DOI: 10.1002/ ((please add manuscript number)) Article type: Full Paper

Urea-modified Carbon Nitrides: Enhancing Photocatalytic Hydrogen Evolution by Rational Defect Engineering

Vincent Wing-hei Lau, Victor Wen-zhe Yu, Florian Ehrat, Tiago Botari, Igor Moudrakovski, Thomas Simon, Viola Duppel, Elise Medina, Jacek Stolarczyk, Jochen Feldmann, Volker Blum, and Bettina V. Lotsch*

Dr. V.W. Lau, Dr I. Moudrakovski, V. Duppel, E. Medina, Prof. B.V. Lotsch Address line 1, Max Planck Institute for Solid State Research, Heisenbergstraße 1, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany E-mail: <u>b.lotsch@fkf.mpg.de</u>

V.W. Yu, Dr. T. Botari, Prof. V. Blum Department of Mechanical Engineering and Materials Science, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708, USA

F. Ehrat, T. Simon, Dr. J. Stolarczyk, Prof. J. Feldmann Photonics and Optoelectronics Group, Department of Physics and Center for Nanoscience (CeNS), Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität (LMU), Amalienstraße 54, 80799 Munich, Germany

F. Ehrat, T. Simon, Dr. J. Stolarczyk, Prof. J. Feldmann, Prof. B.V. Lotsch Nanosystems Initiative Munich (NIM), Schellingstr. 4, 80799 Munich, Germany

Prof. B.V. Lotsch Department of Chemistry, University of Munich, Butenandtstraße 5-13, 81377 Munich, Germany

Keywords: Graphitic Carbon Nitride, Photocatalysis, Solar Hydrogen, Rational Catalyst Design, Metal-Support Interaction

Abstract: The primary amine groups on the heptazine-based polymer melon, also known as graphitic carbon nitride (g-C₃N₄), can be replaced by urea groups using a two-step post-synthetic functionalization. Under simulated sunlight and optimum Pt loading, this urea-functionalized carbon nitride has one of the highest activities among organic and polymeric photocatalysts for hydrogen evolution with methanol as sacrificial donor, reaching an apparent quantum efficiency of 18% and nearly 30 times the hydrogen evolution rate compared to the non-functionalized counterpart. In the absence of Pt, the urea-derivatized material evolved hydrogen at a rate over four times that of the non-functionalized one. Since "defects" are conventionally accepted to be the active sites in graphitic carbon nitride for

photocatalysis, the work here is a demonstrated example of "defect engineering", where the catalytically relevant defect is inserted rationally for improving the intrinsic, rather than extrinsic, photocatalytic performance. Furthermore, our work provides a retrodictive explanation for the general observation that g-C₃N₄ prepared from urea performed better than those prepared from dicyandiamide and melamine. In-depth analyses of the spent photocatalysts and computational modelling suggest that inserting the urea group causes a metal-support interaction (MSI) with the Pt co-catalyst, thus facilitating interfacial charge transfer to the hydrogen evolving centers.

1. Introduction

Photocatalytic hydrogen evolution from water provides a direct method to capture and store sunlight as chemical energy, which can then be released in an environmentally friendly energy cycle. While a large library of photocatalytic materials has been catalogued^[1] in the four decades since Honda's and Fujishima's report on photocatalytic water-splitting by TiO₂,^[2] one of the most promising photocatalysts is the heptazine-based graphitic carbon nitride (g-C₃N₄), historically known since Liebig's era as melon.^[3] Melon is a 1-dimensional polymer of heptazine, bridged by secondary amines, with neighbouring polymer strands hydrogen-bonded together into quasi 2-dimensional arrays that form stacks through π - π interaction (Scheme 1, left).^[4] Graphitic carbon nitride has also been depicted in the literature as a fully condensed 2D layered structure with tertiary amines bridging every heptazine unit (Scheme 1, middle),^[5] although this fully condensed material has not been unambiguously shown to form experimentally to date. In 2009, the related yet fully 2-dimensionally linked framework, poly(heptazine imide) or PHI, was reported (Scheme 1, right). PHI has a hexagonal network where each heptazine forms three secondary amine bonds with neighbouring heptazine units.^[6]

Graphitic carbon nitride as a photocatalyst has the advantages of being easily prepared from inexpensive precursors (melamine, dicyandiamide or urea),^[7] having appropriate energy levels straddling the redox potential required for water-splitting,^[8] functioning under visible light irradiation, and being chemically stable. Overcoming one of its major disadvantages its still moderate activity - is the subject of research in the numerous reports on carbon nitride photocatalysis. Most of the publications on graphitic carbon nitride thus far focus on three main strategies for activity enhancement: 1) red-shifting the absorption onset through copolymerisation with dopant(s),^[9] 2) texturization for surface area increase,^[10] and 3) composite formation with a (semi)conductor for improving photo-excited charge separation.^[11] While useful, there is a striking lack of attempts to enhance the *intrinsic* activity of carbon nitrides, which is aggravated by the limited molecular tunability of carbon nitrides due to their insolubility and lack of reactivity. Here, the terms intrinsic and extrinsic with respect to the catalytic properties are differentiated based on the definition proposed by Vojvodic and Nørskov: intrinsic refers to the chemical composition and structure of the catalyst material, whereas extrinsic refers to either geometrical structuring (strategy 2 above) and/or its interface with another solid material, liquid or gas to influence the host catalyst (strategy 3 above).^[12] At the same time, improving the interfacial transfer of photo-excited charges to the reactants - via the co-catalyst - constitutes an important yet somewhat overlooked strategy for improving photocatalytic activity.^[13] Even in the very efficient CdSe-Pt system, it is estimated that only 40% of the photo-excited electrons are transferred to the platinum from spherical CdSe particles,^[14] a prerequisite step for hydrogen evolution. We and others have shown that the carbon nitride photocatalysts can function with a range of hydrogen evolving electrocatalysts that are synthetic, biological or bio-inspired, with activity rivalling that of platinum,^[15] even though the coupling between these catalysts and the polymer is rather weak.^[15e] To address this, we have investigated the structural features that

lead to photocatalytic activity in amorphous melon as opposed to crystalline melon, which exhibits negligible activity.^[16] Unlike crystalline melon, which is prepared in a sealed ampoule under autogeneous ammonia pressure leading to reversible reaction conditions and crystal defects healing, we have previously shown^[17] that amorphous melon contains crystal imperfections in the form of dangling moieties resulting from incomplete cyclisation and polymerisation, or impurities (e.g. oxygen) from the precursor. Using the methodology of model photocatalysts, we identified the functional groups that may be considered as the "defects" responsible for photocatalytic activity, namely the cyanamide moiety (–NCN⁻) and oxygen-bearing functional groups (–O⁻, –COOH), which can be present due to incomplete cyclization of the heptazine or incorporation of oxygen from the atmosphere or precursor.

Using this knowledge, we were furthermore able to demonstrate the concept of active site engineering for activity improvement, that is, the rational insertion of the catalytically relevant "defect" into the heptazine structure. This concept was illustrated by converting the primary amines of melon into the anionic cyanamides, one of the "defects" identified, through a post-synthetic treatment with KSCN to yield a material (henceforth notated as NCN-CN_x; see Scheme 2) outperforming melon by over 12 times at optimum Pt loading.^[17] Note that, in Scheme 2, we have depicted only the site where the incorporated functional group is present, which is either at every heptazine unit assuming a 1D polymeric heptazine backbone, or at the periphery of the 2D network array of PHI (Scheme S1). The roles of such "defects" may be to: 1) act as the reduction or oxidation reaction sites;^[18] 2) facilitate intermolecular interactions to improve overall reaction kinetics through increased catalyst-substrate affinity,^[154] 3) modify energy levels or carrier dynamics,^[17]

As the cyanamide group can be hydrolyzed to urea,^[19] we here exploit this synthetic procedure to introduce an oxygen-containing group into the heptazine polymer, since our previous work identified oxy- or carboxylate as groups potentially relevant for photocatalysis.^[17] The obvious advantage of this route is that, unlike heating the precursor in oxygen or incorporating a dopant stochastically into the polymer, an oxygen-containing moiety such as urea can be controllably inserted at the peripheral sites of the heptazine units, thus facilitating characterization of the polymer for elucidating structure-activity relationship. As will be shown here, this hydrolysis product – notated as urea-CN_x – exhibits photocatalytic activity for hydrogen evolution outperforming even that of NCN-CNx and melon despite absorbing less in the visible region. The role of the urea moiety is rationalized based on experimental and computational findings. Lastly, we provide a retrodictive explanation of why melon has higher activity when synthesized from urea compared to dicyandiamide or melamine^[20] based on the structural characterization of urea-CN_x. This finding has particular importance given that a number of carbon nitride systems capable of complete water splitting employed melon prepared from urea, while those prepared from other precursors (e.g. dicyandiamide) exhibited low water-splitting activity.^[21] Hence, the results herein highlight the implications of the approach of "defect engineering" for systematically improving carbon nitride photocatalysts.

2. Results & Discussions

Since strong acids are known to catalyze the hydrolysis of cyanamides to form urea,^[19a] the NCN-CN_x (experimental details in the supporting information) is assumed to undergo hydrolysis at the cyanamide sites specifically when treated with HCl. This acid-treated material, which we tentatively notate henceforth as urea-CN_x, was evaluated for photocatalytic hydrogen evolution under simulated sunlight (AM1.5) under a range of conditions, as shown in Figure 1. At the optimized Pt loading of 2 wt%, deposited in-situ by

the photo-reduction of H ₂ PtCl ₆ , and using methanol (10 vol%) as the electron donor, the urea-
CN_x (20 mg) exhibited a stable hydrogen evolution rate of 56.2 µmol h ⁻¹ for over 100 h of
irradiation, more than doubled the stable rate of NCN-CN _x (24.7 μ mol h ⁻¹ , optimized at
8 wt% Pt) and almost thirty times that of melon (2.0 μ mol h ⁻¹ , optimized at 1 wt% Pt). In
terms of apparent quantum efficiency (AQE) at 400 nm, urea- CN_x has a value of 17.9%,
nearly double that of NCN-CN _x at 9.3% and nearly 36 times higher than that of melon at
0.5%. These performance metrics are summarized in Table S3; the action spectra of the three
catalysts are shown in Figure 2b. Though caution must be exercised when comparing
literature results, ^[22] the urea- CN_x here exhibits one of the highest activities for the sacrificial
hydrogen evolution half reaction amongst organic and polymeric visible-light photocatalysts
(comparison with literature values given in Table S4) both in relative terms (i.e.
outperformance over a photocatalyst standard) as an IUPAC recommendation, ^[23] or in
absolute terms using quantum efficiency as the performance metric as suggested by
researchers in the field. ^[24] Note that higher quantum yields are generally obtained when
triethanolamine is used due to its more reductive potential, current doubling and other
effects; ^[25] we nonetheless did not select this electron donor for activity benchmarking as it is
light sensitive, often contains optical impurities, and has a complex photo-oxidation
mechanism involving many intermediates, ^[26] as compared to the well-studied, clean photo-
oxidation of methanol. ^[27] Furthermore, we have also observed that a number of
photocatalysts exhibiting high activity with triethanolamine perform poorly with other
electron donors such as methanol (Table S4).

