# EXTENDED REPORT

# Development of the autoinflammatory disease damage index (ADDI)

Nienke M ter Haar, <sup>1,2</sup> Kim V Annink, <sup>3</sup> Sulaiman M Al-Mayouf, <sup>4</sup> Gayane Amaryan, <sup>5</sup> Jordi Anton, <sup>6</sup> Karyl S Barron, <sup>7</sup> Susanne M Benseler, <sup>8</sup> Paul A Brogan, <sup>9</sup> Luca Cantarini, <sup>10</sup> Marco Cattalini, <sup>11</sup> Alexis-Virgil Cochino, <sup>12</sup> Fabrizio De Benedetti, <sup>13</sup> Fatma Dedeoglu, <sup>14</sup> Adriana A De Jesus, <sup>15</sup> Ornella Della Casa Alberighi, <sup>16</sup> Erkan Demirkaya, <sup>17</sup> Pavla Dolezalova, <sup>18</sup> Karen L Durrant, <sup>19</sup> Giovanna Fabio, <sup>20</sup> Romina Gallizzi, <sup>21</sup> Raphaela Goldbach-Mansky, <sup>15</sup> Eric Hachulla, <sup>22</sup> Veronique Hentgen, <sup>23</sup> Troels Herlin, <sup>24</sup> Michaël Hofer, <sup>25,26</sup> Hal M Hoffman, <sup>27</sup> Antonella Insalaco, <sup>28</sup> Annette F Jansson, <sup>29</sup> Tilmann Kallinich, <sup>30</sup> Isabelle Koné-Paut, <sup>31</sup> Anna Kozlova, <sup>32</sup> Jasmin B Kuemmerle-Deschner, <sup>33</sup> Helen J Lachmann, <sup>34</sup> Ronald M Laxer, <sup>35</sup> Alberto Martini, <sup>36</sup> Susan Nielsen, <sup>37</sup> Irina Nikishina, <sup>38</sup> Amanda K Ombrello, <sup>39</sup> Seza Ozen, <sup>40</sup> Efimia Papadopoulou-Alataki, <sup>41</sup> Pierre Quartier, <sup>42</sup> Donato Rigante, <sup>43</sup> Ricardo Russo, <sup>44</sup> Anna Simon, <sup>45</sup> Maria Trachana, <sup>46</sup> Yosef Uziel, <sup>47</sup> Angelo Ravelli, <sup>48</sup> Marco Gattorno, <sup>49</sup> Joost Frenkel<sup>3</sup>

#### ABSTRACT

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Handling editor Tore K Kvien

#### Correspondence to

Dr Nienke M ter Haar, Laboratory for Translational Immunology & Department of Paediatric Immunology, University Medical Centre, Lundlaan 6, Utrecht 3584EA, The Netherlands; n.m.terhaar-2@umcutrecht.nl

NMtH and KVA are joint first authors and MG and JF are joint last authors.,

Dr Ornella Della Casa Alberighi is deceased in the course of this project.

Received 21 June 2016 Revised 27 August 2016 Accepted 8 October 2016 Published Online First 3 November 2016



To cite: ter Haar NM, Annink KV, Al-Mayouf SM, et al. Ann Rheum Dis 2017;**76**:821–830.

BMJ

**Objectives** Autoinflammatory diseases cause systemic inflammation that can result in damage to multiple organs. A validated instrument is essential to quantify damage in individual patients and to compare disease outcomes in clinical studies. Currently, there is no such tool. Our objective was to develop a common autoinflammatory disease damage index (ADDI) for familial Mediterranean fever, cryopyrin-associated periodic syndromes, tumour necrosis factor receptor-associated periodic fever syndrome and mevalonate kinase deficiency.

**Methods** We developed the ADDI by consensus building. The top 40 enrollers of patients in the Eurofever Registry and 9 experts from the Americas participated in multiple rounds of online surveys to select items and definitions. Further, 22 (parents of) patients rated damage items and suggested new items. A consensus meeting was held to refine the items and definitions, which were then formally weighted in a scoring system derived using decision-making software, known as 1000minds.

**Results** More than 80% of the experts and patients completed the online surveys. The preliminary ADDI contains 18 items, categorised in the following eight organ systems: reproductive, renal/amyloidosis, developmental, serosal, neurological, ears, ocular and musculoskeletal damage. The categories renal/ amyloidosis and neurological damage were assigned the highest number of points, serosal damage the lowest number of points. The involvement of (parents of) patients resulted in the inclusion of, for example, chronic musculoskeletal pain.

**Conclusions** An instrument to measure damage caused by autoinflammatory diseases is developed based on consensus building. Patients fulfilled a significant role in this process.

### INTRODUCTION

Autoinflammatory diseases (AIDs) cover a spectrum of diseases, which lead to chronic or recurrent inflammation caused by activation of the innate immune system, typically in the absence of hightitre autoantibodies.<sup>1</sup> Over recent decades, a number of autoinflammatory diseases have been recognised, genetic defects identified and the pathogenic mechanisms elucidated.<sup>2</sup>

The four most common monogenic AIDs are cryopyrin-associated periodic syndromes (CAPS), familial Mediterranean fever (FMF), mevalonate kinase deficiency (MKD) and tumour necrosis factor receptor-associated periodic fever syndrome (TRAPS). In these hereditary AIDs, chronic and recurrent inflammation can lead to both acute disease and chronic irreversible damage.<sup>3</sup>

Targeted therapy for many AIDs has become available with blocking interleukin-1 ß signalling and/or tumour necrosis factor signalling, and for many patients, control of active inflammation can be achieved. However, organ damage may have accrued in the prediagnostic or pretherapeutic phase of the illness, particularly for those with delayed diagnosis; and the control of disease activity may not be complete in every patient.<sup>4</sup> Therefore, many patients may still develop chronic damage from AID. This is especially true for patients for whom effective therapy is unaffordable or unavailable since many of these biological treatments are very expensive. To date, there is no validated means of assessing the long-term burden of AID available.

Currently, there is a patient-reported validated tool to quantify acute inflammatory activity in inherited periodic fevers (the autoinflammatory disease activity index); and there is a disease severity index for FMF, but by definition these do not assess

long-term damage such as hearing loss, blindness and renal failure.<sup>5–8</sup> Damage indices for other rheumatic diseases such as vasculitis, systemic lupus erythematosus, dermatomyositis and juvenile idiopathic arthritis have already been developed and validated.<sup>9–13</sup>

When devising new damage assessment tools, therapeutic toxicity must also be considered, for example, chronic glucocorticoid toxicity, which can lead to cataract, growth failure and other damaging side effects. Thus, a comprehensive damage outcome measurement tool for AID must capture chronic and potentially irreversible disorders of structure and function that have risen in patients as a result of their autoinflammatory disease and/or its treatment. The creation of such an index was a stated aim of the European Union ERANET-PRIOMEDCHILD RaDiCEA Project No. 40-41800-98-007.

The main intended purpose of the autoinflammatory disease damage index (ADDI) is to analyse the outcome of patient groups, for example, to capture and record damage in clinical trials. In addition, it may serve as an aid to physicians in assessing the needs of their patients, for example, when trying to secure funding for biological therapies. The proposed ADDI will be designed for use in the four more commonly encountered monogenic AIDs: FMF, CAPS, TRAPS and MKD. The ADDI will ideally be used as one of a set of measures to capture the disease burden for affected patients, in addition to validated measures of disease activity, disease severity and quality of life.

