



A transcriptomics resource reveals a transcriptional transition during ordered sarcomere morphogenesis in flight muscle

Maria L Spletter^{1,2*}, Christiane Barz¹, Assa Yeroslaviz³, Xu Zhang^{1,4,5}, Sandra B Lemke¹, Adrien Bonnard^{4,6}, Erich Brunner⁷, Giovanni Cardone⁸, Konrad Basler⁷, Bianca H Habermann^{3,4,6}, Frank Schnorrer^{1,4*}

¹Muscle Dynamics Group, Max Planck Institute of Biochemistry, Martinsried, Germany; ²Biomedical Center, Physiological Chemistry, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Martinsried, Germany; ³Computational Biology Group, Max Planck Institute of Biochemistry, Martinsried, Germany; ⁴Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, IBDM, Marseille, France; ⁵School of Life Science and Engineering, Foshan University, Guangdong, China; ⁶Aix Marseille Univ, INSERM, TAGC, Marseille, France; ⁷Institute of Molecular Life Sciences, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland; ⁸Imaging Facility, Max Planck Institute of Biochemistry, Martinsried, Germany

Abstract Muscles organise pseudo-crystalline arrays of actin, myosin and titin filaments to build force-producing sarcomeres. To study sarcomerogenesis, we have generated a transcriptomics resource of developing *Drosophila* flight muscles and identified 40 distinct expression profile clusters. Strikingly, most sarcomeric components group in two clusters, which are strongly induced after all myofibrils have been assembled, indicating a transcriptional transition during myofibrillogenesis. Following myofibril assembly, many short sarcomeres are added to each myofibril. Subsequently, all sarcomeres mature, reaching 1.5 μ m diameter and 3.2 μ m length and acquiring stretch-sensitivity. The efficient induction of the transcriptional transition during myofibrillogenesis, including the transcriptional boost of sarcomeric components, requires in part the transcriptional regulator Spalt major. As a consequence of Spalt knock-down, sarcomere maturation is defective and fibers fail to gain stretch-sensitivity. Together, this defines an ordered sarcomere morphogenesis process under precise transcriptional control – a concept that may also apply to vertebrate muscle or heart development.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.001

Introduction

Sarcomeres are the stereotyped force producing mini-machines present in all striated muscles of bilaterians. They are built of three filament types arrayed in a pseudo-crystalline order: actin filaments are cross-linked with their plus ends at the sarcomeric Z-disc and face with their minus ends towards the sarcomere center. In the center, symmetric bipolar muscle myosin filaments, anchored at the M-line, can interact with the actin filaments. Myosin movement towards the actin plus ends thus produces force during sarcomere shortening. Both filament types are permanently linked by a third filament type, the connecting filaments, formed of titin molecules (*Gautel and Djinović-Carugo, 2016; Lange et al., 2006*). A remarkable feature of sarcomeres is their stereotyped size, ranging from 3.0 to 3.4 µm in relaxed human skeletal muscle fibers (*Ehler and Gautel, 2008; Llewellyn et al., 2008; Regev et al., 2011*). Even more remarkable, the length of each bipolar myosin filament is 1.6 µm in all mature sarcomeres of vertebrate muscles, requiring about 300 myosin hexamers to assemble per filament (*Gokhin and Fowler, 2013; Tskhovrebova and Trinick, 2003*).

*For correspondence:

maria.spletter@bmc.med.lmu.de (MLS); frank.schnorrer@univ-amu.fr (FS)

Competing interests: The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

Funding: See page 28

Received: 04 December 2017 Accepted: 26 May 2018 Published: 30 May 2018

Reviewing editor: K VijayRaghavan, National Centre for Biological Sciences, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, India

© Copyright Spletter et al. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited. CC

eLife digest Animals may have different types of muscles but they all have one thing in common: molecular machines called sarcomeres that produce a pulling force. Conserved from fruit flies to humans, these structures line up end-to-end inside muscle cells, forming long cables called myofibrils. Some of the myofibrils in a human can reach several centimetres in length, which is much longer than those in a fruit fly. However, individual sarcomeres are the same length in both humans and flies.

To build the parts of the sarcomere, an animal cell first copies the relevant genes into intermediate molecules known as mRNAs, which are then translated to build new sarcomere proteins. Developing muscle cells later tune their sarcomeres to make them sensitive to stretching. This tweaks the power and force of the mature muscle, but the details of this developmental process are not fully understood.

Now, Spletter et al. have counted all the mRNAs in the developing flight muscles of fruit flies, with the aim of generating a resource that catalogues the changes in gene activity, or expression, that occur as muscles develop. This revealed that sarcomeres form in three phases. First, the cells assembled all their myofibrils. Then, they added short sarcomeres to the ends of their myofibrils. Finally, the sarcomeres matured to their full length and diameter, and became sensitive to stretching.

Fruit fly muscles had 40 patterns of gene expression, with most of the sarcomere components having one of two specific patterns. The expression of these genes dramatically rose after the young muscle cells had finished assembling all their myofibrils, suggesting muscles express different genes when their sarcomeres mature. A protein called spalt-major helped the cell to know when to make the transition, allowing the sarcomeres to grow in length and width.

Losing spalt-major late in muscle development stopped sarcomere growth and prevented the tuning process. The sarcomeres failed to become sensitive to stretching, a crucial feature of mature muscle. Muscles without spalt-major contracted too much and without coordination, like a muscle spasm.

The similarities between fruit fly and human sarcomeres suggest this developmental sequence may also occur in human muscles too. Understanding these steps may help to improve repair after injury or muscle growth during exercise. The next step is to test whether regenerating or growing muscles develop in the same way.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.002

Human muscle fibers can be several centimetres in length and both ends of each fiber need to be stably connected to tendons to achieve body movements. As sarcomeres are only a few micrometres in length, many hundreds need to assemble into long linear myofibrils that span from one muscle end to the other and thus enable force transmission from the sarcomeric series to the skeleton (*Lemke and Schnorrer, 2017*). Thus far, we have a very limited understanding of how sarcomeres initially assemble into long immature myofibrils during muscle development to exactly match the length of the mature muscle fiber (*Sparrow and Schöck, 2009*). In particular, we would like to understand how such sarcomeres mature to the very precise stereotyped machines present in mature muscle fibers.

Across evolution, both the pseudo-crystalline regularity of sarcomeres as well as their molecular components are well conserved (*Ehler and Gautel, 2008; Vigoreaux, 2006*). Thus, *Drosophila* is a valid model to investigate the biogenesis of sarcomeres as well as their maturation. In particular, the large indirect flight muscles (IFMs) that span the entire fly thorax are an ideal model system to investigate mechanisms of myofibrillogenesis. They contain thousands of myofibrils consisting of 3.2 μm long sarcomeres (*Schönbauer et al., 2011; Spletter et al., 2015*).

Like all *Drosophila* adult muscles, IFMs are formed during pupal development from a pool of undifferentiated myoblasts called adult muscle precursors (AMPs) (*Bate et al., 1991*). From 8 hr after puparium formation (APF), these AMPs either fuse with themselves (for the dorso-ventral flight muscles, DVMs) or with remodelled larval template muscles (for the dorso-longitudinal flight muscles, DLMs) to form myotubes (*Dutta et al., 2004; Fernandes et al., 1991*). These myotubes

develop dynamic leading edges at both ends and initiate attachment to their respective tendon cells at 12 to 16 hr APF (*Weitkunat et al., 2014*). These attachments mature and mechanical tension is built up in the myotubes, followed by the formation of the first immature periodic myofibrils at 30 hr APF when the muscle fibers are about 150 μ m in length. These immature myofibrils contain the earliest sarcomeres, which are about 1.8 μ m in length (*Weitkunat et al., 2014*). During the remaining 3 days of pupal development, the muscle fibers grow to about 1 mm to fill the entire thorax and sarcomere length increases to a final length of about 3.2 μ m in adult flies (*Orfanos et al., 2015*; *Reedy and Beall, 1993*).

After myoblasts have fused to myotubes, the flight muscle specific selector gene *spalt major* (*spalt, salm*) is turned on in the developing flight muscle myotubes. Spalt major is responsible for the correct fate determination and development of the flight muscles, which includes the fibrillar flight muscle morphology and the stretch-activated muscle contraction mode (*Schönbauer et al., 2011*; *Syme and Josephson, 2002*). It does so by controlling the expression of more than 700 flight muscle specific genes or gene isoforms during development (*Spletter and Schnorrer, 2014*; *Spletter et al., 2015*). However, how the interplay between all these isoforms instructs the formation of highly regular, pseudo-crystalline sarcomeres in the flight muscle is not understood.

Here, we studied the transcriptional dynamics of flight muscle development in detail. We performed a systematic mRNA-Seq time-course of isolated muscle tissue at eight time points from the myoblast stage until the mature adult muscle stage, generating a transcriptomics resource of developing flight muscle. Bioinformatic analysis of expression dynamics identified two gene clusters that are strongly enriched for sarcomeric genes. The temporal dynamics of these clusters identified a transcriptional transition that is required for sarcomere morphogenesis. We define sarcomere morphogenesis in three sequential although overlapping phases. First, immature myofibrils assemble simultaneously; second, short sarcomeres are added to each myofibril; and third, all sarcomeres mature to their final length and diameter and acquire stretch-sensitivity. Interestingly, the number of myofibrils remains constant, suggesting that every sarcomere progresses through sarcomere maturation. We show that the flight muscle selector gene *spalt major* contributes to the observed transcriptional transition, suggesting that muscle fiber type-specific transcription is continuously required during sarcomere formation and maturation. Together, these findings indicate that precise transcriptional control of the sarcomeric components enables their ordered assembly into sarcomeres and their maturation to pseudo-crystalline regularity.

Results

A time-course of indirect flight muscle development

To better understand muscle morphogenesis in general and myofibrillogenesis in particular, we focused on the *Drosophila* indirect flight muscles (IFMs). We hypothesised that major morphological transitions during IFM development may be induced by transcriptional changes, thus we aimed to generate a detailed developmental mRNA-Seq dataset from IFMs. IFMs are built from AMPs that adhere to the hinge region of the wing disc epithelium and are labelled with GFP-Gma under *Him* control (*Figure 1A*) (*Soler and Taylor, 2009*). At 16 hr APF, many of these myoblasts have fused to larval template muscles to build the dorsal-longitudinal flight muscle (DLM) myotubes, which initiate attachment to their tendons. At this stage, the DLM myotubes of fibers 3 and 4 have a length of about 300 μ m (*Figure 1B*). Fusion ceases at about 24 hr APF (*Figure 1C*) and attachment matures until 32 hr APF, coinciding with the strong recruitment of β PS-Integrin and the spectraplakin homolog Shortstop (Shot) to the attachment sites. At this stage the myofibers have built up mechanical tension and compacted to a length of about 150 μ m, coinciding with the appearance of long Shotpositive tendon extensions that anchor the muscles within the thorax. This important developmental transition is highlighted by the assembly of immature myofibrils visualised by strong F-actin staining throughout the entire muscle fiber (*Figure 1D*) (*Weitkunat et al., 2014*).

After 32 hr APF, the myofibers undergo another developmental transition and begin to grow dramatically. They elongate 3-fold to reach a length of about 480 μ m by 48 hr APF (*Figure 1E*) and about 590 μ m by 56 hr APF (*Figure 1F*). Concomitantly, the tendon extensions shrink with the myofibers being directly connected to the basal side of the tendon cell epithelium by 72 hr APF



Figure 1. Development of the dorsal longitudinal indirect flight muscles. (A–H) Time-course of DLM development. (A) Myoblasts adhering to the hinge of the larval wing disc were visualised with *Him*-GFP-Gma (green), F-actin was stained with phalloidin (red) and nuclei with DAPI (blue). (B–H) Time-course of DLM and myotendinous junction development at 16 hr (B), 24 hr (C), 32 hr (D), 48 hr (E), 56 hr (F), 72 hr (G) and 90 hr APF (H). F-actin was stained with phalloidin (red), Shot (green) and β PS-Integrin (blue). DLMs are highlighted in dashed yellow lines in B an C. (A'''–C''') Scheme of the *Figure 1 continued on next page*



Figure 1 continued

respective developmental stages with myoblasts and muscles in red, tendon cells in blue and wing disc or pupal thorax outline in black. The length of the muscle fibers in indicated in red. For details see text. Scale bar represents 100 µm. (I) Temporal summary of known events during myogenesis (red). Samples for mRNA-Seq were collected at time points noted in black.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.003

The following source data is available for figure 1:

Source data 1. This table includes the length measurements of the indirect flight muscle fibers at the indicated stage. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.004

(*Figure 1G*). At the end of pupal development (90 hr APF), wavy muscle fibers with a length of about 780 μ m containing mature myofibrils (*Figure 1H*) are present within the thorax.

A transcriptomics resource of indirect flight muscle development

To quantify transcriptional dynamics across the entire developmental time-course, we focused on the major developmental transitions and isolated mRNA from dissociated myoblasts of dissected or mass-isolated third instar wing discs and from hand-dissected IFMs at 16, 24, 30, 48, 72 and 90 hr APF pupae, and adult flies 1 day after eclosion (*Figure 11*). We performed mRNA-Seq using at least two biological replicates for each time point (see Materials and methods). To identify genes with similar temporal expression profiles, we used Mfuzz (*Kumar and Futschik, 2007*) to cluster standard normalized read counts from all genes expressed above background (12,495 of 13,322 genes). This allowed us to confidently identify 40 distinct genome-wide clusters (*Figure 2—figure supplement* 1), each of which contains a unique gene set ranging from 155 to 703 members (*Supplementary file* 1). These clusters represent various temporal expression dynamics, with high expression at early (myoblast proliferation and fusion), mid (myotube attachment and myofibril assembly) or late (myofiber growth) myogenesis stages or a combination thereof (*Figure 11*, *Figure 2—figure supplement* 1). These distinct patterns suggest a precise temporal transcriptional regulation corresponding to observed morphological transition points.

