

Citation: Yang EH, Rode J, Howlader M.A, Eckermann M, Santos JT, Hernandez Armada D, et al. (2017) Galectin-3 alters the lateral mobility and clustering of β 1-integrin receptors. PLoS ONE 12 (10): e0184378. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0184378

Editor: Ivan R Nabi, University of British Columbia, CANADA

Received: June 13, 2017

Accepted: August 22, 2017

Published: October 10, 2017

Copyright: © 2017 Yang et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: This work was supported by the Alberta Glycomics Centre and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC). MAH acknowledges support from an NSERC CGS-D scholarship. JR and ME were supported by the University of Alberta Research Experience (UARE) program.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Galectin-3 alters the lateral mobility and clustering of β 1-integrin receptors

Esther H. Yang, Julia Rode^{¤a}, Md. Amran Howlader, Marina Eckermann^{¤b}, Jobette T. Santos^{¤c}, Daniel Hernandez Armada, Ruixiang Zheng, Chunxia Zou, Christopher W. Cairo*

Alberta Glycomics Centre, Department of Chemistry, University of Alberta, Edmonton Alberta, Canada

¤a Current address: Örebro University, Örebro, Sweden

¤b Current address: Ludwig-Maximilians-University, Munich, Germany

¤c Current address: University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

* ccairo@ualberta.ca

Abstract

Glycoprotein receptors are influenced by myriad intermolecular interactions at the cell surface. Specific glycan structures may interact with endogenous lectins that enforce or disrupt receptor-receptor interactions. Glycoproteins bound by multivalent lectins may form extended oligomers or lattices, altering the lateral mobility of the receptor and influencing its function through endocytosis or changes in activation. In this study, we have examined the interaction of Galectin-3 (Gal-3), a human lectin, with adhesion receptors. We measured the effect of recombinant Gal-3 added exogenously on the lateral mobility of the α 5 β 1 integrin on HeLa cells. Using single-particle tracking (SPT) we detected increased lateral mobility of the integrin in the presence of Gal-3, while its truncated C-terminal domain (Gal-3C) showed only minor reductions in lateral mobility. Treatment of cells with Gal-3 increased \$1-integrin mediated migration with no apparent changes in viability. In contrast, Gal-3C decreased both cell migration and viability. Fluorescence microscopy allowed us to confirm that exogenous Gal-3 resulted in reorganization of the integrin into larger clusters. We used a proteomics analysis to confirm that cells expressed endogenous Gal-3, and found that addition of competitive oligosaccharide ligands for the lectin altered the lateral mobility of the integrin. Together, our results are consistent with a Gal-3-integrin lattice model of binding and confirm that the lateral mobility of integrins is natively regulated, in part, by galectins.

Introduction

Galectins are a family of animal lectins well known to oligomerize glycoprotein receptors, a feature typically ascribed to their multivalent structure.[1],[2] There are 15 known human galectins, which are classified into three structural families.[3] Galectins are either multivalent or able to oligomerize, and their ligands on the cell surface often contain multiple binding sites. Thus, one of the key functions of galectins is their modulation of cell surface receptor organization. Galectin-ligand interactions are generally thought to form oligomer or lattice structures which may regulate the function of receptors on the cell surface.[4–7] The typical



Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

ligand motif for galectins includes a terminal β -galactoside, a binding epitope that can be masked by sialylation of glycans.[8],[9] Galectins are known to regulate a number of pathways including apoptosis,[10] immune tolerance, inflammation,[11] and cell adhesion.[12]

In the case of Galectin-3 (Gal-3; also referred to as Mac-2 or LGALS3),[13] the protein is not a covalent dimer. Oligomerization of Gal-3 is largely mediated by the N-terminal domain, which may involve binding of phospho–Ser and–Thr sites.[14] However, the truncated C-terminal domain can also oligomerize in the presence of ligand,[15] and on the cell surface.[16] The crosslinking of receptors by Gal-3 can result in attenuation and activation of signaling pathways,[17] as well as processes including proliferation,[18] phagocytosis,[19] endocytosis, [20, 21] and atherosclerosis.[22] Importantly, Gal-3 has been implicated in the regulation of cell adhesion.[23] Gal-3 enhances leukocyte adhesion,[24][,][25] and metastasis in cancer cells. [26–29]

Galectin-3 may mediate cellular pathways by crosslinking of receptors *in cis*, or through bridging of receptors to extracellular targets *in trans*. The affinity of Gal-3 for oligosaccharides has been studied by calorimetry and mass spectrometry, and its highest affinity ligands tend to be *N*-acetyl-lactosamine (LacNAc) or lacto-*N*-neotetraose (LNnT) analogs.[30][[]31] Specific glycoprotein targets of Gal-3 include extracellular matrix proteins, such as laminin and fibronectin (FN).[32] Gal-3 can stabilize focal adhesions,[33] and regulate remodeling of extracellular matrix.[34] Gal-3 itself has been reported to be a substrate of matrix metalloproteases, which may regulate its function.[35] Adhesion receptors bound by Gal-3 include integrins and immune receptors.[21, 36–39] The oligomerization of integrin receptors by Gal-3 has been observed for a variety of receptors on multiple cell types. Gal-3–mediated clustering has been associated with β 1 integrin endocytosis,[40] complex formation of the α 3 β 1 integrin,[41] adhesion of α 2 β 1 integrin to collagen,[42] and regulation of the dynamics of α 5 β 1 integrin complexes.[33] Interaction of Gal-3 with integrins involves terminal galactose residues, and is blocked by the presence of sialic acid residues.[8][[]43]

Our group has been interested in the regulatory function of neuraminidase enzymes (NEU; also called sialidases) in adhesion. The activity of NEU may enhance the function of galectins by revealing cryptic binding sites for receptor crosslinking.[44] While there are a number of studies that have used fluorescence microscopy to determine gross changes to galectin-induced receptor oligomerization, few have quantified these effects on galectin ligands on cells. Belardi et al. reported experiments with artificial Galectin-1 (Gal-1) ligands on cells, where they observed reduced lateral mobility and cluster formation.[45] Galectin-1 has been reported to reduce the lateral mobility of the NiV-F viral fusion protein.[46] The binding of Gal-1 to H-Ras has been detected using FLIM-FRET methods.[47] These examples examined effects of Gal-1, a homodimeric galectin. The effects of a monomeric galectin, such as Gal-3, have not been examined in the same detail.[48] In general, the ability of Gal-3 to oligomerize receptors on the cell has been assessed using fluorescence microscopy. Examples of Gal-3 receptor clustering as determined by microscopy include mucins, [49]'[50] CD71, [39] CD147, [51] the α 3 β 1 integrin, [52] and the $\alpha\nu\beta3$ integrin, [53] Galectin-3 binds targets *in vitro* through positive cooperativity, [54] and studies of Gal-3 binding to cellular receptors with FRET has confirmed lattice formation.[16]

We considered that there was a need for the use of quantitative measurements of Gal-3– mediated receptor crosslinking, which could be used to investigate the effect of Gal-3 on adhesion receptors. Aggregated receptors within the membrane will have a larger cross-section than individual receptors, and could therefore show reduced lateral mobility.[55] Receptor crosslinking may also result in binding of intracellular or extracellular components which can influence diffusivity,[17, 56] and in turn regulate intracellular signaling. In previous studies of NEU on integrin–mediated adhesion we found that α 5 β 1 integrin was positively regulated by human NEU.[44] Herein, we investigate the ability of Gal-3 to interact with the α 5 β 1 integrin. We used measurement of integrin lateral mobility by single particle tracking (SPT) as our primary tool.[57] Our results confirmed that Gal-3 altered the lateral mobility of the α 5 β 1 integrin. We confirm that changes in lateral mobility manifested as changes to integrin clustering using fluorescence microscopy. Furthermore, we used exogenous high-affinity oligosaccharides to disrupt Gal-3–integrin interactions, which also led to increased integrin lateral mobility.