#### **METHODS**

We developed the ADDI by consensus building, with online surveys based on the Delphi method followed by a face-to-face consensus meeting. The Delphi method is a widely accepted and commonly used method to structurally reach consensus in a group of experts.<sup>14</sup>

#### Selection of experts and patients

The top 40 enrollers to the Eurofever Registry, a European research database for patients with AID,<sup>15</sup> were invited to participate as experts; another nine experts who had not participated in the European-based Eurofever Registry were recruited from the Americas. Members of this expert group participated in multiple online surveys and were invited for the face-to-face consensus meeting. In close collaboration with the Autoinflammatory Alliance,<sup>16</sup> we also invited 22 patients and parents of patients with FMF, CAPS, TRAPS or MKD to participate in an online survey, and an additional 3 patients to participate in the weighting of items, using the 1000minds decision-making software (see below, step 4). Inclusion criteria for selection were (1) English-speaking patients of 18 years and older or parents of a paediatric patient with FMF, MKD, CAPS or TRAPS; and (2) provision of fully informed signed consent to participate in this exercise, separately for both online surveys and interviews.

#### Step 1: search for possible damage items

First, a systematic literature search was performed to establish possible damage items for FMF, MKD, CAPS and TRAPS. Inclusion of articles to be considered was based on (1) all studies and case series describing symptoms and complications of more than three patients with FMF, MKD, CAPS and/or TRAPS; (2) published in English; and (3) case reports (with three or fewer patients) were included if they described significant new damage items. All data on the prevalence of the sequelae were extracted. We included all sequelae described in studies with patients with FMF, CAPS, TRAPS and MKD, which were likely to be caused by chronic inflammation or its treatment and which persisted after resolution of inflammatory episodes.

Second, we screened all items scored in the Eurofever Registry to identify new damage items not identified from the literature review. Third, we asked patients in the first online survey to propose relevant new damage items. We interviewed the patients who gave informed consent for the interviews to try to identify other relevant damage items: we asked them specifically which complications/symptoms they most fear, and which symptoms/complications create the greatest limitation of daily life. Finally, we asked experts in the first online survey for relevant new damage items (see step 2).

#### Step 2: multiple rounds of online surveys with experts

Four rounds of online surveys were performed as a preparation for the consensus meeting. Experts scored all potential damage items for inclusion in the index, as well as the definitions and grading of items. Experts also suggested new items, combinations of items and new options for definitions/grading. If  $\geq$ 80% of the experts endorsed an item, it was included in the index. If an item reached <50% consensus, the item was excluded. In cases where 50–80% of the experts favoured inclusion, it was reconsidered in the next round. These thresholds were also used for the definitions and grading of the items.

#### Step 3: face-to-face consensus meeting

The 43 experts who completed one or more of the online surveys, as well as the director of the Autoinflammatory Alliance as a patient/parent representative, were invited to the consensus meeting. The first day the definition of damage and the inclusion/definitions of the items that did not reach consensus in the online surveys were discussed. On day 2, all items that reached consensus in the online surveys were refined. The results of the online surveys with experts and the patient/parent surveys and interviews were presented per item, followed by a maximum of three voting rounds and discussion. Items and definitions with 80% consensus or more were included in the ADDI. Items with no consensus after three voting rounds were excluded. After the consensus meeting, we sent a final online survey to all participants to ask whether they agreed with the items including the definitions as proposed at the consensus meeting.

#### Step 4: development of a scoring system

To assign an appropriate weight to each damage item, we used the 1000minds software in order to develop the scoring system of the ADDI.<sup>17</sup> 1000minds is a decision-making program that compares two items in order to grade the alternatives using the Potentially All Pairwise RanKings of all possible Alternatives (PAPRIKA) method.<sup>18</sup> Briefly, this method provides repeated comparisons between two items; the expert or patient chooses which of the two items constitutes the greater burden for patients. Each item receives a 'preference value' according to the PAPRIKA method; this reflects the importance of this item compared with all the other items. Hence, items with the greatest burden got the highest preference value and thus received most points in the ADDI.

All experts and the patients were asked to complete 1000minds. We compared the means of the patient survey and the expert survey. Differences between the overall mean and the expert mean, as well as maximising the amount of points per category, were discussed in a web conference with a small group of experts. These experts were from different continents and included both paediatric rheumatologists and rheumatologists for adults.

#### RESULTS

# Identification of damage items from literature search and Eurofever Registry

In the literature searches, we found 1712 articles for CAPS, 632 for MKD, 2602 for FMF and 486 for TRAPS; after screening for title and abstract, 150 articles for CAPS, 87 for MKD, 251 for FMF and 55 for TRAPS remained. After screening for full text, we included 36 articles for CAPS, 9 for MKD, 54 for FMF and 8 for TRAPS; in total, 49 separate damage items were extracted from these articles (figure 1). Eight additional items extracted from the Eurofever Registry were arterial and venous thrombosis, arterial aneurysm, large vessel vasculopathy, pulmonary fibrosis, lymphatic dysplasia, camptodactyly and kyphoscoliosis. All these items were included in the online surveys with experts and patients. No new items were selected from the case reports.

#### Patient/parent online survey and interviews

Twenty-two patients/parents of patients provided informed consent to participate in the online surveys. Twenty-one patients (95%) completed the online survey and nine of them gave informed consent for an interview. For patient characteristics, see table 1. Patients/parents suggested 18 new damage items, including sexual dysfunction, chronic fatigue and chronic musculoskeletal pain (table 2). The five most important damage items according to patients were AA amyloidosis, joint damage, vision loss, neurological damage and renal failure. All these items were included in the preliminary ADDI.

#### Expert online surveys

Forty-nine experts were invited for the online surveys. The median number (range) of included patients in the Eurofever Registry for the 40 Eurofever experts was 49 (19-194) patients per expert.

All rounds were completed by >80% of the experts. Experts suggested 16 new damage items, including persistent haematuria, chronic fatigue and corneal opacities (table 3). Eight items reached consensus for inclusion in the online surveys. Forty-two items were excluded as <50% of the experts voted in favour of the item. Examples were lymphatic dysplasia, sexual dysfunction and glomerulonephritis. Sexual dysfunction was excluded because experts concluded that it would be difficult to prove a causal relation with the disease (ie, whether it can be seen as disease-associated damage); moreover, it might reflect disease activity rather than damage. Seven items were discussed in the consensus meeting as between 50% and 80% of the experts wanted to include the item. Also, 6 of the 15 definitions required further discussion in the consensus meeting.

#### **Consensus meeting**

On the first day, 31 of the 43 invited participants were able to attend the meeting. The participants discussed the items and definitions that did not reach consensus in the online survey. The participants excluded neuropathy, muscle weakness and mood disorders. Consensus was reached about all definitions that needed reconsideration. On the second day, 29 experts



Figure 1 Damage items extracted from literature for familial Mediterranean fever,<sup>3</sup> <sup>19–71</sup> cryopyrin-associated periodic syndromes,<sup>3</sup> <sup>72–106</sup> tumour necrosis factor receptor-associated periodic fever syndrome<sup>3</sup> <sup>67</sup> <sup>107–112</sup> and mevalonate kinase deficiency.<sup>3</sup> <sup>113–119</sup>

Table 1	Patient	characte	ristics
---------	---------	----------	---------

	First online survey	Interviews	1000minds survey
Total no. of participants, n	21	9	14
Type of participant, n (%)			
Patients	12 (57)	3 (33)	8 (57)
Parents	9 (43)	6 (67)	6 (43)
Age, median in years (range)	28 (2–74)	15 (6–68)	29 (6–74)
Disease, n (%)			
MKD	6 (29)	1 (11)	3 (21)
TRAPS	5 (24)	3 (33)	3 (21)
CAPS	9 (43)	4 (44)	6 (43)
FMF	1 (5)	1 (11)	2 (14)
Country of residence, n (%)			
Australia	2 (10)	7 (78)	1 (7)
Canada	1 (5)	2 (22)	0 (0)
Switzerland	1 (5)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Netherlands	2 (10)	0 (0)	2 (14)
USA	15 (71)	0 (0)	10 (71)
UK	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (7)

CAPS, cryopyrin-associated periodic syndromes; FMF, familial Mediterranean fever; MKD, mevalonate kinase deficiency; TRAPS, tumour necrosis factor receptor-associated periodic fever syndrome.