The urea- CN_x can evolve hydrogen photocatalytically using a range of different electron donors (Figure 1c); in the absence of electron donor, trace amounts of hydrogen were detected after over 12 h, albeit just over the detection limit of our GC. More significantly,

urea-CN_x evolved hydrogen photocatalytically even without the addition of Pt co-catalyst (Figure 1d), with an average rate in the first 24 h of 17 nmol h⁻¹, over four times that of NCN- CN_x (4.2 nmol h⁻¹) and melon (3.5 nmol h⁻¹). The apparent correlation between the BET surface area and hydrogen evolution rate of urea-CN_x and melon suggests that surface area is one key determinant for activity in the Pt-free case. Insertion of Pt co-catalyst, however, changes the contribution of this factor substantially, as the surface area no longer sufficiently accounts for the high activity of urea-CN_x. Based on the comparison of the hydrogen evolution rate at optimized Pt loading normalized to the BET surface area, urea-CN_x evolves hydrogen at a rate of 43.5 μ mol h⁻¹ m⁻², far outperforming NCN-CN_x at 22.5 μ mol h⁻¹ m⁻² and melon at 6.1 µmol h⁻¹ m⁻², as summarized in Table S3. Notably, the superior photocatalytic activity of urea-CN_x cannot be attributed to increased light collection since, from their action spectra in Figure 2b, it has an absorption onset of around 435 nm, which is blue-shifted by 25 nm (0.15 eV) from that of melon and NCN-CN_x, both with onset at 460 nm. Rather, urea-CN_x is utilizing the fewer absorbed photons far more efficiently for the desired redox reaction than the other two samples, given that the solar irradiance peaks at around 500 nm. Collectively, these observations point towards an intrinsic improvement in photocatalytic performance which, as shown below, is attributable to the structural features of urea-CN_x and their role in the photocatalytic reaction.

Urea-CN_x was characterized by spectroscopic methods and the results are shown in Figure 2. All solid-state ¹³C and ¹⁵N NMR experiments (Figure 2c and d, respectively) were performed using the sample prepared from isotope-enriched KS¹³C¹⁵N, with the enriched samples showing identical FTIR spectra except for the isotope shifts (Figure S3), and compared against ¹³C and ¹⁵N enriched urea. Urea-CN_x retained its heptazine character, as evidenced by the ring-sextant out-of-plane bending vibration in the IR (809 cm⁻¹), the signals associated

with the central (-217.6 ppm) and outer heptazine nitrogen atoms (N1: -175.2 ppm) in the ¹⁵N NMR spectra, as well as the inner (C2: 158.2 ppm) and outer (C1: 164.1 ppm) carbon atoms in the ¹³C NMR spectra.^[28] The characteristic signals for the 2° amine bridging the heptazine units are observable in the IR (1308 and 1212 cm⁻¹)^[29] and in the ¹⁵N spectra (N3: -240 ppm), while the absence of a ¹⁵N signal at -265 ppm indicates the complete conversion of the melon primary amine into cyanamide in the first step of Scheme 2.^[3a] The presence of the urea group can be identified by its characteristic FTIR signals at 1653, 1579 and 1549 cm⁻¹, the carbonyl signal (C3) at \approx 166 ppm as a signal tail to signal C1, and the urea –NH₂ signal (N4) at -290 ppm. Compared to urea, the ${}^{13}C$ and ${}^{15}N$ chemical shifts of urea-CN_x are slightly shifted downfield, which we attribute to deshielding induced by the electron poor heptazine ring. To confirm the NMR assignments, we performed cross polarization with polarization inversion^[30] (CPPI, Figure 2e) and double correlation ¹H-¹⁵N-¹³C 2D NMR experiments (Figure 2f). For the CPPI experiment, the lack of decay for N1 (outer heptazine nitrogen) and N2 (central heptazine nitrogen) is consistent with the absence of directly bonded protons, which identifies these nitrogens as the tertiary nitrogens of the heptazine ring. The decay of N3 with a crossover point of ≈ 0 is consistent with the NH group, while the decay of N4 has a crossover point of \approx -0.5 and is close to the theoretical value of -1/3 for NH₂. For the double correlation experiment, long distance couplings are observed with the NMR parameters used. Nonetheless, coupling of N4 to both C1 and C2 is consistent with a urea at a heptazine terminal. From the elemental analysis (Table 1), the C:N atomic ratio of 0.70 for urea-CN_x is consistent with both a 1D polymeric structure (Scheme S1 bottom left) and the idealized PHI network structure (Scheme S1 bottom right) with a C:N ratio of <0.706. The near absence of potassium signifies that the bulk of the compound is not ionic as in NCN-CN_x, although the residual potassium can be associated with the presence of unreacted NCN-CN_x, which may be buried within the polymer and inaccessible to the acid. This unreacted species is

discernible by the small FTIR signal at 2180 cm⁻¹ (see Figure S2 for enlarged spectra of this region), the broad signal between 125–102 ppm in the ¹³C spectrum (Figure 2c inset) and at \approx -280 ppm in the ¹⁵N spectrum, corresponding to the NCN moiety in NCN-CN_x.

Characterization of urea-CN_x by electron microscopy (TEM and SEM), XRD and sorption analyses (Figure 3) shows that this material consists of platelets with lateral dimensions of 40–80 nm. Its nanoscale morphology leads to a comparatively large BET surface area of nearly 65 m² g⁻¹ with mainly textural (inter-particle) porosity in the nanometer range, which was achieved without employing sacrificial hard templates. The short interlayer spacing of 3.2 Å and structural periodicity of 11 and 8.6 Å are observable in the TEM, XRD and electron diffraction patterns, and are identical to that of NCN-CN_x, indicating that the acid hydrolysis did not affect the general macroscopic structure. Additionally, like NCN-CN_x, urea-CN_x has around 20 wt% water based on its thermogravimetric analysis (Figure S3). Otherwise, one noticeable difference is the reduced *d*-spacing for urea-CN_x of 8.6 Å, compared to 9.0 Å for NCN-CN_x, a decrease which may be related to tighter packing as the urea group can form hydrogen bonds with neighboring heptazine units. As consistent with this explanation, we observe in the 2D ¹⁵N-¹³C spectrum that the urea NH₂ (N4) couples not only to the urea carbon C3 and the outer heptazine carbon C1, but also couples over longer distance to the inner heptazine carbon C2.

In order to analyze the charge transfer properties of urea- CN_x , the steady-state photoluminescence (PL) spectra were acquired for 1 mg mL⁻¹ aqueous suspensions of melon and urea-CNx upon excitation at 375 nm (Figure 4a). The latter exhibited reduction of the PL signal by 83% compared to melon. Such inverse relationship between photocatalytic activity and PL intensity is commonly observed in many photocatalysts^[31] and is usually understood in terms of a competition for photoexcited charges between the radiative and charge separating channels. The charge transfer pathway leading to hydrogen generation can be considered as an additional or more efficient non-radiative channel, leading to a decrease in luminescence. The time-resolved PL measurements (Figure 4b) show a much faster PL decay for urea- CN_x ($\tau_{1/e}$ lifetime of 0.77ns) than for melon ($\tau_{1/e}$ lifetime of 2.4ns). Taken together with the reduced PL quantum yield of urea- CN_x , they indicate a 3-4 fold faster non-radiative recombination rate in urea- CN_x than in melon, in agreement with the above interpretation.^[32]

Interestingly, as shown in Figure 4c (spectra shown in Figure S4), the addition of an electron acceptor (decoration with Pt) or a hole acceptor (10 vol% methanol) to either of the two materials results in only very moderate (10-15%) quenching of the PL; the apparent increases in PL intensity upon addition of methanol to the platinized samples are within experimental error. The corresponding PL decay traces (Figure 4d and e) show no change upon the addition of Pt or methanol, even though the presence of a co-catalyst and a hole scavenger strongly increases the photocatalytic efficiency. These results are unusual since in CdS-based photocatalysts, for example, the decoration with co-catalyst strongly quenches PL and leads to much faster signal decay.^[33] This suggests that in the first step the photoexcited charges transfer to the internal site on the polymer, presumably the pendant primary amine and urea moiety on melon and urea-CN_x, respectively. The lack of correlation between the PL decay rate and the presence of Pt means that this initial step is independent (i.e. proceeds on a different time scale) of the subsequent electron transfer to Pt and onwards to a proton, and does not constitute the limiting step of the hydrogen generation process. Consequently, the difference in photocatalytic efficiency between melon and urea-CN_x possibly arises from different transfer rates from the internal site to the Pt particle (or directly a proton in case of a non-platinized system), depending on the coupling between this site and the Pt particle.

