Is resistance to ischaemia of motor axons in diabetic subjects due to membrane depolarization?
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SUMMARY

The reasons for the resistance to ischaemia of peripheral nerves in diabetics are not well understood. We have now explored whether axonal depolarization underlies this phenomenon, as has previously been proposed. Resistance to ischaemia was determined by the new method of “threshold tracking”. This method revealed an increase in excitability of the peroneal nerve at the popliteal fossa during ischaemia, and a decrease in excitability in the post-ischaemic period. The extent of these alterations in 28 type 1 diabetics without peripheral neuropathy showed a strong correlation with the mean blood glucose concentrations during the last 24 h before examination. To test whether the ischaemic resistance was related to membrane potential, we also measured axonal superexcitability in 11 selected diabetics, since it has been shown that post-spike changes in excitability depend on membrane potential. Changes in excitability of the peroneal nerve were measured in the period between 10 and 30 msec following a conditioning supramaximal compound action potential. Under resting conditions, no differences in the post-spike superexcitability were found between controls and diabetics, despite striking differences in their responses to a 10-min pressure cuff. These observations indicate that membrane depolarization is not involved in the resistance to ischaemia of motor axons in diabetic subjects.
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INTRODUCTION

Resistance to ischaemia is a characteristic property of the peripheral nerves in diabetes mellitus. It was first described by Steiness (1959, 1961a, b) and later confirmed by many other investigators (Gregersen 1968; Horowitz and Ginsberg-Fellner 1979; reviews by Ludin and Tackmann 1984; Low 1987; Thomas and Brown 1987). Recent publications have provided good evidence that resistance to ischaemia is due to a marked increase in energy substrate stores (Jaramillo et al. 1985; Low et al. 1985; Low 1987; Shirabe et al. 1988; Parry and Kohzu 1989). An alternative hypothesis was put forward by Ritchie (1985), that membrane depolarization is responsible for the change in sensitivity to ischaemia. This was based on computer simulations, according to which the metabolic cost of impulse propagation is reduced in slightly depolarized fibres. Several mechanisms have been described which may depolarize axons in patients with diabetes. First, endoneurial hypoxia (Tuck et al. 1984; Newrick et al. 1986; Masson 1988) as a result of diabetic microangiopathy (Dyck 1989) may be such a factor. Secondly, a decreased uptake of myo-inositol has been reported to result in a reduced activity of the Na⁺/K⁺ pump (Greene et al. 1988). Such a diminished Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase activity has been found in biochemical studies (Das et al. 1976).

We thought it important to clarify the mechanism of the abnormal resistance to ischaemia in diabetics, since this may help to gain insight into the aetiology of diabetic neuropathies. We have previously found that "threshold tracking" provides a sensitive measure of ischaemic resistance (Weigl et al. 1989), and have used the technique in this study to select a group of subjects with pronounced ischaemic resistance, and also to evaluate the relationship between ischaemic resistance and blood glucose levels. To test for an abnormal resting potential of the motor axons exhibiting ischaemic resistance, we have measured superexcitability, the transient increase in electrical excitability that follows in the wake of an action potential. Superexcitability is strongly potential dependent, and provides a useful extracellular measure of changes in membrane potential (Bostock and Grafe 1985). Our results, which indicate that an abnormality in resting potential is not involved in the ischaemic resistance, have been reported to the Deutsche Physiologische Gesellschaft (Strupp et al. 1990).

METHODS

Determination of susceptibility to ischaemia

The technique of "threshold tracking" used in the present study to determine the susceptibility to ischaemia has been described previously (Weigl et al. 1989). In brief, muscle action potentials were recorded from m. extensor digitorum brevis. The strength
of stimulation of the peroneal nerve in the popliteal fossa was adjusted to maintain a constant electrical response in the muscle (30% of maximal compound action potential). The nerve was stimulated with an 0.2-msec current pulse once/sec. An electronic feedback system automatically increased or reduced the stimulus strength, depending on whether the muscle action potential was below or above a preset voltage. The stimulus current was fed into a sample and hold circuit and recorded by a digital oscilloscope. Since the absolute stimulus currents varied between the different subjects, the digitized data were normalized to the same pre-ischaemic current level. This enabled the data to be compared with respect to percentage changes in threshold, and it also enabled us to obtain unbiased averages of data from controls and patients. Ischaemia was induced by a pressure cuff applied to the thigh (50–70 mm Hg above systolic pressure).