 Table 2
 Items suggested by patients and experts as an addition to the literature

Category	Patient suggestions	Expert suggestions
Developmental	Learning difficulties Speech developmental delay	Learning disabilities
Reproductive	Amenorrhoea Sexual dysfunction	Amenorrhoea
Neurological	Memory problems Delayed motor skill development Hand coordination problems	Hemiplegia/quadriplegia Mobility impairment
Gastrointestinal	Irritable bowel syndrome Portal hypertension	Malabsorption Portal hypertension Liver steatosis
Musculoskeletal	Craniofacial deformities	Facial deformities Muscle wasting
Ocular	Corneal haze Retinitis pigmentosa	Corneal opacity Retinitis pigmentosa
Renal		Persistent haematuria
Other	Social problems Loss of future perspective Chronic fatigue Surgeries Autonomic dysregulation Chronic pain	Weight gain Somatic growth Chronic fatigue Dysphonia

were present and refined all items that already reached consensus, including the definitions of these items. In the online survey following the consensus meeting, 35 experts agreed with almost all adaptations made in the consensus meeting. Only fatigue was finally excluded following this survey.

#### Most important discussions in the consensus meeting

Inclusion of infertility and amenorrhoea did not reach consensus in the online surveys, but in the consensus meeting adult rheumatologists emphasised the great burden for patients caused by infertility. After discussion, >80% of the participants agreed on including these items. Cognitive impairment was included as an addition to developmental delay in the consensus meeting. As there is a variety of rare but severe central nervous system (CNS) complications, the participants decided to group all in one item, CNS involvement.

The group decided to replace the item abdominal adhesions with serosal scarring in order to include all potential serosal damage, for example, retroperitoneal fibrosis. Destructive arthritis and joint contractures were combined into one inclusive item, joint restriction, as movement limitation was considered the most important functional impact of both items.

Chronic headache was excluded because this item had a significant overlap with elevated intracranial pressure. Chronic musculoskeletal pain and fatigue were initially included in the consensus meeting because of the important burden for patients, albeit with a lot of discussion. Fatigue was later excluded in the final online survey because the experts agreed that although fatigue can hugely impact a patient's life, it is difficult to assess due to its subjective nature and variable relationship with disease activity.

#### Development of the scoring system

In total, 37 experts and 14 patients completed the 1000minds survey. The means of preference values (experts and patients) ranged from 1.5 to 7.5, in which 1.5 reflected the lowest and 7.5 the highest burden for patients. Experts and patients generally scored similar on the preference values (figure 2). A preliminary scoring system based on these preference values was presented to a panel of seven representative experts and discussed in a conference call. All items with a mean preference value of <3.5 received one point, 3.5 to 5.5 received two points (with the exception of serosal scarring, which received one point) and of >5.5 three points. Serosal scarring received one point; the experts agreed in the conference call that the consequences are less severe in comparison to other items receiving two points. Further, a maximum of points per category was defined in order to prevent double scoring of identical items. Renal/amyloidosis received a maximum amount of six points as amyloidosis often leads to renal damage. Also, the neurological and musculoskeletal categories received a decreased maximum of points because of the overlap of the items.

#### DISCUSSION

We developed a damage index for AID. The proposed ADDI contains 18 items. The damage items are categorised by organ system. All damage items are clearly defined and easy to score. Completing the ADDI should take approximately 5 min. The ADDI will make it possible to analyse outcomes in patient groups and compare the results of different studies, but also to systematically measure damage in a single patient.

The first key strength in the development of the ADDI is the number of worldwide experts that participated. Forty European/Middle Eastern and nine American experts were invited, with the aim of making the ADDI a global instrument. We made the selection of experts based on their clinical experience, which guarantees the capability of these experts to judge the importance of damage caused by AID. Furthermore, all online surveys were completed by >80% of the experts, which is important for both validity and acceptability of consensus statements. A high proportion of the experts attended the consensus meeting.

The second key strength is the participation of patients and parents of patients in all the steps that led to the development of the ADDI. This is important to make it a widely relevant damage index that can represent the burden for patients.

#### Table 3 Preliminary Autoinflammatory Disease Damage Index (ADDI) including glossary of terms

#### Preliminary ADDI

Definition of damage: Damage is defined as persistent or irreversible change in structure or function that is present for at least 6 months. Damage items should not be scored if they are attributed to ongoing disease activity. Damage may be the result of prior disease activity, complications of therapy or comorbid conditions that developed after the onset of autoinflammatory disease signs and symptoms. If damage has been present for longer than 6 months, but later resolves, it should still be scored in order to capture the damage that was present in the individual for that time period

Damage item	Grading	Points
Reproductive	-	Max. 3
Sub/infertility		2
Amenorrhoea		1
Renal/amyloidosis		Max. 6
Amyloidosis	Limited amyloidosis Extensive amyloidosis	2 3
Proteinuria		1
Renal insufficiency	Moderate renal insufficiency Severe renal insufficiency	2 3
Developmental		Max. 3
Growth failure		2
Puberty delay		1
Serosal		Max. 1
Serosal scarring		1
Neurological		Мах. 6
Developmental delay*		2
Cognitive impairment		3
Elevated intracranial pressure		2
Central nervous system involvement		3
Ears		Max. 2
Hearing loss	Moderate hearing loss of better ear Severe hearing loss of better ear	1 2
Ocular		Max. 3
Ocular involvement	Mild ocular involvement of better eye Moderate ocular involvement of better eye Severe ocular involvement of better eye	1 2 3
Musculoskeletal		Max. 4
Joint restriction		2
Bone deformity		2
Osteoporosis		1

Musculoskeletal pain

Glossary of terms

Infertility: A disease of the reproductive system defined by the failure to achieve a clinical pregnancy after  $\geq$ 12 months of regular unprotected sexual intercourse, not due to known disorders in the unaffected partner.

Amenorrhoea: Primary amenorrhoea: absence of menarche at the age of 16 years or absence of menarche 5 years after thelarche in a female. Secondary amenorrhoea: absence of the menses for six consecutive months or more in a female who previously had menstrual cycles.

Limited amyloidosis: Symptomatic amyloidosis affecting one organ and confirmed by examination of tissue sections by Congo red dye or serum amyloid P component (SAP) scintigraphy.

Extensive amyloidosis: Symptomatic amyloidosis affecting more than one organ and confirmed by examination of tissue sections by Congo red dye or SAP scintigraphy.

Proteinuria: Persistent urinary protein to creatinine ratio of >20 mg/mmol in the first morning void and/or a daily protein excretion of >0.3 g/24 hours, or urine albumin to creatinine ratio of >15 mg/mmol.

Moderate renal insufficiency: Glomerular filtration rate (GFR) between 15 and 60 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>.

Severe renal insufficiency: GFR <15 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>, dialysis or transplantation.

Growth failure: Defined as the presence of at least two of the three features:

- lower than the 3rd percentile height for age

growth velocity over 6 months lower than the 3rd percentile for age
 crossing at least two centiles (5%, 10%, 25%, 50%, 75%, 90%, 95%) on growth chart

For patients older than 18 years: Pathological short stature (eg, below 3rd percentile for normal ethnic population).

Puberty delay: A Tanner stage below –2 SDs for age.

Serosal scarring: Adhesions or fibrosis affecting pericardium, pleura, peritoneum and/or retroperitoneum, supported by imaging techniques, endoscopy or surgery.

Developmental delay: Failure to reach age-appropriate developmental milestones, including language/speech, motor, social/emotional and cognitive milestones. As soon as there is any delay in one of the development categories, this item has to be scored.\*

Cognitive impairment: Requirement of special education because of cognitive impairment or IQ <70 as defined by neuropsychological assessment (eg, Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children (WISC)) or other age-appropriate equivalents.

