)HMPAO for perfusion SPECT. In general, these lipophilic tracers have a high first-pass influx rate \(K_1\) (Dischno et al., 1983), which correlates with the regional CBF due to the high extraction fraction \(K_{\text{e}}/\text{CBF}\) for \([^{11}C]\)PiB: 77\% (Blomquist et al., 2008), and (due to the phenomenon of flow-metabolism coupling), also with the metabolic rate for glucose metabolism (Silverman, 2004; Nihashi et al., 2007; Herholz, 2011). Thus, early-phase PET images with lipophilic tracers can serve as a surrogate for metabolism.

The aim of the present study was to investigate the comparability of early-phase FBB PET, as a depiction of a perfusion-like image, to regional glucose metabolism in FDG PET images, both of which are impaired in patients with dementia. Therefore, we performed relative quantitative cross-analyses as well as visual cross-assessments of early-phase FBB and conventional FDG PET acquisitions, which were acquired in a clinical setting of patients with suspicion of a neurodegenerative dementia disorder.

2. Methods

2.1. Study design and patient enrollment

All subjects were recruited by the Klinikum der Universität München, the study protocol was approved by the local institutional review board and complied with the declaration of Helsinki. All patients gave their written informed consent and were scanned in a clinical setting at the Department of Nuclear Medicine. The primary objective of the prospective study is the clinical utility of FBB-PET \((N = 93\) subjects\), and in a subset of 33 patients early-phase FBB acquisitions could be performed. All of these included subjects had an additional FDG PET investigation, with <12 months between FBB and FDG PET.

2.2. Radiosynthesis

Radiosynthesis of FBB was performed as described previously (Patt et al., 2010), employing an automated synthesis module (Eckert & Ziegler, Berlin, Germany). Radiochemical purity was >99\% and specific activity was \(7.3 \times 10^4 \pm 3.4 \times 10^3\) GBq mmol\(^{-1}\) at the end of synthesis.

2.3. PET imaging

2.3.1. FBB PET acquisition

FBB PET images were acquired in 3D mode on a GE Discovery 690 PET/CT scanner. For those with early recordings, a dynamic emission recording lasting 10 min \((10 \times 60\) s frames\) was initiated immediately upon intravenous injection of \(300 \pm 5\) MBq FBB, whereas late static recordings were recorded from 90 min to 110 min p.i. \((4 \times 300\) s\) (Barthel et al., 2011). A low-dose CT scan was performed just prior to the static acquisition for attenuation correction of both PET emission recordings. PET data were reconstructed iteratively into a pair of summed early-phase FBB images \((0–5\) min p.i. \((\text{FBB}_{0.5})\) and \(0–10\) min p.i. \((\text{FBB}_{0.10})\)) and one late-phase FBB image \((90–110\) min p.i. \((\text{FBB}_{0.90–1.10})\)).

2.3.2. FDG PET acquisition

FDG PET images were acquired using a 3-dimensional GE Discovery 690 PET/CT scanner or a Siemens ECAT EXACT HR + PET scanner. All patients fasted for at least 6 h prior to scanning, and had a maximum plasma glucose level of 120 mg/dl at time of \([^{18}F]\)-FDG administration. A dose of 140 ± 7 MBq \([^{18}F]\)-FDG was injected intravenously in resting conditions, in a room with dimmed light and low noise level. A static emission frame was acquired from 30 min to 45 min p.i. for the GE Discovery 690 PET/CT, or from 30 to 60 min p.i. for the Siemens ECAT EXACT HR + PET scanner. A low-dose CT scan or a transmission scan with external \(68\)Ge-sources was performed prior to the static acquisition and was used for attenuation correction. PET data were reconstructed iteratively (GE Discovery 690 PET/CT, voxel size \(2.34 \times 2.34 \times 3.27\) mm, 3D recon with a 4.5 mm Gaussian post filter) or with filtered backprojection (Siemens ECAT EXACT HR + PET, voxel-size \(2.03 \times 2.03 \times 2.42\) mm with a 2.42 mm Hann filter). This resulted in datasets with comparable resolution (Joshi et al., 2009).