Results

HeLa cells express Gal-1 and Gal-3

We first confirmed that the cell line used for our experiments had native expression of galectins. We selected HeLa cells as they are an adherent line that are known to express Gal-3 and Gal-1.[58] Cells were grown to confluence, harvested, and lysed. The lysate was passed over an LNnT or Lac affinity column prepared using DVS chemistry.[59] Analysis of the eluent by shotgun proteomics methods confirmed the presence of Gal-1 and Gal-3 in HeLa lysate (**Table A in <u>S1 File</u>**). We then sought to explore the role of these natively expressed galectins in regulation of integrin lateral mobility using this cell line.

Lateral mobility of integrin receptors was altered by galectin ligands

To examine the role of endogenous galectins in regulating integrin mobility, we tested whether a high-affinity oligosaccharide for Gal-3 influenced integrin mobility. Using single-particle tracking, we measured the lateral mobility of the α 5 β 1 integrin on HeLa cells. Due to the distribution of diffusion coefficients over multiple decades, we interpreted the data as a log-normal distribution, and compared conditions based on their logarithmic means (Table 1). The affinity of lactose (Lac) and lacto-N-neotetraose (LNnT) for Gal-3 are reported to be 26 and 2 μ M, respectively.[60] The LNnT tetrasaccharide has relatively high affinity for Gal-3C (10.8 μ M K_d),[61] and has low-micromolar affinity to Gal-8 and Gal-9. Integrin mobility was unaffected by Lac treatment, confirming that the osmotic differences between Lac and buffer did not have any detectable changes on lateral mobility (see Table C in S1 File). We found that integrin

Condition	Ν	mean‡	log mean‡	
Control (PBS)	618	1.4 ± 0.2	0.4 ± 0.02	
LNnT	177	2.5 ± 0.4***	0.6 ± 0.05*	
Gal-3C 50 µg mL ⁻¹	136	1.0 ± 0.2	0.3±0.03	
Gal-3 25 µg mL ⁻¹	485	1.0 ± 0.1	0.3±0.01*	
Gal-3 50 µg mL ⁻¹	183	1.8±0.2	0.7 ± 0.05****	
Nanl	523	1.0 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.02	

Table 1. Lateral mobility of integrins.

‡, Units are [x 10^{-10} cm² sec⁻¹] or [x 10^{-2} µm²sec⁻¹].

Cells were treated for 0.5 hrs under each condition. p values were calculated by comparison of two normal populations of raw or log-transformed data as indicated. Samples were compared to the indicated control for significance using a student's t-test.

*, $p \le 0.05$

***, p ≤ 0.005

****, $p \le 0.0001$.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0184378.t001

lateral mobility was positively affected by the addition of LNnT, with a significant increase in mobility $(1.3 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ sec}^{-1})$. This observation may be consistent with the disruption of galectin-integrin interactions on the cell surface; however, the role of alternative targets for LNnT cannot be ruled out.

The recognition epitope of many galectins can be masked by sialylation. We considered that removal of Sia by neuraminidase treatment could reveal cryptic galectin binding sites, which might then alter integrin-galectin interactions. [8, 62] Cells were treated with a bacterial neuraminidase (NanI) that we previously found can de-sialylate the β 1 integrin on HeLa. [44] Measurement of integrin lateral mobility showed no significant change to the median mobility after NanI treatment. However, there is a notable loss of trajectories at higher mobility (>2 x10⁻¹⁰ cm²sec⁻¹) observed in the profile of diffusion coefficients (see Figure B in S1 File). We observed that the β 1 chain of the integrin showed a decrease in molecular weight after NanI treatment, supporting a role for changes in the integrin glycan in regulating its mobility (see Figure C in S1 File).

Lateral mobility of integrin receptors was increased by exogenous galectin-3

Although our results above suggested the involvement of galectins in regulating integrin mobility, other lectin-integrin interactions could be responsible for our observations. To provide more direct evidence of integrin-galectin interactions, we generated recombinant Gal-3 and Gal-3 C-terminal domain (containing only the C-terminal CRD; Gal-3C).[15, 63] The purified proteins were added to HeLa cell culture, and the lateral mobility of the integrin was measured (Table 1, Fig 1). The addition of Gal-3C at 50 μ g mL⁻¹ gave a small, but insignificant, reduction in mean lateral mobility of the integrin. The reduction in lateral mobility may be the result of competition for integrin binding sites which do not lead to clustering. There is a notable decrease in the number of trajectories found at higher mobility (>2 $\times 10^{-10}$ cm²sec⁻¹) in the Gal-3C profile as compared to the control condition (see Figure B in S1 File). Treatment of cells with Gal-3 showed an opposite effect to that of Gal-3C; with a significant increase in the mean lateral mobility of the integrin when used at 50 µg mL⁻¹. The effect of Gal-3 was concentration dependent, as lower concentrations of the Gal-3 protein showed no significant effects $(25 \,\mu g \,m L^{-1})$. The active concentration of Gal-3 is known to vary in different cell types and likely depends on the number of glycan binding sites present and native expression of galectin. [33] These data suggest that a competent Gal-3 protein has opposite effects on lateral mobility relative to the CRD of the lectin alone. In general, trajectories observed for integrins were confined, with very few giving the appearance of free diffusion (c.a. < 10%) as determined by a moment scaling spectrum analysis (MSS).[64]

Exogenous galectin-3 increased the cluster size of integrins

Although we could observe clear changes in integrin lateral mobility, we also wanted to confirm that these changes altered distribution of the receptor on the cell surface. The distribution of integrin receptors was assessed by acquiring TIRF images of antibody-labelled integrin under each treatment. Images were analyzed for clusters by identifying the pixel area found in clusters on individual cells by thresholding. Treatment of cells with Gal-3C alone did not show a significant increase in integrin cluster size, while treatment with both cytochalasin D (cytoD; a cytoskeletal disruptor) and Gal-3C together increased clustering (**Fig 2**).[65] Cells treated with potential ligands of native galectins, Lac, or LNnT oligosaccharides, did not show any detectable changes in cluster size. Treatment with Gal-3 showed an increase in integrin clustering. Treatment of cells with cytoD alone also resulted in an increase to clustering of the integrin. The effect of Gal-3 and cytoD were not additive, as the combined treatment (Gal-3





PLOS ONE

+ cytoD) gave a similar increase in clustering to each condition alone. We note that as cytoD treatment alone had a similar increase in clustering, the combined treatment does support a substantial effect of Gal-3C on clustering.