Elevated intracranial pressure: Signs and/or symptoms of elevated intracranial pressure supported by appropriate techniques. †

Central nervous system involvement: Focal deficits (gross and/or fine sensorimotor), diffuse deficits (eg, memory, behaviour), seizures and spinal cord symptoms.

Moderate hearing loss: Sensorineural hearing impairment confirmed by audiometry or another age-appropriate technique without requirement of hearing aids or a cochlear implant.

Severe hearing loss: Sensorineural hearing impairment confirmed by audiometry or another age-appropriate technique requiring hearing aids or a cochlear implant. Mild ocular involvement: Ocular damage (eg, optic nerve atrophy, elevated intraocular pressure or cataract) documented by an ophthalmologist, without visual impairment.

Moderate ocular involvement: Ocular damage (eg, optic nerve atrophy, elevated intraocular pressure or cataract) documented by an ophthalmologist, without visual impairment. Moderate ocular involvement: Ocular damage (eg, optic nerve atrophy, elevated intraocular pressure or cataract) documented by an ophthalmologist, resulting in visual impairment.

Severe ocular involvement: Ocular damage (eg, optic nerve atrophy, elevated intraocular pressure or cataract) documented by an ophthalmologist, resulting in legal blindness.

Joint restriction: Fixed limitation in the normal range of motion of joints, with or without destructive arthropathy or avascular necrosis.

Bone deformity: Bone deformation or overgrowth on clinical examination and/or imaging studies.

Osteoporosis: Reduced bone mineral density with vertebral collapse and/or pathological fractures confirmed with imaging, which may include bone densitometry. Requires both evidence of decreased bone density and fracture, 'low bone density' by itself is insufficient

Musculoskeletal pain: Non-inflammatory musculoskeletal pain impairing activities of daily living.

\*Only for paediatric patients.

tSuch as funduscopy, neuroimaging or lumbar cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) pressure measurement.



**Preference values** 

**Figure 2** Scoring of the preference values from experts (black) and patients (grey), derived from the 1000minds decision-making software. A higher preference value means a higher burden for patients. The preference values range from 1.5 to 7.5, all items with a weighted mean preference value of <3.5 received one point in the Autoinflammatory Disease Damage Index (ADDI), and of >5.5 three points.

The third key strength is the methodology used to select the possible damage items. We screened for possible damage items in three ways. It was evident from the literature search that studies of long-term damage using a large sample size are extremely scarce in autoinflammatory diseases. The screening of items in the Eurofever Registry and suggestions of patients and experts were consequently valuable in developing a comprehensive set of items to asses in the online surveys.

Although many new damage items were suggested by patients and parents of patients, it might be possible that the participating patients have not suggested all possible damage items and they may not reflect the opinion of the whole patient population. Nevertheless, their contribution strengthens the process and resulted in consideration of previously neglected damage items that had not been described in the literature nor mentioned by experts, for example, chronic pain and chronic fatigue.

Patients with FMF were under-represented in this study despite attempts to recruit more patients for the 1000minds survey. Overall the amount of patients that signed informed consent as well as the response rate to surveys was lower than expected. Possible reasons might be the inclusion criterion for patients to be English speaking, the difficulty and length of the questionnaires and the informed consent procedure.

We chose to develop a general damage index limited to the four most prevalent monogenetic AIDs: FMF, CAPS, TRAPS and MKD. Based on the literature, the affected organ systems might differ in prevalence between these diseases; nevertheless, the ADDI will be a good tool to structurally score damage and covers all the important damage items for these four diseases. It would be challenging to develop the ADDI to capture damage in all AID due to the expanding number of new ultra-rare auto-inflammatory diseases and their varied clinical features. An example of a recently discovered AID is the chronic atypical neutrophilic dermatosis with lipodystrophy and elevated temperature (CANDLE) syndrome. While CANDLE does share some damage items with other AID, lipodystrophy is character-istic for CANDLE, <sup>120</sup> but is uncommon in FMF, CAPS, TRAPS and MKD, illustrating the difficulty in developing a damage index applicable to all existing and yet to be discovered AID.

Common non-specific symptoms like chronic headache, fatigue and chronic musculoskeletal pain gave rise to intense discussions. Ultimately, only chronic musculoskeletal pain is included in the preliminary ADDI. Although patients considered these items as important in the surveys and interviews, experts thought that these items were difficult to assess objectively in daily clinical practice and found it hard to define whether these items actually reflected disease damage rather than ongoing disease activity. Nonetheless, experts acknowledged that these items have a considerable impact on the quality of life. In the future, these items might be better included in a different tool, for example, with specific items to measure quality of life.

Another difficulty in the development of the ADDI was the influence of comorbidities on the damage in AID patients. This is a common issue for all damage indices. For example, neurological impairment can be caused by the AID or by an unrelated

stroke. It is very hard to distinguish whether it is caused by independent comorbidities or the disease itself, even though we only include damage items that arose after the onset of symptoms of the AID.

In the near future, the preliminary ADDI will be validated using patient cases of FMF, CAPS, TRAPS and MKD. By this effort, we will be able to assess the validity of the ADDI in total and for the individual diseases. Furthermore, we will analyse the specificity of the ADDI items (eg, whether the damage items are not influenced by disease activity) and the grading system. Prospective validation in longitudinal cohorts will then be needed to investigate responsiveness to change over time and correlation with the burden of disease-associated damage to daily life.

In conclusion, we developed the ADDI, a universal instrument to measure persisting damage caused by chronic inflammation in the autoinflammatory diseases FMF, CAPS, TRAPS and MKD. This ADDI is based on consensus building with experts from around the world; patients and parents of patients fulfilled a significant role in this process.

#### Author affiliations

<sup>1</sup>Laboratory for Translational Immunology, University Medical Centre Utrecht, Utrecht. The Netherlands

- <sup>2</sup>Department of Paediatric Immunology, University Medical Centre Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands
- <sup>3</sup>Department of Paediatrics, University Medical Centre Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands

<sup>4</sup>Department of Paediatrics, King Faisal Specialist Hospital & Research Centre, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

<sup>5</sup>National Paediatric Centre for Familial Mediterranean Fever and Gastroenterology Service, Arabkir Medical Centre-Institute of Child & Adolescent Health, Yerevan, Armenia

<sup>6</sup>Paediatric Rheumatology Unit, Hospital Sant Joan de Déu, Barcelona, Spain <sup>7</sup>Division of Intramural Research and National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, USA

<sup>8</sup>Department of Paediatrics and Department of Rheumatology, Alberta Children's Hospital, Calgary, Canada

<sup>9</sup>Department of Infection, Inflammation and Rheumatology, University College London Institute of Child Health, London, UK <sup>10</sup>Department of Medical Sciences, Surgery and Neurosciences, Rheumatology Unit,

University of Siena, Siena, Italy

<sup>1</sup>Paediatric Clinic, University of Brescia and Spedali Civili di Brescia, Brescia, Italy <sup>12</sup>Paediatrics Department, National Institute for Mother and Child Health

Alessandrescu-Rusescu, Bucharest, Romania <sup>13</sup>Division of Rheumatology, Ospedale Pediatrico Bambino Gesù, Rome, Italy

<sup>14</sup>Division of Immunology, Rheumatology Program, Boston Children's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, USA

<sup>15</sup>Translational Autoinflammatory Disease Section, NIAID, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, USA

<sup>16</sup>UOSD Farmacologia Clinica e Clinical Trial—Scientific Direction, G. Gaslini Institute. Genova. Italy

<sup>17</sup>Department of Paediatric Rheumatology, Gulhane Military Medical Faculty, Ankara, Turkey

<sup>18</sup>Department of Paediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, Charles University, General University Hospital, Prague, Czech Republic

Autoinflammatory Alliance, San Fransisco, USA

<sup>20</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milano, Italy

<sup>21</sup>Department of Paediatrics, Rheumatology, AOU G Martino, Messina, Italy