To verify the mRNA-Seq and cluster analysis, we selected a number of 'indicator' genes with available antibodies or GFP fusion proteins whose expression correlates with important developmental transitions. Twist (Twi) is a myoblast nuclear marker at larval stages and its expression needs to be down-regulated after myoblast fusion in pupae (Anant et al., 1998). We find twi mRNA in Mfuzz cluster 27, with high expression in myoblasts until 16 hr APF and a significant down-regulation from 24 hr APF, which we were able to verify with antibody stainings (Figure 2A-C). The flight muscle fate selector gene spalt major (salm) (Schönbauer et al., 2011) and its target, the IFM splicing regulator arrest (aret, bruno) (Spletter et al., 2015) are members of cluster 26 and 14, respectively. Expression of both clusters is up-regulated after myoblast fusion at 16 hr APF, which we were able to verify with antibody stainings (Figure 2D-F, Figure 2—figure supplement 2A-C). The apparent salm mRNA peak at 72 hr APF, which does not appear to cause a further protein increase, represents a mere 1.3 fold increase in expression and would need further confirmation as Salm, like many transcription factors, is expressed at low levels. For the initiation of muscle attachment, we selected Kon-tiki (Kon) (Schnorrer et al., 2007; Weitkunat et al., 2014), member of cluster 15, which is transiently up-regulated after myoblast fusion, before it is down-regulated again after 30 hr APF. Consistently, we found Kon-GFP present at muscle attachment sites at 30 hr APF but not at 72 hr APF (Figure 2G-I). A similar expression peak shifted to slightly later time points is found in cluster 34, which contains β -tubulin 60D (β Tub60D) (Leiss et al., 1988). Consistently, we find β -Tub60D-GFP (Sarov et al., 2016) expression in IFMs at 30 and 48 hr but not 72 hr APF (Figure 2J-L). After attachment is initiated, the attachments need to mature and be maintained. As expected, we found the essential attachment components β PS-Integrin (mys) and Talin (rhea) in clusters that are up-regulated after myoblast fusion until adulthood (clusters 7 and 25, respectively). This is consistent with continuous high protein expression of BPS-Integrin-GFP and Talin-GFP at muscle attachment sites (Figure 2M-O, Figure 2-figure supplement 2D-F). Taken together, these semi-quantitative protein localisation data nicely validate the temporal mRNA dynamics found in the mRNA-Seq data, confirming our methodology.



Figure 2. Verification of mRNA-Seq time-course by 'indicator' gene expression. (A,D,G,J,M,P,S) Temporal expression dynamics were evaluated by clustering standard-normal mRNA-seq counts using Mfuzz. Temporal expression profiles are plotted with high membership values in warm colours (red, pink), and lower membership values in cool colours (blue, green). (B,E,H,K,N,Q,T) The profile of one 'indicator' gene from each cluster is shown and coloured based on the Mfuzz membership value α. (C,F,I,L,O,R,U). Protein expression and localisation dynamics were visualised by antibody staining *Figure 2 continued on next page*



Figure 2 continued

against Twi (C) and Aret (F) or against GFP for GFP tagged fosmid reporters for Kon (I), β-Tub60D (L), βPS-Integrin (*mys*) (O), Unc-89 (Obscurin) (R) and Strn-Mlck (U). Images for the same protein were acquired using the same settings, and pseudo-coloured based on intensity. Note the close correlation between mRNA and protein expression dynamics. Time points are indicated by blue dots on the mRNA expression profile. Scale bars represent 20 µm. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.005

The following figure supplements are available for figure 2:

Figure supplement 1. Forty distinct temporal mRNA-Seq expression profiles. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.006 **Figure supplement 2.** Additional examples of 'indicator' gene expression.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.007

A transcriptional transition after 30 hr APF

Hierarchical clustering of the core expression profiles from the 40 identified Mfuzz clusters defines eight temporally ordered groups (Figure 3) that show progressive expression dynamics as muscle development proceeds. A time-dependent shift in gene ontology (GO) term enrichments is apparent between the eight groups, reflecting the different stages of IFM development. GO-Elite analysis (Zambon et al., 2012) for gene set enrichment identified GO terms related to cell proliferation and development as enriched in the early clusters (such as the twi cluster 27 or the kon cluster 15), whereas terms related to actin filament dynamics are more enriched in the middle clusters (such as the βPS-Integrin cluster seven and the Talin cluster 25), reassuring that the clustering approach is valid (Figure 3, Supplementary file 2). Strikingly, the only two clusters that display a strong enrichment for genes important for sarcomere organisation are clusters 13 and 22, both of which are late up-regulated clusters (Figure 3). Members of both clusters just become detectable at 30 hr APF (Unc-89/Obscurin-GFP, Act88F-GFP, Mhc-GFP) or even later at 48 hr APF (Strn-Mlck-GFP, Mf-GFP). In all cases, we could confirm the strong up-regulation from 30 hr to 72 hr APF in the mRNA-Seq data at the protein level using GFP fusion proteins under endogenous control (Figure 2P-U, Figure 2-figure supplement 2J-P) (Sarov et al., 2016). We additionally verified the late up-regulation of Flightin (Fln), a member of cluster 3, which is detectable at 72 hr but not at 30 hr APF (Figure 2figure supplement 2G-I).

At late stages of flight muscle development, mitochondrial density strongly increases (*Clark et al., 2006*). Using GO-Elite, we found a strong enrichment for mitochondrial related pathways in four late up-regulated clusters, namely 3, 28, 39 as well as the sarcomere cluster 22 (*Figure 3*). By comparing the clusters to systematic functional data acquired at all stages of *Drosophila* muscle development (*Schnorrer et al., 2010*), we find enrichments in clusters throughout the time-course. Interestingly, genes highly expressed in flight muscle compared to other muscle types, identified as '*salm*-core genes' (*Spletter et al., 2015*), are also enriched in the late clusters, including the mitochondrial enriched clusters 3, 28, 39 and the sarcomere enriched cluster 22 (*Figure 3*). These data highlight the changes in biological process enrichments that parallel expression dynamics, with a particular transition happening during later stages of muscle development after 30 hr APF. This corresponds to a time period after immature myofibrils have been assembled, which thus far remained largely unexplored.

To examine the temporal expression dynamics in more detail, we performed a principle component analysis (PCA) of the mRNA-Seq time points and Mfuzz clusters and found that the major variance is developmental time, with a notable change after 30 hr APF (*Figure 4A, Figure 4—figure supplement 1A*). There are a large number of genes being up-regulated as well as down-regulated between 30 and 48 hr and between 48 and 72 hr APF, with major differences between the sets of genes expressed at early (16–30 hr APF) versus late (72–90 hr APF) stages of development (*Figure 4B, Figure 4—figure supplement 1B*). Thus, we focused our attention on the transcriptional transition between 30 and 72 hr APF, which correlates with major growth of the flight muscle fibers (*Figure 1*).

A large number of genes are significantly up- or down-regulated from 30 hr to 72 hr APF, as visualized on a volcano plot displaying log₂fold changes (FC) (*Figure 4C*), suggesting a major change in gene expression. In particular, many sarcomeric genes are strongly up-regulated. To identify fine details in expression dynamics, we took all genes significantly up-regulated between 30 and 72 hr



Figure 3. Expression dynamics reveal a temporal ordering of biological processes during muscle morphogenesis. (**Top**) Heat map of Mfuzz cluster core expression profiles. Standard-normal count values for all genes with a membership value $\alpha > 0.8$ were averaged to generate the core expression profile for each cluster. Mfuzz expression profiles fall into eight groups (coloured dendrogram leaves) based on hierarchical clustering of their temporal expression dynamics. Time points and Mfuzz clusters are labelled. Colour scale of standard-normal count values ranges from blue (stable/no *Figure 3 continued on next page*



Figure 3 continued

expression) to red (high expression). (Bottom) GO Biological Process and user-defined gene set (marked with *) enrichments calculated with GO-Elite. Note that proliferation, development and differentiation terms are enriched at early time points, while mitochondrial and sarcomere terms are enriched at late time points. A coloured box indicates a significant enrichment of a given term in the specified cluster (see **Supplementary file 2** for details). DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.008

APF and performed hierarchical clustering of their DESeq2 normalized counts values (*Figure 4D*). We noted that many genes are turned on from 30 hr to 72 hr APF, whereas others are already expressed at 30 hr and strongly increase their expression until 72 hr (*Figure 4D*), suggesting a transcriptional transition after 30 hr APF. Consistently, we found GO-terms of cell proliferation, cell cycle and Notch signalling down-regulated, whereas actin cytoskeleton, sarcomere, muscle function and mitochondrial related gene sets are strongly up-regulated from 30 hr to 72 hr APF (*Figure 4E*). Finally, the genes up-regulated from 30 hr to 72 hr APF are enriched for sarcomeric proteins and the 'salm core genes' (*Figure 4—figure supplement 1C,D*), and the Mfuzz gene clusters with the most up-regulated members are the mitochondrial and both sarcomeric gene containing clusters 13 and 22 (*Figure 4F*). Together, these data suggest that in particular expression of the sarcomeric and mitochondrial genes is strongly induced after 30 hr APF.

Ordered phases during sarcomere morphogenesis

The strong up-regulation of sarcomeric gene expression after immature myofibrils have been assembled (Figure 1) (Weitkunat et al., 2014) caught our interest and prompted us to more closely investigate the later stages of myofibrillogenesis during which myofibers grow dramatically (Figure 1). We stained the myofibers with phalloidin to reveal myofibril morphology and with the titin isoform Kettin (an isoform of the sallimus gene) to label the developing Z-discs and systematically quantified sarcomere length and myofibril width (see Materials and methods) (Figure 5A, Supplementary file 3). By measuring the total muscle fiber length, we calculated the total number of sarcomeres per myofibril at a given stage. We found that the sarcomere length and width remain relatively constant at about 2.0 and 0.5 µm, respectively until 48 hr APF (Figure 5B,C, Supplementary file 3). However, the number of sarcomeres per myofibril dramatically increases from about 100 at 34 hr to about 230 at 48 hr APF. After 48 hr only a few more sarcomeres are added, resulting in about 270 sarcomeres per myofibril at 60 hr APF. This number remains constant until the fly ecloses (Figure 5D, Supplementary file 3). Moreover, by analysing fiber cross-sections we found that the growth of the individual myofibril diameter correlates with growth of the entire muscle fiber. Both fiber diameter and myofibril diameter remain constant from 30 hr to 48 hr APF. After 48 hr the myofibril diameter grows nearly 3-fold from 0.46 µm to 1.43 µm in adult flies (Figure 5E-G), while fiber cross-sectional area grows nearly 4-fold from 1,759 μ m² to 6,970 μ m². Strikingly, during the entire time period from 30 hr APF to adults, the total number of myofibrils per muscle fiber remains constant (about 2000 per muscle fiber, Figure 5H). Taken together, these quantitative data lead us to propose ordered but somewhat overlapping phases of sarcomere morphogenesis: (1) During the sarcomere assembly phase, about 100 immature sarcomeres self-assemble within each immature myofibril. (2) Many short sarcomeres are added to each myofibril increasing its length. (3) The short sarcomeres grow in length and thickness to reach the mature pseudo-crystalline pattern. No new myofibrils are built after the initial myofibril assembly phase.

We gained additional evidence to support this ordered myofibrillogenesis model on both the molecular and functional levels. First, the initial assembly versus the later sarcomere maturation complement the transition in gene expression we observe from 30 hr to 72 hr APF. Using members of the late induced Mfuzz clusters that contain sarcomeric components, we found that indeed a subset of sarcomeric proteins, such as Unc-89/Obscurin and Mhc, are already detectable in a periodic pattern on immature myofibrils at 30 hr. By contrast, other important components, including Fln, Myofilin (Mf) and Strn-Mlck, are only incorporated into myofibrils from 48 hr APF, showing high levels by 72 hr APF (*Figure 5—figure supplement 1*). Second, to investigate muscle function, we used Talin-YPet as a muscle attachment marker and quantified the number of spontaneous muscle contractions in intact pupae (see Materials and methods). Interestingly, we found that immature myofibrils already start to spontaneously contract at 30 hr APF. These spontaneous contractions increase in strength



Figure 4. A major transition in gene expression after 30 hr APF. (A) DESeq2 principle components analysis (PCA) of all mRNA-Seq libraries. Each triangle represents a different biological replicate coloured by time point. Note that individual replicates for a given time point cluster together. PC1 divides early (\leq 48 hr APF) from late (\geq 72 hr) stages. (B) Stacked box plot of the number of significantly differentially expressed genes up-regulated (reds) or down-regulated (blues) between sequential time points with a p-value<0.05 and a log₂FC (fold change) of >1 (dark), >2 (medium) or >5 (light). *Figure 4 continued on next page*



Figure 4 continued

The large differences between myoblast to 16 hr APF reflect muscle specification. A second large shift in expression is evident between 30 and 72 hr APF. (**C**) Volcano plot illustrating the strong up-regulation of sarcomeric proteins (red) from 30 hr to 72 hr APF. Significantly up- or down-regulated genes are in blue (p-value<0.05 and abs(log₂FC)>2). (**D**) Hierarchical clustering of log₂ transformed DESeq2 normalized counts for all genes that are significantly up-regulated between 30 and 72 hr APF. Note that they are either strongly induced at 48 or 72 hr APF (from yellow to red), or only turned on at 48 or 72 hr APF (blue to yellow/red), suggesting a major transition in gene expression after 30 hr APF. Colour scale of log₂ count values ranges from blue (not expressed) to red (highly expressed). (**E**) GO-Elite and user-defined gene set (marked with *) enrichments in up- (red) and down- (blue) regulated genes from 30 hr to 72 hr APF. Note the strong enrichment of mitochondrial and sarcomere terms in the up- regulated genes. (**F**) Pie charts showing the proportion of genes belonging to an enriched Mfuzz cluster in the sets of genes either up- or down-regulated from 30 hr to 72 hr APF. Note that a large proportion of genes up-regulated 30 hr to 72 hr belong to cluster 22, as well as Mfuzz clusters enriched for sarcomere (yellow) or mitochondrial (green) terms.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.009

The following figure supplement is available for figure 4:

Figure supplement 1. Additional evidence supporting a transition in gene expression between 30 and 72 hr APF. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.010

and frequency until 48 hr APF, but then cease, producing no detectable spontaneous contractions at 72 hr APF (*Figure 5I,J, Figure 5—video 1*). This demonstrates that during the sarcomere assembly phase, immature contractile myofibrils are generated, which then likely acquire stretch-sensitivity as the immature myofibrils mature and thus cease contracting.