Exogenous galectin-3 increased integrin-mediated cell migration

To test the functional effect of changes to integrin lateral mobility and cluster size, we examined cell migration in the presence of exogenous galectins (Gal-3 and Gal-3C) and galectin ligands (LNnT). Conditions for migration experiments used the same concentration as those for lateral mobility experiments above. The substrate for cell adhesion was coated with a known β 1 integrin ligand, human fibronectin.[66]'[67] Cell migration was quantified using a commercial assay to determine net changes in cell coverage over a fixed incubation time (see <u>Materials & Methods</u>). We used cytoD, which depolymerizes actin,[65] as a negative control, which was confirmed to decrease cell migration rates as expected. We found that addition of exogenous Gal-3 caused a moderate increase in cell migration. Interestingly, Gal-3C treatment caused a significant reduction in cell migration. Addition of LNnT also showed a significant decrease in cell migration (Table 2, Fig 3). These experiments support that changes observed





in lateral mobility and clustering of integrin in the presence of Gal-3 versus Gal-3C could manifest functional changes to integrin activity.

Exogenous galectin-3 effects on cell viability

To further understand the differential regulation of Gal-3 and Gal-3C, we investigated the viability of cells after addition of exogenous Gal-3, Gal-3C, and LNnT using identical conditions to the migration assays. We observed a significant decrease in viability of cells treated with LNnT and Gal-3C (**Table 3, Fig 4**). Importantly, addition of Gal-3 did not change the viability of cells over the course of incubation. The toxicity of LNnT is most likely due to osmotic stress due to the high concentration of the oligosaccharide (100 mM). Control experiments with similar concentrations of sucrose and lactose also showed toxicity in this assay (**Table D in S1**

	Table 2.	Normalized	β1 integr	in-mediated	cell migration.
--	----------	------------	-----------	-------------	-----------------

Condition	Ν	migration†
Buffer control	13	100 ± 1
Cyto D	11	27 ± 1****
Gal-3	12	113±5*
Gal-3C	8	74 ± 5****
LNnT	8	72 ± 3****

†Samples were normalized and compared to the indicated control for significance using a Dunnett's t-test. Values shown are the mean ± standard error of mean (SEM).

*, p \leq 0.05

****, $p \leq 0.0001.$

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0184378.t002





File). Both Gal-3C and LNnT decreased cell viability, albeit to different degrees; and this finding suggested that the decreased migration of cells in these two conditions is, in part, due to reduced cell viability.

Discussion

Using measurements of β 1-integrin lateral mobility, we have found that galectins alter the diffusivity of integrin receptors in the membrane. In HeLa cells, the α 5 β 1 integrin showed a decrease in lateral mobility when exogenous Gal-3C was added. While the truncated Gal-3C reduced mobility, the full-length Gal-3 protein (with both the CRD and N-terminal domain) enhanced integrin mobility. Treatment of cells with high affinity oligosaccharides for Gal-3 increased integrin lateral mobility, although this effect may be complicated by toxicity or the presence of other ligands. Addition of a bacterial neuraminidase enzyme, NanI, resulted in decreased high-mobility integrins, but no change in the mean diffusion coefficient. Quantification of integrin cluster size on cells treated with Gal-3 found increased clustering, while Gal-3C alone and LNnT had no apparent effects. Thus, one conclusion from this work is that Gal-3 was able to increase integrin clustering through increased diffusivity of the receptor. We explored the functional consequences of these changes to adhesion using a FN- β 1-integrin cell

	Table 3.	Viability	of cells	under	cell mig	ration	conditions.
--	----------	-----------	----------	-------	----------	--------	-------------

Condition	Ν	viability†	
Buffer control	24	100±3	
LNnT	24	84 ± 1****	
Gal-3C	12	92 ± 1**	
Gal-3	24	98±2	

†Samples were normalized and compared to the indicated control for significance using a Dunnett's t-test. Values shown are the mean ± standard error of mean (SEM).

**, p \leq 0.01

****, $p \leq 0.0001.$

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0184378.t003



Fig 4. Viability of cells treated with LNnT, Gal-3C, and Gal-3. Cells were treated for 21 h with buffer control, Gal-3 (50 μ g mL⁻¹), Gal-3C (50 μ g mL⁻¹), and LNnT (100 mM). Viability of each condition were measured using MTS assay.[88] Viability for each condition was normalized and compared to buffer control; *, $p \le 0.05$; **, $p \le 0.01$; ***, $p \le 0.005$; ****, $p \le 0.0001$.

migration assay. We observed that Gal-3 treatment increased β 1-integrin mediated cell migration, while Gal-3C and LNnT inhibited migration. Inhibition of cell migration by Gal-3C may be the result of blocking native Gal-3 clustering of the adhesion receptor (Fig 5). Treatment



Fig 5. Model of Gal-3 interactions with integrin. (a.) Glycosylated receptors, such as the integrins, will have reduced binding sites for Gal-3 if they are heavily sialylated. (b.) Removal of sialic acids by neuraminidase enzymes (or decreased SiaT activity) will increase the number of Gal-3 binding sites present, and should increase oligomerization (only a dimer is shown for clarity). Oligomers likely interact with cytoskeletal regulators, including talin,[89] which lead to increased mobility through active processes. (c.) Addition of exogenous Gal-3C or a competitive binder (e.g. LNnT) will disrupt the formation of oligomers. This will occur either by (d.) competition for dimerization sites or (e.) blocking dimer binding sites.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0184378.g005

with LNnT, a high affinity ligand for Gal-3, may also reduce integrin mobility through blocking of Gal-3–integrin interactions. Alternative mechanisms involving glycolipids may also be possible.[68] Together, these results provide quantitation of the effect of Gal-3 on integrin lateral mobility and organization in the membrane; and reveal that Gal-3 likely triggers an active process which results in increasing lateral mobility of integrins.

Earlier work from our group has found that the lateral mobility of the α 5 β 1 integrin was reduced by the activity of a native neuraminidase, NEU3.[44] This finding was ascribed to changes in glycolipid composition which resulted in altered cytoskeletal interactions and endocytosis of the integrin.[68] To see if changes to integrin glycosylation were occurring here, we confirmed that NanI and NEU3 treatment altered glycosylation of the β 1 chain of the integrin receptor (Figure C in S1 File).[44] NanI treatment resulted in a change to the diffusion profile of the integrin receptor, but did not cause a significant decrease in average mobility. We speculate that NanI treatment resulted in the exposure of new cryptic Gal-3 binding sites on receptors besides the integrin, which could result in sequestration of the native Gal-3 away from integrin clusters. Our integrin trajectories suggest substantial confinement, consistent with cytoskeletal interactions. Previous models of Gal-3–integrin interactions have proposed that clustering of the integrin activates downstream cytoskeletal components (e.g. FAK, Rac-1),[52] and may involve interactions with glycosphingolipids.[21] Thus, it is likely that the increased mobility of integrin clusters was due to changes in cytoskeletal processes linked to endocytosis.[40, 69]

Galectin-3 has previously been found to interact directly with the β 1 integrin (CD29).[39, 70] CD7 is also known to be a co-receptor and ligand for Gal-3,[40, 70] but does not appear to be a ligand for Gal-3 on T cells.[39] Co-localization of the β 1 integrin with Gal-3 has been observed by fluorescence microscopy,[52] but the effect of Gal-3 on the lateral mobility of β 1 integrin has not been investigated. Our results indicate that exogenous forms of Gal-3 (both the holoprotein and the CRD) were capable of altering integrin mobility. The full-length Gal-3 and truncated Gal-3C had opposite effects on lateral mobility and integrin-mediated cell migration. Gal-3 treatment resulted in increased cell migration, whereas Gal-3C was inhibitory (Fig 4). These findings are consistent with previous work that found Gal-3 can promote lamel-lipodia formation[52] and cell migration.[71] Furthermore, Gal-3C is known to inhibit cell migration and cell growth, and shows mild toxicity above 25 µg mL⁻¹.[72]