**Superexcitability as an indirect measure of membrane potential**

Changes in axonal excitability were explored 10, 20, and 30 msec following a conditioning, supramaximal stimulus (peroneal nerve at popliteal fossa). The test stimulus was set to produce a muscle compound action potential amplitude that was 30% of maximal. The change in the amplitude of this conditioned potential without and with a conditioning stimulus was used as a measure of the change in axonal excitability. Fig. 1 illustrates the recording protocol in a normal subject. The upper panel (Fig. 1A) shows the change in axonal excitability 10 msec after a preceding action potential. The supramaximal conditioning stimulus, applied to the peroneal nerve at the popliteal fossa (stimulus duration 0.2 msec), evoked a compound muscle action potential (CMAP) at
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**Fig. 1.** Superexcitability as an indirect parameter of membrane potential. A and B show original records (average of 10 sweeps) before (A) and during (B) ischaemia (4 min). The peroneal nerve was stimulated at the popliteal fossa (stimulus duration 0.2 msec); compound muscle action potentials (CMAPs) were recorded from m. extensor digitorum brevis. (a) Conditioning CMAP, evoked by supramaximal stimulation; (b) test CMAP, evoked by a stimulus that was adjusted to 30% of maximal CMAP; (c) response to double stimulation: conditioning CMAP followed by test stimulus (= conditioned CMAP); (d) conditioned CMAP alone; i.e. the conditioning CMAP (trace a) was subtracted digitally from trace c; (e) the test and conditioned CMAP are superimposed. Note the bigger amplitude of the CMAP under normoxia (A) and the lack of this increase in excitability 4 min after the onset of ischaemia.
m. extensor digitorum brevis. A test stimulus was then adjusted to evoke a CMAP of about 30\% in amplitude as compared to the maximal response. For later analysis (see Fig. 4) this amplitude was defined as 100\%. When the test stimulus was preceded by the conditioning CMAP, an increase in amplitude was observed. This is illustrated to the right of the upper panel. There, unconditioned and conditioned CMAPs are superimposed. This phenomenon has been called superexcitability. An early description was given by Gilliat and Willison (1963). In axons, which are depolarized due to ischaemia, no post-spike superexcitability can be seen. This is illustrated in Fig. 1B. Here, the same stimulation protocol was used 4 min after the onset of ischaemia produced by a pressure cuff applied to the thigh. The ischaemic axon was slightly subexcitable 10 msec after the conditioning CMAP.

**Controls and patients**

The mean age of 20 healthy controls (6 female, 14 male) was 31.3 ± 6.7 years (range 24–45). The patients (17 female, 21 male) were selected by the type of diabetes (type 1, insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus) and the absence of neuropathy. The last prerequisite was defined by (a) the absence of neuropathic symptoms or signs, (b) a normal clinical examination (reflexes and sensation), and (c) a peroneal motor conduction velocity of more than 41 m/sec (Oh, 1984). The amplitude of the compound muscle action potential from the m. extensor digitorum brevis exceeded the lower limit of 4 mV given by Oh (1984). The mean age of the patients was 31.5 ± 12.6 years (range 14–54). They had a mean duration of illness of 8.7 ± 7.0 years (range 1 month to 22 years). Their median blood glucose level within 1 h before and after the examination was 151 ± 42 mg/dl (range 48–255). Capillary blood glucose concentrations were determined 3–7 times daily. From these data we calculated the mean blood glucose concentrations of the last 24 h and 72 h, respectively, before examination. Mean glycosylated haemoglobin (HbA1c) of the patients was 8.8 ± 1.7\% (range 5.9–10.5) within 4 weeks before the examination.

**Data and statistics**

Data were recorded, stored, and analyzed on a digital oscilloscope (Nicolet 4562). Results are expressed as mean ± SD. Since the hypotheses we tested specified the directions of possible deviations, an unpaired, one-tailed t-test was used to determine statistical significance. A linear regression analysis was performed to test for significant correlations between changes of excitability and blood glucose levels or HbA1c.