Salm contributes to the transcriptional transition after 30 hr APF

How does the transcriptional transition of the various sarcomeric components instruct myofibrillogenesis? As the identified sarcomeric clusters 13 and 22 are enriched for 'salm-core genes' (Spletter et al., 2015) (Figure 3), we chose to investigate gene expression in developing spalt-major knock-down (salmlR) flight muscles compared to wild type (Supplementary file 4). salmlR IFM shows a strong down-regulation in gene expression, notably of mRNAs coding for sarcomeric and mitochondrial components at 24 and 30 hr APF, and in particular at 72 hr APF (Figure 6A–C, Figure 6 figure supplement 1A–D). The genes down-regulated in salmlR IFM are enriched for GO terms associated with sarcomere assembly, flight behaviour and mitochondrial genes, as well as for the mitochondrial Mfuzz clusters 3, 28, 39 and the sarcomeric Mfuzz clusters 13 and 22 (Figure 6—figure supplement 1E–G). Interestingly, members of cluster 22, which is strongly enriched for sarcomeric and mitochondrial genes, are not only down-regulated at 72 hr APF in salmlR (Figure 6B) but are also less strongly induced from 30 hr to 72 hr APF in salmlR muscle compared to wild type (Figure 6D), suggesting that salm in addition to other factors is indeed required for the strong induction of sarcomeric protein expression after 30 hr APF.

Salm is expressed shortly after myoblast fusion and constitutive knock-down of salm with Mef2-GAL4 results in a major shift of muscle fiber fate (Schönbauer et al., 2011), which may indirectly influence transcription after 30 hr APF. Hence, we aimed to reduce Salm levels only later in development, to directly address its role during sarcomere maturation. To this end, we knocked-down salm with the flight muscle specific driver Act88F-GAL4, which is expressed from about 18 hr APF and requires salm activity for its expression (Bryantsev et al., 2012; Spletter et al., 2015). This strategy enabled us to reduce Salm protein levels at 24 hr APF resulting in undetectable Salm levels at 72 hr APF (Figure 6—figure supplement 2). To test if Salm contributes to the transcriptional boost of sarcomeric components after 30 hr APF, we performed quantitative imaging using unfixed living flight muscles expressing GFP fusion proteins under endogenous control. We used green fluorescent beads to normalise the GFP intensity between different samples, and could verify the induction of Mhc, Unc-89, Fln and Strn-Mlck on the protein level from 30 hr to 72 hr APF (Figure 6-figure supplement 3). While overall sarcomere morphology is not strongly affected in Act88F >> salmIR muscles, we found that the levels of Strn-Mlck, Fln and Mhc proteins are strongly reduced at 90 hr as compared to wild-type controls (Figure 6D-H). This suggests that salm indeed contributes to the transcriptional transition that boosts the expression of a number of sarcomeric proteins after 30 hr APF.

To investigate the consequences of late *salm* knock-down, we quantified the myofibril and sarcomere morphology from 48 hr onwards. The myofibrils display a fibrillar morphology, confirming that



Figure 5. Sequential phases of sarcomere morphogenesis in flight muscles. (A) Scheme of hemi-thoraces at 34, 48, 60, 72, 80, 90 hr APF and 1 day adults (muscle in red, tendon in blue, sarcomeres in yellow) with indicated muscle fiber length. Myofibrils and sarcomeres at these time points were stained for phalloidin (F-actin, red) and Kettin (Z-disc, green). Scale bar represents 5 µm. (B,C) Tukey box and whisker plot of sarcomere length and myofibril width. Box extends from 25 to 75%, line marks median, whiskers extend to 25/75% plus 1.5 times the interquartile range. (D) Histogram of *Figure 5 continued on next page*



Figure 5 continued

sarcomere number per myofibril. Error bars represent SD. Note the sarcomere assembly at 34 hr, followed by sarcomere addition until ~48 hr and sarcomere maturation after ~48 hr APF. (E) Cross-sections of the DLMs at 30, 48, 60, 72, 80, 90 hr APF and 1 day adult. Scale bar represents 5 μ m. (F,G) Tukey box and whisker plot of myofibril diameter and myofiber cross-sectional area. Note the lack of growth in diameter or area from 30 hr to 48 hr. (H) Histogram of the number of myofibrils per myofiber. Error bars represent SD. Note that all myofibrils are already present at 30 hr APF. Tukey's multiple comparison p-value<0.05*, .01**, .001***, n.s. = not significant. N > 10 for each individual time point. (I) Stills of live movies of DLMs at 30, 36, 42, 48, 60 and 72 hr APF. Scale bar represents 50 μ m. For live movies see **Figure 5—video 1**. Stills are a time 0.0 s image (magenta) overlaid with a time +0.65 s image (green), where a perfect overlap (white) shows no movement. (J) Quantification of spontaneous contraction events per fiber per 5 min, with single twitches in blue and double twitches in green. Fibers are first contractile at 30 hr APF, reach peak contractility at 48 hr and stop all spontaneous contraction shortly after 60 hr APF.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.011

The following video, source data, and figure supplement are available for figure 5:

Source data 1. This table includes calculation of the number of sarcomeres per myofibril in wild type flight muscles at the indicated stage.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.013

Source data 2. This table includes the sarcomere length in wild type flight muscles measured at the indicated stage.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.014

Source data 3. This table includes the sarcomere/myofibril width in wild type flight muscles measured at the indicated stage. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.015

Source data 4. This table includes the myofiber cross-sectional area measured in wild type flight muscle fibers or only fibers 3 and four at the indicated stage.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.016

Source data 5. This table includes the number of myofibrils per myofiber calculated for either all wild type flight muscle fibers or only fibers 3 and four at the indicated stage.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.017

Source data 6. This table includes the myofibril diameter measured in wild type flight muscles at the indicated stage.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.018

Source data 7. This table includes the muscle twitch events per wild-type fiber per 5 min recorded at the indicated stage.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.019

Figure supplement 1. Expression and localisation of thin- and thick-filament structural proteins.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.012

Figure 5-video 1. Twitching time-course in developing DLMs.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.020

the early function of Salm to determine IFM fate was unaffected by our late knock-down. At 72 hr APF and more prominently at 90 hr APF and in adults, *Act88F >> salmIR* myofibrils showed actin accumulations at broadened Z-discs (*Figure 7A–H*), which are often a landmark of nemaline myopathies (*Sevdali et al., 2013; Wallgren-Pettersson et al., 2011*). The myofibril width was not significantly different in these myofibrils (*Figure 7I*). However, the sarcomeres of *Act88F >> salmIR* muscles displayed a strong defect in sarcomere length growth after 48 hr APF (*Figure 7J*, *Supplemental File 3*), with sarcomeres only obtaining a length of 2.8 µm in adult flies, demonstrating that Salm in addition to other factors is required for normal sarcomere maturation.

Salm function contributes to gain of stretch-activation during sarcomere maturation

Given the defects in sarcomere length and sarcomere gene expression in *Act88F* >> salmlR muscles, we explored the function of these abnormal muscle fibers. As expected, *Act88F* >> salmlR flies are flightless (*Figure 7—figure supplement 1A*) and we observed rupturing of the adult muscle fibers within 1d after eclosion (*Figure 7—figure supplement 1B–G*), demonstrating the importance of proper sarcomere maturation to prevent muscle atrophy. Based on our finding that spontaneous flight muscle contractions stop by 72 hr APF, we hypothesized that if Salm truly regulates sarcomere maturation, we may see spontaneous contraction defects during development. At 48 hr APF, *Act88F* >> salmlR fibers twitch, but less often than and without the double twitches observed in control fibers (*Figure 7K,L,O*; *Figure 7—video 1*). Strikingly, at 72 hr APF *salmlR* fibers fail to stop contracting and moreover show frequent and uncoordinated spontaneous contractions in which different myofibril bundles of the same fiber twitch at different times (*Figure 7M–O, Figure 7*—video 7).



Figure 6. salm contributes to the transition in gene expression after 30 hr APF. (A) Volcano plot of mRNA-Seq comparison of wild-type (WT) versus salmIR IFMs at 72 hr APF. Note the significant down-regulation of genes in salmIR, especially sarcomeric protein coding genes (red). Significantly differentially expressed (DE) genes (abs(log₂ FC)>2, p<0.05) are in blue. (B) Violin plot showing down-regulation of sarcomeric proteins (in red), mitochondrial proteins (in green) and members of Mfuzz cluster 22 (in yellow) in salmIR compared to wild type at 72 hr APF. Box plots indicate the Figure 6 continued on next page



Figure 6 continued

median of the data as well as the first and third quartile in the box, outliers are the dots. (**C**) WT mRNA-Seq fold change values of all genes significantly DE from 30 hr to 72 hr APF are ordered from lowest to highest (black). The corresponding *salmIR* fold change is shown in yellow. Both sarcomeric (red) and mitochondrial protein coding genes (blue) are less strongly up- or down-regulated in *salmIR* across the 30 hr to 72 hr APF transition. Note that many genes in *salmIR* (yellow dots) are not as strongly induced or even repressed compared to WT (below and above the black WT line, respectively). (**D**) Violin plot comparing the log₂FC over the 30 hr to 72 hr transition in WT (in black) and *salmIR* IFM (in magenta) for members of Mfuzz Cluster22. Box plots indicate the median of the data as well as the first and third quartile in the box, outliers are the dots. Note the significant decrease in induction to 72 hr APF in the *salmIR* sample. ***Student's t-test p-value<0.0005. (**E**–H) *salm* is required for the induction of some but not all sarcomeric proteins. *Act88F* >> *salmIR* in the background of GFP-tagged Strn-Mlck-IsoR (**E**), Fln (**F**), Mhc (**G**) and Unc-89 (**H**). Quantitative changes in live GFP fluorescence at 90 hr APF were measured by quantitative confocal microscopy relative to standard fluorescent beads, revealing significant decreases in induction for Strn-Mlck, Fln and Mhc between wild type control (shown in yellow, *Act88F*-GAL4 crossed to w¹¹¹⁸) and *Act88F* >> *salmIR* (shown in purple). Scale bar represents 5 µm. Error bars represent SEM, Student's t-test p-value<0.05*, 0.001***, n.s. = not significant. N > 10 for each individual sample. (**E'–H''**) Intensity-coded GFP fluorescence at 90 hr APF in confocal images of fixed myofibrils. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.021

The following source data and figure supplements are available for figure 6:

Source data 1. This table includes the fiber divided by bead fluorescence intensity measurements for quantification of the indicated fosmid-GFP or UAS-GFP-Gma expression levels at the indicated stage.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.025

Source data 2. This table includes the fiber divided by bead fluorescence intensity measurements for various fosmid-GFPs in the Act88F >> salmIR or control Act88F-Gal4 x w- background at 90 hr APF.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.026

Figure supplement 1. salm regulates gene expression during flight muscle development.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.022

Figure supplement 2. Act88F-GAL4 driven knock-down of salm is efficient.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.023

Figure supplement 3. Expression of sarcomere proteins strongly increases from 30 hr to 72 hr APF.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.024

video 2), demonstrating that sarcomere maturation is indeed disrupted, with the likely consequence that myofibrils fail to acquire normal stretch-activation sensitivity.

To directly test the function of a sarcomeric component during the sarcomere maturation phase, we investigated the role of the prominently induced Salm target Strn-Mlck. Strn-Mlck is only expressed after 30 hr APF and is largely incorporated during sarcomere maturation (*Figure 5—figure supplement 1E, Figure 6—figure supplement 3E*), and thus is also a bone-fide example of a gene regulated during the transcriptional transition. In *Strn-Mlck* mutants, sarcomere and myofibril morphology, including myofibril width, is initially normal. However, at 80 hr APF the sarcomeres overgrow, consistently reaching lengths of more than 3.5 µm and resulting in slightly longer muscle fibers at 80 hr APF (*Figure 8*). After overgrowing, sarcomeres appear to hyper-contract resulting in short, thick sarcomeres in 1-day-old adults (*Figure 8E,J,K,L*). Like *Act88F* >> *salmlR* flies, *Strn-Mlck* mutant adults are flightless (*Figure 7—figure supplement 1K–M*) (*Spletter et al., 2015*). Together, these data demonstrate that sarcomere maturation must be precisely controlled at the transcriptional level to enable the precise growth of sarcomeres to their final mature size. This ensures the lifelong function of the contractile apparatus of muscle fibers.