Native expression of galectins likely contributes to integrin clustering and mobility. Our proteomics data confirmed endogenous expression of Gal-1 and Gal-3, suggesting that untreated cells have a native Gal-3–integrin lattice which may be disrupted through the addition of ligands such as LNnT. The prevalence of the galectin lattice will be regulated by the expression of the lectin and the number of binding sites on cellular receptors. The native Gal-3 binding sites should be regulated by the activity of MGAT5,[52, 73] sialyltransferase, and neuraminidase enzymes.[8] Treatment of cells with exogenous NanI should have increased the number of Gal-3 binding sites. Furthermore, native neuraminidase enzymes which directly modify the integrin, or other adhesion receptors, may influence adhesion through this mechanism.[74, 75]

We used two methods to quantitate changes to the Gal-3–integrin lattice on cells: SPT and fluorescence microscopy. Single-particle tracking provides a profile of different diffusive behaviors occurring on the cell surface. [56, 57, 76] For integrin receptors, this can often manifest as a heterogeneous population which may have distinct sub-populations present. [77, 78] In this study, we found that integrin diffusion could be analyzed as a single log-normal distribution of diffusion coefficients. This finding is consistent with our previous work on β 1 integrins, and may be due to the shorter timescale of the measurement used here. [44] Lateral mobility measurements show significant changes in the presence of Gal-3, likely due to lattice

formation and cytoskeletal regulators. Our analysis of Gal-3C treatment by fluorescence microscopy found no substantial changes to integrin clustering unless cytoD was co-administered. Due to the optical resolution of microscopy, these changes in clustering are biased for large oligomers.

The data presented here provide quantitative support for the formation of a Gal-3–integrin lattice on the surface of live cells. Our data suggest that disruption of a native galectin–integrin lattice may occur in the presence of competitive oligosaccharide ligands. The LNnT oligosaccharide is found in human milk,[79] and these data may lend support to the role of galectins as HMO receptors.[80] Most importantly, we found that exogenous Gal-3, was able to increase α 5 β 1 integrin clustering and lateral mobility. The effects of Gal-3 on integrin organization also induce changes in integrin activity, as detected by cell migration. Exogenous Gal-3 increased cell migration, while the truncated Gal-3C inhibited cell migration. Our findings suggest that aggregation and disruption of the galectin–integrin lattice by high affinity ligands or competitive inhibitors could be used to disrupt cell migration. Future work should address the effects of Gal-3 on additional co-receptors to determine if the lattice manifests target-specific effects on lateral mobility, and could explore the activity of higher affinity ligands for Gal-3.[81]

Materials & methods

Reagents and cell lines

Phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA; Sigma-Aldrich, Oakville, Ontario, Canada) and *Clostridium perfringens* neuraminidase (pfNeu, the NanI isoform;[44] Sigma-Aldrich, Oakville, Ontario, Canada) were dissolved in PBS as stock solutions. Lactose (Gal- β 1,4Glc; Sigma-Aldrigh, Oakville, Ontario, Canada) and lacto-N-neotetraose (LNnT; Gal- β 1,4GlcNAc- β 1,3Gal- β 1,4-Glc; Elicityl, Grenoble, France) were both used at a final concentration of 100 mM. Cytochalasin D (cytoD; Sigma-Aldrich, Oakville, Ontario, Canada) was dissolved in a dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) stock solution and used after dilution to a final concentration of 500 ng mL⁻¹ with 0.05% DMSO in cell media or buffer.

HeLa cells were a kind gift of Prof. R.E Campbell (University of Alberta). HeLa cells were cultured and maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagles medium (DMEM; Gibco, Invitrogen, USA) containing penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco, Invitrogen, USA) and 10% fetal bovine serum (Hyclone, Thermo, USA). Cells were used between 3 and 7 passages, and grown at 37°C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO₂.

Recombinant human Galectin 3 C-terminal domain (Gal-3C), and Galectin 3 wild type (Gal-3) were produced as previously described with minor modifications (see <u>S1 File</u>).[63, 82]

Proteomics analysis of Gal expression in HeLa

Carbohydrate modified sepharose gel was prepared as previously reported.[83] One mL of settled Sepharose CL-6B (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Piscataway, N.J.) was thoroughly washed with water in a sintered funnel and then re-suspended in 0.5 M carbonate buffer (pH 11) with 100 μ L of divinyl sulfone (Sigma Aldrich, Milwaukee, WI). The mixture was agitated for 70 min, after which the resin was transferred to a sintered funnel and extensively washed with water. The moist cake was suspended in a 1 mL solution of the indicated carbohydrate (1.11 mmol mL⁻¹ in 0.5 M carbonate buffer, pH 10) and left agitating for 18 h. The resin was washed again with distilled water over a sintered funnel, and the moist cake re-suspended in carbonate buffer (1 mL, 0.5 M, pH 8.5) with 2-mercaptoethanol (6 μ L). After 2 hrs the sample was washed with distilled water and stored in 20% ethanol solution.

Affinity chromatography was performed by equilibrating the resin with running buffer (20 mL; 0.5 M NaCl, 1 mM CaCl₂, 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8), followed by injection of the protein

solution (5 mL). The column was washed with additional running buffer (10 mL), and then eluted with elution buffer (20 mL; 0.2 M Lac, 3M NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8) followed by glycine buffer (20 mL; 0.1 M glycine, pH 2.3). The flow-through from the elution buffer was collected and concentrated by ultrafiltration.

In-solution digest of the eluent was performed according to reported protocols.[84] Briefly, the protein solution was precipitated with a chloroform and methanol mixture. The protein pellet was dried over a stream of nitrogen and then dissolved in 8 M urea, followed by addition of iodoacetamide (20 mM final concentration) and DTT (10 mM final concentration). The resulting protein mixture was digested with trypsin and then quenched with formic acid (10% v/v) and used for analysis by ESI-MS. Data was analyzed with MASCOT.[85]

Cell treatment and single particle tracking

Cell samples were prepared by washing 1 x 10^5 cells into fresh media after centrifugation at 1200 rpm for 2 min, which were then allowed to settle onto a poly-L-lysine-treated ($10 \ \mu g \ mL^{-1}$) confocal dish overnight at 37°C. Cells were treated by incubation at 37°C for 0.5 or 2 hours as indicated. For oligosaccharide incubations, cells were re-suspended in 1 mL of PBS, or oligosaccharides ($100 \ mM$; Lac, 34.23 mg mL⁻¹; LNnT, 70.76 mg mL⁻¹) in PBS. For Gal-3 and Gal-3C incubations, cells were resuspended in 1 mL of PBS, or Gal-3 ($25 \ \mu g \ mL^{-1}$) in PBS, or Gal-3C ($50 \ \mu g \ mL^{-1}$) in PBS. After incubation, all treated cells were washed 3 times with fresh PBS before labelling and analysis.

Cells were labeled with Cy5-anti-CD49e (clone: SAM-1; 100 ng mL⁻¹) to stain for the α 4 integrin complex for 30 minutes at room temperature in the dark. Attempts to label the cells with the Cy5-F(ab) were unsuccessful, so the intact IgG was used for all experiments. The Cy5-antibody conjugate was generated using an NHS ester of Cy5 (GE Healthcare, Bucking-hamshire, UK) following the manufacturer's protocol. Cells were washed 3 times with PBS buffer after labelling, and then observed on a Nikon ECLIPSE Ti microscope system by total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) at 37°C, with a 60x oil objective at 633 nm. Video data were processed using and NIS-Elements v3.5 (Nikon, USA) for 10 sec and analyzed with u-track[76] with custom scripts written in MATlab (2012b).[78, 86] Trajectories shorter than 20 steps were excluded. The intensity of the trajectories was used to exclude the top and bottom 5% of trajectories from the analysis.