<sup>22</sup>Département de Médecine Interne et Immunologie Clinique, Université de Lille, Lille, France

<sup>23</sup>Reference centre for autoinflammatory diseases (CEREMAI), Versailles Hospital, Le Chesnav, France

<sup>24</sup>Department of Paediatrics, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark <sup>25</sup>Department of Paediatric Rheumatology, University of Lausanne, Lausanne,

Switzerland <sup>26</sup>Department of Paediatric Rheumatology, University Hospital of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland

<sup>27</sup>Department of Paediatrics, University of California, San Diego, USA

<sup>28</sup>Dipartimento di Medicina Pediatrica, IRCCS Ospedale Pediatrico Bambino Gesù, Rome Italy

<sup>29</sup>Department of Rheumatology&Immunology, Dr. von Hauner Childrens Hospital, Ludwig-Maximilians-University, Munich, Germany

<sup>30</sup>Paediatric Pneumology and Immunology and Interdisciplinary Centre for Social Paediatrics, Charité University Medicine Berlin, Berlin, Germany

<sup>31</sup>Department of Paediatric Rheumatology and CEREMAI, Bicêtre Hospital, APHP, University of Paris Sud, Paris, France

<sup>32</sup>Department of Immunology, Federal Research and Clinical Centre for Paediatric Haematology, Oncology and Immunology, Moscow, Russia

<sup>33</sup>Division of Paediatric Rheumatology, Department of Paediatrics, University Hospital Tuebingen, Tuebingen, Germany

<sup>34</sup>Division of Medicine, University College London, London, UK

<sup>35</sup>Department of Paediatrics and Medicine, University of Toronto and the Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Canada

<sup>36</sup>Direzione Scientifica, G Gaslini Institute, Genova, Italy

<sup>37</sup>Paediatric Rheumatology unit 4272, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark

<sup>38</sup>Department of Paediatric Rheumatic diseases, V.A. Nasonova Research Institute of Rheumatology, Moscow, Russia

<sup>39</sup>Inflammatory Disease Section, National Human Genome Research Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, USA

<sup>40</sup>Department of Paediatric Rheumatology, Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey <sup>41</sup>Fourth Department of Paediatrics, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece

<sup>42</sup>Department of Paediatric Immunology-Hematology and Rheumatology Unit and IMAGINE Institute, Institution Necker-Enfants Malades Hospital and Paris-Descartes University, Paris, France

<sup>43</sup>Institute of Paediatrics, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli, Università Cattolica Sacro Cuore, Rome, Italy

<sup>44</sup>Servicio de Inmunología y Reumatología, Hospital de Pediatría Garrahan, Buenos Aires, Argentina

<sup>45</sup>Internal Medicine, Radboud Expertise Centre for Immunodeficiency and

Autoinflammation, Radboud University Medical Centre, Nijmegen, The Netherlands <sup>46</sup>Paediatric Immunology and Rheumatology Referral Centre, first Paediatric clinic, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece

<sup>47</sup>Department of Paediatrics, Meir Medical Centre, Kfar Saba, Tel Aviv University, Sackler School of Medicine, Tel Aviv, Israel

<sup>48</sup>Institution Università degli Studi di Genova and G. Gaslini Institute, Genova, Italy <sup>49</sup>UOC Pediatria 2, G. Gaslini Institute, Genova, Italy

Twitter Follow Ricardo Russo at @el\_reumatologo

Acknowledgements The paper is dedicated to the memory of Dr Ornella Della Casa Alberighi, the principal investigator of RaDICEA project. The authors thank the Autoinflammatory Alliance for the collaboration and all (parents of) patients for their participation in the online surveys and interviews. Further, they would like to acknowledge Paul Hansen and Franz Ombler for providing the 1000minds decision-making software. They also thank Dr Nicolino Ruperto and the PRINTO's staff for their precious collaboration.

Contributors NMtH and KVA are joint first authors. MG and JF are joint last authors. NMtH, KVA and JF designed the study and wrote the manuscript. ODCA was the principal investigator of RADICEA. KLD contacted patients for patient recruitment. The consensus meeting was prepared with and led by AR. KLD, JF and all other authors contributed to the online surveys and/or the consensus meeting, and attributed to and approved the manuscript.

Funding The project was supported by ERANET-PRIOMEDCHILD RaDiCEA Project No. 40-41800-98-007. The Eurofever Registry was funded by the Executive Agency for Health and Consumers (EAHC, Project No. 2007332). The work was supported by an unrestricted grant by Novartis Pharma AG.

Competing interests Novartis Pharma AG financially supported the final consensus meeting. They did not have any influence on the selection of participants or on the content of the ADDI/consensus meeting or the reporting of the findings. FdB: Novartis, Novimmune, Hoffmann-La Roche, SOBI, AbbVie. LC: speaker's fee for Novartis and SOBI. MC: consultancy fees for Novartis, SOBI and Abbvie. KLD: consultancy work for SOBI and Novartis, donations, honorariums and unrestricted grants have been received by the Autoinflammatory Alliance from SOBI, Novartis, and Regeneron. RG: consultant for Abbvie. RGM: study support from SOBI, Novartis, Regeneron. VH: honorariums and educational grants from Novartis, honorariums from SOBI. MH: consultant for Novartis. HMH: consultant for Novartis and SOBI, and speaker for Novartis. TK: research grant by Novartis, speaker's bureau by Roche, BMS, Novartis and SOBI. JKD: consultant/speaker for Novartis and SOBI and has received grant support from SOBI and Novartis. RML: ad board and consultant for Abbvie and Novartis. PQ: investigator, consultant and speaker's bureau for Novartis and SOBI. MG: consultant for and unrestricted grants to Eurofever and speaker's fee from SOBI and Novartis. YU: Y. Uziel Grant/Research Support from Novartis, Consultant for Novartis, Speaker Bureau of Abbvie, Neopharm, Novartis, Roche. JF: consultant for Novartis.

Ethics approval The Medical Ethical Committee of the University Medical Centre Utrecht.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