Discussion

A developmental muscle transcriptomics resource

In this study, we generated a systematic developmental transcriptomics resource from *Drosophila* flight muscle. The resource quantifies the transcriptional dynamics across all the major stages of muscle development over five days, starting with stem cell-like myoblasts and attaching myotubes to fully differentiated, stretch-activatable muscle fibers. We have specifically focused on the transcriptional regulation of sarcomere and myofibril morphogenesis; however, the data we provide cover all other expected dynamics, such as mitochondrial biogenesis, T-tubule morphogenesis, neuromuscular junction formation, tracheal invagination, etc. Thus, together with the available systematic



Figure 7. salm is required for normal sarcomere maturation and function. (A–H) Myofibrils of Act88F-GAL4 / + (A–D) or Act88F >> salmIR (E–H). Note that salmIR DLM remains fibrillar and appears normal at 48 hr APF (E), but at 72 hr APF (F) 90 hr APF (G) and 2 day adult (H) Z-discs widen and show actin accumulations (arrowheads). (I,J) Tukey box and whisker plot of myofibril width (I) and sarcomere length (J) in Act88F-GAL4 /+ and Act88F >> salmIR (red). Tukey's multiple comparison p-value<0.001***. N > 10 for each individual time point. Scale bars represent 5 μ m. (K–O) Stills Figure 7 continued on next page



Figure 7 continued

from live movies of developing DLMs at 48 and 72 hr APF in *Act88F*-GAL4 / + (**K**, **M**) and *Act88F* >> salmIR (**L**, **N**). Scale bar represents 50 μm. Coloured as in *Figure 5*. (**O**) Quantification of spontaneous contraction events per fiber per 5 min, with single twitches in blue and double twitches in green. Error bars represent SEM. salmIR fibers continue spontaneously contracting at 72 hr APF. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.027

The following video, source data, and figure supplement are available for figure 7:

Source data 1. This table includes the muscle twitch events per *Act88F >> salmIR* fiber per 5 min and the respective controls recorded at the indicated stage.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.029

Source data 2. This table includes the sarcomere length measured in Act88F >> salmIR flight muscles and the respective controls at the indicated stage. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.030

Source data 3. This tables includes the sarcomere/myofibril width measured in Act88F >> salmIR flight muscles and the respective controls at the indicated stage.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.031

Figure supplement 1. Act88F >> salmIR and Strn-MIck mutant flies are flightless and IFM fibers rupture in adult flies.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.028

Figure 7—video 1. Twitching in developing Act88F-GAL4 /+ and Act88F >> salmIR DLMs at 48 hr APF.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.032

Figure 7-video 2. Twitching in developing Act88F-GAL4 /+ and Act88F >> salmIR DLMs at 72 hr APF.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.033

functional data of *Drosophila* muscle development (*Schnorrer et al., 2010*), our data should be a versatile resource for the muscle community. It nicely complements existing systematic data from vertebrate muscle, which thus far are largely restricted to postnatal stages (*Brinegar et al., 2017*; *Drexler et al., 2012; Lang et al., 2017; Zheng et al., 2009*). Furthermore, *Drosophila* flight muscle contains a single muscle fiber type, in contrast to the mixed fiber types found in mammals (*Schiaffino and Reggiani, 2011; Spletter and Schnorrer, 2014*). Hence, in this model the transcriptional dynamics of a single fiber type muscle can be followed with unprecedented precision.

A transcriptional transition correlating with ordered sarcomere morphogenesis

Earlier work has shown that the flight muscle myotubes first attach to tendon cells and then build-up mechanical tension. This tension triggers the simultaneous assembly of immature myofibrils, converting the myotube to an early myofiber (*Weitkunat et al., 2014*). This suggested a tension-driven self-organisation mechanism of myofibrillogenesis (*Lemke and Schnorrer, 2017*). Here we discovered that myofibrillogenesis is not only regulated mechanically, but to a large extent also transcriptionally. This enabled us to extend our model for ordered myofibrillogenesis also to later developmental stages and to define three sequential although somewhat overlapping phases (*Figure 9*). During the sarcomere self-assembly phase at about 30 hr APF, a large number of genes coding for sarcomeric proteins, including Mhc, Act88F and Unc-89/Obscurin, become up-regulated to enable the self-organization of short, immature sarcomeres within thin, immature myofibrils. Strikingly, all of the about 2000 myofibrils assemble during this phase.

This is followed by a sarcomere addition phase during which a transcriptional transition is initiated and the expression of the sarcomeric proteins increases. Concomitantly, the muscle fibers grow in length by addition of new sarcomeres to all the immature myofibrils, increasing the sarcomere number from about 80 to 230 per fibril at 48 hr APF. These sarcomeres are contractile, but remain short and thin (*Figure 9*).

After the transcriptional transition, myofibrillogenesis enters the final sarcomere maturation phase. Proteins present in immature myofibrils like Mhc, Act88F and Unc-89/Obscurin are expressed to even higher levels, and additional, often flight-muscle specific proteins like Mf, Fln and the titin-related isoform Strn-Mlck, begin to be expressed at high levels and are incorporated into the maturing sarcomeres. This facilitates a dramatic growth of all immature sarcomeres in length and particularly in diameter with all 2000 myofibrils reaching a pseudo-crystalline regularity within about two days of development (*Figure 9*). Importantly, these matured sarcomeres no longer contract



Figure 8. Strn-Mlck contributes to sarcomere length regulation during sarcomere maturation. (A–J) Wild type (A–E) and *Strn^{M102893}* mutant (F–J) sarcomere development at 48, 72, 80, 90 hr APF and 1 day adult. (K–N) Tukey box and whisker plot of myofibril width (K) and sarcomere length (L) in wild type and *Strn^{M102893}* mutant (red). Tukey's multiple comparison p-value<0.001***. N > 10 for each individual time point. Histogram of fiber length (M) and number of sarcomeres per myofibril (N). Error bars represent SEM. Tukey's multiple comparison p-value<0.01**, N > 10 for each individual time point. Note that a normal number of sarcomeres are formed in *Strn^{M102893}* mutants, but they grow too long at 80 hr APF and hyper-contract in 1 day adult.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.034

The following source data is available for figure 8:

Source data 1. This table includes the numbers of sarcomeres calculated per *strn-mlck* mutant myofibril and the respective control at the indicated stage. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.035

Source data 2. This table includes the length measurements of the indirect flight muscle fibers at the indicated stage for *strn-mlck* mutants and wild-type controls.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.036

Source data 3. This table includes the calculated numbers of sarcomeres per myofibril at the indicated stage for strn-mlck mutants.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.037

Source data 4. This table includes the sarcomere length measured in strn-mlck mutant flight muscles at the indicated stage. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.038



Figure 9. Model for ordered sarcomere morphogenesis. Phase 1 - Sarcomere assembly: Sarcomeric proteins are expressed at low levels, enabling the self-assembly of immature sarcomeres and myofibrils at around 30 hr APF. Each of the 2000 myofibrils contains about 80 immature sarcomeres. Phase 2 - Sarcomere addition: Sarcomere protein expression increases and each immature myofibril incorporates many new sarcomeres until about 48 hr APF. These immature sarcomeres contract spontaneously. Phase 3 - Sarcomere maturation: After 48 hr APF, sarcomere protein expression increases even more, including flight muscle specific proteins/isoforms, and all sarcomeres strongly grow in width and length. This enables the flight muscle to gain stretch-activation. Muscles are shown in red, tendons in blue. Structural proteins are illustrated as cartoons and are not drawn to scale. Induction of Actin, Mhc, Myofilin, Flightin, Strn-Mlck and Unc-89/Obscurin is shown here, expression of αActinin, Projectin and Kettin/Sallimus was shown by others (*Bullard et al., 2006; Burkart et al., 2007; Katzemich et al., 2013; Orfanos et al., 2015; Weitkunat et al., 2014*). DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.039

spontaneously, likely because they acquired the stretch-activated mechanism of contraction described for mature *Drosophila* flight muscles (*Bullard and Pastore, 2011; Josephson, 2006*).

Our ordered sarcomere morphogenesis model is strongly supported by the observation that the number of myofibrils remains largely constant during the entire sarcomere morphogenesis period, suggesting that in flight muscles no new myofibrils are added after the initial assembly of immature myofibrils at about 30 hr APF. As all sarcomeres are present shortly after 48 hr APF, they all need to mature simultaneously to achieve their final pseudo-crystalline regularity.

Our model is further supported by previous studies. We and others found that immature myofibrils have a width of about 0.5 µm (Weitkunat et al., 2014), which corresponds to about four thick filaments across each myofibril at the EM level at 42 hr APF (at 22°C) (Reedy and Beall, 1993). This 'core' myofibril structure built until 48 hr APF, when most sarcomeres have formed, is expanded dramatically after 48 hr APF, reaching a mature width of 1.5 µm, corresponding to about 35 thick filaments across each myofibril at the EM-level (Reedy and Beall, 1993). Recent data showed that the 'core' myofibril structure built until 48 hr APF contains already highly ordered actin filaments, which gain even higher order to reach their pseudo-crystalline regularity at 90 hr APF (Loison et al., 2018). In total, each adult myofibril contains around 800 thick filaments per sarcomere (Gajewski and Schulz, 2010). The 'core' myofibril structure was also revealed by the preferential recruitment of over-expressed actin isoforms (Röper et al., 2005) and more importantly, by selective incorporation of a particular Mhc isoform that is only expressed at mid-stages of flight muscle development (Orfanos and Sparrow, 2013). This Mhc isoform expression switch coincides with the global transition in sarcomeric gene expression between the sarcomere assembly and the sarcomere maturation phases that we defined here. It also fits with the recent discovery that the formin family member Fhos is selectively required for actin filament elongation and recruitment of new actin filaments and thus myofibril diameter growth after 48 hr APF (Shwartz et al., 2016). Expression of Fhos is also induced after 30 hr APF. Fhos is a member of Mfuzz Cluster 28, another strongly induced cluster, underscoring the general relevance of the transcriptional transition for ordered sarcomerogenesis.

Regulated active sarcomere contractions

Mature indirect flight muscles employ a stretch-activated mechanism of muscle contraction, thus Ca²⁺ is not sufficient to trigger muscle contractions without additional mechanical stretch (**Bullard and Pastore, 2011**; **Josephson, 2006**). This is different to cross-striated body muscles of flies or mammals that contract synchronously with Ca²⁺ influx. Hence, it is intriguing that immature flight muscle myofibrils do in fact contract spontaneously, with the contraction frequencies and intensities increasing until 48 hr APF. It was recently proposed in *Drosophila* cross-striated abdominal muscles and in developing cross-striated zebrafish muscles that spontaneous contractions are important for the proper formation of the cross-striated pattern (**Mazelet et al., 2016**; **Weitkunat et al., 2017**). A similar role for contractions was found in C2C12 cells by stimulating the contractions optogenetically (**Asano et al., 2015**). This shows that spontaneous contractions are a necessary general feature for the assembly of cross-striated muscle fibers across species.

However, flight muscles are not cross-striated in the classical sense, but have a fibrillar organisation in which each myofibril remains isolated and is not aligned with its neighbouring myofibrils (*Figure 5*) (*Josephson, 2006*; *Schönbauer et al., 2011*). We can only speculate about the mechanism that prevents alignment of the myofibrils in the flight muscles, but it is likely related to their stretchactivated contraction mechanism. This mechanism prevents spontaneous twitching due to increased Ca²⁺ levels, because it additionally requires mechanical activation that can only occur during flight in the adult. Thus, flight muscle sarcomeres not only grow and mature their sarcomere structure, they also gain their stretch-activatability.

Continuous maintenance of muscle type-specific fate

We identified an important transition in gene expression between the early sarcomere assembly and the late sarcomere maturation phases. Similar large scale transcriptome changes have also been observed during postnatal stages of mouse (*Brinegar et al., 2017*), chicken (*Zheng et al., 2009*) and pig (*Zhao et al., 2015*) skeletal muscle development or during regeneration after injury in fish (*Montfort et al., 2016*) and mouse muscles (*Warren et al., 2007*), indicating that muscle maturation generally correlates with large scale transcriptional changes.

It is well established that general myogenic transcription factors, in particular Mef2, are continuously required in muscles for their normal differentiation (Sandmann et al., 2006; Soler et al., 2012). Mef2 regulates a suit of sarcomeric proteins in fly, fish and mouse muscle important for correct sarcomere assembly and maturation (Hinits and Hughes, 2007; Kelly et al., 2002; Potthoff et al., 2007; Stronach et al., 1999). In Drosophila, Mef2 cooperates with tissue-specific factors, such as CF2, to induce and fine-tune expression of structural genes (Gajewski and Schulz, 2010; García-Zaragoza et al., 2008; Tanaka et al., 2008). General transcriptional regulators, such as E2F, further contribute to high levels of muscle gene expression during myofibrillogenesis, in part through regulation of Mef2 itself (Zappia and Frolov, 2016). However, it is less clear if muscle typespecific identity genes are continuously required to execute muscle type-specific fate. Spalt major (Salm) is expressed after myoblast fusion in flight muscle myotubes and is required for all flight muscle type-specific gene expression: in its absence the fibrillar flight muscle is converted to tubular cross-striated muscle (Schönbauer et al., 2011; Spletter et al., 2015). Here we demonstrated that Salm is continuously required for correct sarcomere morphogenesis, as late salm knock-down leads to defects in sarcomere growth during the late sarcomere maturation phase, causing severe muscle atrophy in adults. It might do so by modifying the cooperation between Mef2 and E2F or by changing chromatin states, as vertebrate spalt homologs are recently discussed as epigenetic regulators (Yang, 2018; Zhang et al., 2015). However, Salm cannot be solely responsible for the transcriptional transition after 30 hr APF, as the transition still partially occurs in its absence.

Ordered sarcomere morphogenesis – a general mechanism?

Here we defined ordered phases of sarcomere morphogenesis in Drosophila flight muscles. Is this a general concept for sarcomere morphogenesis? Reviewing the literature, one finds that in other Drosophila muscle types which display a tubular cross-striated myofibril organisation, such as the fly abdominal muscles, the striated sarcomeres also first assemble and then grow in length (Perez-Pérez-Moreno et al., 2014; Weitkunat et al., 2017), suggesting a conserved mechanism. In developing zebrafish skeletal muscles, young myofibers present in younger somites show a short sarcomere length of about 1.2 µm, which increases to about 2.3 µm when somites and muscle fibers mature (Sanger et al., 2017; Sanger et al., 2009). Interestingly, sarcomere length as well as thick filament length increase simultaneously during fish muscle maturation, indicating that as in flight muscles, the length of all sarcomeres in one large muscle fiber is homogenous at a given time (Sanger et al., 2009). Similar results were obtained in mouse cardiomyocytes measuring myosin filament length at young (two somite) and older (13 somite) stages (Du et al., 2008) and even in human cardiomyocytes, in which myofibrils increase nearly threefold in width and become notably more organized and contractile from 52 to 127 days of gestation (Racca et al., 2016). These data suggest that sarcomeres generally may go through a series of ordered but overlapping developmental phases.