To further explore the rationale for the high performance of urea-CN_x, namely the role of the urea moiety and its interaction with the Pt co-catalyst, the spent catalyst with the Pt photodeposited in-situ after the 100+ hour photocatalytic experiment was fully characterized (Figure 5). The FTIR and ¹³C NMR spectra and the XRD patterns are essentially identical between the pristine and the spent catalyst, demonstrating chemical and morphological stability of urea-CN_x after the photocatalytic reaction. In the ¹⁵N CP ssNMR spectrum, appearance of peak shoulders for N1, N3 and possibly at N4 can be attributed to the presence of the Pt co-catalyst and will be elaborated below. Similarly for the XPS, the general peak shapes are largely unchanged after the photocatalytic reaction, although small shifts in the binding energies are observed. Briefly, assignment of the deconvoluted XPS signals, based on previous publications, is as follows. The signals for the heptazine sp² carbons and nitrogens occur at 288.6 and 399.1 eV, while the sp³ nitrogen of the bridging 2° amine occurs at 400.8 eV.^[34] The C1s signal at 286.5 eV may be assigned to the sp² carbon of urea, while the broad signal at 293.6 eV is attributed to potassium associated with unreacted cyanamide anion from NCN-CN_x. As illustrated in Figure 5, some C and N signals as well as the oxygen signal from urea are shifted to higher binding energy (B.E.), with the oxygen shifting by 0.3 eV, well above the resolution of the XPS. For the in-situ deposited Pt, the Pt XPS $4f_{7/2}$ signal can be deconvoluted into Pt^{2+} and Pt^{0} species as consistent with observation from others^[35] and our previous research.^[17] While the B.E. of the Pt⁰ species (70.9 eV) is slightly shifted compared to NCN-CN_x (71.1 eV) and melon (71.2 eV), the Pt^{2+} species at 71.9 eV, which has been assigned in the literature to a PtO shell around the metallic Pt,^[35] is over 0.4 eV shifted to lower B.E. compared to NCN-CN_x (72.3 eV) and melon (72.4 eV). Invariance of the N_{1s} signal at 400.8 eV corresponding to the hydrogen bearing 2° amine lent confidence that the aforementioned shifts are not calibration errors. The directions of the

shifts – towards higher B.E. for the carbon nitride and lower B.E. for the Pt – are in accord with a metal-support interaction (MSI), specifically the donation of electron density from the carbon nitride to the Pt.^[36] Given that both the oxygen and the Pt²⁺ XPS signals are shifted by around the same magnitude but opposite direction, this would suggest that the urea moiety is involved in connecting with the co-catalyst, facilitating charge transfer and thus leading to the significant increase in photocatalytic hydrogen evolution. These XPS results are in fact consistent with previous adsorption studies, which have found that the adsorption of urea onto a Pt surface is accompanied by charge transfer from the adsorbate to the metal surface.^[37]

Characterization by electron microscopy and diffraction (Figure 6 and Figure S5) showed not only formation of Pt particles with diameter of 2–4 nm, but also that these particles seemingly trace the edge of the platelets. This suggests that the urea- CN_x contained preferential sites for Pt to coordinate to and to subsequently be reductively photo-deposited from H₂PtCl₆. In the absence of more element specific techniques (XAS and electron tomography), our inference consistent with our above ¹⁵N NMR and XPS findings as well as literature precedents is that the urea moieties at the periphery are coordinating through the oxygen to the Pt cocatalyst.

As a final indicator, we use electronic structure theory to corroborate the implications derived from the experimental observations above. We note that replicating the precise mechanistic details of the photocatalyst and its operation by first-principles modelling would significantly exceed the capabilities of any first-principles approaches currently available. However, several key open questions that can be addressed relate to how the interaction with the Pt co-catalyst *differs* between differently functionalized substrates, particularly melon and urea- CN_x . These questions include: 1) the extent of the support/co-catalyst interaction; 2) the

moieties involved in the coordination to the Pt cluster; and 3) the potential for electron versus hole transfer to the Pt cluster. Below, we approach these questions using still demanding, yet feasible, ground state density-functional theory (DFT) based simulations. The findings here aim to elucidate the characteristics that improve the electron transfer to the platinum, which based on the above discussions appears to be the rate limiting step. It does not, however, model the inherent carrier dynamics of the carbon nitrides, such as the processes related to charge carrier separation or the percolation of charges inside the carbon nitride as discussed in the PL section.

Specifically, we address questions 1-3 by comparing the electronic structure of two different computational models of the substrate-Pt interaction, shown in Figure 7: (i) a Pt₁₃ cluster adsorbed on a bilayer of melon (Fig. 7 a and c), and (ii) a Pt13 cluster adsorbed on a conceptual structural model of a bilayer of urea-CN_x (Fig. 7 b and d). While the structure of melon layers is reasonably well established from past theory and experiment, we do not, at this point, know the precise atomic structure of urea-CN_x planes. In order to facilitate a meaningful comparison between both substrates, we therefore choose a computational structure model for the urea-CN_x structure that allows us to focus specifically on the differences that arise from replacing the NH₂ side chains of melon with the NH-CO-NH₂ side chains of urea-CN_x. The chosen model substrate geometry of urea-CN_x is thus as similar as possible to melon, i.e., a hydrogen-bonded bilayer model of 1D heptazine polymer strands, but with all NH₂ groups replaced by urea moieties (see Scheme S1, bottom left). Both structure models are realized as periodically repeated supercells. The unit cell dimension perpendicular to the bilayers (z direction) is 40 Å, ensuring a large vacuum region. Additionally, we employ a dipole correction^[38] in the z direction to prevent interactions between different periodic images. The periodic images of the adsorbed Pt₁₃ clusters are

separated by using lateral supercell dimensions of four parallel heptazine strands with six heptazine units along each strand in each layer. For the initial geometry of Pt₁₃, we chose a minimum-energy structure determined by Piotrowski *et al.*^[39] The full bilayer models including the Pt₁₃ cluster comprise a total of 877 atoms for melon and 1,069 atoms for urea- CN_x . All atomic positions and lateral unit cell parameters were fully relaxed to the nearest local minima of the potential-energy surface. The urea- CN_x model analyzed in Figure 7 b and d is the lowest-energy model out of three different cluster-bilayer models that we tested for urea- CN_x , all of which included the attachment of Pt₁₃ to O as an important feature. All calculations were performed using the FHI-aims all-electron electronic structure code^[40], "light" settings and the Γ point for Brillouin zone integration, and the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE)^[41] density functional together with the Tkatchenko-Scheffler pairwise van der Waals dispersion correction^[42] (PBE+vdW).

In Figure 7a) and b), we show the element-resolved partial densities of states of Pt_{13} adsorbed at the melon bilayer vs. at the urea-CN_x bilayer, respectively. We note that, without adsorbed Pt_{13} and in DFT-PBE, the melon bilayer would have a HOMO-LUMO gap of 2.53 eV, whereas the Pt-free urea-CN_x bilayer would have a smaller HOMO-LUMO gap of 2.25 eV; these values are consistent with the C-N band edges inferred from Figs. 7a) and b) when Pt_{13} is present. Insets in both figures show the fully relaxed local Pt_{13} adsorption geometry at either substrate. Furthermore, the complete model geometries used for melon and urea-CN_x are also shown in Figure 7c) and 7d), respectively, together with the orbital densities of two particular hybridized states close to (at or just below) the substrate LUMO levels (see below). Coming to the geometries first (provided in the NoMaD repository), the melon bilayer, which has strong hydrogen bonding within the plane, shows comparatively little structural rearrangement as a result of Pt_{13} adsorption. Here, the Pt_{13} cluster shifts to connect to one of

the NH₂ functionalities, which is slightly pulled out of the plane. In contrast, the urea-CN_x bilayer model displays a substantial rearrangement of its atomic positions. In line with the experimental conclusions, there is a direct attachment of Pt₁₃ to the O functionality of urea, as well as a connection to several of the N atoms of different adjacent heptazine rings. We also estimated the overall charge transfer from the substrates to the neutral Pt₁₃ cluster by Mulliken and Hirshfeld^[43] atoms-in-molecules partitioning schemes. Both schemes indicate practically no charge transfer from melon to Pt₁₃ (Mulliken: -0.18e, Hirshfeld: 0.0e), and a small transfer of electrons to Pt₁₃ (Mulliken: -0.571e, Hirshfeld: -0.15e) for our particular urea-CN_x-Pt₁₃ model.

We next turn to a closer analysis of the hybridization of Pt_{13} states with the near-edge carrier states of the substrates. Since the Pt_{13} cluster is finite, the energies of its states found in the band gaps of melon and urea- CN_x are discrete. We can therefore analyze the character of each state near and in-between the substrate band edges by performing a Mulliken decomposition into contributions from the Pt_{13} cluster and contributions from the substrates. The result of the state-resolved Mulliken analysis is shown in the bottom panels of Figures 7a and c. For comparison, we also visualize the orbitals associated with all states close to or inbetween the band edges of Pt_{13} -melon and of Pt_{13} -urea- CN_x in the SI (Figure S8–S13). The individual states are labelled by consecutive integer numbers. The number zero indicates the state closest to the Fermi level, here taken to be the highest-energy state with an electronic occupation greater than 0.5. Negative labels indicate filled states and positive numbers indicate empty states. Based on the Mulliken analyses, we assign the state labelled "–26" in the lower panel of Figure 7a) to the valence band maximum (VBM/HOMO) of melon. The state labelled "6" in Figure 7a) is assigned to the conduction band minimum (CBM/LUMO) of melon. Similarly, the states labelled "–34" and "1" in Figure 7b) are assigned as the

VBM/HOMO and CBM/LUMO of the urea- CN_x bilayer, respectively. However, states 2-4 are very close to state 1 in energy and are predominantly CN_x derived as well. They are thus likely to be closely associated with the CBM/LUMO as well.

The discrete states in the band gaps of melon and of urea-CN_x are almost purely Pt₁₃-derived. However, a few states near the band edges show a greater degree of hybridization. Let us first analyze the electron-like states near the CBMs/LUMOs. For melon-Pt₁₃, a particular state of interest is the partially hybridized state 5, just 0.2 eV below the apparent LUMO of melon. The orbital density of this state is shown in Figure 7c). State 5 is strongly localized near the Pt₁₃ cluster, but extends to a few heptazine units of the substrate. This or similar hybridized states associated with the NH₂ moieties of melon could well serve as the states that facilitate electron transfer to the Pt co-catalyst in general. The case of urea-CN_x is strikingly different in that the states derived from its LUMO (just above the highest occupied level of the Pt cluster) appear to be significantly more hybridized with the Pt states. As an example, Figure 7d) shows the orbital density for state 1 in the lower panel of Figure 7b), which is much more extended along the strands near the Pt cluster than is the case for state 5 of Fig. 7c) (melon). Assuming that these hybridized states can be viewed as indicative of electron transfer pathways to the Pt cluster, the comparison indeed suggests that the electron transfer could be more effective in the presence of urea functionalities than for the unmodified melon.