#### REFERENCES

- Masters SL, Simon A, Aksentijevich I, et al. Horror autoinflammaticus: the molecular pathophysiology of autoinflammatory disease. Annu Rev Immunol 2009;27:621–68.
- 2 Drenth JPH, van der Meer JW. Hereditary periodic fever. N Engl J Med 2001;345:1748–57.
- 3 Federici S, Sormani MP, Ozen S, et al. Evidence-based provisional clinical classification criteria for autoinflammatory periodic fevers. Ann Rheumatic Dis 2015;74:799–805.
- 4 Ter Haar N, Lachmann H, Ozen S, *et al.* Treatment of autoinflammatory diseases: results from the Eurofever Registry and a literature review. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2013;72:678–85.
- 5 Mor A, Shinar Y, Zaks N, et al. Evaluation of disease severity in familial Mediterranean fever. Semin Arthritis Rheumatol 2005;35:57–64.
- 6 Ozen S, Aktay N, Lainka E, et al. Disease severity in children and adolescents with familial Mediterranean fever: a comparative study to explore environmental effects on a monogenic disease. Ann Rheum Dis 2009;68:246–8.
- 7 Piram M, Frenkel J, Gattorno M, et al. A preliminary score for the assessment of disease activity in hereditary recurrent fevers: results from the AIDAI (Auto-Inflammatory Diseases Activity Index) consensus conference. Ann Rheum Dis 2011;70:309–14.
- 8 Demirkaya E, Acikel C, Hashkes P, et al. Development and initial validation of international severity scoring system for familial Mediterranean fever (ISSF). Ann Rheum Dis 2016;75:1051–6.
- 9 Gladman D, Ginzler E, Goldsmith C, et al. The development and initial validation of the Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics/American College of Rheumatology damage index for systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheumatol 1996;39:363–9.
- 10 Gladman DD, Urowitz MB, Goldsmith CH, et al. The reliability of the Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics/American College of Rheumatology Damage Index in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheumatol 1997;40:809–13.
- 11 Exley AR, Bacon PA, Luqmani RA, et al. Development and initial validation of the Vasculitis Damage Index for the standardized clinical assessment of damage in the systemic vasculitides. Arthritis Rheumatol 1997;40:371–80.
- 12 Isenberg DA, Allen E, Farewell V, et al. International consensus outcome measures for patients with idiopathic inflammatory myopathies. Development and initial validation of myositis activity and damage indices in patients with adult onset disease. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2004;43:49–54.
- 13 Viola S, Felici E, Magni-Manzoni S, et al. Development and validation of a clinical index for assessment of long-term damage in juvenile idiopathic arthritis. Arthritis Rheumatol 2005;52:2092–102.
- 14 Ruperto N, Meiorin S, Iusan SM, et al. Consensus procedures and their role in pediatric rheumatology. *Curr Rheumatol Rep* 2008;10:142–6.
- 15 Ozen S, Frenkel J, Ruperto N, et al. The Eurofever Project: towards better care for autoinflammatory diseases. Eur J Pediatr 2011;170:445–52.
- 16 Autoinflammatory Alliance. Autoinflammatory Disease Information-Resources-Support. http://www.autoinflammatory.org (accessed Jun 2016).
- 17 Hansen P, Omber F. 1000minds: decision-making software. http://www. 1000minds.com (accessed Jun 2016).
- 18 Hansen P, Omber F. A new method for scoring multi-attribute value models using pairwise rankings of alternatives. J Multi Criteria Decis Analysis 2008;15:87–107.
- 19 Akar S, Yuksel F, Tunca M, et al. Familial Mediterranean fever: risk factors, causes of death, and prognosis in the colchicine era. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2012;91:131–6.
- 20 Al-Wahadneh AM, Dahabreh MM. Familial Mediterranean fever in children: a single centre experience in Jordan. *Eastern Mediterr Health J* 2006;12:818–23.
- 21 Barakat MH, Karnik AM, Majeed HW, et al. Familial Mediterranean fever (recurrent hereditary polyserositis) in Arabs--a study of 175 patients and review of the literature. Q J Med 1986;60:837–47.
- 22 Bashardoust B, Maleki N. Assessment of renal involvement in patients with familial Mediterranean fever: a clinical study from Ardabil, Iran. *Intern Med J* 2014;44:1128–33.
- 23 Ben-Chetrit E, Ben-Chetrit A, Berkun Y. Pregnancy outcomes in women with familial Mediterranean fever receiving colchicine: is amniocentesis justified? *Arthritis Care Res* 2010;62:143–8.
- 24 Berkdemir Siverekli N, Sahin O, Senel S, et al. Bone mineral density in familial Mediterranean fever. *Rheumatology Int* 2012;32:2453–7.
- 25 Brik R, Gershoni-Baruch R, Shinawi M, et al. Pulmonary manifestations and function tests in children genetically diagnosed with FMF. *Pediatric pulm* 2003;35:452–5.
- 26 Brik R, Shinawi M, Kasinetz L, et al. The musculoskeletal manifestations of Familial Mediterranean fever in children genetically diagnosed with the disease. Arthritis Rheumatol 2001;44:1416–19.
- 27 Cefle A, Kamali S, Sayarlioglu M, et al. A comparison of clinical findings of familial Mediterranean fever patients with and without amyloidosis. *Rheumatology Int* 2005;25:442–6.

- 28 Ciftci AO, Tanyel FC, Büyükpamukçu N, et al. Adhesive small bowel obstruction caused by familial Mediterranean fever: the incidence and outcome. J Pediatr Surg 1995;30:577–9.
- 29 Deger SM, Ozturk MA, Demirag MD, et al. Health-related quality of life and its associations with mood condition in familial Mediterranean fever patients. *Rheumatol Int* 2011;31:623–8.
- 30 Ebrahimi-Fakhari D, Schönland SO, Hegenbart U, et al. Familial Mediterranean fever in Germany: clinical presentation and amyloidosis risk. Scand J Rheumatol 2013;42:52–8.
- 31 Ehrenfeld M, Brzezinski A, Levy M, et al. Fertility and obstetric history in patients with familial Mediterranean fever on long-term colchicine therapy. Br J obstet Gynaecol 1987;94:1186–91.
- 32 Ehrenfeld M, Levy M, Margalioth EJ, *et al.* The effects of long-term colchicine therapy on male fertility in patients with familial Mediterranean fever. *Andrologia* 1986;18:420–6.
- 33 Ertekin V, Selimoglu MA, Pirim I. Familial Mediterranean fever in a childhood population in eastern Turkey. *Pediatr Int* 2005;47:640–4.
- 34 Gedalia A, Zamir S. Neurologic manifestations in familial Mediterranean fever. *Pediatr Neurol* 1993;9:301–2.
- 35 Ishak GE, Khoury NJ, Birjawi GA, et al. Imaging findings of familial Mediterranean fever. Clin Imaging 2006;30:153–9.
- 36 Jarjour RA, Dodaki R. Arthritis patterns in familial Mediterranean fever patients and association with M694V mutation. *Mol Biol Rep* 2011;38:2033–6.
- 37 Kalyoncu U, Eker A, Oguz KK, et al. Familial Mediterranean fever and central nervous system involvement: a case series. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2010;89:75–84.
- 38 Koybasi S, Atasoy HI, Bicer YO, et al. Cochlear involvement in Familial Mediterranean Fever: a new feature of an old disease. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 2012;76:244–7.
- 39 Langevitz P, Livneh A, Neumann L, et al. Prevalence of ischemic heart disease in patients with familial Mediterranean fever. Isr Med Assoc J 2001;3:9–12.
- 40 Lidar M, Kedem R, Berkun Y, et al. Familial Mediterranean fever in Ashkenazi Jews: the mild end of the clinical spectrum. J Rheumatol 2010;37:422–5.
- Majeed HA, Barakat M. Familial Mediterranean fever (recurrent hereditary
- polyserositis) in children: analysis of 88 cases. *Eur J Pediatr* 1989;148:636–41.
   Majeed HA, Rawashdeh M. The clinical patterns of arthritis in children with familial Mediterranean fever. *OJM* 1997:90:37–43.
- Majeed HA, Rawashdeh M, el-Shanti H, *et al.* Familial Mediterranean fever in children: the expanded clinical profile. *QIM* 1999;92:309–18.
- 44 Makay B, Emiroğlu N, Unsal E. Depression and anxiety in children and adolescents with familial Mediterranean fever. *Clin Rheumatol* 2010;29:375–9.
- 45 Migita K, Uehara R, Nakamura Y, *et al.* Familial Mediterranean fever in Japan. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2012;91:337–43.
- 46 Moradian MM, Sarkisian T, Amaryan G, et al. Patient management and the association of less common familial Mediterranean fever symptoms with other disorders. Genet Med 2014;16:258–63.
- 47 Nobakht H, Zamani F, Ajdarkosh H, *et al*. Adult-onset familial mediterranean Fever in northwestern Iran; clinical feature and treatment outcome. *Middle East J Dig Dis* 2011;3:50–5.
- 48 Odabas AR, Cetinkaya R, Selcuk Y, et al. Familial Mediterranean fever. South Med J 2002;95:1400–3.
- 49 Ofir D, Levy A, Wiznitzer A, et al. Familial Mediterranean fever during pregnancy: an independent risk factor for preterm delivery. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 2008;141:115–8.
- 50 Ozel AM, Demirturk L, Yazgan Y, *et al.* Familial Mediterranean fever. A review of the disease and clinical and laboratory findings in 105 patients. *Dig Liver Dis* 2000:32:504–9.
- 51 Polat K, Uysal IO, Senel S, *et al.* Evaluation of hearing in patients with familial Mediterranean fever. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol* 2013;270:2871–4.
- 52 Pras E, Livneh A, Balow JE Jr, et al. Clinical differences between North African and Iraqi Jews with familial Mediterranean fever. Am J Med Genet 1998;75:216–19.
- 53 Reuben A, Hirsch M, Berlyne GM. Renal vein thrombosis as the major cause of renal failure in familial Mediterranean fever. *Q J Med* 1977;46:243–58.
- 54 Salah S, Hegazy R, Ammar R, et al. MEFV gene mutations and cardiac phenotype in children with familial Mediterranean fever: a cohort study. *Pediatr Rheumatol* 2014;12:5.
- 55 Sarikaya S, Ozdolap S, Marasli E. Spondylitis and arthritis in familial Mediterranean fever. *Turk J Rheumatol* 2012;27:241–7.
- 56 Savgan-Gürol E, Kasapcopur O, Hatemi S, *et al*. Growth and IGF-1 levels of children with familial Mediterranean fever on colchicine treatment. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2001;19:S72–75.
- 57 Talaat HSED, Mohamed MF, El Rifai NM, et al. The expanded clinical profile and the efficacy of colchicine therapy in Egyptian children suffering from familial Mediterranean fever: a descriptive study. Ital J Pediatr 2012;38:66.
- 58 Tunca M, Akar S, Onen F, et al. Familial Mediterranean fever (FMF) in Turkey: results of a nationwide multicenter study. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2005;84:1–11.
- 59 Turgal M, Selcuk I, Ozyuncu O. Pregnancy outcome of five patients with renal amyloidosis regarding familial Mediterranean fever. *Ren Fail* 2014;36:306–8.