Interestingly, these changes in sarcomere morphology correlate with a switch in myosin heavy chain isoform expression changing from embryonic to neonatal to adult during skeletal muscle development (*Schiaffino et al., 2015*). Importantly, mutations in embryonic myosin (MYH3 in humans) result in severe congenital disorders characterised by multiple facial and limb contractures (*Toydemir et al., 2006*). As a similar ordered expression of myosin isoforms is also found during muscle regeneration after injury in adults (*Ciciliot and Schiaffino, 2010; Schiaffino et al., 2015*), we hypothesize that our sequential sarcomere morphogenesis model may also be applicable to vertebrate skeletal and possibly heart muscles. It will be a future challenge to identify possible feedback mechanisms that indicate the successful end of the sarcomere assembly phase or a possible re-entry into the sarcomere assembly phase during muscle regeneration or exercise induced muscle fiber growth. It is enticing to speculate that the assembling cytoskeleton itself would measure its assembly status and provide a mechanical feedback signal to modify the activity of muscle-specific transcription factors.

Materials and methods

Key resources table Continued on next page



Continued

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Gene (Drosophila melanogaster)	spalt major; salm	NA	FLYB:FBgn0261648	
Gene (D. melanogaster)	Stretchin-Mlck; Strn-Mlck	NA	FLYB:FBgn0265045	
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	w[1118]	Bloomington	BDSC:3605; FLYB:FBst0003605; RRID:BDSC_3605	
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	salmIR	PMID: 22094701	VDRC:13302; FLYB:FBst0450930	Flybase symbol: VDRC:v13302
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	KK101052	PMID: 17625558	VDRC:101052	
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	Act88F-GAL4	PMID: 22008792		Source: Richard Cripps
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	Strn-Mlck-MiMIC	Bloomington	FLYB:FBal0264439	Flybase symbol:Strn-MlckMI02893
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	Strn-Mlck-IR	PMID: 21460824	BDSC:31891; FLYB:FBti0130299; RRID:BDSC_31891	Flybase symbol:P{TRiP.JF02170}attP2
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	Strn-Mlck-GFP, Isoform R	PMID: 25532219		Symbol: Strn4;
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	Mhc-GFP	PMID: 26896675	VDRC:318471	Symbol: fTRG500;
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	Mf-GFP	PMID: 26896675	VDRC:318132	Symbol: fTRG501;
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	rhea-GFP	PMID: 26896675	VDRC:318486	Symbol: fTRG587;
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	Fln-GFP	PMID: 26896675	VDRC:318238	Symbol: fTRG876;
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	mys-GFP	PMID: 26896675	VDRC:318285	Symbol: fTRG932;
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	βTub60D-GFP	PMID: 26896675	VDRC:318309	Symbol: fTRG958;
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	unc-89-GFP	PMID: 26896675	VDRC:318326	Symbol: fTRG1046;
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	Act88F-GFP	PMID: 26896675	VDRC:318362	Symbol:fTRG10028;
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	Him-nuc-eGFP	PMID: 19324085		Source: Michael V. Taylor
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	Him-GAL4	this paper		
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	UAS-BBM	PMID: 22446736		
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	Him-GFP-Gma	this paper		
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	rhea-YPet	this paper		
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	kon-GFP	this paper		
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	Mef2-GAL4	Bloomington	BDSC:27390; RRID:BDSC_27390	

Continued on next page



Continued

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Genetic reagent (D. melanogaster)	UAS-GFP-Gma	PMID: 12324971		Source:Don Kiehart; Description
Antibody	guinea pig anti-Shot	PMID: 9832554		(1:500); Source: Talila Volk
Antibody	rat anti-Kettin (MAC155/Klg16)	Babraham Bioscience Technologies	Babraham: MAC_155(P6689)	(1:50)
Antibody	rabbit anti-GFP (ab290)	Abcam	Abcam:ab290	(1:1000)
Antibody	rat anti-Bruno	PMID: 12591598		(1:500); Source: Anne Ephrussi
Antibody	rabbit anti-Salm	PMID: 7905822		(1:50); Source: Reinhard Schuh
Antibody	mouse anti-βPS- integrin (CF.6G11)	Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank	DSHB:CF.6G11	(1:500)
Antibody	rabbit anti-Twi	PMID: 2688897		(1:1000); Source: Siegfried Roth
Antibody	rabbit anti-Fln	PMID: 11134077		(1:50); Source: Jim Vigoreaux
Commercial assay or kit	fluorescent beads	ThermoFisher (Molecular Probes)		OrderID: InSpeckTM Green Kit I-7219
Commercial assay or kit	Dynabeads	Invitrogen		OrderID: #610.06
Commercial assay or kit	Superscript III First-Strand Synthesis System	Invitrogen		OrderID: #18080–051
Chemical compound, drug	Fluoroshield with DAPI	Sigma		OrderID: #F6057
Chemical compound, drug	Vectashield with DAPI	Biozol		OrderID: VEC-H-1200
Chemical compound, drug	Tissue-Tek O.C.T.	Weckert Labotechnik		OrderID: 4583; Sakura Finetek
Chemical compound, drug	TriPure reagent	Roche		OrderID: #11667157001
Software, algorithm	Fiji (Image J)	PMID: 22743772		
Software, algorithm	MyofibrilJ	this paper	1dbb0d4	Source: https://imagej.net/ MyofibrilJ
Software, algorithm	STAR	PMID: 23104886		
Software, algorithm	SAMtools	PMID: 19505943		
Software, algorithm	featureCounts	PMID: 24227677		
Software, algorithm	DESeq2	PMID: 25516281		
Software, algorithm	R	R Project for Statistical Computing	RRID:SCR_001905	
Software, algorithm	ComplexHeatmap	PMID: 27207943		
Software, algorithm	corrplot	GitHub		Source: https://github.com/ taiyun/corrplot
Software, algorithm	VennDiagram	PMID: 21269502		
Software, algorithm	plyr	DOI: 10.18637/jss.v040.i01		
Software, algorithm	reshape2	DOI: 10.18637/jss.v021.i12		
Software, algorithm	ggplot2	ISBN:978-0-387-98140-6		
Software, algorithm	RColorBrewer	Author: Erich Neuwirth;		Source: https://cran.r-project.org/web/ packages/RColorBrewer/index.html
Software, algorithm	Mfuzz	PMID: 16078370		
Software, algorithm	GO-Elite	PMID: 22743224		

Fly strains

Fly stocks were maintained using standard culture conditions. Characterization of normal IFM sarcomere and fiber growth was performed in w¹¹¹⁸ grown at 27°C. salm RNAi was performed with previously characterized GD3029 (referred to as salmIR) and KK181052 (Schönbauer et al., 2011) from VDRC (http://stockcenter.vdrc.at) (Dietzl et al., 2007) at 25°C using Act88F-GAL4 to induce knockdown after 24 hr APF. Act88F-GAL4 x w¹¹¹⁸ served as control. The Strn-Mlck-MiMIC insertion MI02893 into IFM-specific IsoR (Bloomington stock 37038) and TRiP hairpin JF02170 were obtained from Bloomington (Ni et al., 2011). The salm-EGFP line was used to sort wing discs (Marty et al., 2014). Tagged genomic fosmid reporter fly lines include strn4 (Strn-Mlck-GFP, Isoform R) (Spletter et al., 2015), fTRG500 (Mhc-GFP, Isoforms K, L, M), fTRG501 (Mf-GFP, Isoforms A, G, N), fTRG587 (Rhea-GFP, Isoforms B, E, F, G), fTRG876 (Fln-GFP), fTRG932 (mys-GFP), fTRG958 (βTub60D-GFP), fTRG1046 (unc-89-GFP), and fTRG10028 (Act88F-GFP) (Sarov et al., 2016).

To label myoblasts, we utilized the enhancer for *Holes-in-muscle* (*Him*), which is expressed in dividing myoblasts and promotes the progenitor fate. *Him-nuc-eGFP* flies were a gift of M. Taylor (*Soler and Taylor, 2009*). *Him*-GAL4 flies were created by cloning an EcoRI to SacII fragment of the *Him* enhancer (*Liotta et al., 2007*) upstream of GAL4 into pStinger. UAS-BBM (UAS-palmCherry) (*Förster and Luschnig, 2012*) was driven with *Him*-GAL4 to label myoblasts. *Him*-GFP-Gma flies were created by PCR amplifying GFP-Gma with AscI and PacI overhangs and then cloning downstream of the Him enhancer in pStinger to generate a gypsy insulator-*Him*^{enh}-Gma-GFP-SV40-gypsy insulator cassette.

The *rhea-YPet* line used to label muscle ends for live imaging of twitch events was generated by CRISPR-mediated gene editing at the endogenous locus (S.B.L and F.S., details will be published elsewhere). The *kon-GFP* line was generated by inserting GFP into the *kon* locus after its transmembrane domain using the genomic fosmid FlyFos021621, which was integrated using Φ -C31 into VK00033 (I. Ferreira and F.S., details will be published elsewhere).

Flight tests

Flight tests were performed as previously described (**Schnorrer et al., 2010**). Act88F-GAL4 crosses were kept at 25°C, as higher temperatures negatively impacted flight ability, because of the very high GAL4 expression levels in this strain. Adult males were collected on CO_2 and recovered at least 24 hr at 25°C before testing. Flies were introduced into the top of a 1 m long cylinder divided into five zones. Those that landed in the top two zones were considered 'normal fliers', those in the next two zones 'weak fliers' and those that fell to the bottom of the cylinder 'flightless'.

Immuno-staining

Wing-discs were dissected from 3rd instar wandering larvae in 1x PBS and fixed in 4% PFA in PBS-T. Discs were stained as described below for anti-GFP. Adult and pupal flight muscles were dissected and stained as previously described (Weitkunat and Schnorrer, 2014). Briefly, early pupae (16-60 hr APF) were freed from the pupal case, fixed for 20 min. in 4% PFA in relaxing solution and washed in 0.5% PBS-Triton-X100 (PBS-T). 72 hr APF and older samples were cut sagittally with a microtome blade. All samples were blocked for at least 1 hr at RT in 5% normal goat serum in PBS-T and stained with primary antibodies overnight at 4°C. Primary antibodies include: guinea pig anti-Shot 1:500 (gift of T. Volk), rat anti-Kettin 1:50 (MAC155/Klg16, Babraham Institute), rabbit anti-GFP 1:1000 (ab290, Abcam), rat anti-Bruno 1:500 (Filardo and Ephrussi, 2003), rabbit anti-Salm 1:50 (Kühnlein et al., 1994), mouse anti-BPS-integrin 1:500 (CF.6G11, DSHB), rabbit anti-Twi 1:1000 (gift of Siegfried Roth) and rabbit anti-Fln 1:50 (Reedy et al., 2000) (gift of Jim Vigoreaux). Samples were washed three times in 0.5% PBS-T and incubated overnight at 4°C with secondary conjugated antibodies (1:500) from Invitrogen (Molecular Probes) including: Alexa488 goat anti-guinea pig IgG, Alexa488 donkey anti-rat IgG, Alexa488 goat anti-mouse IgG, Alexa488 goat anti-rabbit IgG, rhodamine-phalloidin, Alexa568 goat anti-rabbit IgG and Alexa633 goat anti-mouse IgG. Samples were washed three times in 0.5% PBS-T and mounted in Vectashield containing DAPI.

Cryosections

Head, wings and abdomen were removed from one day old w^{1118} flies and thoraxes were fixed overnight at 4°C in 4% PFA. For 30–90 hr APF samples, pupae were freed from the pupal case, poked 3– 5 times with an insect pin in the abdomen and fixed overnight at 4°C in 4% PFA. Thoraxes or pupae were then sunk in 30% sucrose in 0.5% PBS-T overnight at 4°C on a nutator. Thoraxes or pupae were embedded in Tissue-Tek O.C.T. (Sakura Finetek) in plastic moulds (#4566, Sakura Finetek) and frozen on dry ice. Blocks were sectioned at 30 μ m on a cryostat (Microm vacutome). Sections were collected on glass slides coated with 1% gelatin +0.44 μ M chromium potassium sulfate dodecahydrate to facilitate tissue adherence. Slides were post-fixed for 1 min. in 4% PFA in 0.5% PBS-T at RT, washed in 0.5% PBS-T, incubated with rhodamine-phalloidin for 2 hr at RT, washed three times in 0.5% PBS-T and mounted in Fluoroshield with DAPI (#F6057, Sigma).

Microscopy and image analysis

Images were acquired with a Zeiss LSM 780 confocal microscope equipped with an α Plan-APO-CHROMAT 100x oil immersion objective lens (NA 1.46). To compare if indicator protein expression replicates the mRNA-Seq expression dynamics, we imaged three time points from each expression profile with the same confocal settings. Laser gain and pinhole settings were set on the brightest sample and reused on remaining time points in the same imaging session. All samples were additionally stained with the same antibody mix on the same day and if possible in the same tube. Images were processed with Fiji (*Schindelin et al., 2012*) and Photoshop, and displayed using the 'Fire' look-up table.

Fiber length and fiber cross-sectional area were measured with freehand drawing tools in Fiji based on rhodamine-phalloidin staining. Sarcomere length, myofibril width, and myofibril diameter were measured automatically using a custom Fiji plug-in, MyofibrilJ, available from https://imagej.net/MyofibrilJ and code from https://github.com/giocard/MyofibrilJ (*Cardone, 2018*; copy archived at https://github.com/elifesciences-publications/MyofibrilJ). All measurements are based on rhoda-mine-phalloidin staining, except 34 hr APF sarcomere lengths, which are based on both rhodamine-phalloidin and Unc-89-GFP staining. 'Sarcomeres per fibril' was calculated as average individual fiber length divided by sarcomere length for fiber 3 or 4. 'Fibrils per fiber' was calculated as average number of fibrils per unit area multiplied by individual fiber cross sectional area.

