

# The transmembrane protein LRIG2 increases tumor progression in skin carcinogenesis

Christine Hoesl<sup>1</sup> (D), Thomas Fröhlich<sup>2</sup>, Jennifer E. Hundt<sup>3</sup>, Hermann Kneitz<sup>4</sup>, Matthias Goebeler<sup>4</sup>, Ronald Wolf<sup>5</sup>, Marlon R. Schneider<sup>1</sup> and Maik Dahlhoff<sup>1</sup> (D)

- 1 Institute of Molecular Animal Breeding and Biotechnology, Gene Center, LMU München, Germany
- 2 Laboratory for Functional Genome Analysis (LAFUGA), Gene Center, LMU München, Germany
- 3 Lübeck Institute for Experimental Dermatology, Universität zu Lübeck, Germany
- 4 Klinik und Poliklinik für Dermatologie, Venerologie und Allergologie, Universitätsklinikum Würzburg, Germany
- 5 Department of Dermatology und Allergology, Philipps University, Marburg, Germany

#### Keywords

EGFR; ERBB receptors; LRIG2; mouse model; skin carcinogenesis; squamous cell carcinoma

#### Correspondence

M. Dahlhoff, Institute of Molecular Animal Breeding and Biotechnology, Gene Center, Ludwig-Maximilians-University Munich, Feodor-Lynen-Str. 25, Munich 81377, Germany Fax: +49 89 218076849 Tel: +49 89 218076815 E-mail: dahlhoff@Imb.uni-muenchen.de

(Received 9 April 2019, revised 18 September 2019, accepted 1 October 2019, available online 21 October 2019)

doi:10.1002/1878-0261.12579

Over the last few decades, the number of cases of non-melanoma skin cancer (NMSC) has risen to over 3 million cases every year worldwide. Members of the ERBB receptor family are important regulators of skin development and homeostasis and, when dysregulated, contribute to skin pathogenesis. In this study, we investigated leucine-rich repeats and immunoglobulin-like domains 2 (LRIG2), a transmembrane protein involved in feedback loop regulation of the ERBB receptor family during NMSC. LRIG2 was identified to be up-regulated in various types of squamous cell carcinoma (SCC), but little is known about LRIG2 in cutaneous SCC (cSCC). To investigate the function of LRIG2 in cSCC in vivo, we generated a skin-specific LRIG2 overexpressing transgenic mouse line (LRIG2-TG) using the Tet-Off system. We employed the 7,12-dimethylbenz(a)anthracene/12-O-tetra-decanoylphorbol-13-acetate (DMBA/TPA) two-stage chemical carcinogenesis model and analyzed the skin during homeostasis and tumorigenesis. LRIG2-TG mice did not exhibit alterations in skin development or homeostasis but showed an interaction between LRIG2 and thrombospondin-1, which is often involved in angiogenesis and tumorigenesis. However, during carcinogenesis, transgenic animals showed significantly increased tumor progression and a more rapid development of cSCC. This was accompanied by changes in the ERBB system. After a single TPA application, inflammation of the epidermis was enhanced during LRIG2 overexpression. In human skin samples, LRIG2 expression was identified in the basal layer of the epidermis and in hair follicles of normal skin, but also in cSCC samples. In conclusion, epidermal LRIG2 excess is associated with activated EGFR/ERBB4-MAPK signaling and accelerated tumor progression in experimentally induced NMSC, suggesting LRIG2 as a potential oncoprotein in skin.

#### Abbreviations

AKT, RAC-alpha serine/threonine-protein kinase; BME, β-mercaptoethanol; BrdU, bromodeoxyuridine; CASP3, caspase 3; CDH1, E-cadherin; cSCC, cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma; EGF, epidermal growth factor; EGFR, EGF receptor; GAPDH, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase; HF, hair follicle; IB, immunoblotted; IL1A, interleukin-1-alpha; IL6, interleukin-6; IP, immunoprecipitation; KRT, keratin; LOR, loricrin; LRIG, leucine-rich repeats and immunoglobulin-like domains; MAPK1/2, mitogen-activated protein kinase 1/2; MKI67, proliferation marker protein Ki-67; PCNA, proliferating cell nuclear antigen; PTEN, phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-triphosphate 3-phosphatase and dual specificity protein phosphatase PTEN; RAS, GTPase Ras proteins; SHC1, SHC-transforming protein 1; STAT, signal transducer and activator of transcription; THBS1, thrombospondin-1; TUBA1A, tubulin alpha-1a chain; VIM, vimentin.

Molecular Oncology **13** (2019) 2476–2492 © 2019 The Authors. Published by FEBS Press and John Wiley & Sons Ltd. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## 1. Introduction

Excessive exposure to the sun and a history of sunburns are often linked to an increased incidence of malignant skin lesions (Kim and He, 2014; Rosso et al., 1996). Every third cancer diagnosis is skin cancer, the most common type of cancer among Caucasians, with up to three million new non-melanoma skin cancer (NMSC) cases per year worldwide (WHO, 2019). Increasing NMSC incidences demand the development of new therapies and prophylactic measures, as well as the optimization of screenings. NMSC arises from keratinocytes, and can be divided into basal cell carcinoma or cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma (cSCC) depending on the cell type from which tumors develop (Lapouge et al., 2011; Sanchez-Danes and Blanpain, 2018; Tan et al., 2018). Dysregulated growth factors and their receptors have a deep impact on tumor initiation and progression (Witsch et al., 2010). The epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR, ERBB1, HER1) plays a crucial role in human cSCC (Rittie et al., 2007). The EGFR and the other members of the ERBB receptor family (ERBB2-4, HER2-4) are widely expressed in human epidermis (Hoesl et al., 2018) and regulate key processes of epidermal homeostasis, including proliferation, differentiation, and cell death. The deletion of ERBB4 in murine skin results in decreased epidermal thickness and keratinocyte proliferation (Hoesl et al., 2018). Skin-specific ERBB2 (Dahlhoff et al., 2017) and ERBB3 (Dahlhoff et al., 2015) knockout mice have been shown to play a major role of both receptors in NMSC promotion, and EGFR has been shown to play a crucial role in skin carcinogenesis (Chan et al., 2004; Dahlhoff et al., 2011; 2012). Signaling of the ERBB receptors is controlled by negative or positive feedback loops (Avraham and Yarden, 2011). During pathogenic processes, the dysregulation of those pathways can also influence ERBB signaling in a tumorigenic manner (Dahlhoff The leucine-rich et al., 2012). repeats and immunoglobulin-like domains (LRIG) family comprises three transmembrane proteins (LRIG1-3) (Guo et al., 2004; Holmlund et al., 2004; Nilsson et al., 2001; Suzuki et al., 1996) involved in the regulation of receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) such as the ERBB receptors (Guo et al., 2004; Rafidi et al., 2013). LRIG proteins have attracted attention especially due to their potential as prognostic markers in different cancer types (Lindquist et al., 2014). In the skin, LRIG1 is predominantly expressed in a stem cell pool of the hair follicle (HF) (Jensen et al., 2009), similarly to its expression in the intestine (Powell et al., 2012) and stomach (Choi et al., 2018), whereas LRIG2 and