Regarding the states near the substrate VBMs/HOMOs, we observe that state -26, the HOMO of melon, is not appreciably hybridized with the Pt₁₃ cluster, but several other states just above it show some hybridization. In contrast, our urea-CN_x-Pt₁₃ model shows no significant hybridization of the Pt-derived states with the HOMO, i.e., with the potential hole-like states.

In summary, the results from our DFT-PBE+vdW model calculations are supportive of key observations relating to the Pt-substrate interaction, specifically of an overall stronger metal-support interaction for urea- CN_x , facilitated via O moieties, and of a stronger hybridization of the electron-like states of urea- CN_x with Pt-derived states. The latter could be indicative of more facile electron transfer pathways available in urea- CN_x than in the archetype melon.

Collectively, our results above may provide a retrodictive explanation to the observation that melon prepared from urea, rather than from dicyandiamide or melamine, performed better photocatalytically.^[20] The literature rationales for this observation have been attributed to increased surface area^[20a] or increased condensation in the carbon nitride.^[20b] While the former certainly contributes to the increased activity, Martin et al. have argued it does not sufficiently account for the vast improvement observed.^[20b] Indeed, our results infer that the higher activity observed in melon prepared from urea (henceforth notated as melon (urea))as compared to that from melamine is attributable to residual functional groups, namely the urea moiety, from the incomplete cyclization and condensation of urea to heptazine via dicyandiamide and melamine. For example, oxygen can be incorporated from ureidomelamine,^[44] an impurity in melamine, or from trace water and oxygen in the synthesis atmosphere. To verify our retrodiction, we prepared a sample of melon from urea for comparison of the characterization results (Figure 8). As predicted, a small but nonetheless discernible urea signal is observed in the ¹H-¹⁵N CP NMR at around -290 ppm for melon (urea), which is absent for melon, and coincides with the urea NH₂ signal (N4) for urea-CN_x. Furthermore, the spectra for melon (urea) resemble urea-CN_x more than those for melon, particularly the apparent downfield shifts for the NMR signals of the heptazine core (N1 and C2; N3 to a lesser extent).

In agreement with previous literature, we found that melon (urea), despite absorbing less in the visible region as evident in their UV-Vis spectra (Figure 8c), performs better for photocatalytic hydrogen evolution than melon: 4.4 *vs* 1.8 μ mol h⁻¹ averaged over the first 8 h under AM1.5 irradiation and 4.7% *vs* 0.5% AQE at 400 nm. We emphasize that these values are still much lower than that of urea-CN_x or NCN-CN_x (56.2 μ mol h⁻¹ and 24.7 μ mol h⁻¹, respectively). Through additional characterizations, we rule out as the principal factors for the outperformance of urea-CN_x the variations in 1) BET surface area, 2) dispersibility in water as measured by zeta potential, 3) Pt loading, and 4) Pt size, morphology and distribution (see supporting information for detailed discussions). From this, we deduce that efficient charge transfer mediated by the platinum/urea-CN_x interaction seems to be a key determinant for its high activity. This work thus highlights the success of our "defect engineering" strategy by the rational insertion of the activity-promoting functional group into the carbon nitride backbone.

3. Conclusion

Treating melon in a KSCN melt followed by acid hydrolysis yielded a carbon nitride polymer decorated with the urea functional group. This urea- CN_x exhibited one of the highest photocatalytic activities for hydrogen evolution reported for carbon nitrides thus far, with rates over twice that of the NCN- CN_x and over 28 times that of melon under simulated sunlight using methanol as the electron donor. Likewise, the apparent quantum efficiency at 400 nm of this urea- CN_x (17.9%) is nearly twice that of NCN- CN_x (9.3%) and nearly 36 times that of melon (0.5%). We attribute this large improvement in activity primarily to the rational insertion of the urea moiety which appears to be the preferential docking site for the platinum co-catalyst and facilitate transfer of photo-generated charges into the hydrogen evolving centers, based on results from TEM, XPS and computational modelling. Following

these results, we suggest a retrodictive explanation to the better photocatalytic performance of melon prepared from urea compared to those from melamine and dicyandiamide. This rationale was subsequently supported by experimental evidences and for the first time sheds light on the role and nature of oxygen-containing catalytically relevant sites in carbon nitride photocatalysts. Specifically, residual urea groups from incomplete heptazine cyclization and decomposition were found in melon prepared from urea, which are not in detectable abundance in the melon samples from melamine. The strategy presented herein can be considered as an example of "functional defect design" or engineering of catalytically relevant sites, where we deliberately inserted the photocatalytically relevant defect. The vast increase in activity of this engineered sample attests to the success of this strategy which, based on extensive characterization of the urea-CN_x, appears to be an even more promising research direction for improving photocatalytic activity than red-shifting the absorption onset or increasing the surface area, as it can subsequently be combined with all of these latter strategies. Further exploration of mechanistic aspects, as well as exploring other defects native or non-native to melon, may thus provide the design criteria for highly efficient heptazine-based photocatalysts not only for the hydrogen evolution reaction, but also other photoreactions demonstrated to be feasible for this material such as water oxidation, CO₂ reduction, and organic transformations.

4. Experimental Section

Details of the syntheses and characterization techniques are provided in the supporting information. Computational results are provided in the NoMaD repository under the link <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.17172/NOMAD/2016.11.14-1</u>; computationally calculated structures are also uploaded in the supporting information as cif.

Supporting Information

Supporting Information is available from the Wiley Online Library or from the author.

Acknowledgements

V.W.-h.L. gratefully acknowledges a postdoctoral scholarship from the Max Planck Society. This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (project LO1801/1-1), the Max Planck Society, the cluster of excellence Nanosystems Initiative Munich (NIM), and the Center for Nanoscience (CeNS). Support from a seed grant by the Duke University Energy Initiative is gratefully acknowledged The authors would like to thank Ms. Marie-Luise Schreiber for the elemental analyses, Dr. Mitsuharu Konuma for the XPS analyses, and Mr. Olaf Alberto von Mankowski for the argon sorption measurements.

Received: ((will be filled in by the editorial staff)) Revised: ((will be filled in by the editorial staff)) Published online: ((will be filled in by the editorial staff))

References

[1] J. Xing, W. Q. Fang, H. J. Zhao, H. G. Yang, Chem. Asian J. 2012, 7, 642-657.

[2] A. Fujishima, K. Honda, *Nature* **1972**, *238*, 37-38.

[3] a) B. V. Lotsch, M. Döblinger, J. Sehnert, L. Seyfarth, J. Senker, O. Oeckler, W.

Schnick, Chem. Eur. J. 2007, 13, 4969-4980; b) K. Maeda, X. Wang, Y. Nishihara, D. Lu, M.

Antonietti, K. Domen, J. Phys. Chem. C 2009, 113, 4940-4947; c) X. Wang, K. Maeda, A.

Thomas, K. Takanabe, G. Xin, J. M. Carlsson, K. Domen, M. Antonietti, Nat. Mater. 2009, 8,

76-80; d) S. Cao, J. Yu, J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2014, 5, 2101-2107; e) J. Liu, H. Wang, M.

Antonietti, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2016, 45, 2308-2326.

[4] a) L. Seyfarth, J. Seyfarth, B. V. Lotsch, W. Schnick, J. Senker, *Phys. Chem. Chem.*

Phys. 2010, 12, 2227-2237; b) T. Tyborski, C. Merschjann, S. Orthmann, F. Yang, M.-C.

Lux-Steiner, T. Schedel-Niedrig, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 2013, 25, 395402; c) F. Fina, S. K.

Callear, G. M. Carins, J. T. S. Irvine, Chem. Mater. 2015, 27, 2612-2618.

[5] a) D. M. Teter, R. J. Hemley, *Science* 1996, *271*, 53-55; b) E. Kroke, M. Schwarz, E.
Horath-Bordon, P. Kroll, B. Noll, A. D. Norman, *New J. Chem.* 2002, *26*, 508-512.

[6] M. Döblinger, B. V. Lotsch, J. Wack, J. Thun, J. Senker, W. Schnick, *Chem. Commun.*2009, 1541-1543.

[7] a) B. V. Lotsch, W. Schnick, *Chem. Mater.* 2006, *18*, 1891-1900; b) A. Schwarzer, T.
Saplinova, E. Kroke, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2013, *257*, 2032-2062; c) B. V. Lotsch, W. Schnick, *New J. Chem.* 2004, *28*, 1129-1136.

[8] C. Butchosa, P. Guiglion, M. A. Zwijnenburg, J. Phys. Chem. C 2014, 118, 24833 24842.

[9] a) G. Liu, P. Niu, C. Sun, S. C. Smith, Z. Chen, G. Q. M. Lu, H.-M. Cheng, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010, *132*, 11642-11648; b) Y. Wang, Y. Di, M. Antonietti, H. Li, X. Chen, X. Wang, *Chem. Mater.* 2010, *22*, 5119-5121; c) J. Zhang, X. Chen, K. Takanabe, K. Maeda, K. Domen, J. D. Epping, X. Fu, M. Antonietti, X. Wang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2010, *49*, 441-444; d) Y. Zhang, T. Mori, J. Ye, M. Antonietti, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010, *132*, 6294-6295; e) J. Zhang, G. Zhang, X. Chen, S. Lin, L. Möhlmann, G. Dołęga, G. Lipner, M. Antonietti, S. Blechert, X. Wang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2012, *51*, 3183-3187; f) Z. Lin, X. Wang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2013, *52*, 1735-1738; g) K. Schwinghammer, B. Tuffy, M. B. Mesch, E. Wirnhier, C. Martineau, F. Taulelle, W. Schnick, J. Senker, B. V. Lotsch, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2013, *52*, 2435-2439.

[10] a) X. Chen, Y.-S. Jun, K. Takanabe, K. Maeda, K. Domen, X. Fu, M. Antonietti, X.
Wang, *Chem. Mater.* 2009, *21*, 4093-4095; b) P. Niu, L. Zhang, G. Liu, H.-M. Cheng, *Adv. Func. Mater.* 2012, *22*, 2763-4770; c) J. Sun, J. Zhang, M. Zhang, M. Antonietti, X. Fu, X.
Wang, *Nat. Commun.* 2012, *3*, 1139; d) X. Wang, K. Maeda, X. Chen, K. Takanabe, K.
Domen, Y. Hou, X. Fu, M. Antonietti, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009, *131*, 1680-1681.