- 60 Tweezer-Zaks N, Doron-Libner A, Weiss P, *et al*. Familial Mediterranean fever and cryptogenic cirrhosis. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2007;86:355–62.
- 61 Twig G, Livneh A, Vivante A, *et al.* Cardiovascular and metabolic risk factors in inherited autoinflammation. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2014;99: E2123–8.
- 62 Twig G, Livneh A, Vivante A, *et al*. Mortality risk factors associated with familial Mediterranean fever among a cohort of 1.25 million adolescents. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2014;73:704–9.
- 63 Unal F, Cakir M, Baran M, *et al.* Liver involvement in children with Familial Mediterranean fever. *Dig Liver Dis* 2012;44:689–93.
- 64 Ureten K, Gönülalan G, Akbal E, *et al.* Demographic, clinical and mutational characteristics of Turkish familial Mediterranean fever patients: results of a single center in Central Anatolia. *Rheumatol Int* 2010;30:911–15.
- 65 Uthman I, Hajj-Ali RA, Arayssi T, *et al*. Arthritis in familial Mediterranean fever. *Rheumatol Int* 2001;20:145–8.
- 66 Uysal IÖ, Gürbüzler L, Kaya A, et al. Evaluation of cochlear function using transient evoked otoacoustic emission in children with Familial Mediterranean Fever. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 2012;76:379–81.
- 67 Vergara C, Borzutzky A, Gutierrez MA, *et al.* Clinical and genetic features of hereditary periodic fever syndromes in Hispanic patients: the Chilean experience. *Clin Rheumatol* 2012;31:829–34.
- 68 Yasar O, Iskender C, Kaymak O, et al. Retrospective evaluation of pregnancy outcomes in women with familial Mediterranean fever. J Matern -Fetal Neonatol Med 2014;27:733–6.
- 69 Yazicioglu A, Turgal M, Yucel OS, et al. Pregnancy outcome in women with familial mediterranean fever: a retrospective analysis of 50 cases with a 10-year experience. Turk J of Rheumatol 2014;29:94–8.
- 70 Younes M, Kahn MF, Meyer O. Hip involvement in patients with familial Mediterranean fever. A review of ten cases. *Joint Bone Spine* 2002;69:560–5.
- 71 Zayed A, Nabil H, State O, et al. Subfertility in women with familial Mediterranean fever. J Obstet Gynaecol Res 2012;38:1240–4.
- 72 Ahmadi N, Brewer CC, Zalewski C, et al. Cryopyrin-associated periodic syndromes: Otolaryngologic and audiologic manifestations. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2011;145:295–302.
- 73 Aksentijevich I, Nowak M, Mallah M, et al. De novo CIAS1 mutations, cytokine activation, and evidence for genetic heterogeneity in patients with neonatal-onset multisystem inflammatory disease (NOMID): a new member of the expanding family of pyrin-associated autoinflammatory diseases. *Arthritis Rheum* 2002;46:3340–8.
- 74 Arostegui JI, Aldea A, Modesto C, et al. Clinical and genetic heterogeneity among Spanish patients with recurrent autoinflammatory syndromes associated with the CIAS1/PYPAF1/NALP3 gene. Arthritis Rheumatol 2004;50:4045–50.
- 75 Caroli F, Pontillo A, D'Osualdo A, et al. Clinical and genetic characterization of Italian patients affected by CINCA syndrome. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2007;46:473–8.
- 76 Chang Z, Spong CY, Jesus AA, et al. Anakinra use during pregnancy in patients with cryopyrin-associated periodic syndromes (CAPS). *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2014;66:3227–32.
- 77 Dodé C, Le Dû N,, Cuisset L, et al. New mutations of CIAS1 that are responsible for Muckle-Wells syndrome and familial cold urticaria: a novel mutation underlies both syndromes. Am J Hum Genet 2002;70:1498–506.
- 78 Dollfus H, Häfner R, Hofmann HM, et al. Chronic infantile neurological cutaneous and articular/neonatal onset multisystem inflammatory disease syndrome: ocular manifestations in a recently recognized chronic inflammatory disease of childhood. Arch Ophthalmol 2000;118:1386–92.
- 79 El-Darouti MA, Marzouk SA, bdel-Halim MRE. Muckle-Wells syndrome: report of six cases with hyperpigmented sclerodermoid skin lesions. Int J Dermatol 2006;45:239–44.
- 80 Goldbach-Mansky R, Dailey NJ, Canna SW, et al. Neonatal-onset multisystem inflammatory disease responsive to interleukin-1(beta) inhibition. N Engl J Med 2006;355:581–92.
- 81 Haas N, Küster W, Zuberbier T, et al. Muckle-Wells syndrome: clinical and histological skin findings compatible with cold air urticaria in a large kindred. Br J Dermatol 2004;151:99–104.
- 82 Hill SC, Namde M, Dwyer A, *et al*. Arthropathy of neonatal onset multisystem inflammatory disease (NOMID/CINCA). *Pediatr Radiol* 2007;37:145–52.
- 83 Hoffman HM, Wanderer AA, Broide DH. Familial cold autoinflammatory syndrome: Phenotype and genotype of an autosomal dominant periodic fever. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2001;108:615–20.
- 84 Kitley JL, Lachmann HJ, Pinto A, *et al*. Neurologic manifestations of the cryopyrin-associated periodic syndrome. *Neurology* 2010;74:1267–70.
- 85 Koitschev A, Gramlich K, Hansmann S, et al. Progressive familial hearing loss in Muckle-Wells syndrome. Acta Oto-Laryngol 2012;132:756–62.
- 86 Koné-Paut I, Lachmann HJ, Kuemmerle-Deschner JB, et al. Sustained remission of symptoms and improved health-related quality of life in patients with cryopyrin-associated periodic syndrome treated with canakinumab: Results of a double-blind placebo-controlled randomized withdrawal study. Arthritis Res Ther 2011;13:R202.