LRIG3 are expressed throughout the epidermis (Karlsson et al., 2008). LRIG1 knockout mice develop psoriasis-like skin lesions (Suzuki et al., 2002). It has been shown that LRIG1 promotes EGFR, ERBB2, and ERBB3 degradation from the cell surface in a negative feedback loop (Gur et al., 2004; Laederich et al., 2004; Rubin et al., 2005) and that the extracellular domain of LRIG1 decreases EGFR signaling in a paracrine manner (Yi et al., 2011). LRIG3 opposes the function of LRIG1 and stabilizes the ERBB receptors at the cell surface of HEK293 cells (Rafidi et al., 2013). Whereas tumor-suppressive functions of LRIG1 (Mao et al., 2018) and LRIG3 (Guo et al., 2015) have been reported in malignant glioma, LRIG2 seems to act more as an oncoprotein (Holmlund et al., 2009; Rondahl et al., 2013; Xiao et al., 2014). LRIG2 expression correlates with poor prognosis in SCC of the cervix and uterus, which show increased LRIG2 RNA levels (Hedman et al., 2010). It has also been shown that LRIG2 promotes EGFR signaling as a positive feedback loop in glioblastoma cells, supporting the hypothesis that LRIG2 is acting as an oncoprotein (Wang et al., 2009; Xiao et al., 2014; 2018). Importantly, although it is known that LRIG proteins can promote and suppress tumor growth in a tissue-specific manner (Hedman and Henriksson, 2007), the molecular mechanisms and their impact on tumorigenesis in the skin are mostly unknown. The aim of this study was therefore to investigate the function of LRIG2 in the skin during development, homeostasis and tumorigenesis, and in particular its impact on the ERBB system. We consequently generated a skin-specific transgenic (TG) mouse line overexpressing LRIG2 using the Tet-Off system. LRIG2-TG mice were viable and showed no major phenotype during development and homeostasis. When homeostasis was disrupted, the overexpression of LRIG2, however, resulted in increased inflammation, angiogenesis, tumor progression, and an early onset of cSCC, which affected the ERBB signaling and components of the extracellular matrix (ECM).

# 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Cell culture

HaCaT keratinocytes, A431, and A375 cells were purchased from CLS (Cell lines service, Eppelheim, Germany) no more than 4 months before the experiments were performed. All human permanent cell lines in the CLS cell bank have been authenticated using the STR DNA profiling analysis. Mycoplasma testing is done every 6 months for all cultured cells using a mycoplasma detection kit (PlasmoTest, InvivoGen, Toulouse, France). Cells were cultured in DMEM® medium (Biochrom, Berlin, Germany) and supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS; Biochrom), penicillin (100 U·mL<sup>-1</sup>), and streptomycin (100  $\mu$ g·mL<sup>-1</sup>) (Biochrom) in a humidified incubator with 5% CO<sub>2</sub> at 37 °C.

### 2.2. Human samples

Biopsy samples of cSCC were obtained from 10 patients ranging in age from 71 to 92 years, after written informed consent had been obtained. They were obtained at the Department of Dermatology, University Hospital Würzburg, Germany, and taken from the following anatomical sites: cheeks (3 patients), forehead (3 patients), nose, ear, dorsum of the hand and lower leg (1 patient each). Eight of these patients were diagnosed at stage I (pT1G1: 6 patients, pT1G2: 2 patients) and two at stage II (pT2G2 and pT2G3: one patient each) as classified according to the 8th Edition of the staging manual of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC-8) (Califano et al., 2017). Skin samples from non-diseased skin of 10 individuals served as controls. Analysis of human tissue samples was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Medical Faculty, University of Würzburg, Germany (reference number #169/12) and the study methodologies conformed to the standards set by the Declaration of Helsinki.

### 2.3. Mice

Mice were maintained under specific-pathogen-free conditions and had access to water and standard rodent diet (V1534; Ssniff, Soest, Germany) ad libitum. C57BL/6N mice expressing the tetracycline-regulated transcriptional transactivator (tTA) under the keratin 5 (KRT5) promoter have been originally described previously (Diamond et al., 2000). We cloned murine Lrig2 cDNA into the pTRE-tight vector (Clontech, Mountain View, CA, USA) (pTRE-tight-LRIG2-TG mouse line) or fused Lrig2 cDNA with a sequence encoding the human influenza hemagglutinin (HA)-epitope C-terminally (pTRE-tight-HA-LRIG2-TG mouse line), and used these constructs to generate two independent TG mouse lines by pronuclear microinjection into zygotes of C57BL/6N mice. To obtain two independent TG KRT5-LRIG2 mouse lines expressing transgenic LRIG2 skin-specifically, the KRT5-tTA mouse line was mated with either the pTRE-tight-LRIG2- or the pTRE-tight-HA-LRIG2-TG mouse line. Mouse strains were maintained in the C57BL/6N

background. For further studies we used the HAtagged TG mouse line, referred to as LRIG2-TG. To study proliferation rates of 12-month-old mice, 10 mM bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU; Roche, Mannheim, Germany) dissolved in PBS were injected intraperitoneal into the mice (30 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> body weight) 3 hours before dissection.

To inhibit LRIG2-TG expression,  $3 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{mL}^{-1}$  doxycycline (Dox) [Beladox 500 mg $\cdot$ g<sup>-1</sup>, bela-pharm (Lehnecke 793-588), Schortens, Germany] and 5% sucrose (Sigma, Taufkirchen, Germany) was added to the drinking water for 2 weeks.

LRIG2-TG mice and controls (Co) were dissected at indicated time points, skin samples were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA; Sigma), dehydrated, and embedded in paraffin or snap-frozen and stored at -80 °C until use. All murine experiments were approved by the Committee on Animal Health and Care of the local governmental body of the state of Upper Bavaria (Regierung von Oberbayern), Germany, and were performed in strict compliance with the European Communities Council Directive (86/609/ EEC) recommendations for the care and use of laboratory animals.

# 2.4. Chemical skin carcinogenesis and TPAinduced epidermal dysplasia

Chemical carcinogenesis was carried out according to internationally accepted standards as described elsewhere (Abel *et al.*, 2009). For tumor initiation, the carcinogen 7,12-dimethylbenz(a)anthracene (100  $\mu$ L DMBA dissolved in acetone, 400 nM; Sigma) was applied once to the shaved back skin of 7-week-old female LRIG2-TG mice and controls. Tumor promotion was achieved by repeated application of the tumor promoting agent 12-*O*-tetra-decanoylphorbol-13-acetate (50  $\mu$ L TPA dissolved in ethanol, 10 nM; Sigma) twice a week for 24 weeks. Tumor development was assessed weekly.

To investigate the effect of LRIG2 during early hyperproliferative stages, shaved back skin of 9-weekold LRIG2-TG mice and controls were exposed to a single dose of TPA (50  $\mu$ L TPA dissolved in ethanol, 10 nM; Sigma). Mice were euthanized 48 h after TPA application. Skin samples were processed as described above.