[11] Z. Zhao, Y. Sun, F. Dong, *Nanoscale* **2015**, *7*, 15-37.

[12] A. Vojvodic, J. K. Nørskov, Natl. Sci. Rev. 2015, 2, 140-149.

[13] P. V. Kamat, J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2012, 3, 663-672.

[14] C. Harris, P. V. Kamat, ACS Nano 2010, 4, 7321-7330.

[15] a) J. Dong, M. Wang, X. Li, L. Chen, Y. He, L. Sun, *ChemSusChem* 2012, *5*, 21332138; b) S.-W. Cao, X.-F. Liu, Y.-P. Yuan, Z.-Y. Zhang, J. Fang, S. C. J. Loo, J. Barber, T. C.

Sum, C. Xue, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2013, 15, 18363-18366; c) J. Hong, Y. Wang, Y.

Wang, W. Zhang, R. Xu, ChemSusChem 2013, 6, 2263-2268; d) S.-W. Cao, Y.-P. Yuan, J.

Barber, S. C. J. Loo, C. Xue, Appl. Surf. Sci. 2014, 319, 344-349; e) C. A. Caputo, M. A.

Gross, V. W. Lau, C. Cavazza, B. V. Lotsch, E. Reisner, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53,

11538-11542; f) H. Kasap, C. A. Caputo, B. C. M. Martindale, R. Godin, V. W.-H. Lau, B. V.

Lotsch, J. R. Durrant, E. Reisner, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 9183-9192.

[16] V. W.-h. Lau, M. B. Mesch, V. Duppel, V. Blum, J. Senker, B. V. Lotsch, J. Am.*Chem. Soc.* 2015, 137, 1064-1072.

[17] V. W.-h. Lau, I. Moudrakovski, T. Botari, S. Weinberger, M. B. Mesch, V. Duppel, J. Senker, V. Blum, B. V. Lotsch, *Nat. Commun.* 2016, *7*, 12165.

[18] Y. Wang, X. Wang, M. Antonietti, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 68-89.

[19] a) T. Güthner, B. Mertschenk, in *Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry*,

2006; b) M. L. Kilpatrick, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1947, 69, 40-46.

[20] a) Y. Zhang, J. Liu, G. Wu, W. Chen, *Nanoscale* 2012, *4*, 5300-5303; b) D. J. Martin,
K. Qiu, S. A. Shevlin, A. D. Handoko, X. Chen, Z. Guo, J. Tang, *Angew. Chem.* 2014, *53*, 9240-9245.

[21] a) J. Liu, Y. Liu, N. Liu, Y. Han, X. Zhang, H. Huang, Y. Lifshitz, S.-T. Lee, J.
Zhong, Z. Kang, *Science* 2015, *347*, 970-974; b) G. Zhang, Z.-A. Lan, L. Lin, S. Lin, X.
Wang, *Chem. Sci.* 2016, *7*, 3062-3066.

[22] T. Maschmeyer, M. Che, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 1536-1539.

[23] a) A. Salinaro, A. V. Emeline, J. Zhao, H. Hidaka, V. K. Ryabchuk, N. Serpone, *Pure Appl. Chem.* 1999, *71*, 321-335; b) N. Serpone, A. Salinaro, *Pure Appl. Chem.* 1999, *71*, 303-320.

[25] M. J. Berr, P. Wagner, S. Fischbach, A. Vaneski, J. Schneider, A. S. Susha, A. L.Rogach, F. Jäckel, J. Feldmann, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 2012, *100*, 223903.

[26] S. Horikoshi, N. Watanabe, M. Mukae, H. Hidaka, N. Serpone, *New J. Chem.* 2001, 25, 999-1005.

[27] F. Guzman, S. S. C. Chuang, C. Yang, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 2013, 52, 61-65.

[28] B. Jürgens, E. Irran, J. Senker, P. Kroll, H. Müller, W. Schnick, J. Am. Chem. Soc.
2003, 125, 10288-10300.

[29] T. Komatsu, J. Mater. Chem. 2001, 11, 799-801.

[30] C. Gervais, F. Babonneau, J. Maquet, C. Bonhomme, D. Massiot, E. Framery, M.Vaultier, *Magn. Reson. Chem.* 1998, *36*, 407-414.

[31] M. Shalom, S. Inal, C. Fettkenhauer, D. Neher, M. Antonietti, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013, *135*, 7118-7121.

[32] a) D. Hollmann, M. Karnahl, S. Tschierlei, K. Kailasam, M. Schneider, J. r. Radnik, K. Grabow, U. Bentrup, H. Junge, M. Beller, S. Lochbrunner, A. Thomas, A. Brückner, *Chem. Mater.* 2014, *26*, 1727-1733; b) H. Zhang, A. Yu, *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2014, *118*, 11628-11635.

[33] a) K. Wu, T. Lian, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2016**, *45*, 3781-3810; b) T. Simon, N.

Bouchonville, M. J. Berr, A. Vaneski, A. Adrović, D. Volbers, R. Wyrwich, M. Döblinger, A.S. Susha, A. L. Rogach, F. Jäckel, J. K. Stolarczyk, J. Feldmann, *Nat. Mater.* 2014, *13*, 1013-1018.

[34] A. Thomas, A. Fischer, F. Goettmann, M. Antonietti, J.-O. Müller, R. Schlögl, J. M.Carlsson, J. Mater. Chem. 2008, 18, 4893-4908.

[35] F. Fina, H. Ménard, J. T. S. Irvine, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2015, 17, 13929-13936.

[36] a) P. Bera, K. R. Priolkar, A. Gayen, P. R. Sarode, M. S. Hegde, S. Emura, R.

Kumashiro, V. Jayaram, G. N. Subbanna, Chem. Mater. 2003, 15, 2049-2060; b) F. Zhang, J.

 Chen, X. Zhang, W. Gao, R. Jin, N. Guan, Y. Li, *Langmuir* 2004, *20*, 9329-9334; c) Y. Nagai,
T. Hirabayashi, K. Dohmae, N. Takagi, T. Minami, H. Shinjoh, S. Matsumoto, *J. Catal.* 2006, *242*, 103-109; d) A. Lewera, L. Timperman, A. Roguska, N. Alonso-Vante, *J. Phys. Chem. C*2011, *115*, 20153-20159; e) N. G. Akalework, C.-J. Pan, W.-N. Su, J. Rick, M.-C. Tsai, J.-F.
Lee, J.-M. Lin, L.-D. Tsaic, B.-J. Hwang, *J. Mater. Chem.* 2012, *22*, 20977-20985.

[37] a) V. Climent, A. Rodes, R. Albalat, J. Claret, J. M. Feliu, A. Aldaz, *Langmuir* 2001, 17, 8260-8269; b) M. García-Hernández, U. Birkenheuer, A. Hu, F. Illas, N. Rösch, *Surf. Sci.* 2001, 471, 151-162.

[38] J. Neugebauer, M. Scheffler, *Physical review. B, Condensed matter* 1992, 46, 16067-16080.

[39] M. J. Piotrowski, P. Piquini, J. L. F. Da Silva, *Physical Review B* 2010, *81*, 155446.

[40] a) V. Blum, R. Gehrke, F. Hanke, P. Havu, V. Havu, X. Ren, K. Reuter, M. Scheffler, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* 2009, *180*, 2175-2196; b) V. Havu, V. Blum, P. Havu, M. Scheffler, *J. Comput. Phys.* 2009, *228*, 8367-8379; c) F. Knuth, C. Carbogno, V. Atalla, V. Blum, M. Scheffler, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* 2015, *190*, 33-50; d) A. Marek, V. Blum, R. Johanni, V. Havu, B. Lang, T. Auckenthaler, A. Heinecke, H.-J. Bungartz, H. Lederer, *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* 2014, *26*, 213201.

[41] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, M. Ernzerhof, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 1996, 77, 3865-3868.

[42] A. Tkatchenko, M. Scheffler, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2009**, *102*, 073005.

[43] F. L. Hirshfeld, *Theoretica Chimica Acta* 1977, 44, 129-138.

[44] M. Himmelsbach, T. D. T. Vo, *Electrophoresis* **2014**, *35*, 1362-1367.



Melon (1D polymer)

g-C₃N₄

PHI

Scheme 1. Structures of "graphitic carbon nitrides". Shown are the 1D polymer melon (left), the fully condensed 2D counterpart (middle), and the 2D network PHI.



Scheme 2. Simplified reaction scheme of the compound synthesized in this work, showing melon and its conversion to $NCN-CN_x$ by a post-synthetic reaction using KSCN melt, and its acid-induced hydrolysis to urea- CN_x .



Figure 1. a) Photocatalytic hydrogen evolution under AM1.5, 400 nm or 500 nm band pass irradiation using methanol (10 vol%) as electron donor at the optimized Pt loading. Reactor headspace was purged after every overnight cycle, and methanol (200 μ L) was added on the 24th and 87th hour. Since the gas chromatograph is operated manually, sampling is done at irregular intervals to give the illusion that the rate is increasing. b) Optimization of hydrogen evolution rate to Pt loading. c) Hydrogen evolution under AM1.5 irradiation and optimized Pt loading using different electron donors (50 mM); note that the non-linearity of some plots are due to the break in the y-axis. d) Photocatalytic hydrogen evolution without Pt co-catalyst under AM1.5 irradiation, using aqueous methanol as electron donor (10 vol%); note that some plots appear erratic as the amounts of hydrogen evolved were small and may be affected by frequent sampling.