2.4. Image processing

2.4.1. Template generation

For spatial normalization, early-phase FBB \((\text{FBB}_{0.5}, \text{FBB}_{0.10})\) uptake templates and a FDG template were created using the PMOD software (version 3.5, PMOD Technologies Ltd., Zurich, Switzerland). First, individual PET images \((\text{FBB}_{0.5}, \text{FBB}_{0.10}, \text{FDG})\) from 16 randomly selected subjects were rigidly matched to the corresponding individual MR image \((T1\)-weighted\). The individual MR images were spatially normalized to a Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) T1w MRI template, and the individual MR-MR transformation parameters were saved. Consecutively the coregistered PET images were spatially normalized to the MNI template using the individual transformation parameters, scaled to global mean, and smoothed with an 8 mm Gaussian filter. Finally PET templates were generated by calculating the mean of all normalized PET counts in \(\text{FBB}_{0.5}, \text{FBB}_{0.10}\) and FDG PET, as previously described (Meyer et al., 1999; Hsiao et al., 2013).

2.4.2. Data processing

All pairs of early-phase FBB images and all FDG images were spatially normalized to the different PET MNI space templates. A total of 83 grey matter volumes of interest (VOIs) predefined in the Hammers atlas (Hammers et al., 2003) were applied to the spatially normalized early-phase amyloid and FDG PET images. Data from the 83 grey matter VOIs were combined resulting in the following cortical target brain regions: frontal, sensorimotor, occipital, temporal-lateral, parietal, posterior or cingulate/precuneal cortex, as well as whole brain, separately for the right and left hemispheres. As reference regions for activity...
normalized, we used whole cerebellum (CBL) or whole brain (= global mean; GLM) including CBL. For relative quantitative analysis, regional standardized uptake value ratios (SUVR) were calculated for each cortical brain VOI, with scaling for either CBL or GLM.

2.5. Image analysis

2.5.1. Late-phase FBB PET

Late-phase FBB images were visually assessed by three independent experts in Nuclear Medicine. Patients with significantly increased cortical FBB uptake in at least one cortical region were judged as amyloid-positive according to common diagnostic criteria. A conflicting result between readers in one case was resolved by a majority decision.

2.5.2. Relative quantitative cross-correlation of early-phase [18F]-florbetaben PET and FDG PET

Regional initial amyloid uptake and glucose metabolism were assessed relative quantitatively on a VOI base by comparing the regional SUVRs of early-phase FBB PET recordings to the corresponding regional SUVRs from FDG PET. To identify the preferable reference region, we compared correlation coefficients of VOI-based results between early-phase FBB and FDG images, using CBL or GLM for normalization of uptake. Similarly, to identify the better of the two time frames for early-phase FBB PET imaging, we calculated correlation coefficients for VOI results using FBB0–5 or FBB0–10 images. In both cases, the preferred reference region or time frame was the one giving the higher correlation coefficients.

2.5.3. Visual analysis of stereotactic surface projections of early-phase FBB and FDG PET

For visual interpretation of early-phase FBB PET (FBB0–5) and FDG PET images, three-dimensional stereotactic surface projections (3D-SSP) (Minoshima et al., 1995) were generated using the software Neurostat (Department of Radiology, University of Washington, Seattle, SSP) (Minoshima et al., 1995) were generated using the software PET images, three-dimensional stereotactic surface projections (3D-SSP) (Minoshima et al., 1995) were generated using the software Neurostat by comparing the individual tracer uptake (FBB0–5 or FDG) to historical FDG PET scans from a healthy age-matched cohort (N = 18). For visual analysis, the GLM normalization for FBB PET was chosen because it imparted the visually best resemblance to the corresponding FBB PET from a healthy age-matched cohort (N = 18). For visual analysis, the GLM normalization for FBB PET was chosen because it imparted the visually best resemblance to the corresponding FBB PET image.

2.6. Statistical analysis

Group correlations of regional SUVRs between early-phase FBB and FDG images were evaluated using Pearson’s correlation test. For visual analysis, the intra-reader correlations between hypoperfusion in early-phase FBB and hypometabolism in FDG images were calculated by Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient (R_s). For specification of the most likely PET diagnosis, intra-reader agreement between early-phase FBB and FDG was calculated using Cohen’s Kappa. A significance level of p < 0.05 was applied in all analyses. All statistical tests were performed using SPSS 22.0.