# 2.5. Co-immunoprecipitation and Western blot analysis

Protein was extracted by using Laemmli extraction buffer for skin samples or protein lysis buffer (0.05  $\,\text{M}$ 

Hepes pH 7.5, 10% glycerol, 0.15 M NaCl, 1% Triton Х-100, 0.5 м ЕДТА, 0.5 м ЕСТА, 0.01 м NaF, 0.025 M β-glycerol phosphate, 0.01 M Na<sub>3</sub>Vo<sub>4</sub>, Phosphatase inhibitor cocktail; Roche) for cell lysates or skin samples used for co-immunoprecipitation (IP) experiments. Protein concentration was estimated by bicinchoninic acid protein assay. About 300 µg of total protein were used for co-IP with 1.8 µg HA-Tag antibody and Dynabeads® Protein G (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Protein lysates were pre-cleared with Dynabeads<sup>®</sup> Protein G for 60 min at 4 °C and immunoprecipitated with the HA-Tag antibody conjugated to the beads for 2 h at 4 °C. Samples were washed and elution was done with 2× Laemmli extraction buffer by heating at 95 °C for 5 min. For Western blot analysis, half of the co-IP eluate or 5-20 µg of total protein were separated by SDS/PAGE, transferred to PVDF membranes (Millipore, Schwalbach, Germany), and immunoblotted (IB) against antibodies as indicated. For reference proteins as well as the total proteins, to analyze the phosphorylated state, we stripped the membranes by incubating them with a stripping buffer [2% SDS, 62.5 mM Tris/HCl, pH 6.7 and 100 mM \beta-mercaptoethanol (BME)] for 40 min at 70 °C. Afterwards, membranes were washed, blocked, and incubated with the second primary antibody. All primary and secondary antibodies and their dilutions are provided in Table S1. Densitometrical analysis was done using IMAGEJ (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij).

# 2.6. Histology, immunohistochemistry, and morphometric analysis

Skin samples were either embedded in paraffin or snap-frozen on dry ice and embedded in Tissue-Tek® O.C.T.<sup>™</sup> Compound (Sakura Finetek, Alphen aan den Rijn, the Netherlands). Giemsa or hematoxylin and eosin (H&E)-staining, immunofluorescence, and immunohistochemistry were performed as described previously (Hoesl et al., 2018). Giemsa and H&Estained sections were employed for histological analysis. Immunohistochemical staining was performed for the analysis of LRIG2 expression in human tissue samples and the detection of proliferating cells (MKI67 or BrdU positive). Briefly, sections were boiled in 10 mM sodium citrate buffer (pH 6.0) for antigen retrieval, and the endogenous peroxidase was blocked with 3% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> for 15 min. Slides were blocked with 5% serum from the secondary antibody host and incubated overnight at 4 °C with indicated antibodies. After being washed in Tris-buffered saline solution, the slides were incubated for 1 h with appropriate secondary biotin-conjugated antibodies followed

by 30 min incubation with streptavidin-biotin complex (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA). ImmPACT<sup>®</sup> AMEC Red or DAB Peroxidase (HRP) substrate (Vector Laboratories) were used as chromogen. Counterstaining was performed with hematoxylin. Immunofluorescence stainings were performed using the above protocol, but without blocking endogenous peroxidase and without incubation with the streptavidin-biotin complex. Additionally, the M.O.M. Immunodetection Basic kit (Vector Laboratories) was applied to murine sections if primary antibodies were raised in mice. All primary and secondary antibodies and their dilution are listed in Table S1. For morphometric investigations, three different H&Eor Giemsa-stained back skin sections were analyzed. Per animal, 60 pictures covering a total length of 39.2 mm of back skin epidermis were taken with a 200× magnification lens using a Leica DFC425C digital camera (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany). The area of all visible SGs was recorded with LAS software version 3.8.0 (Leica Microsystems) and employed to calculate the mean gland area. Epidermal thickness was investigated on the same sections on three constantly distributed measuring points per picture, resulting in a total of 180 measuring points per animal. To analyze the epidermal proliferation rate, BrdU- or MKI67-stained sections were evaluated and the total number of epidermal nuclei and the total number of BrdU or MKI67 positive nuclei were similarly determined on 60 images covering a length of 39.2 mm.

### 2.7. Gelatin zymography

Gelatin zymography was performed as described previously (Reiter *et al.*, 2015). Briefly, protein samples (50 µg) lysed in protein lysis buffer were separated on an 8% acrylamide gel with 1% gelatin. Gels were incubated in a renaturation-buffer (2.5% Triton X-100 in H<sub>2</sub>O), followed by a 20 h developing step in the incubation buffer (500 mM TRIS, 2 M NaCl, 50 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 50 µM ZnCl<sub>2</sub>) at 37 °C, stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue R, and washed with decolorizing solution (5% methanol, 7% acetic acid). Proteinase activities were determined by densitometrical analysis of the inverse band intensities using IMAGEJ.

#### 2.8. Mass spectrometry analysis

For mass spectrometry analysis reduced (8% BME) and non-reduced protein samples of LRIG2-TG back skin and controls were separated by SDS/PAGE. Gels were stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue R, and protein bands above 300 kDa were excised. To reduce

disulfide bonds, the gel slices were incubated in 45 mm dithioerythritol/50 mM NH<sub>4</sub>HCO<sub>3</sub> for 30 min at 55 °C. Free sulfhydryl groups were blocked using 0.1 м iodoacetamide in 50 mм NH<sub>4</sub>HCO<sub>3</sub> at room temperature for  $2 \times 15$  min. For digestion, gel pieces were minced and covered with 100 ng porcine trypsin in 50 mM NH<sub>4</sub>HCO<sub>3</sub> (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). Peptides were separated on a C18 column (PepMap RSLC, C18, 2  $\mu$ m, 100A, 75  $\mu$ m × 50 cm; Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA) at a flow rate of 200 nL·min<sup>-1</sup> using an EASY-nLC 1000 system (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA). The gradients consisted of a 120 min ramp from 2% to 25% B (100% acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid) and a consecutive ramp to 50% B within 10 min. Mass spectra were acquired using a top 5 data-dependent method on an online coupled LTQ Orbitrap XL instrument (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA). Spectra were searched using MASCOT V2.4 (Matrix Science Ltd, London, UK) and the murine subset of the UniProt database. For evaluation of the data, scaffold V 4.1 (Proteome Software, Inc, Portland, OR, USA) was used.

# 2.9. RNA expression analysis

Organs were homogenized in TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Darmstadt, Germany) for RNA isolation. 3 µg RNA were reverse-transcribed in a final volume of 30 µL using RevertAid Reverse Transcriptase (Thermo Scientific, Schwerte, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. For qualitative analysis of mRNA expression of HA-Lrig2, reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) using reagents from Qiagen (Hilden, Germany) was performed. The final reaction volume was 20 µL, and cycle conditions were 94 °C for 5 min followed by 35 cycles of 94 °C for 1 min, 60 °C for 1 min, and 72 °C for 1 min. The following primers were employed: HA-Lrig2 forward primer 5'-GAGG-CAGGCAGCCATCAGC-3' and reverse primer 5'-TCAAGCGTAGTCTGGGACG-3' and Gapdh forward primer 5'-TCATCAACGGGAAGCCCATCAC-3' and reverse primer 5'-AGACTCCACGACATACT-CAGCACCG-3'.

Quantitative mRNA expression analysis was performed by quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) using the StepOnePlus<sup>TM</sup> Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA, USA) and the PowerUp<sup>TM</sup> SYBR<sup>®</sup> Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The final primer concentration was 0.5  $\mu$ M, and the final reaction volume was 20  $\mu$ L, and cycle conditions were 95 °C for 2 min followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 15 s, 60 °C for 15 s, and 72 °C for 1 min. Quantitative values were obtained from the threshold cycle ( $C_{\rm T}$ ) number, at which the increase in the signal associated with the exponential growth of PCR products begins to be detected. Transcribed RNA (cDNA) quantification was performed by using standard curves generated with a plasmid containing the murine *Lrig2* cDNA. We performed no-template control and no-RT control assays, which produced negligible signals with  $C_{\rm T}$  values that were greater than 35. Experiments were performed in duplicates. The following primers were used: *Lrig2-Fw*: 5'-CACTGAAATACCTGAATTT-GAGC-3', *Lrig2-Rev*: 5'-TCAGTTCCAAGAACTG-GAGATG-3'.