Figure 2. Spectroscopic characterization of urea- CN_x : a) FTIR (enlarged spectra in the 2400–1400 cm⁻¹ range shown in Figure S2); b) action spectrum compared to those of NCN- CN_x and melon; c) ¹³C and d) ¹⁵N magic angle spinning solid state NMR with either ¹H cross polarization or direct excitation; inset of c is an enlarged version showing the minute ¹³C cyanamide signal, while the inset of d shows the proposed structure of urea- CN_x and the NMR assignment; e) evolution of signal integrals *vs* inversion time in the ¹⁵N CPPI experiment and estimation of the turning points, f) ¹⁵N-¹³C 2D spectrum of the ¹H \rightarrow ¹⁵N, ¹⁵N \rightarrow ¹³C double cross polarization experiment. Comparisons of the ¹³C and ¹⁵N NMR spectra were made with ¹⁵N-enriched urea in D₂O. Deconvolution of the N3 signal in the ¹⁵N CP NMR is shown in Figure S2.



Figure 3. Characterization of urea- CN_x : a) XRD pattern of urea- CN_x compared with those of NCN- CN_x and melon; b) argon sorption isotherm and pore size distribution (inset); c) SEM; d) TEM and its fast Fourier transform (inset), where the red quarter circle shows a *d*-spacing of 10.4 Å, and e) electron diffraction pattern, where the quarter circles show *d*-spacings in Å.



Figure 4. a) PL spectra with excitation at 375 nm of aqueous suspensions of melon and urea- CN_x ; b) PL decay curves of melon and urea- CN_x ; c) comparison of the PL intensity for melon and urea- CN_x under different environments based on the integral of the PL signal; comparison of PL decay curves of melon (d) and urea- CN_x (e) in the presence of the electron (Pt) or hole acceptor (MeOH).





Figure 5. Characterization of the spent photocatalyst compared to the pristine material by: a) FTIR; b) XRD; XPS spectrum referenced to adventitious carbon at 284.8 eV in the K_{2p} and C_{1s} (c), N_{1s} (d), O_{1s} (e) and Pt_{4f} (f) regions. For (c) to (e), the black and red hairlines correspond respectively to the spent and pristine urea- CN_x , while for (f) the black, red and black hairlines correspond to urea- CN_x , NCN- CN_x and melon, respectively. For (c) to (e), the direction of the peak(s) shift of the spent compared to the pristine catalyst is indicated by the arrow. For (f), the arrows show the direction of the peak shift going from melon to NCN- CN_x to urea- CN_x . ¹³C direct excitation (g), and ¹⁵N CP (h) MAS ssNMR. Since only changes in the chemical environments, rather than their quantification, are of interest in the NMR spectra, the spent catalyst with natural isotopic abundance is compared with the pristine one from Figure 2, which has 99% ¹³C and ¹⁵N isotope enrichment.



Figure 6. Electron microscopy analyses of the urea- CN_x after 100+ h photocatalysis: a) TEM and the FFT with the quarter circle indicating a *d*-spacing of 11 Å (inset); b) electron diffraction pattern showing the *d*-spacings in Å of Pt.



Figure 7. First-principles model of the interaction of melon versus urea- CN_x with a Pt_{13} cluster. H: white; C: grey; N: blue; O: red; Pt: silver. a) Element-resolved smoothed partial density of states of the melon bilayer + Pt_{13} cluster model. Inset: Pt_{13} attachment via NH_2 side group. b) Element-resolved smoothed partial density of states of the urea- CN_x bilayer + Pt_{13} cluster model used in this work. Inset: Pt_{13} attachment via O. The lower panels in a) and b) show Mulliken decompositions of selected individual levels inside the melon / urea- CN_x model HOMO-LUMO gaps. Two-colored bars, grey and orange, mark the bilayer substrate vs. Pt_{13} cluster fractions of each state. Numbers on the x axes index the selected states in order of their single-particle energy, with zero indicating the highest state with a fractional occupation of 0.5 or above. c) Side and top views of the orbital density of the partially hybridized state (state ID 5 in lower panel of a) located at 0.20 eV below the LUMO of the melon substrate (state ID 6 in lower panel of a). d) Top and side views of the orbital density of the strongly hybridized state (state ID 1 in lower panel of b) with contributions from Pt_{13} and from the urea- CN_x substrate LUMO. Orange rings in the top views indicate the location of the Pt_{13} cluster.



Figure 8. Comparison of urea- CN_x and melon prepared from urea and melamine: a) ¹⁵N CP and b) and ¹³C direct excitation NMR, with lines drawn to illustrate how the spectra for melon (urea) resemble urea- CN_x as compared to melon. Identical to Figure 2c and d, the black and red numbers are assignment of the ¹⁵N and ¹³C signals, respectively, to the proposed local structure of urea- CN_x (Figure 2d inset). Note that both melon and melon (urea) are not isotope-enriched. c) diffuse reflectance UV-Vis spectra, and d) photocatalytic hydrogen evolution from methanol solution and AM1.5 irradiation (right).

Table 1. Elemental analyses and C:N molar ratios of the urea-CN _x , NCN-CN _x , melon, and									
PHI; all valu	ues are weig	ht percentages	and uncertaint	ties are the st	tandard deviation	ons of			
measuremen	nt replicates.								
	C	N	V	C	C:N molar	C:N molar			

	С	Ν	К	S	C:N molar ratio	C:N molar ratio (th.)
Urea-CN _x	28.8 ± 0.3	48.2 ± 0.2	0.134 ± 0.001	0.017 ± 0.004	0.699 ± 0.007	0.700
NCN-CN _x	26.7 ± 0.0	44.4 ± 0.1	7.54 ± 0.08	0.17 ± 0.11	0.701 ± 0.002	0.700
Melon	35.4 ± 0.1	60.6 ± 0.5	a)	a)	0.681 ± 0.006	$0.667^{b)}$
PHI ^{c)}	37.4	61.8	-	-	-	0.706
-)	- 1-)					

^{a)}Not measured; ^{b)} assuming infinite 1D heptazine polymer with a unit cell formula $C_6N_9H_3$; ^{c)} Theoretical values based on a unit cell formula of $C_{12}N_{17}H_3$ based on ref 12, but without the central melamine

Defective design: Graphitic carbon nitride was modified with the urea moiety as a photocatalytically relevant "defect", yielding a material with a sacrificial photocatalytic hydrogen evolution rate well over an order of magnitude higher than that of the unmodified counterpart. The outperformance is attributed to metal-support interaction between the urea group and the Pt co-catalyst, thereby facilitating interfacial electron transfer.

Keyword: Photocatalysis

Vincent Wing-hei Lau, Victor Wen-zhe Yu, Florian Ehrat, Tiago Botari, Igor Moudrakovski, Thomas Simon, Viola Duppel, Elise Medina, Jacek Stolarczyk, Jochen Feldmann, Volker Blum, and Bettina V. Lotsch*

Urea-modified carbon nitrides: Enhancing Photocatalytic Hydrogen Evolution by Rational Defect Engineering



Supporting Information

Urea-modified carbon nitrides: Enhancing Photocatalytic Hydrogen Evolution by Rational Defect Engineering

Vincent Wing-hei Lau, Victor Wen-zhe Yu, Florian Ehrat, Tiago Botari, Igor Moudrakovski, Thomas Simon, Viola Duppel, Elise Medina, Jacek Stolarczyk, Jochen Feldmann, Volker Blum, and Bettina V. Lotsch

Experimental details

All chemicals used are reagent grade purity. Melon and NCN- CN_x were prepared following published procedures. Briefly, melon was prepared by heating melamine (14 g) unless otherwise stated, loaded in a lidded quartz boat, in a tube furnace under argon at 550 °C at 5 °C min⁻¹ for 12 h. After cooling to ambient temperature, the yellow solid obtained was thoroughly ground in a ceramic mortar and pestle prior to further processing and analysis (yield 6–7 g). NCN-CN_x was prepared in a salt melt of KSCN as follows. Melon (1.5 g) was thoroughly mixed in a ceramic mortar and pestle with KSCN (3.0 g, dried overnight at 140 °C in vacuum) and the resulting mixture was loaded in an alumina boat. The mixture was then heated in a tube furnace under argon to 400 °C for 1 h, then at 500 °C for 30 min, both at maximum ramp. After cooling to ambient temperature, the product was washed repeatedly with water until all residue of KSCN was removed as judged by XRD, then dried at 60 °C in a vacuum oven, yielding 1.3 g of the product as a yellow solid. Urea-CN_x was prepared by adding aqueous HCl (50 mL, 1 M) to NCN-CN_x (600 mg), whereupon the yellow solid immediately turned off-white. After several hours of stirring, the solid was isolated by centrifugation, washed repeatedly with water, then dried at 60 °C in vacuum. Batch to batch variation of photocatalytic activity is shown in Figure S1.

Melon (urea) was prepared by heating urea (19.4 g) in a lidded quartz boat in a tube furnace under argon flow at 550 °C at 5 °C h⁻¹ ramp for 12 h. The color of the product is pale yellow with yield of 4–5 g.

Instrumental details

X-ray diffraction patterns were collected using a STOE Stadi P diffractometer (Cu K α 1) in Debye-Scherrer configuration. ATR-IR spectra were collected with a PerkinElmer UATR TWO spectrometer equipped with a diamond crystal. Diffuse reflectance UV-Vis spectra were collected on a Cary 5000 spectrometer (referenced to PTFE or barium sulfate) and the
Analysensysteme GmbH). Other elements were quantified with a Vista Pro Simultaneous ICP-OES Spectrometer combined with axially plasma system as excitation source and echelle polychromator with CCD detector (Varian Darmstadt). Calibrations were carried out by standard addition and the data were analyzed by the ICP-*Expert* software. Samples were digested in concentrated HNO₃ at 150 °C for 14 h in a Teflon-lined autoclave.