- 87 Kuemmerle-Deschner JB, Dembi SS, Tyrrell PN, *et al*. Challenges in diagnosing Muckle-Wells syndrome: identifying two distinct phenotypes. *Arthritis Care Res* 2014;66:765–72.
- 88 Kuemmerle-Deschner JB, Hachulla E, Cartwright R, et al. Two-year results from an open-label, multicentre, phase III study evaluating the safety and efficacy of canakinumab in patients with cryopyrin-associated periodic syndrome across different severity phenotypes. Ann Rheum Dis 2011;70:2095–102.
- 89 Kuemmerle-Deschner JB, Koitschev A, Ummenhofer K, et al. Hearing loss in Muckle-Wells syndrome. Arthritis Rheumato/ 2013;65:824–31.
- 90 Kuemmerle-Deschner JB, Lohse P, Koetter I, *et al.* NLRP3 E311K mutation in a large family with Muckle-Wells syndrome--description of a heterogeneous phenotype and response to treatment. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2011;13:R196.
- 91 Kuemmerle-Deschner JB, Tyrrell PN, Koetter I, et al. Efficacy and safety of anakinra therapy in pediatric and adult patients with the autoinflammatory Muckle-Wells syndrome. Arthritis Rheumatol 2011;63:840–9.
- 92 Kuemmerle-Deschner JB, Tyrrell PN, Reess F, et al. Risk factors for severe Muckle-Wells syndrome. Arthritis Rheumatol 2010;62:3783–91.
- 93 Lainka E, Neudorf U, Lohse P, et al. Analysis of cryopyrin-associated periodic syndromes (CAPS) in German children: epidemiological, clinical and genetic characteristics. *Klin Padiatr* 2010;222:356–61.
- 94 Lepore L, Paloni G, Caorsi R, *et al.* Follow-up and quality of life of patients with cryopyrin-associated periodic syndromes treated with Anakinra. *J Pediatr* 2010;157:310–15.
- 95 Lequerré T, Vittecoq O, Saugier-Veber P, *et al*. A cryopyrin-associated periodic syndrome with joint destruction. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2007;46:709–14.
- 96 Leslie KS, Lachmann HJ, Bruning E, et al. Phenotype, genotype, and sustained response to anakinra in 22 patients with autoinflammatory disease associated with CIAS-1/NALP3 mutations. Arch Dermatol 2006;142:1591–7.
- 97 Levy R, Gérard L, Kuemmerle-Deschner J, et al. Phenotypic and genotypic characteristics of cryopyrin-associated periodic syndrome: a series of 136 patients from the Eurofever Registry. Ann Rheum Dis 2015;74:2043–9.
- 98 Neven B, Callebaut I, Prieur AM, et al. Molecular basis of the spectral expression of CIAS1 mutations associated with phagocytic cell-mediated autoinflammatory disorders CINCA/NOMID, MWS, and FCU. *Blood* 2004;103:2809–15.
- 99 Neven B, Marvillet I, Terrada C, et al. Long-term efficacy of the interleukin-1 receptor antagonist anakinra in ten patients with neonatal-onset multisystem inflammatory disease/chronic infantile neurologic, cutaneous, articular syndrome. Arthritis Rheumatol 2010;62:258–67.
- 100 Pereira AF, Pereira LB, Vale EC, *et al*. Four cases of Muckle-Wells syndrome within the same family. *An Bras Dermatol* 2010;85:907–11.
- 101 Prieur AM, Griscelli C, Lampert F, et al. A chronic, infantile, neurological, cutaneous and articular (CINCA) syndrome. A specific entity analysed in 30 patients. Scand J Rheumatol Suppl 1987;66:57–68.
- 102 Russo RA, Melo-gomes S, Lachmann HJ, et al. Efficacy and safety of canakinumab therapy in paediatric patients with cryopyrin-associated periodic syndrome: a single-centre, real-world experience. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2014;53:665–70.
- 103 Sibley CH, Chioato A, Felix S, et al. A 24-month open-label study of canakinumab in neonatal-onset multisystem inflammatory disease. Ann Rheum Dis2015;74:1714–19. http://dx.doi.org 10.1136/annrheumdis-2013-204877
- 104 Sibley CH, Plass N, Snow J, et al. Sustained response and prevention of damage progression in patients with neonatal-onset multisystem inflammatory disease treated with anakinra: A cohort study to determine three- and five-year outcomes. Arthritis Rheumatol 2012;64:2375–86.
- 105 Tanaka N, Izawa K, Saito MK, *et al.* High incidence of NLRP3 somatic mosaicism in patients with chronic infantile neurologic, cutaneous, articular syndrome: results of an International Multicenter Collaborative Study. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2011;63:3625–32.
- 106 Tran TA, Kone-Paut I, Marie I, *et al.* Muckle-wells syndrome and male hypofertility: a case series. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2012;42:327–31.
- 107 Hull KM, Drewe E, Aksentijevich I, *et al*. The TNF receptor-associated periodic syndrome (TRAPS): Emerging concepts of an autoinflammatory disorder. *Medicine* 2002;81:349–68.
- 108 Lachmann HJ, Papa R, Gerhold K, et al. The phenotype of TNF receptor-associated autoinflammatory syndrome (TRAPS) at presentation: a series of 158 cases from the Eurofever/EUROTRAPS international registry. Ann Rheum Dis 2014;73:2160–7.
- 109 Pelagatti MA, Meini A, Caorsi R, et al. Long-term clinical Profile of children with the low-penetrance R92Q mutation of the tNFRSF1A gene. Arthritis Rheumatol 2011;63:1141–50.
- 110 Ravet N, Rouaghe S, Dode C, *et al.* Clinical significance of P46L and R92Q substitutions in the tumour necrosis factor superfamily 1A gene. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2006;65:1158–62.
- 111 Stojanov S, Dejaco C, Lohse P, et al. Clinical and functional characterisation of a novel TNFRSF1A c.605T>A/V173D cleavage site mutation associated with tumour necrosis factor receptor-associated periodic fever syndrome (TRAPS), cardiovascular complications and excellent response to etanercept treatment. Ann Rheum Dis 2008;67:1292–8.
- 112 Toro JR, Aksentijevich I, Hull K, *et al.* Tumor necrosis factor receptor-associated periodic syndrome: a novel syndrome with cutaneous manifestations. *Arch Dermatol* 2000;136:1487–94.

- 113 Drenth JP, Haagsma CJ, van der Meer JW. Hyperimmunoglobulinemia D and periodic fever syndrome. The clinical spectrum in a series of 50 patients. International Hyper-IgD Study Group. *Medicine* 1994;73:133–44.
- 114 Frenkel J, Houten SM, Waterham HR, et al. Clinical and molecular variability in childhood periodic fever with hyperimmunoglobulinaemia D. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2001;40:579–84.
- 115 Hoffmann GF, Charpentier C, Mayatepek E, et al. Clinical and biochemical phenotype in 11 patients with mevalonic aciduria. *Pediatrics* 1993;91:915–21.
- 116 Houten SM, Kuis W, Duran M, et al. Mutations in MVK, encoding mevalonate kinase, cause hyperimmunoglobulinaemia D and periodic fever syndrome. Nat genet 1999;22:175–7.
- 117 Poll The BT, Frenkel J, Houten SM, et al. Mevalonic aciduria in 12 unrelated patients with hyperimmunoglobulinaemia D and periodic fever syndrome. J Inherit Metab Dis 2000;23:363–6.
- 118 Simon A, Kremer HP, Wevers RA, *et al*. Mevalonate kinase deficiency: evidence for a phenotypic continuum. *Neurology* 2004;62:994–7.
- 119 Van Der Hilst JCH, Bodar EJ, Barron KS, *et al.* Long-term follow-up, clinical features, and quality of life in a series of 103 patients with hyperimmunoglobulinemia D syndrome. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2008;87:301–10.
- 120 Torrelo A, Patel S, Colmenero I, et al. Chronic atypical neutrophilic dermatosis with lipodystrophy and elevated temperature (CANDLE) syndrome. J Am Acad Dermatol 2010;62:489–95.