For determining myofibril diameter, samples were imaged using a 3x optical zoom (50 nm pixel size). At least 20 cross-section images from different fibers for >10 flies were acquired for each time point. The number of fibrils per section and fibril diameter were determined with the tool 'analyze myofibrils crosswise' from MyofibrilJ (https://imagej.net/MyofibrilJ). In this tool, an initial estimate of the diameter is obtained by finding the first minimum in the radial average profile of the autocorrelation (*Goodman, 1996*) of the image. This estimate is used to calibrate the optimal crop area around all the cross-sections in the image, their position previously detected by finding the local intensity peaks. All of the detected cross-sections are then combined to obtain a noise-free average representation of the fibril section. Finally, the diameter is calculated by examining the radial profile of the average and measuring the full width where the intensity is 26% of the maximum range.

For determining sarcomere length and myofibril width, for each experiment between 10 and 25 images were acquired from more than 10 individual flies. From each image, nine non-overlapping regions of interest were selected, which were rotated to orient fibrils horizontally, when necessary. The tool 'analyze myofibrils lengthwise' from MyofibrilJ reports the sarcomere length (indicated as repeat) and myofibril width (indicated as thickness). Because of the periodic nature of sarcomere organization, their length is estimated by means of Fourier analysis, identifying the position of the peaks on the horizontal axis of the Fourier transformed imaged. Quality of the estimate was evaluated by visual inspection of the Fourier transformed image, overlaid with the peaks detected, as generated by the plug-in. Myofibrils width is estimated from the position of the first minimum in the vertical intensity profile of the autocorrelation of the image.

Live imaging of developmental spontaneous contractions was performed on a Leica SP5 confocal microscope. Prior to imaging, a window was cut in the pupal case, and pupae were mounted in slotted slides as previously described (*Lemke and Schnorrer, 2018; Weitkunat and Schnorrer, 2014*). At the specified developmental time point, IFMs were recorded every 0.65 s for 5 min. General movement within the thorax was distinguished from IFM-specific contraction, and each sample was scored for the number of single or double contractions observed per 5 min time window. Data were recorded in Excel and ANOVA was performed in GraphPad Prism to determine significant differences. Movies were assembled in Fiji (Image J), cropped and edited for length to highlight a selected twitch event. Quantitative imaging of fosmid reporter intensity was performed at 30 hr APF, 48 hr APF, 72 hr APF, 90 hr APF and in 1 day adult in live IFM by normalizing to fluorescent beads (ThermoFisher (Molecular Probes), InSpeck Green Kit I-7219). IFMs were dissected from five flies, mounted with fluorescent microspheres (0.3% or 1% relative intensity, depending on the reporter intensity) in the supplied mounting medium and immediately imaged (within 20 min). Intensity measurements were obtained at 40x for at least 10 flies in regions where both IFM and at least three beads were visible. Control *Act88F-GAL4;; fosmid-GFP x w*¹¹¹⁸ and *Act88F-GAL4;; fosmid-GFP x salmIR* (fosmids used included *Strn-Mlck-GFP*, *Mhc-GFP*, *Fln-GFP*, and *Unc-89-GFP*) were imaged in the same imaging session. Relative fluorescence fiber to beads was calculated for each image in Fiji by averaging intensity for three fiber ROIs and three bead ROIs. Data were recorded in Excel and Student's t-test for significance and plotting were performed in GraphPad Prism.

mRNA-Seq

We previously published mRNA-Seq analysis of dissected IFMs from *Mef2*-GAL4, UAS-GFP-Gma x w^{1118} at 30 hr APF, 72 hr APF and 1d adult, and *Mef2-GAL4*, UAS-GFP-Gma x salmlR in 1d adult (**Spletter et al., 2015**). We expanded this analysis in the present study to include myoblasts from third instar larval wing discs (see below) and dissected IFMs from *Mef2*-GAL4, UAS-GFP-Gma x w^{1118} at 16, 24, 30, 48, 72, 90 hr APF and from 1 day adults as well as IFMs from *Mef2*-GAL4, UAS-GFP-Gma x w^{1118} at 16, 24, 30, 48, 72, 90 hr APF and from 1 day adults. IFMs were dissected from groups of 15 flies in 30 min to minimize changes to the transcriptome, spun down in PBS for 5 min at 7500 rpm and immediately frozen in 100 µl TriPure reagent (#11667157001, Roche) on dry ice. RNA was isolated after combining IFMs from 150 to 200 flies, with biological duplicates or triplicates for each time point.

Poly(A)+mRNA was purified using Dynabeads (#610.06, Invitrogen) and integrity was verified on a Bioanalyzer. mRNA was then fragmented by heating to 94°C for 210 s in fragmentation buffer (40 mM TrisOAc, 100 mM KOAc, 30 mM MgOAc₂). First-strand cDNA synthesis was performed with the Superscript III First-Strand Synthesis System (#18080–051, Invitrogen) using random hexamers. The second strand was synthesized with dUTP and submitted to the Vienna Biocenter Core Facilities (VBCF, http://www.vbcf.ac.at) for stranded library preparation according to standard Illumina protocols and sequenced as SR100 on an Illumina HiSeq2500. Libraries were multiplexed two to four per lane using TrueSeq adaptors.

Wing disc sorting and myoblast isolation

To perform mRNA-Seq on fusion competent myoblasts that will form the IFMs, we first dissected wing discs from wandering third instar larvae and manually cut the hinge away from the wing pouch. mRNA was isolated in TriPure reagent and sequenced as described above. We estimate this sample (Myo1) is ~50% myoblast, as the myoblasts form a nearly uniform layer over the underlying epithelial monolayer. To obtain a purer myoblast sample, we performed large-scale imaginal disc sorting followed by dissociation. We used particle sorting to isolate imaginal discs from Him-GAL4, UAS-BBM (UAS-palmCherry); salm-EGFP flies based on the green fluorescent signal. 10-12 ml of larvae in PBS were disrupted using a GentleMACS mixer (Miltenyl Biotec) and discs were collected through a mesh sieve (#0278 in, 25 opening, 710 µm). Fat was removed by centrifugation for 10 min. at 1000 rpm at 4°C, discs were rinsed in PBS and then re-suspended in HBSS. Discs were further purified on a Ficoll gradient (25%:16%). Discs were then sorted on a Large Particle Flow Cytometer (BioSorter (FOCA1000), Union Biometrica, Inc.), obtaining 600–1000 discs per sample. Discs were spun for 5 min at 600 rcf in a Teflon Eppendorf tube and then re-suspended in the dissociation mixture (200 µl of 10x Trypsin, 200 µl HBSS, 50 µl collagenase (10 mg/mL), 50 µl dispase (10 mg/ml)). The tube was incubated for 10 min. at RT and then transferred to a thermal shaker for 30 min. at 25°C at 650 rpm. Myoblasts were filtered through a 35 μ m tube-cap filter and spun at 600 rcf for 5 min. to pellet the cells. Cells were re-suspended in HBSS for evaluation or frozen in TriPure reagent for RNA extraction. We obtained samples with ~90% purity based on counting the number of red fluorescent cells/ non fluorescent+green fluorescent cells in three slide regions. mRNA was isolated in TriPure reagent and sequenced as described above, generating the Myo2 and Myo3 samples.

Analysis of RNA-Seq data

FASTA files were de-multiplexed and base called using Illumina software. Reads were trimmed using the FASTX-toolkit. Sequences were mapped using STAR (**Dobin et al., 2013**) to the Drosophila genome (BDGP6.80 from ENSEMBL). Mapped reads were sorted and indexed using SAMtools (**Li et al., 2009**), and then bam files were converted to bigwig files. Libraries were normalized based on library size and read-counts uploaded to the UCSC Browser for visualization (http://genome.ucsc. edu/cgi-bin/hgTracks?hgS_doOtherUser=submit&hgS_otherUserName=Ayeroslaviz&hgS_otherUserSessionName=IFMTP.leg.TCpaperHub1; http://genome.ucsc.edu/cgi-bin/hgTracks?hgS_doOtherUserName=Ayeroslaviz&hgS_otherUserSessionName=AretSalmIFMTP. TCpaperHub2).

Mapped sequences were run through featureCounts (*Liao et al., 2014*) and differential expression analysis was performed on the raw counts using DESeq2 (*Love et al., 2014*). We performed all pairwise comparisons across the time-course as well as between wild-type and *salmIR* samples (*Supplementary file 1* and 4). All original and processed data can be found as supplemental data or in the Gene Expression Omnibus submission (accession number GSE107247). R packages employed in the analysis include ComplexHeatmap (*Gu et al., 2016*), CorrPlot (https://github.com/taiyun/corrplot), VennDiagram (*Chen and Boutros, 2011*), plyr (*Wickham, 2011*), reshape2 (*Wickham, 2007*), ggplot2 (*Wickham, 2009*) and RColorBrewer (*Neuwirth, 2015*).

Genome-wide soft clustering was performed in R with Mfuzz (**Futschik and Carlisle, 2005**), using the DESeq2 normalized count values. We filtered the dataset to include all genes expressed at one time point or more, defining expression as >100 counts after normalization. We then set all count values < 100 to 0, to remove noise below the expression threshold. DESeq2 normalized data was standardized in Mfuzz to have a mean value of zero and a standard deviation of one, to remove the influence of expression magnitude and focus on the expression dynamics. We tested 'k' ranging from 10 to 256. We then performed consecutive rounds of clustering to obtain three independent replicates with similar numbers of iterations, ultimately selecting a final k = 40 clusters with iterations equal to 975, 1064 and 1118. We calculated a 'stability score' for each cluster by calculating how many genes are found in the same cluster in each run (**Supplementary file 1**). Figures are from the 1064 iterations dataset. Mfuzz cluster core expression profiles were calculated as the average standard-normal expression of all genes with a membership value greater than or equal to 0.8, and then core profiles were clustered in R using Euclidean distance and complete linkage.

Enrichment analysis was performed with GO-Elite (Zambon et al., 2012) using available Gene Ontology terms for Drosophila. We additionally defined user provided gene lists for transcription factors, RNA binding proteins, microtubule associated proteins, sarcomeric proteins, genes with an RNAi phenotype in muscle (Schnorrer et al., 2010), mitochondrial genes (http://mitoXplorer.biochem.mpg.de) and salm core fibrillar genes (Spletter et al., 2015). Full results and gene lists are available in Supplementary file 2. These user-supplied lists allowed us to define more complete gene sets relevant to a particular process or with a specific localization than available in existing GO terms. Analysis was performed with 5000 iterations to generate reliable significance values.

Data availability

Processed data from DESeq2, Mfuzz and GO-Elite are available in *Supplementary file* 1, 2, 4. mRNA-Seq data are publicly available from NCBI's Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) under accession number GSE107247. mRNA-Seq read counts are further publicly accessible as track hubs in the UCSC Genome Browser at the following links: [1] (wild-type IFM time course) http://genome. ucsc.edu/cgi-bin/hgTracks?hgS_doOtherUser=submit&hgS_otherUserName=Ayeroslaviz&hgS_oth-erUserSessionName=IFMTP.leg.TCpaperHub1 and [2] (salm timecourse) http://genome.ucsc.edu/cgi-bin/hgTracks?hgS_doOtherUser=submit&hgS_otherUserName=Ayeroslaviz&hgS_otherUserSessionName=AretSalmIFMTP.TCpaperHub2. Fiji scripts for analysis of sarcomere length, myofibril width and myofibril diameter are available from https://imagej.net/MyofibrilJ. Raw data used to generate all plots presented in figure panels are available in the source data files for *Figures* 1, 5, 6, 7 and 8. Data on statistical test results are presented in *Supplementary file* 5.

Acknowledgements

We thank Irene Ferreira for constructing the tagged kon-tiki allele and the Bloomington and VDRC stock centers for fly stocks. We are grateful to Reinhard Fässler and Andreas Ladurner for generous support and to Bettina Stender for excellent technical assistance. We thank Elena Nikonova for initial analysis of the Act88F-GAL4 x salmIR phenotype and Sandra Esser for testing the salm KK181052 hairpin. We acknowledge the VBCF (Vienna, AT) for mRNA-sequencing and the Core Facility Bioimaging at the Max Planck Institute for Biochemistry and the LMU Biomedical Center (Martinsried, DE) for help with confocal image analysis. We thank Florian Marty for help with the BioSorter, and Alexander Stark for help setting-up the mRNA-sequencing. We thank Aynur Kaya-Copur and Wouter Koolhaas for helpful discussions, and Nuno Luis and Vincent Loreau for insightful comments on the manuscript. Our work was supported by the Max Planck Society and the CNRS; postdoctoral Humboldt, EMBO long-term (688–2011), and NIH-NRSA (5F32AR062477) fellowships (MLS), the Frederich-Bauer Stiftung (MLS), the Center for Integrated Protein Science München (MLS), the Boehringer Ingelheim Fonds (SBL), a Career Development Award from the Human Frontier Science Program (FS), the EMBO Young Investigator Program (FS) and the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP/2007-2013)/ERC Grant 310939 (FS), the excellence initiative Aix-Marseille University AMIDEX (ANR-11-IDEX-0001-02) (FS), the ANR-ACHN (FS) and the LabEX-INFORM (ANR-11- LABX-0054) (FS) and France Bioimaging (ANR-10-INBS-04-01) (FS).