3. Results

3.1. Demographics

A total of 33 subjects (19 male) were included in the study. The group consisted of 11 subjects with a clinical diagnosis of mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and 22 demented subjects with different clinical presentations: 11 of these cases had a most likely diagnosis of AD, four were likely suffering from FTLD, single cases of primary progressive aphasia or corticobasal degeneration and five cases with ambiguous clinical and biomarker presentation. The mean age was 68 ± 11 years. 18 of 33 late FBB PETs were visually classified as amyloid-positive (9 male; mean age 69 ± 9 years), 15 of 33 as amyloid-negative (10 male; mean age 66 ± 13 years). The mean ± SD time period between FBB and FDG was 2.7 ± 3.4 months (Table 1).

3.2. VOI-based comparison of early-phase FBB and FDG PET

Correlation plots for FBB0–5 versus FDG PET with GLM normalization are shown in Fig. 1. Regional SUVRs and correlation coefficients determined by comparing regional FBB0–5 and FBB0–10 with FDG SUVRs (CBL and GLM normalization) are shown in Table 2. All cortical brain regions showed highly significant correlations irrespective of the early-phase FBB PET frame or the particular reference region (p < 0.0001). The least correlation was found in the left frontal and right sensorimotor region (R0–10/CBL = 0.59) and the highest in the left and right parietal region, the left temporo-lateral region (R0–5/GLM = 0.92) as well as the right parietal region (R0–10/GLM = 0.92). Overall, the highest correlation values were found for a GLM normalization irrespective of the particular FBB PET frame, for which the mean correlations among regions were R0–5/GLM = 0.86 ± 0.05 and R0–10/GLM = 0.86 ± 0.05. In comparison, the CBL normalization gave strong, but significantly lower correlations (p < 0.001; paired t-test) between FBB and FDG SUVRs (R0–5/CBL = 0.75 ± 0.10 and R0–10/CBL = 0.76 ± 0.10) (Table 2).

To determine the preferable time frame for initial FBB uptake, we compared the correlation values between FBB0–5 and FBB0–10 uptake and FDG results. Using the GLM reference, there was no significant difference in the correlation coefficients (mean R0–5/GLM = 0.86 vs. R0–10/GLM = 0.86; p = ns; paired t-test). In contrast, CBL normalization gave slightly stronger correlations for a time frame of 0–10 min (mean R0–5/CBL = 0.75 vs. mean R0–10/CBL = 0.76; p < 0.05; paired t-test).

All relative quantitative analyses were repeated after splitting the cohort into an amyloid-positive (n = 18) and amyloid-negative (n =
Fig. 1. Correlation charts of early-phase FBB0–5 and FDG SUVRs (global mean normalization). R: right; L: left; PCC: posterior cingulate cortex; **p < 0.01.
Regional SUVRs and correlation coefficients of early-phase FBB and FDG with cerebellar and global mean normalization. R: right; L: left; PCC: posterior cingulate cortex; *p < 0.01.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Global mean normalization</th>
<th>Cerebellar normalization</th>
<th>[18F]-FDG PET</th>
<th>[18F]-FDG PET</th>
<th>[18F]-FDG PET</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Early-phase [18F]-florbetaben PET</td>
<td>Early-phase [18F]-florbetaben PET</td>
<td>0–5 min (SUVR ± SD)</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0–10 min (SUVR ± SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal R</td>
<td>1.27 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.82** 1.19 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.82** 1.21 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.64** 1.01 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal L</td>
<td>1.24 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.92** 1.14 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.91** 1.15 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.94 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.64** 0.97 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensorimotor R</td>
<td>1.19 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.84** 1.18 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.85** 1.21 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.70** 1.00 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensorimotor L</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.78** 1.15 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.77** 1.16 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.66** 0.97 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipital R</td>
<td>1.29 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.90** 1.28 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.82** 1.26 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.70** 1.09 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipital L</td>
<td>1.15 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.92** 1.28 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.90** 1.27 ± 0.10</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.78** 1.09 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal R</td>
<td>1.12 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.92** 1.22 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.90** 1.13 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.94 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.82** 0.96 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal L</td>
<td>1.14 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.86** 1.14 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.87** 1.14 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.70** 0.97 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole L</td>
<td>1.12 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.87** 1.12 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.83** 1.12 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.93 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.70** 0.95 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