Immunofluorescence imaging

Immunofluorescence imaging for cluster analysis was performed using an identical protocol as SPT with 100 ng mL⁻¹ of the antibody conjugate. Random fields were selected to obtain images of approximately ten cells for analysis. Cells were selected based on DIC and fluorescence staining. Images of individual cells were processed in ImageJ by applying a threshold and processing using the analyze particle function to measure clusters larger than 4 pixel² (0.7 μ m²). Data were analyzed as the average cluster size (μ m² cluster⁻¹) on a per cell basis (n = 5–15 cells).

Cell migration studies

Migration studies were done using an Oris 96-well plate assay kit (Platypus Technologies, USA) using the manufacturers protocol. Briefly, the migration surfaces were coated with fibronectin (10 μ g mL⁻¹ in PBS, Calbiochem, USA) for 2 h and 100 μ L of IgG-free BSA (200 μ g mL⁻¹ in PBS, Sigma Aldrich, USA) for 1 h. After that, stoppers were placed in each well, and plates were incubated with 50 x 10³ cells mL⁻¹ for 18 h. Stoppers were then removed and images of each well were taken under bright field using a 4x objective with a Nikon T1 Eclipse inverted microscope

as time zero. The experimental plate was incubated for 21 h to allow cells to migrate. Cells were treated with buffer alone or with Gal-3 (50 μ g mL⁻¹), Gal-3C (50 μ g mL⁻¹), and LNnT (100 mM) in DMEM supplemented with 10% heat inactivated FBS. Images of plate wells were imaged again and compared with the images at time zero. The difference in area was measured using Image J software. For each condition the experiment was conducted in at least triplicate measurements performed on separate days to account for intra-day and inter-day variabilities. Migration area for each replicate was normalized to that of an intra-day buffer control. Normalized replicates were then pooled together for statistical analysis.

Normalization of data was performed by using the following equation: $r = \left(\frac{A_0 - A_{21}}{M_B}\right) \times 100$. Where, r is the normalized migration, A_0 is the cell-free area at time zero (μ m²), A_{21} is the cell-free area at 21 h incubation (μ m²), and M_B is the mean cell-free area in the control after 21 h (μ m²).

Viability studies

Toxicity of compounds was assayed using identical conditions as those in migration studies. Viability of cells was determined using a CellTiter 96 AQ_{ueous} Cell Proliferation Assay kit (MTS) from (Promega, USA) using the manufacturers protocol. Briefly, wells of a 96-well plate were seeded with 100 μ L of 50 x 10⁴ cells mL⁻¹, and incubated for 18 h in a 5% CO₂ incubator at 37°C. Cells were then treated with Gal-3 (50 μ g mL⁻¹), Gal-3C (50 μ g mL⁻¹), and LNnT (100 mM) in DMEM supplemented with 10% heat inactivated FBS for 21 h. After incubation for 21 h, 20 μ L of an MTS solution was added to each well and incubated for 2 h. The absorbance of soluble formazan produced by viable cells from MTS was measured at 490 nm using a SpectraMax M2 (Molecular Devices) plate reader.

For each condition the experiment was conducted in at least triplicate measurements on multiple days to account for intra-day and inter-day variabilities. Absorbance for each replicate was normalized to that of the intra-day buffer control. All replicates of a condition were then pooled together for statistical analysis. The data were normalized by dividing the absorbance of the sample by the mean absorbance of the buffer control.

Supporting information

S1 File. The file includes proteomics data (Table A), analysis of fluorescence microscopy data (Table B), lateral mobility controls (Table C), and cytotoxicity controls (Table D), fluorescence microscopy (Figure A), lateral mobility data with NanI treatment (Figure B), and western blots of α 5 β 1 integrin after NEU treatment (Figure C). (PDF)

S2 File. Diffusion data. A table of fit microdiffusion values for the conditions shown in Fig 1. (XLSX)

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Caishun Li (UofA) for helpful discussions. This work was supported by the Alberta Glycomics Centre and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC). MAH acknowledges support from an NSERC CGS-D scholarship. JR and ME were supported by the University of Alberta Research Experience (UARE) program.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Christopher W. Cairo.

Formal analysis: Esther H. Yang, Julia Rode, Md. Amran Howlader, Marina Eckermann, Jobette T. Santos, Daniel Hernandez Armada, Christopher W. Cairo.

Funding acquisition: Christopher W. Cairo.

- **Investigation:** Esther H. Yang, Julia Rode, Md. Amran Howlader, Marina Eckermann, Jobette T. Santos, Daniel Hernandez Armada, Ruixiang Zheng, Chunxia Zou, Christopher W. Cairo.
- Methodology: Md. Amran Howlader, Marina Eckermann, Jobette T. Santos, Daniel Hernandez Armada, Ruixiang Zheng, Chunxia Zou.

Project administration: Christopher W. Cairo.

Resources: Ruixiang Zheng, Chunxia Zou.

Software: Marina Eckermann.

Supervision: Christopher W. Cairo.

Validation: Marina Eckermann.

Writing – original draft: Md. Amran Howlader, Christopher W. Cairo.

Writing – review & editing: Esther H. Yang, Julia Rode, Md. Amran Howlader, Marina Eckermann, Jobette T. Santos, Daniel Hernandez Armada, Ruixiang Zheng, Chunxia Zou, Christopher W. Cairo.

References

- Rabinovich GA, Toscano MA, Jackson SS, Vasta GR. Functions of cell surface galectin-glycoprotein lattices. Curr Opin Struct Biol. 2007; 17(5):513–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2007.09.002 PMID: 17950594
- Brewer CF, Miceli MC, Baum LG. Clusters, bundles, arrays and lattices: novel mechanisms for lectin– saccharide-mediated cellular interactions. Curr Opin Struct Biol. 2002; 12(5):616–23. PMID: 12464313
- Yang R-Y, Rabinovich GA, Liu F-T. Galectins: structure, function and therapeutic potential. Expert Rev Mol Med. 2008; 10:e17. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1462399408000719 PMID: 18549522
- Demetriou M, Granovsky M, Quaggin S, Dennis JW. Negative regulation of T-cell activation and autoimmunity by Mgat5 N-glycosylation. Nature. 2001; 409(6821):733–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/35055582</u> PMID: 11217864
- Garner OB, Baum LG. Galectin–glycan lattices regulate cell-surface glycoprotein organization and signalling. Biochem Soc Trans. 2008; 36(Pt 6):1472–7. https://doi.org/10.1042/BST0361472 PMID: 19021578
- Grigorian A, Torossian S, Demetriou M. T-cell growth, cell surface organization, and the galectin–glycoprotein lattice. Immunol Rev. 2009; 230(1):232–46. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-065X.2009.00796.x PMID: 19594640
- Nabi IR, Shankar J, Dennis JW. The galectin lattice at a glance. J Cell Sci. 2015; 128(13):2213–9. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.151159 PMID: 26092931
- Zhuo Y, Chammas R, Bellis SL. Sialylation of β1 integrins blocks cell adhesion to Galectin-3 and protects cells against Galectin-3-induced apoptosis. J Biol Chem. 2008; 283(32):22177–85. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M8000015200 PMID: 18676377
- 9. Suzuki O, Nozawa Y, Abe M. The regulatory roles of cell surface sialylation and N-glycans in human B cell lymphoma cell adhesion to galectin-1. Int J Oncol. 2006; 28(1):155–60. PMID: 16327992.
- Hernandez JD, Baum LG. Ah, sweet mystery of death! Galectins and control of cell fate. Glycobiology. 2002; 12(10):127R–36R. PMID: 12244068