Additional information

Funding

Funder	Grant reference number	Author
Max-Planck-Gesellschaft		Maria L Spletter Christiane Barz Assa Yeroslaviz Xu Zhang Sandra B Lemke Giovanni Cardone Bianca H Habermann Frank Schnorrer
European Molecular Biology Organization	EMBO-LTR 688-2011	Maria L Spletter
Alexander von Humboldt-Stif- tung		Maria L Spletter
National Institute for Health Research	5F32AR062477	Maria L Spletter
Centre National de la Re- cherche Scientifique		Xu Zhang Adrien Bonnard Bianca H Habermann Frank Schnorrer
Boehringer Ingelheim Fonds		Sandra B Lemke
Center for Integrated Protein Science München	the Boehringer Ingelheim Fonds	Sandra B Lemke
Aix-Marseille Université	ANR-11-IDEX-0001-02	Bianca H Habermann Frank Schnorrer
H2020 European Research Council	ERC Grant 310939	Frank Schnorrer
Agence Nationale de la Re- cherche	ANR-11- LABX-0054	Frank Schnorrer
European Molecular Biology Organization	EMBO-YIP	Frank Schnorrer
Agence Nationale de la Re- cherche	ANR-10-INBS-04- 01	Frank Schnorrer

Cell Biology | Developmental Biology and Stem Cells

Agence Nationale de la Re- ANR ACHN cherche

Frank Schnorrer

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

Author contributions

Maria L Spletter, Data curation, Formal analysis, Validation, Investigation, Visualization, Methodology, Writing—original draft, Writing—review and editing; Christiane Barz, Data curation, Investigation; Assa Yeroslaviz, Software, Formal analysis, Visualization, Methodology, Writing—review and editing; Xu Zhang, Sandra B Lemke, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing—review and editing; Adrien Bonnard, Formal analysis, Investigation, Visualization; Erich Brunner, Investigation, Methodology; Giovanni Cardone, Software, Writing—review and editing; Konrad Basler, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Writing—review and editing; Bianca H Habermann, Software, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Validation, Visualization, Methodology, Writing—review and editing; Frank Schnorrer, Conceptualization, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Validation, Writing—original draft, Writing—review and editing

Author ORCIDs

Maria L Spletter (D) http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2068-3350 Xu Zhang (D) http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1628-9895 Sandra B Lemke (D) http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3817-4351 Giovanni Cardone (D) http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4712-1451 Bianca H Habermann (D) http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2457-7504 Frank Schnorrer (D) http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9518-7263

Decision letter and Author response

Decision letter https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.051 Author response https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.052

Additional files

Supplementary files

• Supplementary file 1. mRNA-Seq raw data The file includes multiple tabs containing the raw or input counts data from bioinformatics analysis, as well as a key to all original data provided in the supplementary tables. This table includes mRNA-Seq counts data, DESeq2 normalized counts data and standard normal counts data used for Mfuzz clustering for wild-type and *salmIR* IFM time points. The averaged core expression profiles for each Mfuzz cluster are also listed. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.040

• Supplementary file 2. GO-Elite analysis data. This table includes multiple tabs containing the GO-Elite analysis of enrichments in Mfuzz clusters as well as genes up- or down-regulated from 30–72 hr APF and between wild-type and *salmIR* IFM. It also contains a complete list of all genes included in the 'User Defined' gene sets.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.041

• Supplementary file 3. Summary of sarcomere and myofibril quantifications This table includes a numerical summary of quantification values reported graphically in *Figures 5*, 7 and 8. Quantifications of sarcomere length, myofibril width and myofibril diameter were performed with the MyofibrilJ script (see Materials and methods). Fiber length and cross-sectional area measurements were performed in Fiji/Image J.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.042

• Supplementary file 4. DESeq2 pairwise differential expression analysis This table contains multiple tabs containing the output data from DESeq2 differential expression analysis between sequential IFM development time points, from 30 to 72 hr APF as well as between WT and *salmIR* IFM. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.043

• Supplementary file 5. Statistical Data This table includes multiple tabs containing the statistical and calculation data for the different panels of *Figures 1, 5, 6, 7* and *8*. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.044

• Transparent reporting form DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34058.045

Data availability

Processed data from DESeq2, Mfuzz and GO-Elite are available in Supplementary Files 1, 2, 4. mRNA-Seq data are publicly available from NCBI's Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) under accession number GSE107247. Fiji scripts for analysis of sarcomere length, myofibril width and myofibril diameter are available from https://imagej.net/MyofibrilJ. Raw data used to generate all plots presented in figure panels are available in the source data files for Figures 1, 5, 6, 7 and 8. Data on statistical test results are presented in Supplementary File 5.

The following dataset was generated:

Author(s)	Year	Dataset title	Dataset URL	Database, license, and accessibility information
Spletter ML, Schnorrer F, Yero- slaviz A, Stark A, Habermann B	2017	Systematic transcriptomics reveals a biphasic mode of sarcomere morphogenesis in flight muscles regulated by Spalt	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/geo/query/acc. cgi?acc=GSE107247	Publicly available at the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (accession no: GSE10 7247).

The following previously published dataset was used:

Author(s)	Year	Dataset title	Dataset URL	Database, license, and accessibility information
Spletter ML, Schnorrer F, Ger- lach D, Stark A, Yeroslaviz A, Ha- bermann B	2014	The RNA binding protein Arrest (Aret) regulates myofibril maturation in Drosophila flight muscle	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/geo/query/acc. cgi?acc=GSE63707	Publicly available at the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (accession no: GSE63707).

References

- Anant S, Roy S, VijayRaghavan K. 1998. Twist and notch negatively regulate adult muscle differentiation in Drosophila. Development 125:1361–1369. PMID: 9502718
- Asano T, Ishizuka T, Morishima K, Yawo H. 2015. Optogenetic induction of contractile ability in immature C2C12 myotubes. *Scientific Reports* **5**:8317. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/srep08317, PMID: 25661648
- Bate M, Rushton E, Currie DA. 1991. Cells with persistent twist expression are the embryonic precursors of adult muscles in Drosophila. *Development* **113**:79–89. PMID: 1765010
- Brinegar AE, Xia Z, Loehr JA, Li W, Rodney GG, Cooper TA. 2017. Extensive alternative splicing transitions during postnatal skeletal muscle development are required for calcium handling functions. *eLife* 6:e27192. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.27192, PMID: 28826478
- Bryantsev AL, Baker PW, Lovato TL, Jaramillo MS, Cripps RM. 2012. Differential requirements for myocyte enhancer Factor-2 during adult myogenesis in Drosophila. *Developmental Biology* **361**:191–207. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2011.09.031, PMID: 22008792
- Bullard B, Burkart C, Labeit S, Leonard K. 2006. The function of elastic proteins in the oscillatory contraction of insect flight muscle. *Journal of Muscle Research and Cell Motility* 26:479–485. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10974-005-9032-7
- Bullard B, Pastore A. 2011. Regulating the contraction of insect flight muscle. *Journal of Muscle Research and Cell Motility* **32**:303–313. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10974-011-9278-1, PMID: 22105701
- Burkart C, Qiu F, Brendel S, Benes V, Hååg P, Labeit S, Leonard K, Bullard B. 2007. Modular proteins from the Drosophila sallimus (sls) gene and their expression in muscles with different extensibility. *Journal of Molecular Biology* 367:953–969. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2007.01.059, PMID: 17316686

Cardone G. 2018. MyofibrilJ. GitHub. 033d83c. https://github.com/giocard/MyofibrilJ

Chen H, Boutros PC. 2011. VennDiagram: a package for the generation of highly-customizable venn and Euler diagrams in R. *BMC Bioinformatics* **12**:35. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-12-35, PMID: 21269502

Ciciliot 5, Schiaffino S. 2010. Regeneration of mammalian skeletal muscle. basic mechanisms and clinical implications. *Current Pharmaceutical Design* 16:906–914. PMID: 20041823

- Clark IE, Dodson MW, Jiang C, Cao JH, Huh JR, Seol JH, Yoo SJ, Hay BA, Guo M. 2006. Drosophila pink1 is required for mitochondrial function and interacts genetically with parkin. *Nature* **441**:1162–1166. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04779, PMID: 16672981
- Dietzl G, Chen D, Schnorrer F, Su KC, Barinova Y, Fellner M, Gasser B, Kinsey K, Oppel S, Scheiblauer S, Couto A, Marra V, Keleman K, Dickson BJ. 2007. A genome-wide transgenic RNAi library for conditional gene inactivation in Drosophila. *Nature* 448:151–156. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05954, PMID: 17625558
- Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, Batut P, Chaisson M, Gingeras TR. 2013. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29**:15–21. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/ bts635, PMID: 23104886
- Drexler HC, Ruhs A, Konzer A, Mendler L, Bruckskotten M, Looso M, Günther S, Boettger T, Krüger M, Braun T. 2012. On marathons and sprints: an integrated quantitative proteomics and transcriptomics analysis of differences between slow and fast muscle fibers. *Molecular & Cellular Proteomics* 11:M111.010801. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.M111.010801, PMID: 22210690
- Du A, Sanger JM, Sanger JW. 2008. Cardiac myofibrillogenesis inside intact embryonic hearts. *Developmental Biology* **318**:236–246. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2008.03.011, PMID: 18455713
- Dutta D, Anant S, Ruiz-Gomez M, Bate M, VijayRaghavan K. 2004. Founder myoblasts and fibre number during adult myogenesis in Drosophila. *Development* 131:3761–3772. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.01249, PMID: 15262890
- Ehler E, Gautel M. 2008. The sarcomere and sarcomerogenesis. Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology 642:1–14. PMID: 19181089

Fernandes J, Bate M, Vijayraghavan K. 1991. Development of the indirect flight muscles of Drosophila. Development 113:67–77. PMID: 1765009

- Filardo P, Ephrussi A. 2003. Bruno regulates gurken during Drosophila oogenesis. *Mechanisms of Development* 120:289–297. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-4773(02)00454-9, PMID: 12591598
- **Förster D**, Luschnig S. 2012. Src42A-dependent polarized cell shape changes mediate epithelial tube elongation in Drosophila. *Nature Cell Biology* **14**:526–534. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb2456, PMID: 22446736
- Futschik ME, Carlisle B. 2005. Noise-robust soft clustering of gene expression time-course data. Journal of Bioinformatics and Computational Biology 03:965–988. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219720005001375
- Gajewski KM, Schulz RA. 2010. CF2 represses actin 88F gene expression and maintains filament balance during indirect flight muscle development in Drosophila. *PLoS ONE* **5**:e10713. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0010713, PMID: 20520827
- García-Zaragoza E, Mas JA, Vivar J, Arredondo JJ, Cervera M. 2008. CF2 activity and enhancer integration are required for proper muscle gene expression in Drosophila. *Mechanisms of Development* **125**:617–630. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mod.2008.03.003, PMID: 18448314
- Gautel M, Djinović-Carugo K. 2016. The sarcomeric cytoskeleton: from molecules to motion. Journal of Experimental Biology 219:135–145. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.124941, PMID: 26792323
- Gokhin DS, Fowler VM. 2013. A two-segment model for thin filament architecture in skeletal muscle. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology 14:113–119. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm3510
- Goodman JW. 1996. Introduction to Fourier Optics. 2nd. McGraw-Hill.
- Gu Z, Eils R, Schlesner M. 2016. Complex heatmaps reveal patterns and correlations in multidimensional genomic data. *Bioinformatics* 32:2847–2849. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btw313, PMID: 27207943
- Hinits Y, Hughes SM. 2007. Mef2s are required for thick filament formation in nascent muscle fibres. Development **134**:2511–2519. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.007088, PMID: 17537787
- Josephson R. 2006. Comparative Physiology of Insect Flight Muscle. In: Josephson R (Ed). Nature's Versatile Engine: Insect Flight Muscle Inside and Out. Georgetown, TX: Landes Bioscience. p. 35–43.
- Katzemich A, Liao KA, Czerniecki S, Schöck F. 2013. Alp/Enigma family proteins cooperate in Z-disc formation and myofibril assembly. *PLoS Genetics* 9:e1003342. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1003342, PMID: 23505387
- Kelly KK, Meadows SM, Cripps RM. 2002. Drosophila MEF2 is a direct regulator of Actin57B transcription in cardiac, skeletal, and visceral muscle lineages. *Mechanisms of Development* **110**:39–50. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S0925-4773(01)00586-X, PMID: 11744367
- Kumar L, Futschik M. 2007. Mfuzz: a software package for soft clustering of microarray data. *Bioinformation* **2**:5–7. DOI: https://doi.org/10.6026/97320630002005, PMID: 18084642
- Kühnlein RP, Frommer G, Friedrich M, Gonzalez-Gaitan M, Weber A, Wagner-Bernholz JF, Gehring WJ, Jäckle H, Schuh R. 1994. Spalt encodes an evolutionarily conserved zinc finger protein of novel structure which provides homeotic gene function in the head and tail region of the Drosophila embryo. *The EMBO Journal* 13: 168–179. PMID: 7905822
- Lang F, Aravamudhan S, Nolte H, Türk C, Hölper S, Müller S, Günther S, Blaauw B, Braun T, Krüger M. 2017. Dynamic changes in the mouse skeletal muscle proteome during denervation-induced atrophy. *Disease Models & Mechanisms* 10:881–896. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dmm.028910, PMID: 28546288
- Lange S, Ehler E, Gautel M. 2006. From A to Z and back? multicompartment proteins in the sarcomere. *Trends in Cell Biology* **16**:11–18. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2005.11.007, PMID: 16337382
- Leiss D, Hinz U, Gasch A, Mertz R, Renkawitz-Pohl R. 1988. Beta 3 tubulin expression characterizes the differentiating mesodermal germ layer during Drosophila embryogenesis. *Development* **104**:525–531. PMID: 3077351
- Lemke SB, Schnorrer F. 2017. Mechanical forces during muscle development. *Mechanisms of Development* 144: 92–101. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mod.2016.11.003, PMID: 27913119