# 2.10. Statistical analysis

Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SEM and compared by Student's *t*-test (GRAPHPAD PRISM version 5.0 for Windows; GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA), and in the case of more than two groups by ANOVA and Tukey's multiple comparison test. Incidence, papilloma burden, and size were analyzed by 2-way ANOVA. Group differences were considered to be statistically significant if P < 0.05.

# 3. Results

# 3.1. LRIG2 is expressed in human skin cancer

To evaluate the significance of LRIG2 in human skin homeostasis and tumorigenesis, we investigated LRIG2 expression in different human skin cell lines and tissue samples of healthy individuals and patients with cSCC. Western blot analysis revealed that LRIG2 expression was significantly increased in human cSCC (A431) and melanoma (A375) cell lines compared to human keratinocytes (HaCaT) (Fig. 1A). In normal human skin LRIG2 is predominantly expressed in the basal and lower spinous layer of the epidermis and in HFs with a mainly cytoplasmic pattern. In upper spinous layers, LRIG2 is also located in nuclei. All cSCC samples (10 cSCC samples/10 LRIG2 positive cSCC samples) revealed prominent LRIG2 expression in tumor cells with a predominantly nuclear staining pattern (Fig. 1B). These data indicate a role of LRIG2 during the pathogenesis of cSCC in humans.

# 3.2. Overexpression of LRIG2 has no influence on skin development and homeostasis

To investigate the function of LRIG2 in the skin, we generated two independent skin-specific inducible



cSCC, and human skin cell lines. (A) Western blot analysis of LRIG2 expression in HaCaT, A431, and A375 cells. TUBA1A was used as reference protein. Densitometric analysis of LRIG2 in relation to TUBA1A reveals that LRIG2 is significantly more highly expressed in both tumor cell lines compared with HaCaT keratinocytes. Data are presented as mean + SEM and were analyzed by ANOVA and Tukev's multiple comparison test. \*\*\*P < 0.001. (B) Immunohistochemical visualization of LRIG2 expression (in red) in normal human skin and cSCC. Micrographs are representative for 10 cSCCs (8 patients with stage I and 2 patients with stage II according to AJCC-8, Califano et al., 2017, see Materials and methods for details) and 10 normal skin samples. Magnification as indicated in the micrographs.

Fig. 1. LRIG2 is expressed in human skin,

transgenic mouse lines. We used the Tet-Off system to induce the overexpression at a later time, in case a fetal overexpression turns out to be lethal. Both lines were mated with a keratin 5 promoter (KRT5-tTA) driver mouse line. As both mouse lines showed no phenotype, in spite of an overexpression of Lrig2 on RNA level (data not shown), the LRIG2 transgenic mouse line (LRIG2-TG) with a C-terminal HA-tag was used for all experiments described in this manuscript. LRIG2-TG mice were viable, showed no macroscopic phenotype, and bred in a Mendelian ratio (Fig. S1A). RT-PCR (Fig. S1B), qRT-PCR (Fig. S1D) and Western blot analysis (Fig. S1C) confirmed skinspecific overexpression of the transgene. Western blots revealed that LRIG2-TG animals treated for 2 weeks with doxycycline (Dox<sup>+</sup>) showed no transgene expression but endogenous LRIG2 levels comparable to those of control mice (Fig. S1E). LRIG2-TG mice showed no altered expression of the other LRIG family members LRIG1 and LRIG3 (Fig. S1E). Immunofluorescence staining against the HA-tag revealed expression of LRIG2 in the epidermis and HFs of transgenic animals (Fig. 2A). Histologically, LRIG2 overexpression had no effect on skin at any time under homeostatic conditions (Fig. 2B), not even

in a long-term study (up to 12 months). While the HF cycle was not impaired in LRIG2-TG mice, they showed significantly more HFs in the late catagen phase VIII compared with controls on day P18 (Fig. S4). However, these changes seem to be transient, as such a finding could not be confirmed at any other time point. Epidermal thickness and sebaceous gland size showed no differences (Fig. 2C) between LRIG2-TG animals and control littermates. In addition, epidermal differentiation and proliferation rate were unchanged in LRIG2-TG mice (Fig. S2). Since LRIG proteins are feedback loop regulators of the ERBB receptor family, we analyzed ERBB expression and activation in the skin of LRIG2-TG and control mice as well as their main target kinases mitogen-activated protein kinase 1/2 (MAPK1/2) and RAC-alpha serine/ threonine-protein kinase (AKT), but no differences became apparent (Fig. S3). Thus, we conclude that LRIG2 overexpression does not influence epidermal and HF development or homeostasis.

# 3.3. LRIG2 binds thrombospondin-1

To identify potential interacting partners of the transmembrane protein LRIG2, we performed mass



spectrometry analysis and co-IPs. Investigation of LRIG2 expression in adult LRIG2-TG and control mice under reducing and non-reducing conditions by Western blot, revealed transgenic LRIG2 with a size of 120 kDa. Additionally, we detected a positive signal at 300 kDa, but only in non-reduced LRIG2-TG protein samples (Fig. S5A), which indicates the presence of proteins interacting with LRIG2. Corresponding bands of transgenic and control animals were analyzed by mass spectrometry to detect potential binding partners. Besides LRIG2, 40 further proteins were exclusively identified in transgenic animals and were sorted by total spectral counts of the non-reduced LRIG2-TG protein fraction. A table of the top 20 proteins is shown in Fig. S5B. We identified several keratins but also two glycoproteins, laminin subunit beta-1 (LAMB1) and thrombospondin-1 (THBS1), both containing EGF-like motifs that possibly interact with

Fig. 2. Skin-specific overexpression of LRIG2 causes no phenotypical alterations. (A) Immunofluorescence staining against HA-tag in the skin of a 12-month-old LRIG2-TG animal demonstrates a strong expression of LRIG2 in epidermis, hair follicles, and sebaceous glands. HA-tag in green and cell nuclei stained with DAPI (blue). Scale bar: 50 µm. (B) H&E staining of the skin of a 12-month-old LRIG2-TG mouse and a control littermate. Scale bars: 50 µm. (C) Morphometric analysis of the epidermal thickness and sebaceous gland area revealed no alterations (n = 4). Data were analyzed by Student's t-test. (D) Co-immunoprecipitation (IP) of HA-tag in a LRIG2-TG skin sample of a 12-monthold mouse. Immunoblotting (IB) revealed precipitation of LRIG2 and binding of THBS1. (E) Gelatin zymography of skin samples of 12-month-old LRIG2-TG mice and controls (n = 4). Densitometric analysis of gelatin zymography revealed increased expression and activity of MMP2. Data are presented as mean + SEM and were analyzed by Student's ttest. \**P* < 0.01.