- 11. Rabinovich GA, Toscano MA. Turning 'sweet' on immunity: galectin-glycan interactions in immune tolerance and inflammation. Nat Rev Immunol. 2009; 9(5):338–52. http://www.nature.com/nri/journal/v9/n5/ suppinfo/nri2536_S1.html. https://doi.org/10.1038/nri2536 PMID: 19365409
- Perillo N, Marcus ME, Baum LG. Galectins: versatile modulators of cell adhesion, cell proliferation, and cell death. J Mol Med. 1998; 76(6):402–12. PMID: <u>9625297</u>
- Ho M- K, Springer T. Mac-2, a novel 32,000 Mr mouse macrophage subpopulation-specific antigen defined by monoclonal antibodies. The Journal of Immunology. 1982; 128(3):1221–8. PMID: 6173426
- Berbís MÁ, André S, Cañada FJ, Pipkorn R, Ippel H, Mayo KH, et al. Peptides derived from human galectin-3 N-terminal tail interact with its carbohydrate recognition domain in a phosphorylation-dependent manner. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2014; 443(1):126–31. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc. 2013.11.063. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2013.11.063 PMID: 24269589
- Yang R-Y, Hill PN, Hsu DK, Liu F-T. Role of the Carboxyl-Terminal Lectin Domain in Self-Association of Galectin-3. Biochemistry. 1998; 37(12):4086–92. https://doi.org/10.1021/bi971409c PMID: 9521730
- Nieminen J, Kuno A, Hirabayashi J, Sato S. Visualization of Galectin-3 Oligomerization on the Surface of Neutrophils and Endothelial Cells Using Fluorescence Resonance Energy Transfer. J Biol Chem. 2007; 282(2):1374–83. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M604506200 PMID: 17082191
- Bray D, Levin MD, Morton-Firth CJ. Receptor clustering as a cellular mechanism to control sensitivity. Nature. 1998; 393(6680):85–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/30018 PMID: 9590695
- Inohara H, Akahani S, Raz A. Galectin-3 stimulates cell proliferation. Exp Cell Res. 1998; 245(2):294– 302. https://doi.org/10.1006/excr.1998.4253 PMID: 9851870
- Rotshenker S. The role of Galectin-3/MAC-2 in the activation of the innate-immune function of phagocytosis in microglia in injury and disease. J Mol Neurosci. 2009; 39(1–2):99–103. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s12031-009-9186-7 PMID: 19253007
- Doherty GJ, McMahon HT. Mechanisms of Endocytosis. Annu Rev Biochem. 2009; 78(1):857–902. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.biochem.78.081307.110540 PMID: 19317650.
- Lakshminarayan R, Wunder C, Becken U, Howes MT, Benzing C, Arumugam S, et al. Galectin-3 drives glycosphingolipid-dependent biogenesis of clathrin-independent carriers. Nat Cell Biol. 2014; 16 (6):592–603. 10.1038/ncb2970 http://www.nature.com/ncb/journal/v16/n6/abs/ncb2970. html#supplementary-information.
- MacKinnon AC, Liu X, Hadoke PW, Miller MR, Newby DE, Sethi T. Inhibition of galectin-3 reduces atherosclerosis in apolipoprotein E-deficient mice. Glycobiology. 2013:cwt006.
- 23. Hughes RC. Galectins as modulators of cell adhesion. Biochimie. 2001; 83(7):667–76. PMID: 11522396
- Rao SP, Wang Z, Zuberi RI, Sikora L, Bahaie NS, Zuraw BL, et al. Galectin-3 functions as an adhesion molecule to support eosinophil rolling and adhesion under conditions of flow. The Journal of Immunology. 2007; 179(11):7800–7. PMID: 18025226
- Kuwabara I, Liu F-T. Galectin-3 promotes adhesion of human neutrophils to laminin. The Journal of Immunology. 1996; 156(10):3939–44. PMID: 8621934
- Zhao Q, Guo X, Nash GB, Stone PC, Hilkens J, Rhodes JM, et al. Circulating galectin-3 promotes metastasis by modifying MUC1 localization on cancer cell surface. Cancer Res. 2009; 69(17):6799– 806. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-1096 PMID: 19690136
- 27. Takenaka Y, Fukumori T, Raz A. Galectin-3 and metastasis. Glycoconjugate J. 2002; 19(7–9):543–9.
- Bresalier RS, Mazurek N, Sternberg LR, Byrd JC, Yunker CK, Nangia-Makker P, et al. Metastasis of human colon cancer is altered by modifying expression of the β-galactoside-binding protein galectin 3. Gastroenterology. 1998; 115(2):287–96. PMID: 9679034
- Nangia-Makker P, Balan V, Raz A. Regulation of tumor progression by extracellular galectin-3. Cancer Microenvironment. 2008; 1(1):43–51. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12307-008-0003-6 PMID: 19308684
- 30. Han L, Shams-Ud-Doha K, Kitova EN, Klassen JS. Screening Oligosaccharide Libraries against Lectins Using the Proxy Protein ESI-MS assay. Anal Chem. 2016.
- Bachhawat-Sikder K, Thomas CJ, Surolia A. Thermodynamic analysis of the binding of galactose and poly-N-acetyllactosamine derivatives to human galectin-3. FEBS Lett. 2001; 500(1–2):75–9. PMID: 11434930
- Woo HJ, Shaw LM, Messier JM, Mercurio AM. The major non-integrin laminin binding protein of macrophages is identical to carbohydrate binding protein 35 (Mac-2). J Biol Chem. 1990; 265(13):7097–9. PMID: 2332426
- Goetz JG, Joshi B, Lajoie P, Strugnell SS, Scudamore T, Kojic LD, et al. Concerted regulation of focal adhesion dynamics by galectin-3 and tyrosine-phosphorylated caveolin-1. The Journal of Cell Biology. 2008; 180(6):1261–75. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200709019 PMID: 18347068