- Lemke SB, Schnorrer F. 2018. In vivo imaging of Muscle-tendon morphogenesis in Drosophila pupae. Journal of Visualized Experiments: JoVE:e57312. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3791/57312
- Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R, 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup. 2009. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* 25:2078–2079. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352
- Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. 2014. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **30**:923–930. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt656, PMID: 24227677
- Liotta D, Han J, Elgar S, Garvey C, Han Z, Taylor MV. 2007. The him gene reveals a balance of inputs controlling muscle differentiation in Drosophila. *Current Biology* **17**:1409–1413. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2007. 07.039, PMID: 17702578
- Llewellyn ME, Barretto RP, Delp SL, Schnitzer MJ. 2008. Minimally invasive high-speed imaging of sarcomere contractile dynamics in mice and humans. *Nature* 454:784–788. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07104, PMID: 18600262
- Loison O, Weitkunat M, Kaya-Çopur A, Nascimento Alves C, Matzat T, Spletter ML, Luschnig S, Brasselet S, Lenne PF, Schnorrer F. 2018. Polarization-resolved microscopy reveals a muscle myosin motor-independent mechanism of molecular actin ordering during sarcomere maturation. *PLOS Biology* 16:e2004718. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2004718, PMID: 29702642
- Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biology 15:550. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8, PMID: 25516281
- Marty F, Rockel-Bauer C, Simigdala N, Brunner E, Basler K. 2014. Large-scale imaginal disc sorting: a protocol for "omics"-approaches. *Methods* 68:260–264. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymeth.2014.04.005, PMID: 24736056
- Mazelet L, Parker MO, Li M, Arner A, Ashworth R. 2016. Role of active contraction and tropomodulins in regulating actin filament length and sarcomere structure in developing zebrafish skeletal muscle. *Frontiers in Physiology* **7**:91. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2016.00091, PMID: 27065876
- Montfort J, Le Cam A, Gabillard JC, Rescan PY. 2016. Gene expression profiling of trout regenerating muscle reveals common transcriptional signatures with hyperplastic growth zones of the post-embryonic myotome. BMC Genomics 17:810. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-016-3160-x, PMID: 27756225
 Neuwirth E. 2015. Package "RColorBrewer". 1–5.
- Ni JQ, Zhou R, Czech B, Liu LP, Holderbaum L, Yang-Zhou D, Shim HS, Tao R, Handler D, Karpowicz P, Binari R, Booker M, Brennecke J, Perkins LA, Hannon GJ, Perrimon N. 2011. A genome-scale shRNA resource for transgenic RNAi in Drosophila. *Nature Methods* 8:405–407. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1592, PMID: 21460824
- Orfanos Z, Leonard K, Elliott C, Katzemich A, Bullard B, Sparrow J. 2015. Sallimus and the dynamics of sarcomere assembly in Drosophila flight muscles. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **427**:2151–2158. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2015.04.003, PMID: 25868382
- **Orfanos Z**, Sparrow JC. 2013. Myosin isoform switching during assembly of the *Drosophila* flight muscle thick filament lattice. *Journal of Cell Science* **126**:139–148. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.110361, PMID: 2317 8940
- Pérez-Moreno JJ, Bischoff M, Martín-Bermudo MD, Estrada B. 2014. The conserved transmembrane proteoglycan perdido/Kon-tiki is essential for myofibrillogenesis and sarcomeric structure in Drosophila. *Journal* of Cell Science **127**:3162–3173. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.150425, PMID: 24794494
- Potthoff MJ, Arnold MA, McAnally J, Richardson JA, Bassel-Duby R, Olson EN. 2007. Regulation of skeletal muscle sarcomere integrity and postnatal muscle function by Mef2c. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* 27:8143– 8151. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.01187-07, PMID: 17875930
- Racca AW, Klaiman JM, Pioner JM, Cheng Y, Beck AE, Moussavi-Harami F, Bamshad MJ, Regnier M. 2016. Contractile properties of developing human fetal cardiac muscle. *The Journal of Physiology* 594:437–452. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1113/JP271290, PMID: 26460603
- Reedy MC, Beall C. 1993. Ultrastructure of developing flight muscle in Drosophila. I. assembly of myofibrils. Developmental Biology **160**:443–465. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1006/dbio.1993.1320, PMID: 8253277
- **Reedy MC**, Bullard B, Vigoreaux JO. 2000. Flightin is essential for thick filament assembly and sarcomere stability in *Drosophila* flight muscles. *The Journal of Cell Biology* **151**:1483–1500. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.151. 7.1483, PMID: 11134077
- Regev GJ, Kim CW, Tomiya A, Lee YP, Ghofrani H, Garfin SR, Lieber RL, Ward SR. 2011. Psoas muscle architectural design, in vivo sarcomere length range, and passive tensile properties support its role as a lumbar spine stabilizer. Spine 36:E1666–E1674. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0b013e31821847b3, PMID: 21415 810
- Röper K, Mao Y, Brown NH. 2005. Contribution of sequence variation in Drosophila actins to their incorporation into actin-based structures in vivo. *Journal of Cell Science* **118**:3937–3948. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs. 02517, PMID: 16105877
- Sandmann T, Jensen LJ, Jakobsen JS, Karzynski MM, Eichenlaub MP, Bork P, Furlong EE. 2006. A temporal map of transcription factor activity: mef2 directly regulates target genes at all stages of muscle development. *Developmental Cell* 10:797–807. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2006.04.009, PMID: 16740481
- Sanger JW, Wang J, Fan Y, White J, Mi-Mi L, Dube DK, Sanger JM, Pruyne D. 2017. Assembly and maintenance of myofibrils in striated muscle. *Handbook of Experimental Pharmacology* 235:39–75. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1007/164_2016_53, PMID: 27832381

- Sanger JW, Wang J, Holloway B, Du A, Sanger JM. 2009. Myofibrillogenesis in skeletal muscle cells in zebrafish. Cell Motility and the Cytoskeleton 66:556–566. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/cm.20365, PMID: 19382198
- Sarov M, Barz C, Jambor H, Hein MY, Schmied C, Suchold D, Stender B, Janosch S, KJ VV, Krishnan RT, Krishnamoorthy A, Ferreira IR, Ejsmont RK, Finkl K, Hasse S, Kämpfer P, Plewka N, Vinis E, Schloissnig S, Knust E, et al. 2016. A genome-wide resource for the analysis of protein localisation in Drosophila. *eLife* 5:e12068. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.12068, PMID: 26896675
- Schiaffino S, Reggiani C. 2011. Fiber types in mammalian skeletal muscles. *Physiological Reviews* **91**:1447–1531. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00031.2010, PMID: 22013216
- Schiaffino S, Rossi AC, Smerdu V, Leinwand LA, Reggiani C. 2015. Developmental myosins: expression patterns and functional significance. Skeletal Muscle 5:22. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/s13395-015-0046-6, PMID: 261 80627
- Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S, Rueden C, Saalfeld S, Schmid B, Tinevez JY, White DJ, Hartenstein V, Eliceiri K, Tomancak P, Cardona A. 2012. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nature Methods 9:676–682. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2019, PMID: 22743772
- Schnorrer F, Kalchhauser I, Dickson BJ. 2007. The transmembrane protein Kon-tiki couples to dgrip to mediate myotube targeting in Drosophila. *Developmental Cell* 12:751–766. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2007. 02.017, PMID: 17488626
- Schnorrer F, Schönbauer C, Langer CC, Dietzl G, Novatchkova M, Schernhuber K, Fellner M, Azaryan A, Radolf M, Stark A, Keleman K, Dickson BJ. 2010. Systematic genetic analysis of muscle morphogenesis and function in Drosophila. Nature 464:287–291. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08799, PMID: 20220848
- Schönbauer C, Distler J, Jährling N, Radolf M, Dodt HU, Frasch M, Schnorrer F. 2011. Spalt mediates an evolutionarily conserved switch to fibrillar muscle fate in insects. *Nature* 479:406–409. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1038/nature10559, PMID: 22094701
- Sevdali M, Kumar V, Peckham M, Sparrow J. 2013. Human congenital myopathy actin mutants cause myopathy and alter Z-disc structure in Drosophila flight muscle. *Neuromuscular Disorders* 23:243–255. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nmd.2012.11.013, PMID: 23294764
- Shwartz A, Dhanyasi N, Schejter ED, Shilo B-Z. 2016. The Drosophila formin fhos is a primary mediator of sarcomeric thin-filament array assembly. *eLife* 5:D786. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.16540
- Soler C, Han J, Taylor MV. 2012. The conserved transcription factor Mef2 has multiple roles in adult Drosophila musculature formation. *Development* **139**:1270–1275. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.077875, PMID: 22357 930
- Soler C, Taylor MV. 2009. The him gene inhibits the development of Drosophila flight muscles during metamorphosis. Mechanisms of Development 126:595–603. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mod.2009.03.003, PMID: 19324085
- Sparrow JC, Schöck F. 2009. The initial steps of myofibril assembly: integrins pave the way. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology 10:293–298. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2634, PMID: 19190670
- Spletter ML, Barz C, Yeroslaviz A, Schönbauer C, Ferreira IR, Sarov M, Gerlach D, Stark A, Habermann BH, Schnorrer F. 2015. The RNA-binding protein arrest (Bruno) regulates alternative splicing to enable myofibril maturation in Drosophila flight muscle. EMBO Reports 16:178–191. DOI: https://doi.org/10.15252/embr. 201439791, PMID: 25532219
- Spletter ML, Schnorrer F. 2014. Transcriptional regulation and alternative splicing cooperate in muscle fiber-type specification in flies and mammals. *Experimental Cell Research* **321**:90–98. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j. yexcr.2013.10.007, PMID: 24145055
- Stronach BE, Renfranz PJ, Lilly B, Beckerle MC. 1999. Muscle LIM proteins are associated with muscle sarcomeres and require dMEF2 for their expression during *Drosophila* myogenesis. *Molecular Biology of the Cell* 10:2329–2342. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.10.7.2329, PMID: 10397768
- Syme DA, Josephson RK. 2002. How to build fast muscles: synchronous and asynchronous designs. Integrative and Comparative Biology 42:762–770. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/42.4.762, PMID: 21708773
- Tanaka KK, Bryantsev AL, Cripps RM. 2008. Myocyte enhancer factor 2 and chorion factor 2 collaborate in activation of the myogenic program in Drosophila. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* **28**:1616–1629. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.01169-07, PMID: 18160709
- Toydemir RM, Rutherford A, Whitby FG, Jorde LB, Carey JC, Bamshad MJ. 2006. Mutations in embryonic myosin heavy chain (MYH3) cause Freeman-Sheldon syndrome and Sheldon-Hall syndrome. *Nature Genetics* 38:561–565. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1775, PMID: 16642020
- Tskhovrebova L, Trinick J. 2003. Titin: properties and family relationships. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology* **4**:679–689. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm1198, PMID: 14506471
- Vigoreaux JO. 2006. Molecular Basis of Muscle Structure. In: *Muscle Development in Drosophila*. New York, NY: Springer New York. p. 143–156.
- Wallgren-Pettersson C, Sewry CA, Nowak KJ, Laing NG. 2011. Nemaline myopathies. Seminars in Pediatric Neurology 18:230–238. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spen.2011.10.004, PMID: 22172418
- Warren GL, Summan M, Gao X, Chapman R, Hulderman T, Simeonova PP. 2007. Mechanisms of skeletal muscle injury and repair revealed by gene expression studies in mouse models. *The Journal of Physiology* 582:825– 841. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2007.132373, PMID: 17478534
- Weitkunat M, Brasse M, Bausch AR, Schnorrer F. 2017. Mechanical tension and spontaneous muscle twitching precede the formation of cross-striated muscle *in vivo*. *Development* **144**:1261–1272. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1242/dev.140723, PMID: 28174246

- Weitkunat M, Kaya-Çopur A, Grill SW, Schnorrer F. 2014. Tension and force-resistant attachment are essential for myofibrillogenesis in Drosophila flight muscle. *Current Biology* **24**:705–716. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cub.2014.02.032, PMID: 24631244
- Weitkunat M, Schnorrer F. 2014. A guide to study Drosophila muscle biology. Methods 68:2–14. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymeth.2014.02.037, PMID: 24625467

Wickham H. 2007. Reshaping data with the reshape package. Journal of Statistical Software **21**:1–20.

Wickham H. 2009. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer.

- Wickham H. 2011. The Split-Apply-Combine strategy for data analysis. *Journal of Statistical Software* **40**:1–29. DOI: https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v040.i01
- Yang J. 2018. SALL4 as a transcriptional and epigenetic regulator in normal and leukemic hematopoiesis. Biomarker Research 6:1. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/s40364-017-0115-6, PMID: 29308206
- Zambon AC, Gaj S, Ho I, Hanspers K, Vranizan K, Evelo CT, Conklin BR, Pico AR, Salomonis N. 2012. GO-Elite: a flexible solution for pathway and ontology over-representation. *Bioinformatics* **28**:2209–2210. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts366, PMID: 22743224
- Zappia MP, Frolov MV. 2016. E2F function in muscle growth is necessary and sufficient for viability in Drosophila. Nature Communications 7:10509. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms10509, PMID: 26823289
- Zhang X, Yuan X, Zhu W, Qian H, Xu W. 2015. SALL4: an emerging cancer biomarker and target. *Cancer Letters* **357**:55–62. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canlet.2014.11.037, PMID: 25444934
- Zhao Y, Li J, Liu H, Xi Y, Xue M, Liu W, Zhuang Z, Lei M. 2015. Dynamic transcriptome profiles of skeletal muscle tissue across 11 developmental stages for both tongcheng and Yorkshire pigs. BMC Genomics 16:377. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-015-1580-7, PMID: 25962502
- Zheng Q, Zhang Y, Chen Y, Yang N, Wang XJ, Zhu D. 2009. Systematic identification of genes involved in divergent skeletal muscle growth rates of broiler and layer chickens. *BMC Genomics* **10**:87. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1186/1471-2164-10-87, PMID: 19232135