LRIG2. In contrast to LAMB1. THBS1 has previously been shown to play a role in SCC and other cancers (Huang et al., 2017). We therefore focused on THBS1 for further studies. THBS1 was exclusively identified in LRIG2-TG samples by four individual peptides. Corresponding MS spectra and probability scores are shown in Fig. S5C,D. THBS1 has an important role in tyrosine kinase-dependent signaling, is involved in angiogenesis and tumorigenesis, and mediates cell-tocell and cell-to-matrix interactions. Immunoprecipitation revealed that THBS1 binds LRIG2, suggesting that THBS1 could be an important interaction partner of LRIG2 (Fig. 2D). While its expression was not increased in LRIG2-TG animals, THBS1 may be stabilized by LRIG2 binding (Fig. S3). THBS1 regulates the matrix-metalloproteinases (MMPs) 2 and 9 (Donnini et al., 2004), which could be essential for tumor progression. We therefore analyzed MMP2 and



**Fig. 3.** Accelerated development of cSCC in LRIG2-TG mice in a chemically induced two-step model of skin tumorigenesis. (A) Macroscopic pictures of the lower back skin of a representative LRIG2-TG animal and a control littermate at the final stage. Scale bars: 1 cm. (B) Papilloma incidence, cSCC incidence, papilloma burden, and papilloma size of LRIG2-TG animals compared with control littermates (n = 21 Controls/ 15 LRIG2-TG). Data were analyzed by 2-way ANOVA, and error bars represent SEM. Interaction: \*\*\*P < 0.001. n.s.: not significant. (C) H&E staining of a papilloma of a LRIG2-TG mouse and a control littermate. Scale bars: 500  $\mu$ m. (D) H&E staining of cSCC of a LRIG2-TG mouse and back skin of a control littermate. Arrow points to tissue vascularization, indicating angiogenesis in LRIG2-TG mice. Scale bars: 50  $\mu$ m.

MMP9 activity by zymography. LRIG2-TG mice showed significantly increased levels of pro-MMP2 and active MMP2, whereas no changes of MMP9 levels were detected (Fig. 2E). In summary, we identified THBS1 as a binding partner of LRIG2 and observed increased levels of pro- and active MMP2, an important modulator of the ECM, in the skin of LRIG2-TG mice.

# 3.4. LRIG2 has a significant impact on progression of skin carcinogenesis

To determine whether LRIG2 affects skin tumorigenesis, we performed a two-stage chemical skin carcinogenesis model with onetime application of DMBA on the back skin of LRIG2-TG mice and control littermates causing tumor initiation followed by TPA treatment twice a week. In both groups, the first papillomata arose 4 weeks after DMBA treatment, without differences in tumor incidence, papilloma burden or size at this time. However, 10 weeks after tumor initiation we noticed a less pronounced increase of papilloma burden and papilloma size in LRIG2-TG animals as compared with controls (Fig. 3B,C). Instead of papillomata, LRIG2-TG mice developed a cSCC-like phenotype on their backs, which started 6 weeks after tumor initiation (Fig. 3B). In all, 58% of the transgenic animals but only 10% of control



**Fig. 4.** Epidermal differentiation during chemical induced skin tumorigenesis. (A) Immunofluorescence stainings against epidermal differentiation markers KRT5, KRT6, KRT10, and LOR (in green) (B) Immunofluorescence staining against CDH1, VIM, and KRT8 (in green). Cell nuclei are stained with DAPI (blue). Skin was obtained 24 weeks after initiation of chemically induced tumorigenesis. Shown are representative pictures of control skin including papillomata or close to papillomata and of LRIG2-TG skin at the transition from epidermis to cSCC (white dashed line). Scale bars: 50 μm.

littermates were affected (Fig. 3A,B). Histological and immunofluorescence analysis of the skin lesions revealed a phenotype resembling human cSCC. Atypical spindle-like tumor cells arising from the epidermis protruded into the dermis and were accompanied by an inflammatory infiltrate (Fig. 3D). Moreover, vascularization appeared to be increased, which indicates angiogenesis (arrow, Fig. 3D). Tumors were stained for keratin 8 (KRT8), an established marker for cSCC in mice (Larcher *et al.*, 1992). The staining showed that KRT8 was highly expressed in the cSCC-like lesions of LRIG2-TG mice, but not in the controls (Fig. 4B). Additionally, cSCC-like lesions of transgenic mice were poorly differentiated. In transgenic animals, the expression of epidermal differentiation markers [keratin 5 (KRT5), keratin 6 (KRT6), keratin 10 (KRT10), and loricrin (LOR), see Fig. 4A] in the cSCC-like lesions decreased significantly in comparison

with the adjacent epidermis, whereas the epidermis around the papillomata of controls was still differentiated. Moreover, epithelial polarity was lost. A decrease of epidermal E-cadherin (CDH1) and a concomitant increase of vimentin (VIM) expression in the dermis indicate an enhanced tumor invasiveness, which might refer to epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) (Fig. 4B). Altogether, our data suggest that LRIG2 has a tumor promoting function in murine skin, which results in an accelerated onset of cSCC development.

# 3.5. Increased EGFR and ERBB4 expression during tumor progression upon LRIG2 overexpression

To investigate whether the tumor promoting activity of LRIG2 is ERBB receptor-dependent, we analyzed the expression of the latter and respective downstream targets in transgenic and control skin during two-stage skin carcinogenesis. Immunofluorescence and Western blot analyses revealed an increased expression of EGFR and ERBB4 in the cSCC-like lesions of LRIG2-TG mice (Fig. 5A,B). Concomitantly, the intracellular domain (ICD) of ERBB4 was significantly increased in LRIG2-TG mice (Fig. 5A), which indicates that the receptor undergoes regulated intramembrane proteolysis. Thus the ICD can translocate to the nucleus and act as transcription factor. Additionally, phosphorylated ERBB4 and phospho-EGFR were significantly increased in LRIG2-TG mice compared with control littermates. We identified increased levels of AKT and phosphorylated AKT, a typical downstream target of the ERBB receptors, and phosphorylation of MAPK1/2 was significantly increased in transgenic animals compared with controls (Fig. 5C). The activity of other downstream targets such as SHC-transforming protein 1 (SHC1), signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3), STAT5, and GTPase Ras proteins (RAS) was unchanged (data not shown). The phosphorylation of phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5triphosphate 3-phosphatase and dual specificity protein phosphatase PTEN (PTEN) was significantly increased, whereas total PTEN was decreased. This implies the loss of the tumor-suppressive function of PTEN (Fig. 5C). Western blot analysis of THBS1 and zymography analysis for MMP2 and MMP9 revealed no differences between LRIG2-TG and control animals (data not shown). In summary, these data indicate that during tumorigenesis LRIG2 increases skin tumor progression, associated with activation of EGFR/ERBB4-MAPK signaling.