Liquid state ¹H, ¹³C and ¹⁵N NMR spectra were collected on a Bruker Avance 300 MHz NMR spectrometer at resonance frequencies of 300, 75.5 and 30.4 MHz, respectively ($B_0 =$ 7.04 T). Solid-state ¹H, ¹³C and ¹⁵N NMR experiments were performed on a Bruker Avance-III 400 MHz instrument at the frequencies of 400, 100.61 and 40.53 MHz, respectively ($B_0 =$ 9.4 T). Chemical shifts for ¹H and ¹³C are referenced to tetramethylsilane (TMS, $\delta(^{1}H, ^{13}C) =$ 0.0 ppm), while ¹⁵N is referenced to nitromethane ($\delta = 0.0$ ppm, with positive shifts to higher frequency). Magic Angle Spinning (MAS) with spinning rates ranging between 10 and 12.5 kHz was used in all experiments on solids. ¹³C spectra with cross-polarization (CP) were recorded with a ramped polarization mixing and SPINAL-64 proton decoupling (¹H RF field of 80 kHz).^[1] Quantitative ¹³C spectra were acquired in a direct excitation mode with relaxation delay between the consecutive scans set to 900 s. This relaxation delay was at least 4 times the longest T_1 in all carbon sites in the materials studied, as estimated from preliminary relaxation time measurements. Between 160 and 256 scans were commonly accumulated, with all experiments accompanied by SPINAL-64 decoupling. ¹H-¹³C and ¹H-¹⁵N frequency switched Lee-Goldburg hetero-nuclear correlations (FSLG HETCOR) experiments^[2] were carried out with ramped cross polarization, ¹H RF =100 kHz, and spinning speed of 12.5 kHz. Short mixing times of 50 -150 µs were used in order to avoid

long range polarization transfer. A total of 128 increments were made in an indirect dimension (¹H), with 560 acquisitions per increment. Deconvolution and integration of the solid state spectra were carried out using the *Dmfit* software version 20111221.^[3] A Cross-Polarization with Polarization Inversion (CPPI) experiment implemented previously reported pulse sequences.^[4] A ramped mixing pulse of 5 ms was followed by a proton polarization inverting pulse in a range of 10 to 500 μ s. In Double Cross-Polarizations (DCP) experiments^[5] the first CP step transfers magnetization from protons to ¹⁵N. Then, in a second cross polarization step, magnetization is transferred from ¹⁵N to ¹³C. The signal is detected on ¹³C under SPINAL-64 proton decoupling. Ramped and tangential mixing pulses were used in the consecutive cross-polarization steps with optimized durations of 0.4-0.6 ms and 9 ms. A total of 128 increments were made in an indirect dimension (¹⁵N), with 192 acquisitions per increment and a relaxation delay of 2s.

Sorption measurements were performed on a Quantachrome Autosorb iQ gas sorption analyzer using argon as the sorbent at 87.45 K. Samples were outgassed for overnight at 150 °C to a vacuum of 10^{-7} mbar. Surface areas were calculated using Brunauer–Emmett– Teller (BET) theory from the argon adsorption isotherms of the samples. Pore size distribution and volume were calculated from the adsorption isotherm employing either the non-local (NLDFT) or quenched solid density functional theory (QSDFT) using the "Ar-Carbon cylindrical pores at 87 K" or the "Ar-Carbon slit pores at 87 K" kernel (applicable pore diameters 0.35 - 36 nm) as implemented in the AUTOSORB data reduction software. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was performed with a Philips CM30 ST (300 kV, LaB6 cathode). The samples were suspended in n-butanol and drop-cast onto a lacey carbon film (Plano). Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was performed on a Zeiss Merlin electron microscope. For X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), samples were pressed onto indium foil and the spectra were collected on an Axis Ultra (Kratos Analytical, Manchester) X-ray photoelectron spectrometer with charge neutralization. The spectra were processed using the software CasaXPS 2.3.16. The spectra were referenced with the adventitious carbon 1s peak at 284.80 eV. Binding energies were compared with the NIST Standard Reference Database 20 (Version 4.1) unless otherwise specified.

Thermogravimetric analysis coupled with mass spectroscopy (TGA-MS) was performed with the instrument STA 409 C (Netzsch GmbH, Selb, Germany) connected with a quadrupole mass spectrometer QMS 422 (Balzers, Hudson, USA). Samples were loaded in alumina crucibles and heated under argon (100 mL min⁻¹) from ambient temperature to 900 °C at a ramp rate of 1 °C min⁻¹.

Static photoluminescence (PL) spectra were acquired with a Horiba Jobin Yvon Fluorolog-3 FL3-22 spectrometer equipped with a water-cooled Horiba R928 PMT detector. Fluorescence was detected at an angle of 160° with respect to the excitation source. The samples were measured at a suspension concentration of 1 mg mL⁻¹ in water or aqueous methanol solution (10 vol%). The suspension was stirred during the measurement to prevent suspension sedimentation.

Time resolved fluorescence measurements were carried out with a TCSPC setup. A NKT SuperK white light laser with ExtendUV was used as the excitation source at 375 nm. The fluorescence was recorded by an avalanche photodiode mounted to a spectrometer and a PicoQuant TimeHarp 260. The same sample suspensions as for the static PL measurements were used and also stirred during the measurements.

Zeta potentials were measured on a Malvern Zetasizer Nano ZS. The carbon nitride sample was suspended at concentration of 0.1 mg mL⁻¹ in solutions of various pH adjusted by HCl or

NaOH, with the ionic strength maintained at 10 mM using NaCl. The suspension was sonicated for 15 min before loading in a folded capillary cell (Malvern) for measurement.

Photocatalytic experiments

Photocatalytic experiments were performed in a double-walled glass reactor, where the outer compartment is circulated with thermostated water (25 °C), as previously described.^[6] In the platinum-free cases, the reactor and magnetic stirrer were cleaned with aqua regia prior to the photocatalysis experiment. The reactor was top-irradiated through a quartz window with a xenon lamp (Newport, 300 W) equipped with a water filter and a full spectrum mirror (2000 nm > λ > 200 nm). An air mass (AM) 1.5 filter was also used where specified. Unless stated otherwise, for a standard photocatalytic experiment, the catalyst powder (20 mg) was suspended in a solution of water (18 mL), methanol (2 mL) and dihydrogen hexachloroplatinate (5 µL, 8 wt% aqueous solution, Aldrich), which forms the platinum cocatalyst from its *in*-situ reduction. This platinum amount yields a loading of around 1 wt%. The photocatalytic experiments in pure water followed an identical procedure, except that only water (20 mL) was used (i.e. no methanol nor dihydrogen hexachloroplatinate). The headspace was subjected to several cycles of evacuation and argon backfill prior to the experiment. In the course of the experiment, the headspace of the reactor was periodically sampled and hydrogen was quantified by gas chromatography (Thermo Scientific TRACE GC Ultra) equipped with a TCD detector using argon as the carrier gas.

After the photocatalytic experiment, the catalyst was recovered by centrifugation, washed with water, then dried at 60 °C in vacuum. For quick optimization of platinum loading, the photocatalytic experiments were performed in disposable septum-capped glass vials containing the catalyst (10 mg), water (9 mL) and methanol (1 mL) and a variable amount of dihydrogen hexachloroplatinate solution. The vial was stirred whilst irradiated using a xenon lamp as above for 3 h, then the hydrogen in the headspace was quantified. Experiments for

the estimation of quantum efficiency were conducted using band pass filters with band centers at 400 nm and 500 nm with full width half maximum of 50 nm (Thorlab). Irradiance of the incident light was measured using a thermopile (Thorlabs) and photon flux was estimated using the integral of the transmission spectra of the band pass filters. Apparent quantum efficiency (AQE) was then calculated as:

$$AQE [\%] = \frac{2 \times Hydrogen \, Evolution \, Rate \, [mol \, h^{-1}]}{Photon \, Flux \, [mol \, h^{-1}]}$$

Further details of our photocatalytic experiments (e.g. schematic of our set-up, reactor configuration, spectra of our irradiation sources) can be found in our previous publication.^[6]



Scheme S1 Structures of NCN- CN_x (top row) and urea- CN_x (bottom row) based on the 1D polymeric structure (left column) and the PHI network structure (right column).



Characterization of urea-CN_x and other carbon nitrides

Figure S1 Variation of photocatalytic activity of four batches of catalysts under AM1.5 irradiation and methanol (10 vol%) as electron donor. Left: initial photocatalytic hydrogen evolution (average rate of first six hours) for the carbon nitrides at optimized platinum loading. Right: platinum-free hydrogen evolution of urea- CN_x for the first 25 h.



Figure S2 Left: enlarged version of the FTIR spectra in Figure 2a, focusing on the cyanamide $(2300-2100 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ and urea region $(1800-1400 \text{ cm}^{-1})$. Right: deconvolution of the N3 signal from the ¹⁵N CP spectrum of urea-CN_x.



Figure S3 Top left: comparison of the FTIR spectra of urea- CN_x of natural isotope abundance and the sample prepared from ¹³C and ¹⁵N enriched KSCN; top right: thermogravimetric analysis of urea- CN_x ; bottom: diffuse reflectance spectra and extraction of their optical gaps.



Figure S4 Steady state PL spectra of melon (left) and urea-CN_x (right).

Table S1 Elemental analyses of urea- CN_x before and after 100+ h photocatalysis. All values unless otherwise stated are weight percentages and uncertainties are the standard deviations of the measurement replicates.

	С	Ν	Pt	C:N molar ratio	Residual Weight (%)
Pristine	28.9 ± 0.3	48.2 ± 0.2	-	0.698 ± 0.007	19.6
Spent	27.9 ± 0.3	45.0 ± 0.1	0.77 ± 0.01	0.724 ± 0.007	21.2



Figure S5 SEM images of the spent urea- CN_x using the secondary electron detector (left) and backscattered electron detector (right), the latter of which shows the platinum particles as bright spots.



Figure S6 Zeta-potentials of the carbon nitrides studied in this work.



Figure S7 TEM images of melon (left) and melon (urea) (right) after photocatalytic reaction, showing the photo-deposited platinum clusters as dark spots.

By comparing the structure-property-activity relationship in these four carbon nitrides, we can identify the dominant factor leading to high photocatalytic activity in these materials. In agreement with the results from Martin et al,^[7] we can rule out BET surface area based on the differences in their intrinsic activity (hydrogen evolution rate normalized for BET surface area, column 6 in Table S3). Since the hydrogen evolution rate is for illumination under the entire AM1.5 spectrum, the low value for melon (urea) here does not take into account its much higher activity under 400 nm irradiation (*c.f.* AQE values for the four samples). We also rule out water dispersibility as measured by zeta potential (Figure S6) since, at pH \approx 5 where the photocatalytic experiments were performed, the second most active NCN-CN_x has the highest dispersibility due to its anionic charge, while melon (urea) and melon have nearly identical zeta potential despite the former being twice as active as the latter. The most active material, urea-CN_x, has zeta potential in-between NCN-CN_x and the two melon samples. Note that melon is the only sample out of the four that shows significant settling. Zeta potential here thus measures only the melon particles that are sufficiently small (<2 µm) to maintain colloidal stability.