15) subgroup. The corresponding SUVRs and correlation coefficients are shown in Table 3A and B. All brain regions in the amyloid-positive cohort as well as nearly all in the amyloid-negative cohort (with exception of right sensorimotor cortex with CBL normalization and FBB0.10) showed significantly higher correlations were observed in the amyloid-positive group (e.g. mean R0.5–GLM = 0.90 (for amyloid-positive)
versus mean $R_{0-5/GLM} = 0.79$ (for amyloid-negative), $p < 0.001$). For the entire cohort, the regional SUVRs with a GLM normalization showed better correlations between early-phase FBB and FDG than did SUVRs with CBL normalization; there was no difference between correlations for FBB$_{0-5}$ and FBB$_{0-10}$ results when using the GLM normalization, whereas CBL normalization gave better correlations for FBB$_{0-10}$ in the amyloid-positive subgroup and for FBB$_{0-5}$ in the amyloid-negative subgroup (Table 4).

### Table 4
Mean correlation coefficients of early-phase FBB vs. FDG.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters (reference region, time frame)</th>
<th>Mean R between early-phase FBB and FDG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GLM, 0–5 min</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLM, 0–10 min</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBL, 0–5 min</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBL, 0–10 min</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3. Visual 3D-SSP comparison of early-phase FBB and FDG

After identifying the optimal time frame and reference region, visual assessment was performed by evaluating 3D-SSP images of early-phase FBB$_{0-5}$ and FDG images of tracer uptake and Z-scores (with GLM normalization). Fig. 2A shows 3D-SSP images for a 79 year old male with clinical presentation of AD, Fig. 2B an 81 year old male with clinical presentation of FTLD. The regional pattern of the perfusion surrogate in...
early-phase FBB0–5 images resembles the FDG uptake pattern, as can be seen both in an amyloid-positive and amyloid-negative case.

The visual assessment of all target regions in all 33 patients showed a Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient between FBB0–5 and FDG of $R_s = 0.70$ for reader 1, $R_s = 0.77$ for reader 2 and $R_s = 0.75$ for reader 3 (mean $R_s = 0.74$) (Fig. 3). Regarding Fig. 3 reader 1 and 3 have a considerable number of assessed regions with early FBB = 1 and FDG = 0. This could lead to the interpretation that early FBB images may

![Fig. 3. Correlation of visual scores for hypoperfusion/hypometabolism severity by three readers in early-phase FBB0–5 and FDG images.](image)

![Fig. 4. Agreement and mismatch of individual PET diagnosis in visual interpretation of early-phase FBB0–5 and FDG 3D-SSP images. AD: Alzheimer’s disease; FTLD: Frontotemporal lobar degeneration.](image)
FDG PET lends further support to the contention that present cortical subgroup (n = 18 vs. n = 15). That the amyloid-positive cases showed in less defects in the early-phase FBB images. Besides, the higher corre-
positive group, i.e. greater dynamic range, which leads to better separa-
alence of neurodegenerative cases (especially AD) in conjunction with
time frame (0–5 min). This may be explained by a greater prev-
ence of late amyloid PET, it is self-evident that the reference region should not itself be affected by amyloid deposition. In the present context, im-
ages of initial FBB uptake do not reflect amyloid burden per se, but are rather a surrogate of CBF, due to the very first pass high extraction of
FBB and other lipophilic tracers. We note that cerebellar perfusion can itself be affected by crossed cerebellar diaschisis in neurodegenerative
diseases, which might propagate to bias in normalized SUV calculations.
While there is generally good coupling between CBF and metabolism,
others have shown that the CBL is relatively hyperperfused compared to
its rate of glucose metabolism (Gur et al., 2009). As such, the CBL need not be considered entirely privileged with respect to perfusion
changes in neurodegenerative diseases. However, it remains unclear if
this is the case for our present finding of lower correlation values when using CBL rather than GLM normalization. Further studies, per-
haps using data-driven methods (Dukart et al., 2013), might identify an even better reference region for SUV-based analysis of early-
phase amyloid PET.