# 3.6. LRIG2 impairs TPA-induced epidermal hyperplasia

Our data suggest that LRIG2 is involved in tumor progression and accelerates tumorigenesis. To investigate an early point of time we induced epidermal hyperplasia by application of a single dose of TPA. In comparison with control mice, the increase of epidermal thickness upon TPA treatment was less pronounced in LRIG2-TG animals (Fig. 6A,B). These, however, developed a more prominent neutrophildominated inflammation (Fig. 6A). Western blot analysis revealed that the proinflammatory cytokine interleukin-1-alpha (IL1A) was significantly increased in LRIG2-TG mice, whereas interleukin-6 (IL6) was unchanged (Fig. 6C,I). As previously observed in our carcinogenesis model, ERBB4 was up-regulated in the back skin of LRIG2-TG mice 48 h after TPA treatment, but the fraction of phosphorylated ERBB4 was reduced. The other ERBB receptors were unchanged with the exception of ERBB2, which exhibited higher expression in LRIG2-TG mice compared with control littermates after TPA treatment (Fig. 6C,F). Additionally, we found increased activation of MAPK1 in LRIG2-TG TPA-treated skin, whereas MAPK2 was not affected (Fig. 6C,H). Importantly, PTEN expression levels were increased in LRIG2-TG mice, but appeared to be phosphorylated and therefore inactivated (Fig. 6C,G). In accordance with a less prominent increase of epidermal thickness we found significantly increased levels of cleaved caspase-3 (CASP3) in the skin of TPA-treated LRIG2-TG mice than in controls (Fig. 6C,G), whereas the proliferation rate was unchanged (Fig. 6C,D,G). Moreover, LRIG2-TG mice revealed a significant increase in THBS1 expression (Fig. 6C,G) and a significant up-regulation of pro-MMP9 (Fig. 6E). Unlike the findings under homeostatic conditions, however, MMP2 activity was not affected by LRIG2 overexpression due to TPA treatment (Fig. 6E). In summary, LRIG2 overexpression leads to an increased inflammatory response after TPA treatment, which might contribute to tumorigenesis.

# 4. Discussion

LRIG proteins are important regulators of different RTKs and are involved in negative and positive feedback loops of the ERBB receptor family (Avraham and Yarden, 2011). LRIG1 and LRIG3 show mostly tumor-suppressive function, whereas LRIG2 frequently seems to act as an oncoprotein (Lindquist *et al.*, 2014). Increased LRIG2 expression correlates with a poorer prognosis in patients with oligodendroglioma (Holmlund et al., 2009), cervical SCC (Hedman et al., 2010), non-small cell lung cancer (Wang et al., 2014), and glioblastoma (Wang et al., 2009). Ubiquitous LRIG2 knockout mice were protected against glioblastoma, demonstrating that LRIG2 plays a crucial role in glioblastoma initiation and progression (Rondahl et al., 2013). It has been shown that the extracellular domain of the transmembrane LRIG2 protein is the part of the protein that is required to mediate the proliferative effect during glioblastoma progression (Xiao et al., 2014). This is an important finding, as the extracellular domain of a protein is usually a more amenable drug target. Nevertheless, tumorigenic activity of LRIG proteins is often tissue-specific (Hedman et al., 2010; Holmlund et al., 2009; Lindquist et al., 2014) and nothing is known about the function of LRIG2 in the skin and skin tumorigenesis. We identified LRIG2 expression in a human keratinocyte cell line (HaCaT), in an epidermal tumor cell line (A431), in a human melanoma cell line (A375), and in human tissue samples of cSCC patients and normal skin. Our study revealed increased LRIG2 expression in cancer cells in vitro, indicating a tumorigenic function of LRIG2. Interestingly, LRIG2 expression is mostly cytoplasmic in normal, basal epidermis, but nuclear in more differentiated epidermal layers and in cancer cells. LRIG proteins show an altered localization in psoriasis (Karlsson et al., 2008). The nuclear localization of LRIG2 in our cSCC tissue samples may also indicate a proliferative and pathogenic function.

To investigate the impact of LRIG2 during skin development, homeostasis, and tumorigenesis in vivo, we generated a skin-specific LRIG2-TG mouse model. Long-term studies of the mouse line revealed no major phenotypical changes under homeostatic conditions. LRIG2 had no impact on epidermal thickness, sebaceous gland size, epidermal differentiation or proliferation. By employing proteomic analysis, we identified THBS1 as a potential binding partner of LRIG2. THBS1 attracted our attention because of its EGFlike motifs (Carlson et al., 2008), its function in the modulation of the ECM, angiogenesis, and its implication in SCC and other types of cancer (Donnini et al., 2004; Huang et al., 2017; Qian et al., 1997; Tan and Lawler, 2009). The binding of THBS1 could be related to an increase of pro-MMP2 and active MMP2, which

is essential for tumor cell invasion, inflammation, and neovascularization (Hernandez-Perez et al., 2012). Although these effects of LRIG2 have no obvious impact on skin homeostasis, LRIG2-TG mice showed an increased tumor progression compared with control littermates during two-stage chemical skin carcinogenesis. Animals showed no differences in tumor initiation, but at the end of the experiment 58% of all LRIG2-TG mice, compared with only 10% of all controls, developed skin tumors resembling human cSCC. LRIG2-TG mice showed downward invasion of atypicells, neovascularization, and inflammation, ca1 accompanied by KRT8 expression and the loss of epidermal differentiation markers. Additionally, the decreased expression of CDH1 and concomitant increase of VIM suggest an EMT (Kang and Massague, 2004; Navarro et al., 1991) in LRIG2-TG animals, a process essential for cell-cell interaction and cSCC progression. Analysis of ERBB receptor expression revealed an increase of EGFR and ERBB4 and their downstream targets MAPK and AKT. The autonomous EGFR and ERBB4 receptors are important for tissue development and homeostasis, but they also play a major role in tumorigenesis, particularly in skin cancer (Citri and Yarden, 2006; Holbro and Hynes, 2004; Yarden and Sliwkowski, 2001). Additionally, we found the ICD of ERBB4 increased in cSCC tissue samples of LRIG2-TG mice, which may act as a transcription factor and have an important impact on tumorigenesis (Haskins et al., 2014; Maatta et al., 2006). Increased levels of phosphorylated MAPK and AKT and the concomitant loss of PTEN phosphatase activity are often observed in rapid and aggressive tumorigenesis (Segrelles et al., 2002; Yang et al., 2015). LRIG2 overexpression seems to influence tumor suppressor PTEN activity, which may explain the dramatic phenotype during skin carcinogenesis and the absence of effects in skin homeostasis. Phosphorylation and thus inactivation of PTEN at residues Ser380/Thr382/383 is significantly increased in LRIG2-TG mice 24 weeks after initiation of chemically induced tumorigenesis (Yang et al., 2015). As the altered molecular signaling may reflect the differences between papillomata and cSCC tissue, we additionally analyzed tumor initiation in the transgenic LRIG2 model. To investigate the very early tumor initiation

**Fig. 5.** ERBB receptor expression during chemically induced skin tumorigenesis. (A) Western blot and densitometric analysis of phosphorylated ERBB receptors and ERBB receptors in skin samples obtained 24 weeks after initiation of chemically induced tumorigenesis. TUBA1A was used as reference protein (n = 6). (B) Immunofluorescence staining against ERBB1-4 receptors (in green) using back skin sections from the carcinogenesis experiment of control and LRIG2-TG mice. Scale bars: 50  $\mu$ m. (C) Western blot and densitometric analysis of phosphorylated and total downstream targets of ERBB receptors (MAPK1/2, AKT, and PTEN). GAPDH was used as reference protein (n = 6). Data are presented as mean + SEM and were analyzed by Student's *t*-test. \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001.