**RESULTS**

**Determination of susceptibility to ischaemia**

In a first series of experiments, an optimal time of ischaemia was explored for a clear difference between the ischaemic behaviour of controls and diabetics. The results are illustrated in Fig. 2. The upper panel stems from observations on several controls and diabetics on which the method of threshold tracking (see Methods; Weigl et al.
1989) was used to determine changes in excitability of the peroneal nerve during two consecutive periods of ischaemia. The first time, the pressure cuff at the thigh was at suprasystolic pressure for 3 min; the second period of ischaemia lasted for 5 min. The lower panel shows the average behaviour of another group of patients and controls during and after 10 min of ischaemia. The data show (a) 5 min of ischaemia are enough for the maximal difference between the ischaemic drop in threshold of controls and diabetics. After this period, a slow rise in threshold was observed in both groups. However, there was no further increase in threshold difference. (b) Differences in the post-ischaemic rise in threshold increased with the duration of ischaemia. Highly significant ($P < 0.001$) alterations were found following a 10-min period of ischaemia. As a consequence of these observations, the longer lasting period of ischaemia was used for the comparison with superexcitability. A protocol with an ischaemic time of more than 10 min was not suitable, due to the development of pain in the leg.

**Correlation between threshold changes and blood glucose levels or glycosylated haemoglobin**

During the present study altogether 28 diabetics were tested by means of threshold hunting for their sensitivity to an ischaemic period of 10 min. Changes in axonal threshold of these patients during and after ischaemia were correlated with (a) the actual blood glucose concentration, (b) the mean blood glucose concentration for the last 24 h before the examination, (c) the mean blood glucose concentration for the last 3 days, and (d) the glycosylated haemoglobin (HbA1c). A significant correlation ($P < 0.01$ or $P < 0.001$) was observed between the ischaemia-induced changes in axonal threshold and all of the parameters mentioned above (see Table 1). However, the highest regres-
TABLE 1
CORRELATION BETWEEN ISCHAEMIA-INDUCED THRESHOLD CHANGES AND BLOOD GLUCOSE CONCENTRATIONS OR GLYCOSYLATED HAEMOGLOBIN

$r =$ correlation coefficient; $n =$ number of patients; $P =$ statistical significance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actual blood glucose</th>
<th>Mean blood glucose of$^a$</th>
<th>Glycosylated haemoglobin$^b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>72 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threshold current</td>
<td>$r = 0.70$</td>
<td>$r = 0.80$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>during ischaemia,</td>
<td>$n = 28$</td>
<td>$n = 28$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 min after onset</td>
<td>$P &lt; 0.001$</td>
<td>$P &lt; 0.001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threshold current</td>
<td>$r = -0.64$</td>
<td>$r = -0.85$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 min after an ischaemic period of 10 min</td>
<td>$n = 28$</td>
<td>$n = 28$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P &lt; 0.001$</td>
<td>$P &lt; 0.001$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Time period before examination.
$^b$ Determined within 4 weeks before examination.

A strong negative correlation coefficient was found for the mean blood glucose concentration over the last 24 h as illustrated in Fig. 3.

**Superexcitability**

Eleven diabetics (8 female, 3 male) were selected for their pronounced resistance to ischaemia. The post-spike changes in excitability of these patients were compared with a control group. Fig. 4 illustrates both the results of threshold tracking and of post-spike excitability determinations in these two populations. Part A illustrates the susceptibility to ischaemia. Controls and diabetics showed an increase in excitability starting within a few seconds after the onset of ischaemia. After 5 min the threshold fell
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A comparison between susceptibility to ischaemia and post-spike excitability. (A) Susceptibility to ischaemia. Changes in excitability of the peroneal nerve at the popliteal fossa before, during, and after 10 min of ischaemia were determined using the method of “threshold tracking”. The figure shows averaged data from 14 control persons and 11 selected diabetics (mean ± SD). The quantitative analysis was performed 5 min following the onset of ischaemia and 1 min after the release of the pressure cuff. (B) Changes in post-spike excitability. Data show the amplitude of a conditioned compound muscle action potential (CMAP) 10, 20, and 30 msec after a supramaximal conditioning pulse. (100% is the amplitude without a preceding stimulus.) No differences between controls and diabetics were found (mean ± SD). The recording of superexcitability was performed on controls and diabetics illustrated in (A) shortly before the test for sensitivity to ischaemia.

to 59.1 ± 7.1% (mean ± SD, n = 14) of the pre-ischaemic level in controls and to 82.4 ± 7.9% (n = 11) in diabetics. Even more striking were the differences in the post-ischaemic decrease in excitability: threshold rose to about twice the pre-ischaemic level (200.6 ± 23.8%) in controls and only to 117.6 ± 14.9% in diabetics. Consequently, a clear difference between controls and diabetics was found in respect of the susceptibility to ischaemia.