4. Discussion

Whereas previous studies of this type have had their main focus on
VOI-based or voxel-based statistical analysis of early-phase amyloid
PET (Meyer et al., 2011; Hsiao et al., 2012), it was our aim to investigate the clinical use of FBB PET by additional visual interpretation of early-
phase FBB acquisitions. Our results demonstrate the strong visual and relative quantitative correlations of initial FBB uptake with FDG images, irrespective of the amyloid status demonstrated by the late-phase FBB scans. Thus, early-phase FBB acquisitions, which are highly weighted to cerebral perfusion, seem to be a valid surrogate marker for synaptic and metabolic dysfunction. A brief additional FBB recording in the initial
minutes after tracer injection afford supplemental information about
neuronal activity, which we believe can ultimately obviate the need
for an FDG PET scan. For patients, this means less exposure to radiation
and sparing of an additional visit to the clinic. Not to be disregarded, the
greater comfort of persons investigated for a neurodegenerative disease
might well lead to improved patient and caregiver compliance.

The results of relative quantitative, VOI-based statistical analysis show a strong correlation of regional tracer uptake (SUVR) in all inves-
tigated cortical brain regions between initial FBB uptake and FDG PET. This is in perfect agreement with previous studies detecting high corre-
lations between amyloid ([11C]-PiB and [18F]-AV45) R1 images derived from the simplified reference tissue analysis (where R1 is an index of relative CBF), as well as early time frame images of [11C]PiB or FBB and
FDG PET (Meyer et al., 2011; Rostomian et al., 2011; Hsiao et al., 2012;
Tiepolt et al., 2016). The study of Tiepolt et al. investigating a mixed co-
hort of early [11C]-PiB and early FBB scans found regional correlation values ranging from r = 0.609 to r = 0.788 (using a time frame of 1–
9 min and CBL as the reference region). Using comparable parameters with a time frame of 0–10 min and CBL as the reference region we
found correlation values ranging from r = 0.60 to r = 0.88. The slightly
lower correlations in the work of Tiepolt et al. may be explained by the
smaller sample size and the different tracers, since they showed stron-
ger correlations between early FBB and FDG compared to early [11C]-
PiB and FDG.

After splitting the whole cohort into amyloid-positive and amyloid-
negative subgroups, there emerged even higher correlation values in those with amyloidosis, irrespective of the reference region or the
time frame (0–5 or 0–10 min). This may be explained by a greater prev-
ance of neurodegenerative cases (especially AD) in conjunction with rather more severe hyperperfusion/hypometabolism in the amyloid-
positive group, i.e. greater dynamic range, which leads to better separa-
ton. On the other hand, the amyloid-negative subgroup consisted of fewer cases with severe hyperperfusion/hypometabolism, manifesting in less defects in the early-phase FBB images. Besides, the higher corre-
lation values in the amyloid-positive subgroup may as well be influ-
enced by the larger cohort compared to the amyloid-negative subgroup (n = 18 vs. n = 15). That the amyloid-positive cases showed excellent correlations between early-phase FBB PET and metabolism in
FDG PET lends further support to the contention that present cortical
amyloid pathology need not have a relevant effect on the extent of per-
fusion/metabolism coupling (Spehl et al., 2015), although this may still require additional validation. We found best correlations between the two PET measures for GLM normalization, and slightly lower correla-
tions for CBL normalization. CBL VOIs are typically used as the preferred
reference region for calculation of SUVRs because of low or absent am-
yloid plaque burden in the cerebellar cortex of AD patients (Svedberg et al., 2009; Barthel et al., 2011). Especially for longitudinal evaluations of late amyloid PET, it is self-evident that the reference region should not itself be affected by amyloid deposition. In the present context, im-
ages of initial FBB uptake do not reflect amyloid burden per se, but are rather a surrogate of CBF, due to the very first pass high extraction of
FBB and other lipophilic tracers. We note that cerebellar perfusion can itself be affected by crossed cerebellar diaschisis in neurodegenerative
diseases, which might propagate to bias in normalized SUV calculations.