**Fig. 6.** TPA induces an increased inflammation of the skin of LRIG2-TG mice. (A) Giemsa staining reveals huge inflammation spots and blood vessels (arrows) in the skin of LRIG2-TG mice 48 h after a single application of TPA. Scale bars: 50  $\mu$ m. (B) Morphometric measurements of the epidermal thickness showed less pronounced increase of epidermal thickness in LRIG2-TG mice compared with controls after TPA treatment (n = 4). (C) Western blot of phosphorylated and total ERBB receptors, MAPK1/2, PTEN, PCNA, CASP3, THBS1, and the inflammation markers: IL1A and IL6. GAPDH or TUBA1A were used as reference protein. (D) The proliferation index is not altered in LRIG2-TG mice compared with controls (n = 4). MKI67 staining of back skin of a TPA-treated TG and control mouse. Scale bars: 50  $\mu$ m. (E) Gelatin zymography with densitometric analysis of skin samples of TPA-treated LRIG2-TG mice and controls (n = 4) revealed increased expression of pro-MMP9. (F–I) Densitometric analysis of western blots in (C). Data are presented as mean + SEM and were analyzed by Student's *t*-test. \*P < 0.05; \*\*P < 0.01.

in more detail, we performed an epidermal hyperplasia experiment with a single TPA application. LRIG2-TG mice showed increased inflammatory cell infiltration and neovascularization, which can be an indication for tumor promotion and progression. These findings were additionally confirmed by an increase of IL1A expression (Salven et al., 2002) and the up-regulation of ERBB2 and ERBB4 in the skin of LRIG2-TG mice. EGFR, however, was not increasingly expressed or phosphorylated at this early stage, but the increased expression of PTEN seems to be phosphorylated and thus inactivated. Inactivation of PTEN plays an important role in human cSCC development (Darido et al., 2018; Hertzler-Schaefer et al., 2014), consequently the loss of PTEN tumor-suppressive function might be an important element of LRIG2-mediated tumor progression during skin cancer. Furthermore, it was shown that THBS1 up-regulates MMP9 expression in endothelial cells and promotes tumor cell invasion (Qian et al., 1997). We found the expression of THBS1 and MMP9 to be increased in LRIG2-TG mice 48 h after TPA application, assuming that THBS1 expression could be involved in LRIG2-mediated tumor initiation and progression (Tan and Lawler, 2009). THBS1 may induce angiogenesis in tumors, influence tumor cell adhesion, migration, invasion, and metastasis both in vitro and in vivo (Tuszynski and Nicosia, 1996). Additionally, THBS1 is expressed in tumor cells, showing tumor progressive function. THBS1 also induces auto-phosphorylation of EGFR in A431 cells (Liu et al., 2009). The above supports a relationship with the ERBB receptor system. The increase of inflammatory cells such as macrophages and monocytes, however, may also have led to the increase of THBS1 (Lopez-Dee et al., 2011). Our findings during early hyperproliferative stages point to a tumor-promoting influence of LRIG2 excess. In contrast, we found no alterations in THBS1 or MMP levels during skin carcinogenesis. The up-regulation of pro-MMP9 during TPA approach and the increase of pro- and active MMP2 may be overlaid by a general increase of MMP2 and MMP9 in cSCC as previously described (Dumas et al., 1999).

# 5. Conclusions

In conclusion, our study reveals an important function of LRIG2 during skin carcinogenesis. In human skin, LRIG2 is expressed in the HF and in the basal layer of the epidermis, and our preliminary data indicate that its expression is increased in skin cancer cell lines. Furthermore, we detected LRIG2-positive cells as a frequent feature of human cSCC samples. Even though LRIG2 overexpression has no obvious major impact on skin development and homeostasis, LRIG2 may promote tumor growth and induce a more severe carcinogenic phenotype, possibly by inactivating the tumor suppressor PTEN. Our results show an early onset of cSCC in LRIG2-TG mice during two-stage chemical skin carcinogenesis accompanied by altered ERBB signaling.

### Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Ingrid Renner-Müller and Petra Renner for excellent animal care, Franziska Kress and Anna Lutz for assistance with western blot analysis, Josef Millauer for mouse genotyping, Tamara Holy for collecting part of the morphometry data, Miwako Kösters for mass spectrometry analysis, Max Marschall for the gelatin zymography, and Nadine Merg for technical assistance with the hair cycle analysis. This work was supported by a research grant of the Else Kröner-Fresenius-Stiftung (2014\_A173) to M.D.

## **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# Author contributions

Conception and design: M.D., C.H., M.R.S. Development of methodology: M.D., C.H., M.R.S. Acquisition of data: M.D., R.W., M.G., J.E.H. Analysis and interpretation of data: M.D., C.H., H.K., T.F., J.E.H. Writing, review, and/or revision of the manuscript: M.D., C.H., M.R.S. Study supervision: M.D. Discussion of the experiments at planning stage and discussions of the results: M.D., C.H., M.R.S., M.G., H.K., T.F., J.E.H.

# References

- Abel EL, Angel JM, Kiguchi K and Digiovanni J (2009) Multi-stage chemical carcinogenesis in mouse skin: fundamentals and applications. *Nat Protoc* **4**, 1350–1362.
- Avraham R and Yarden Y (2011) Feedback regulation of EGFR signalling: decision making by early and delayed loops. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **12**, 104–117.
- Califano JA, Lydiatt WM, Nehal KS, O'Sullivan B,
  Schmults C, Seethala RR, Weber RS and Shah JP (2017) Cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. In AJCC Cancer Staging Manual, (LicitraL, ed), pp. 171–181. Springer, New York, NY.
- Carlson CB, Lawler J and Mosher DF (2008) Structures of thrombospondins. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 65, 672–686.
- Chan KS, Carbajal S, Kiguchi K, Clifford J, Sano S and DiGiovanni J (2004) Epidermal growth factor receptormediated activation of Stat3 during multistage skin carcinogenesis. *Cancer Res* **64**, 2382–2389.
- Choi E, Lantz TL, Vlacich G, Keeley TM, Samuelson LC, Coffey RJ, Goldenring JR and Powell AE (2018)
  Lrig1 + gastric isthmal progenitor cells restore normal gastric lineage cells during damage recovery in adult mouse stomach. *Gut* 67, 1595–1605.
- Citri A and Yarden Y (2006) EGF-ERBB signalling: towards the systems level. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 7, 505–516.
- Dahlhoff M, Muzumdar S, Schafer M and Schneider MR (2017) ERBB2 is essential for the growth of chemically induced skin tumors in mice. *J Invest Dermatol* **137**, 921–930.
- Dahlhoff M, Rose C, de Angelis MH, Wolf E and Schneider MR (2012) Negative feedback mechanisms surpass the effect of intrinsic EGFR activation during skin chemical carcinogenesis. *Am J Pathol* 180, 1378–1385.
- Dahlhoff M, Rose C, Wolf E and Schneider MR (2011) Decreased incidence of papillomas in mice with impaired EGFR function during multi-stage skin carcinogenesis. *Exp Dermatol* **20**, 290–293.
- Dahlhoff M, Schafer M, Muzumdar S, Rose C and Schneider MR (2015) ERBB3 is required for tumor promotion in a mouse model of skin carcinogenesis. *Mol Oncol* 9, 1825–1833.
- Darido C, Georgy SR, Cullinane C, Partridge DD, Walker R, Srivastava S, Roslan S, Carpinelli MR, Dworkin S, Pearson RB *et al.* (2018) Stagedependent therapeutic efficacy in PI3K/mTOR-driven squamous cell carcinoma of the skin. *Cell Death Differ* 25, 1146–1159.