All the controls and diabetics illustrated in Fig. 4A were also tested for changes in the excitability of their peroneal nerves at the popliteal fossa in the period between 10 and 30 msec following a supramaximal conditioning stimulus (see Methods; Fig. 1). These data were recorded 10-5 min before the ischaemic test. The observations are summarized in Fig. 4B. Both controls and diabetics showed a clear period of superexcitability; the averaged data do not differ significantly from each other.

DISCUSSION

The main aim of the present study was to explore whether axonal depolarization underlies the resistance to ischaemia in the peripheral nerves of diabetic subjects. Since direct recordings of membrane potential are not feasible in patients, an indirect method was used to reveal a possible decrease in resting potential. The method is based on the well known superexcitability of myelinated axons (Gilliat and Willison 1963; Bergmans 1970): the action potential of myelinated nerve fibres is followed by a long lasting after-depolarization (Barrett and Barrett, 1982) which lowers the threshold for a second
spike 3–30 msec after a conditioning action potential. It has been shown that after-depolarization and superexcitability are potential-sensitive. Both phenomena disappear when the membrane depolarizes, e.g., as a consequence of ischaemia, high extracellular K⁺ concentration, and/or depolarizing current (Bergmans 1970; Stöhr 1981; Barrett and Barrett 1982; Bergmans 1982; Bostock and Grafe 1985; Baker et al. 1987). The biophysical basis for the disappearance of post-spike superexcitability in depolarized fibres has been explained by Barrett and Barrett (1982). Depolarization reduced the input resistance of the fibres, and, therefore, reduced the depolarization of the internodal axon during an impulse. In lizard axons, the after-depolarization was reduced to zero by a depolarization of about 12 mV. Post-spike superexcitability is, therefore, a sensitive indicator of membrane potential changes, and this was shown in our experiments by its abolition after only 4 min of ischaemia (Fig. 1). Because superexcitability is so sensitive to depolarization, the absence of a significant difference between the post-spike excitability cycles of normals and diabetics (Fig. 4B) provides good evidence that membrane depolarization is not an important feature of diabetic axons.

The significant correlation of blood glucose concentrations or glycosylated haemoglobin (HbA1c) levels with ischaemia-related threshold changes supports and extends previous observations made with other electrophysiological methods (Steiness 1961b; Gregersen 1968; Horowitz and Ginsberg-Fellner 1979). The new method of threshold tracking is at least as sensitive for the metabolic alterations in diabetic nerves as ischaemia-induced changes in vibratory perception threshold, decrease in motor conduction velocity, or decline in sensory nerve compound action potential. However, in contrast to these other methods, threshold tracking can reveal statistically significant changes within only 5–10 min of ischaemia. Correlation coefficients as high as 0.85 between mean blood glucose and ischaemic threshold changes indicate that 70% or so of the variance in ischaemic sensitivity of the diabetics is accounted for by the relationship with blood glucose. The regression lines fall close to the expected data points for the controls (although their blood glucose was not measured in this study), reinforcing the evidence that blood glucose is the major determinant of ischaemic resistance. The best correlation in our study was found between the electrophysiological data and the mean blood glucose concentration over the last 24 h before examination. The correlation coefficients for the actual blood glucose concentration or the HbA1c values were lower. In rats made hyperglycaemic with streptozotocin or glucose injections, resistance to ischaemia has been seen as early as 2 h (Shirabe et al. 1988). A pressure cuff can be applied for 5 min as often as every 15 min to obtain consistent measurements of ischaemic sensitivity by threshold tracking, so this method should allow the time relationship between blood glucose and ischaemic resistance to be precisely defined.

The main reason for the changes in axonal excitability observed is thought to be changes in membrane potential: depolarization lowers threshold during ischaemia, and hyperpolarization reduces excitability in the post-ischaemic phase (Bergmans 1970). However, diabetics did not approach the low ischaemic threshold level of controls. In contrast, after about 3 min of ischaemia an increase in threshold was observed (see Figs. 2 and 4). This indicates another important factor opposed to the threshold lowering due to membrane depolarization. A likely candidate is extracellular acidosis.
due to anaerobic glycolysis. A decrease in pH is well known to reduce excitability (e.g., Bostock and Grafe 1985).

In conclusion, our data argue against the idea that the ischaemic resistance of axons in diabetics without neuropathy is due to membrane depolarization. They support the view that such nerves behave peculiarly during ischaemia due to increased substrate stores for anaerobic metabolism.
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