In the second part of our study we visually analyzed the compara-
bility of initial FBB uptake to FDG 3D-SSP images using tracer count
rates and Z-score maps, for which we employed maps with GLM nor-
malization. The decision was based on the visually-judged greater resemblance of the resultant images to the corresponding FDG im-
ages. In contrast, CBL, thalamus and pons normalizations simulated severe hyperperfusion of cortical areas in the 3D-SSP images of initial
FBB uptake. This might arise from a relative hyperperfusion com-
pared to metabolism in subcortical regions, as seen in a previous
study (Gur et al., 2009), and as supported by the high perfusion-to-
metabolism ratio reported for CBL and thalamus (Hsiao et al., 2012). To investigate the similarity of early-phase amyloid PET and
FDG PET regarding the occurrence of hypometabolism/hyperperfu-
sion, we had three independent readers grading the severity of
hypometabolism/hyperperfusion (levels 0–3) in four target regions in
both hemispheres. Using the Spearman’s rank correlation test, all
three readers returned highly significant correlations, representing a very good intra-reader agreement. These findings underline the highly significant results that can be derived from relative quantitative analyses, and demonstrate that comparability between these methods is not only limited to relative quantitative PET, but also for visual analyses of initial FBB uptake and FDG images. Consistently to our findings the study of Tiepolt et al. showed concordant regional hypoperfusion/hypometabolism scores (levels 0–4) in 94.7% of 132 visually scored brain VOIs in 11 early FBB and the corresponding FDG scans (95.5% in 11 early [18F]-PIB and FDG scans) (Tiepolt et al., 2016). For the clinical routine it is not only important that there is comparable intensity of early-phase FBB and FDG uptake, it is also important to make the correct diagnosis on the basis of the individual tracer uptake patterns. Therefore three readers specified the most likely PET diagnosis from among four entities, likely to be encountered in this setting: beginning neurodegenerative disease, AD, FTLD or non-AD/FTLD. Reader 1 performed with almost perfect (κ = 0.87) intra-reader agreement, whereas readers 2 and 3 still had substantial overlap (κ = 0.79) in a blinded reading without any clinical information. It needs to be emphasized that this was an unselected cohort of MCI and otherwise demented patients, with some ambiguous cases with diverse tracer uptake patterns ranging from minimal hypometabolism/hypoperfusion to the uptake pattern characteristic of rare neurodegenerative diseases (e.g. progressive supranuclear palsy). A priori knowledge of the clinical presentation or additional neuro-imaging (MRI/CT) results as it is standard in clinical routine PET imaging, could well facilitate finding the most likely diagnosis, and might have resulted in an even better intra-reader agreement. In summary, the results of visual assessment in the present study demonstrate the comparability of early-phase FBB and FDG 3D-SSP images. As a limitation of this study the absence of an early-phase FBB healthy control database needs to be mentioned. Thus voxel-wise Z-scores of early-phase FBB uptake were calculated by comparing the FBB tracer uptake to FDG PET data from a healthy age-matched cohort. Another limitation is due to the fact that FBB and FDG PET scans were in part recorded on different PET scanners, however the reconstruction methods used we were able to harmonize the scanner resolution as much as possible, making a major impact unlikely.

5. Conclusions

The present study demonstrates that the initial [18F]-florbetaben uptake correlates both relatively quantitatively and visually highly with FDG images, irrespective of the particular amyloid status. Thus, [18F]-florbetaben uptake in the first ten minutes post injection yields a perfusion-like image, evidently serving as a valid surrogate marker for synaptic and metabolic dysfunction, otherwise revealed in a separate FDG PET scan. Thus, a two-phase [18F]-florbetaben protocol might in the future give unambiguous combined neurodegeneration and amyloid pathology biomarker information, while sparing the patient radiation exposure from an additional FDG PET scan. The optimal relative quantitative analysis of early-phase [18F]florbetaben acquisitions arises from GLM normalization, with little effect of the particular time frame (0–5 or 0–10 min), favoring the shorter time in up-to-date PET scanners due to patient comfort and economic reasons.
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