- Lagana A, Goetz JG, Cheung P, Raz A, Dennis JW, Nabi IR. Galectin Binding to Mgat5-Modified N-Glycans Regulates Fibronectin Matrix Remodeling in Tumor Cells. Mol Cell Biol. 2006; 26(8):3181–93. https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.26.8.3181-3193.2006 PMID: 16581792
- Ochieng J, Fridman R, Nangia-Makker P, Kleiner DE, Liotta LA, Stetler-Stevenson WG, et al. Galectin-3 is a novel substrate for human matrix metalloproteinases-2 and-9. Biochemistry. 1994; 33(47):14109– 14. PMID: 7947821
- Rabinovich GA, Baum LG, Tinari N, Paganelli R, Natoli C, Liu F-T, et al. Galectins and their ligands: amplifiers, silencers or tuners of the inflammatory response? Trends Immunol. 2002; 23(6):313–20. PMID: 12072371
- Dong S, Hughes RC. Macrophage surface glycoproteins binding to galectin-3 (Mac-2-antigen). Glycoconjugate J. 1997; 14(2):267–74.
- Shirure VS, Reynolds NM, Burdick MM. Mac-2 binding protein is a novel E-selectin ligand expressed by breast cancer cells. PLoS One. 2012; 7(9):e44529. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0044529</u> PMID: 22970241
- Stillman BN, Hsu DK, Pang M, Brewer CF, Johnson P, Liu F-T, et al. Galectin-3 and galectin-1 bind distinct cell surface glycoprotein receptors to induce T cell death. The Journal of Immunology. 2006; 176 (2):778–89. PMID: 16393961
- 40. Furtak V, Hatcher F, Ochieng J. Galectin-3 mediates the endocytosis of β-1 integrins by breast carcinoma cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2001; 289(4):845–50. https://doi.org/10.1006/bbrc.2001. 6064 PMID: 11735123
- Fukushi J-i, Makagiansar IT, Stallcup WB. NG2 Proteoglycan Promotes Endothelial Cell Motility and Angiogenesis via Engagement of Galectin-3 and α3β1 Integrin. Mol Biol Cell. 2004; 15(8):3580–90. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E04-03-0236 PMID: 15181153
- 42. Friedrichs J, Manninen A, Muller DJ, Helenius J. Galectin-3 regulates integrin α2β1-mediated adhesion to collagen-I and-IV. J Biol Chem. 2008; 283(47):32264–72. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M803634200 PMID: 18806266
- 43. de Oliveira J- T, De Matos A-J, Santos AL, Pinto R, Gomes J, Hespanhol V, et al. Sialylation regulates galectin-3/ligand interplay during mammary tumour progression-a case of targeted uncloaking. Int J Dev Biol. 2011; 55(7-8-9):823–34. https://doi.org/10.1387/ijdb.113359jt PMID: 22161838
- 44. Jia F, Howlader MA, Cairo CW. Integrin-mediated cell migration is blocked by inhibitors of human neuraminidase. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)—Molecular and Cell Biology of Lipids. 2016; 1861(9, Part A):1170–9. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbalip.2016.06.013.
- Belardi B, O'Donoghue GP, Smith AW, Groves JT, Bertozzi CR. Investigating Cell Surface Galectin-Mediated Cross-Linking on Glycoengineered Cells. J Am Chem Soc. 2012; 134(23):9549–52. https:// doi.org/10.1021/ja301694s PMID: 22540968
- 46. Garner OB, Aguilar HC, Fulcher JA, Levroney EL, Harrison R, Wright L, et al. Endothelial galectin-1 binds to specific glycans on nipah virus fusion protein and inhibits maturation, mobility, and function to block syncytia formation. PLoS Pathog. 2010; 6(7):e1000993. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.</u> 1000993 PMID: 20657665
- Belanis L, Plowman SJ, Rotblat B, Hancock JF, Kloog Y. Galectin-1 is a novel structural component and a major regulator of h-ras nanoclusters. Mol Biol Cell. 2008; 19(4):1404–14. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1091/mbc.E07-10-1053</u> PMID: 18234837
- Morris S, Ahmad N, André S, Kaltner H, Gabius H-J, Brenowitz M, et al. Quaternary solution structures of galectins-1, -3, and -7. Glycobiology. 2004; 14(3):293–300. https://doi.org/10.1093/glycob/cwh029 PMID: 14693909
- Senapati S, Chaturvedi P, Chaney WG, Chakraborty S, Gnanapragassam VS, Sasson AR, et al. Novel INTeraction of MUC4 and galectin: potential pathobiological implications for metastasis in lethal pancreatic cancer. Clinical Cancer Research. 2011; 17(2):267–74. <u>https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-10-1937 PMID: 21059814</u>
- Yu L- G, Andrews N, Zhao Q, McKean D, Williams JF, Connor LJ, et al. Galectin-3 interaction with Thomsen-Friedenreich disaccharide on cancer-associated MUC1 causes increased cancer cell endothelial adhesion. J Biol Chem. 2007; 282(1):773–81. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M606862200 PMID: 17090543
- Priglinger CS, Szober CM, Priglinger SG, Merl J, Euler KN, Kernt M, et al. Galectin-3 Induces Clustering of CD147 and Integrin-β1 Transmembrane Glycoprotein Receptors on the RPE Cell Surface. PLoS One. 2013; 8(7):e70011. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0070011 PMID: 23922889
- 52. Saravanan C, Liu F- T, Gipson IK, Panjwani N. Galectin-3 promotes lamellipodia formation in epithelial cells by interacting with complex N-glycans on α3β1 integrin. Journal of Cell Science. 2009; 122 (20):3684–93. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.045674 PMID: 19755493