The photo-deposited Pt co-catalyst in all four platinized samples are nearly spherical with diameter in the range of 2-4 nm (Figure S7 for platinized melon and melon (urea); see reference [8] for NCN-CN_x) at loading of below 1 wt% for all but the NCN-CN_x sample (Table S2 for elemental analyses). From the similarity of the Pt size and morphology as well as the absence of correlation between optimized Pt loading and hydrogen evolution rate, we thus rule these out as factors for the activity difference. Lastly, we observed that the Pt particles on urea-CN_x and melon (urea) are distributed over the entire carbon nitride surface, while those of melon suffer from aggregation; note that the apparent aggregation of Pt on NCN-CN_x is due to the much higher optimized Pt loading (5 wt% for NCN-CN_x vs 0.8 wt% for urea-CN_x). The metal-support interaction described in the computational section can rationalize these results as this effect is known to enhance the dispersion of metal nanoparticles as they nucleate,^[9] which we believe to operate for urea-CN_x as well. However, this dispersibility in itself does not explain the order-of-magnitude difference in hydrogen evolution rate between urea-CN_x and melon (urea). Having eliminated these aforementioned factors, we thus deduce efficient charge transfer mediated by the platinum/urea-CN_x interaction to be a key determinant for its high activity. Future work examining this interface in details may clarify the role of such metal-support interaction, and may enable further improvement in the catalyst design process.



Figure S8 Part 1 of the orbital plots of Pt₁₃-Melon from just below the valence band maximum (VBM) to just above the conduction band minimum (CBM)



Figure S9 Part 2 of the orbital plots of Pt_{13} -Melon from just below the valence band maximum (VBM) to just above the conduction band minimum (CBM)



Figure S10 Part 3 of the orbital plots of Pt_{13} -Melon from just below the valence band maximum (VBM) to just above the conduction band minimum (CBM)



Figure S11 Part 1 of the orbital plots of Pt_{13} -urea- CN_x from just below the valence band maximum (VBM) to just above the conduction band minimum (CBM)



Figure S12 Part 2 of the orbital plots of Pt_{13} -urea- CN_x from just below the valence band maximum (VBM) to just above the conduction band minimum (CBM)



Figure S13 Part 1 of the orbital plots of Pt_{13} -urea- CN_x from just below the valence band maximum (VBM) to just above the conduction band minimum (CBM)

Table S2 Elemental analyses in weight percentages of all spent carbon nitrides studied in this work at the platinum loading optimized for

hydrogen evolution.

	С	Ν	Pt	Residual Weight (%)
Urea-CN _x	27.9 ± 0.3	45.0 ± 0.1	0.77 ± 0.01	21.2
NCN-CN _x *	27.6 ± 0.1	43.6 ± 0.1	5.33	23.5
Melon	33.7 ± 0.04	57.2 ± 0.2	0.91 ± 0.04	8.19
Melon (urea)	31.3 ± 0.05	52.5 ± 0.3	0.83 ± 0.01	15.4

*Results from reference [8]

Table S3 Summary of photocatalytic performance metrics and the BET surface areas

	Optical gap (eV)*	H ₂ evolution rate** (µmol h ⁻¹)	Apparent quantum efficiency (%)†	BET surface area (m ² g ⁻¹)	Intrinsic H ₂ evolution rate: (μ mol h ⁻¹ m ⁻²)
Urea-CN _x	2.86	56.2	17.9	64.6	43.5
NCN-CN _x	2.69	24.7	9.3	54.9	22.5
Melon	2.69	2.0	0.5	16.4	6.1
Melon (urea)	2.74	4.4	4.7	42.3	5.2

*Determined from Figure S3

**AM1.5 irradiation, 20 mg photocatalyst, optimized platinum loading and MeOH (20 mL of 10 vol%) as electron donor

*As in *, but using 400±20 nm band pass filter *Normalizing the final steady rate of hydrogen evolution under AM1.5 (column 3) with BET surface area (column 5) taking into account that 20 mg of photocatalyst was used in each experiment

WILEY-VCH

Table S4 Comparison of the photocatalytic activity for hydrogen evolution, based on AQE values, of the carbon nitrides synthesized in this work and selected visible light active photocatalysts from our group and from the literature.

Photocatalyst	Catalyst loading (mg mL-1)	AQE (%)	λ (nm)	Reactant solution
Pt/Urea-CN _x	1	18	400	MeOH
Pt/NCN-CN _x	1	9	400	MeOH
Pt/Melon (this work)	1	0.5	400	МеОН
Pt/Melem oligomer ^[6]	1	1	400	МеОН
Pt/Poly(triazine imide) ^[10]	1	Inactive	-	MeOH
Pt/Poly(triazine imide) ^[10]	1	0.6	400	Triethanolamine
Pt/Poly(triazine imide), 4-amino- 2,6-dihydroxypyrimidine doped ^[10]	1	0.3	400	MeOH
Pt/Poly(triazine imide), 4-amino- 2,6-dihydroxypyrimidine doped ^[10]	1	3	400	Triethanolamine
Pt/Azine COF ^[11]	0.5	Inactive	-	МеОН
Pt/Azine COF ^[11]	0.5	0.5	450	Triethanolamine
Pt/Melon (urea) ^[7]	0.43	27	400	Triethanolamine
Pt/Melon hollow nanosphere ^[12]	0.2	7.5	420	Triethanolamine
Pt/Poly(triazine imide), 2,4,6- triaminopyrimidine doped ^[13]	1	15	400	Triethanolamine
Pt/CdS ^[14]	26	35	436	Na ₂ SO ₃
$Pt/AgInZn_7S_9^{[14]}$	1	20	420	$Na_2S + K_2SO_3$
$Rh_{2-x}Cr_{x}O_{3} / (Ga_{0.88}Zn_{0.12})(N_{0.88}O_{0.12})^{[14]}$	0.81	6	420-440	H ₂ SO ₄ (pH 4.5)

WILEY-VCH

References

[1] B. M. Fung, A. K. Khitrin, K. Ermolaev, J. Magn. Reson. 2000, 142, 97-101.

[2] a) B.-J. v. Rossum, H. Förster, H. J. M. d. Groot, J. Magn. Reson. 1997, 124, 516-519;
b) A. Lesage, L. Emsley, J. Magn. Reson. 2001, 148, 449-454.

[3] D. Massiot, F. Fayon, M. Capron, I. King, S. L. Calvé, B. Alonso, J.-O. Durand, B.
Bujoli, Z. Gan, G. Hoatson, *Magn. Reson. Chem.* 2002, 40, 70-76.

[4] a) R. Sangill, N. Rastrupandersen, H. Bildsoe, H. J. Jakobsen, N. C. Nielsen, *J. Magn. Reson., Ser A* 1994, *107*, 67-78; b) C. Gervais, F. Babonneau, J. Maquet, C. Bonhomme, D. Massiot, E. Framery, M. Vaultier, *Magn. Reson. Chem.* 1998, *36*, 407-414; c) C. Gervais, J. Maquet, F. Babonneau, C. Duriez, E. Framery, M. Vaultier, P. Florian, D. Massiot, *Chem. Mater.* 2001, *13*, 1700-1707.

[5] a) J. Schaefer, T. A. Skokut, E. O. Stejskal, R. A. McKay, J. E. Varner, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 1981, 78, 5978-5982; b) J. Schaefer, E. O. Stejskal, J. R. Garbow, R. A. McKay, *J. Magn. Reson.* 1984, 59, 150-156; c) M. Baldus, A. T. Petkova, J. Herzfeld, R. G. Griffin, *Mol. Phys.* 1998, 95, 1197-1207.

[6] V. W.-h. Lau, M. B. Mesch, V. Duppel, V. Blum, J. Senker, B. V. Lotsch, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 1064-1072.

[7] D. J. Martin, K. Qiu, S. A. Shevlin, A. D. Handoko, X. Chen, Z. Guo, J. Tang, *Angew*.*Chem.* 2014, *53*, 9240-9245.

[8] V. W.-h. Lau, I. Moudrakovski, T. Botari, S. Weinberger, M. B. Mesch, V. Duppel, J. Senker, V. Blum, B. V. Lotsch, *Nat. Commun.* 2016, 7, 12165.

[9] J. Melke, B. Peter, A. Habereder, J. Ziegler, C. Fasel, A. Nefedov, H. Sezen, C. Wöll,
H. Ehrenberg, C. Roth, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2016, *8*, 82-90.

WILEY-VCH

[10] K. Schwinghammer, B. Tuffy, M. B. Mesch, E. Wirnhier, C. Martineau, F. Taulelle,
W. Schnick, J. Senker, B. V. Lotsch, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2013, *52*, 2435-2439.

[11] V. S. Vyas, F. Haase, L. Stegbauer, G. Savasci, F. Podjaski, C. Ochsenfeld, B. V. Lotsch, *Nat. Commun.* 2015, *6*, 8508.

[12] J. Sun, J. Zhang, M. Zhang, M. Antonietti, X. Fu, X. Wang, *Nat. Commun.* 2012, *3*, 1139.

[13] M. K. Bhunia, K. Yamauchi, K. Takanabe, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2014, *53*, 11001-11005.

[14] A. Kudo, Y. Miseki, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 253-278.

Click here to access/download Supporting Information urea_cnx_bilayer.cif

Click here to access/download Supporting Information urea_cnx_bilayer_pt.cif

Click here to access/download Supporting Information melon_bilayer.cif

Click here to access/download Supporting Information melon_bilayer_pt.cif

Click here to access/download **Production Data** Figure 1.pdf

Click here to access/download **Production Data** Figure 2.pdf

Click here to access/download **Production Data** Figure 3.pdf

Click here to access/download **Production Data** Figure 4.pdf

Click here to access/download **Production Data** Figure 5.pdf

Click here to access/download **Production Data** Figure 6.pdf

Click here to access/download **Production Data** figure7_v3.tif

Click here to access/download **Production Data** Figure 8.pdf Scheme 1

Click here to access/download **Production Data** Scheme 1.pdf Scheme 2

Click here to access/download **Production Data** Scheme 2.pdf Graphical abstract

Click here to access/download **Production Data** Graphical-abstract.jpg