- Markowska AI, Liu F-T, Panjwani N. Galectin-3 is an important mediator of VEGF-and bFGF-mediated angiogenic response. The Journal of experimental medicine. 2010; 207(9):1981–93. https://doi.org/10. 1084/jem.20090121 PMID: 20713592
- Massa SM, Cooper DNW, Leffler H, Barondes SH. L-29, an endogenous lectin, binds to glycoconjugate ligands with positive cooperativity. Biochemistry. 1993; 32(1):260–7. https://doi.org/10.1021/ bi00052a033 PMID: 8418845
- Saffman PG, Delbruck M. Brownian-Motion in Biological-Membranes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1975; 72(8):3111–3. PMID: 1059096
- Cairo CW, Golan DE. T cell adhesion mechanisms revealed by receptor lateral mobility. Biopolymers. 2008; 89(5):409–19. https://doi.org/10.1002/bip.20898 PMID: 18041065.
- Saxton MJ, Jacobson K. Single-particle tracking: Applications to membrane dynamics. Annu Rev Biophys Biomol Struct. 1997; 26:373–99. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.biophys.26.1.373 PMID: 9241424
- Vyakarnam A, Lenneman AJ, Lakkides KM, Patterson RJ, Wang JL. A Comparative Nuclear Localization Study of Galectin-1 with Other Splicing Components. Exp Cell Res. 1998; 242(2):419–28. http://dx. doi.org/10.1006/excr.1998.4111. https://doi.org/10.1006/excr.1998.4111 PMID: 9683529
- 59. Hernandez Armada D, Santos JT, Richards MR, Cairo CW. Protecting group-free immobilization of glycans for affinity chromatography using glycosylsulfonohydrazide donors. Carbohydr Res. 2015; 417:109–16. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2015.09.005. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2015.09. 005 PMID: 26454791
- Hirabayashi J, Hashidate T, Arata Y, Nishi N, Nakamura T, Hirashima M, et al. Oligosaccharide specificity of galectins: a search by frontal affinity chromatography. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)—General Subjects. 2002; 1572(2–3):232–54. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4165(02)00311-2.
- Shams-Ud-Doha K, Kitova EN, Kitov PI, St-Pierre Y, Klassen JS. Human Milk Oligosaccharide Specificities of Human Galectins. Comparison of Electrospray Ionization Mass Spectrometry and Glycan Microarray Screening Results. Anal Chem. 2017; 89(9):4914–21. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem. 6b05169 PMID: 28345865
- Amano M, Galvan M, He J, Baum LG. The ST6Gal I Sialyltransferase Selectively ModifiesN-Glycans on CD45 to Negatively Regulate Galectin-1-induced CD45 Clustering, Phosphatase Modulation, and T Cell Death. J Biol Chem. 2003; 278(9):7469–75. <u>https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M209595200</u> PMID: 12499376
- Saraboji K, Håkansson M, Genheden S, Diehl C, Qvist J, Weininger U, et al. The Carbohydrate-Binding Site in Galectin-3 Is Preorganized To Recognize a Sugarlike Framework of Oxygens: Ultra-High-Resolution Structures and Water Dynamics. Biochemistry. 2012; 51(1):296–306. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/</u> bi201459p PMID: 22111949
- Ewers H, Romer W, Smith AE, Bacia K, Dmitrieff S, Chai W, et al. GM1 structure determines SV40induced membrane invagination and infection. Nat Cell Biol. 2010; 12(1):11–8. http://www.nature.com/ ncb/journal/v12/n1/suppinfo/ncb1999_S1.html. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb1999 PMID: 20023649
- Shoji K, Ohashi K, Sampei K, Oikawa M, Mizuno K. Cytochalasin D acts as an inhibitor of the actin-cofilin interaction. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2012; 424(1):52–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2012. 06.063 PMID: 22728040.
- Ruoslahti E, Pierschbacher M. New perspectives in cell adhesion: RGD and integrins. Science. 1987; 238(4826):491–7. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.2821619 PMID: 2821619
- Hynes RO. Integrins: versatility, modulation, and signaling in cell adhesion. Cell. 1992; 69(1):11–25. Epub 1992/04/03. PMID: <u>1555235</u>.
- Sharma DK, Brown JC, Cheng Z, Holicky EL, Marks DL, Pagano RE. The Glycosphingolipid, Lactosylceramide, Regulates 1-Integrin Clustering and Endocytosis. Cancer Res. 2005; 65(18):8233–41. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-05-0803 PMID: 16166299
- **69.** Baptiste TA, James A, Saria M, Ochieng J. Mechano-transduction mediated secretion and uptake of galectin-3 in breast carcinoma cells: implications in the extracellular functions of the lectin. Exp Cell Res. 2007; 313(4):652–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yexcr.2006.11.005 PMID: 17184769
- Fukumori T, Takenaka Y, Yoshii T, Kim H-RC, Hogan V, Inohara H, et al. CD29 and CD7 mediate galectin-3-induced type II T-cell apoptosis. Cancer Res. 2003; 63(23):8302–11. PMID: <u>14678989</u>
- 71. Gao X, Balan V, Tai G, Raz A. Galectin-3 induces cell migration via a calcium-sensitive MAPK/ERK1/2 pathway2014.
- 72. Mirandola L, Yu Y, Chui K, Jenkins MR, Cobos E, John CM, et al. Galectin-3C Inhibits Tumor Growth and Increases the Anticancer Activity of Bortezomib in a Murine Model of Human Multiple Myeloma. PLoS ONE. 2011; 6(7):e21811. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0021811 PMID: 21765917

- 73. Partridge EA, Le Roy C, Di Guglielmo GM, Pawling J, Cheung P, Granovsky M, et al. Regulation of Cytokine Receptors by Golgi N-Glycan Processing and Endocytosis. Science. 2004; 306(5693):120–4. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1102109 PMID: 15459394
- Uemura T, Shiozaki K, Yamaguchi K, Miyazaki S, Satomi S, Kato K, et al. Contribution of sialidase NEU1 to suppression of metastasis of human colon cancer cells through desialylation of integrin [beta]
 Oncogene. 2009; 28:1218–29. https://doi.org/10.1038/onc.2008.471 PMID: 19151752
- 75. Seales EC, Jurado GA, Brunson BA, Wakefield JK, Frost AR, Bellis SL. Hypersialylation of β1 Integrins, Observed in Colon Adenocarcinoma, May Contribute to Cancer Progression by Up-regulating Cell Motility. Cancer Res. 2005; 65(11):4645–52. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-04-3117 PMID: 15930282
- 76. Jaqaman K, Loerke D, Mettlen M, Kuwata H, Grinstein S, Schmid SL, et al. Robust single-particle tracking in live-cell time-lapse sequences. Nat Methods. 2008; 5(8):695–702. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth. 1237 PMID: 18641657
- 77. Das R, Cairo CW, Coombs D. A Hidden Markov Model for Single Particle Tracks Quantifies Dynamic Interactions between LFA-1 and the Actin Cytoskeleton. PLoS Comput Biol. 2009; 5(11):e1000556. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000556 PMID: 19893741
- Cairo CW, Mirchev R, Golan DE. Cytoskeletal regulation couples LFA-1 conformational changes to receptor lateral mobility and clustering. Immunity. 2006; 25(2):297–308. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. immuni.2006.06.012 PMID: 16901728
- 79. Bode L. Human milk oligosaccharides: Every baby needs a sugar mama. Glycobiology. 2012; 22 (9):1147–62. https://doi.org/10.1093/glycob/cws074 PMID: 22513036
- Noll AJ, Gourdine J-P, Yu Y, Lasanajak Y, Smith DF, Cummings RD. Galectins are human milk glycan receptors. Glycobiology. 2016; 26(6):655–69. https://doi.org/10.1093/glycob/cww002 PMID: 26747425
- Sörme P, Arnoux P, Kahl-Knutsson B, Leffler H, Rini JM, Nilsson UJ. Structural and thermodynamic studies on cation- π interactions in lectin- ligand complexes: high-affinity galectin-3 inhibitors through fine-tuning of an arginine- arene interaction. J Am Chem Soc. 2005; 127(6):1737–43. https://doi.org/ 10.1021/ja043475p PMID: 15701008
- Diehl C, Genheden S, Modig K, Ryde U, Akke M. Conformational entropy changes upon lactose binding to the carbohydrate recognition domain of galectin-3. J Biomol NMR. 2009; 45(1):157–69. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10858-009-9356-5</u> PMID: 19641853
- Fornstedt N, Porath J. Characterization studies on a new lectin found in seeds of Vicia ervilia. FEBS Lett. 1975; 57(2):187–91. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0014-5793(75)80713-7. PMID: 1175787
- Kubota K, Kosaka T, Ichikawa K. Shotgun protein analysis by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. Two-Dimensional Electrophoresis Protocols. 2009:483–94.
- 85. Perkins DN, Pappin DJC, Creasy DM, Cottrell JS. Probability-based protein identification by searching sequence databases using mass spectrometry data. Electrophoresis. 1999; 20(18):3551–67. https:// doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1522-2683(19991201)20:18<3551::AID-ELPS3551>3.0.CO;2-2 PMID: 10612281
- Chiasson-MacKenzie C, Morris ZS, Baca Q, Morris B, Coker JK, Mirchev R, et al. NF2/Merlin mediates contact-dependent inhibition of EGFR mobility and internalization via cortical actomyosin. The Journal of Cell Biology. 2015; 211(2):391–405. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201503081 PMID: 26483553
- 87. Kampstra P. Beanplot: A boxplot alternative for visual comparison of distributions. Journal of Statistical Software. 2008; 28(1):1–9.
- Malich G, Markovic B, Winder C. The sensitivity and specificity of the MTS tetrazolium assay for detecting the in vitro cytotoxicity of 20 chemicals using human cell lines. Toxicology. 1997; 124(3):179–92. PMID: 9482120
- Tadokoro S, Shattil SJ, Eto K, Tai V, Liddington RC, de Pereda JM, et al. Talin binding to integrin beta tails: A final common step in integrin activation. Science. 2003; 302(5642):103–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1086652</u> PMID: 14526080