- Diamond I, Owolabi T, Marco M, Lam C and Glick A (2000) Conditional gene expression in the epidermis of transgenic mice using the tetracycline-regulated transactivators tTA and rTA linked to the keratin 5 promoter. J Invest Dermatol 115, 788–794.
- Donnini S, Morbidelli L, Taraboletti G and Ziche M (2004) ERK1-2 and p38 MAPK regulate MMP/TIMP balance and function in response to thrombospondin-1 fragments in the microvascular endothelium. *Life Sci* **74**, 2975–2985.
- Dumas V, Kanitakis J, Charvat S, Euvrard S, Faure M and Claudy A (1999) Expression of basement membrane antigens and matrix metalloproteinases 2 and 9 in cutaneous basal and squamous cell carcinomas. *Anticancer Res* **19**, 2929–2938.
- Guo D, Holmlund C, Henriksson R and Hedman H (2004) The LRIG gene family has three vertebrate paralogs widely expressed in human and mouse tissues and a homolog in Ascidiacea. *Genomics* **84**, 157–165.
- Guo D, Yang H, Guo Y, Xiao Q, Mao F, Tan Y, Wan X, Wang B and Lei T (2015) LRIG3 modulates proliferation, apoptosis and invasion of glioblastoma cells as a potent tumor suppressor. *J Neurol Sci* 350, 61–68.
- Gur G, Rubin C, Katz M, Amit I, Citri A, Nilsson J, Amariglio N, Henriksson R, Rechavi G, Hedman H *et al.* (2004) LRIG1 restricts growth factor signaling by enhancing receptor ubiquitylation and degradation. *EMBO J* 23, 3270–3281.
- Haskins JW, Nguyen DX and Stern DF (2014) Neuregulin 1-activated ERBB4 interacts with YAP to induce Hippo pathway target genes and promote cell migration. *Sci Signal* **7**, ra116.
- Hedman H and Henriksson R (2007) LRIG inhibitors of growth factor signalling – double-edged swords in human cancer? *Eur J Cancer* **43**, 676–682.
- Hedman H, Lindstrom AK, Tot T, Stendahl U, Henriksson R and Hellberg D (2010) LRIG2 in contrast to LRIG1 predicts poor survival in early-stage squamous cell carcinoma of the uterine cervix. *Acta Oncol* 49, 812–815.
- Hernandez-Perez M, El-hajahmad M, Massaro J and Mahalingam M (2012) Expression of gelatinases (MMP-2, MMP-9) and gelatinase activator (MMP-14) in actinic keratosis and in in situ and invasive squamous cell carcinoma. *Am J Dermatopathol* 34, 723–728.
- Hertzler-Schaefer K, Mathew G, Somani AK, Tholpady S, Kadakia MP, Chen Y, Spandau DF and Zhang X (2014) Pten loss induces autocrine FGF signaling to promote skin tumorigenesis. *Cell Rep* 6, 818–826.
- Hoesl C, Rohrl JM, Schneider MR and Dahlhoff M (2018) The receptor tyrosine kinase ERBB4 is expressed in skin keratinocytes and influences epidermal proliferation. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1862**, 958–966.

Holbro T and Hynes NE (2004) ErbB receptors: directing key signaling networks throughout life. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 44, 195–217.

Holmlund C, Haapasalo H, Yi W, Raheem O, Brannstrom T, Bragge H, Henriksson R and Hedman H (2009) Cytoplasmic LRIG2 expression is associated with poor oligodendroglioma patient survival. *Neuropathology* 29, 242–247.

Holmlund C, Nilsson J, Guo D, Starefeldt A, Golovleva I, Henriksson R and Hedman H (2004) Characterization and tissue-specific expression of human LRIG2. *Gene* 332, 35–43.

Huang T, Sun L, Yuan X and Qiu H (2017) Thrombospondin-1 is a multifaceted player in tumor progression. *Oncotarget* 8, 84546–84558.

Jensen KB, Collins CA, Nascimento E, Tan DW, Frye M, Itami S and Watt FM (2009) Lrig1 expression defines a distinct multipotent stem cell population in mammalian epidermis. *Cell Stem Cell* **4**, 427–439.

Kang Y and Massague J (2004) Epithelial-mesenchymal transitions: twist in development and metastasis. *Cell* 118, 277–279.

Karlsson T, Mark EB, Henriksson R and Hedman H (2008) Redistribution of LRIG proteins in psoriasis. J Invest Dermatol 128, 1192–1195.

Kim Y and He YY (2014) Ultraviolet radiation-induced non-melanoma skin cancer: regulation of DNA damage repair and inflammation. *Genes Dis* **1**, 188–198.

Laederich MB, Funes-Duran M, Yen L, Ingalla E, Wu X, Carraway KL III and Sweeney C (2004) The leucinerich repeat protein LRIG1 is a negative regulator of ErbB family receptor tyrosine kinases. *J Biol Chem* 279, 47050–47056.

Lapouge G, Youssef KK, Vokaer B, Achouri Y, Michaux C, Sotiropoulou PA and Blanpain C (2011) Identifying the cellular origin of squamous skin tumors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 108, 7431–7436.

Larcher F, Bauluz C, Diaz-Guerra M, Quintanilla M, Conti CJ, Ballestin C and Jorcano JL (1992) Aberrant expression of the simple epithelial type II keratin 8 by mouse skin carcinomas but not papillomas. *Mol Carcinog* 6, 112–121.

Lindquist D, Kvarnbrink S, Henriksson R and Hedman H (2014) LRIG and cancer prognosis. *Acta Oncol* 53, 1135–1142.

Liu A, Garg P, Yang S, Gong P, Pallero MA, Annis DS, Liu Y, Passaniti A, Mann D, Mosher DF *et al.* (2009) Epidermal growth factor-like repeats of thrombospondins activate phospholipase Cgamma and increase epithelial cell migration through indirect epidermal growth factor receptor activation. *J Biol Chem* 284, 6389–6402.

Lopez-Dee Z, Pidcock K and Gutierrez LS (2011) Thrombospondin-1: multiple paths to inflammation. *Mediators Inflamm* **2011**, 1–10. Maatta JA, Sundvall M, Junttila TT, Peri L, Laine VJ, Isola J, Egeblad M and Elenius K (2006) Proteolytic cleavage and phosphorylation of a tumor-associated ErbB4 isoform promote ligand-independent survival and cancer cell growth. *Mol Biol Cell* **17**, 67–79.

Mao F, Holmlund C, Faraz M, Wang W, Bergenheim T, Kvarnbrink S, Johansson M, Henriksson R and Hedman H (2018) Lrig1 is a haploinsufficient tumor suppressor gene in malignant glioma. *Oncogenesis* 7, 13.

Navarro P, Gomez M, Pizarro A, Gamallo C, Quintanilla M and Cano A (1991) A role for the E-cadherin cellcell adhesion molecule during tumor progression of mouse epidermal carcinogenesis. J Cell Biol 115, 517– 533.

Nilsson J, Vallbo C, Guo D, Golovleva I, Hallberg B, Henriksson R and Hedman H (2001) Cloning, characterization, and expression of human LIG1. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 284, 1155–1161.

Powell AE, Wang Y, Li Y, Poulin EJ, Means AL, Washington MK, Higginbotham JN, Juchheim A, Prasad N, Levy SE *et al.* (2012) The Pan-ErbB negative regulator Lrig1 is an intestinal stem cell marker that functions as a tumor suppressor. *Cell* 149, 146–158.

Qian X, Wang TN, Rothman VL, Nicosia RF and Tuszynski GP (1997) Thrombospondin-1 modulates angiogenesis in vitro by up-regulation of matrix metalloproteinase-9 in endothelial cells. *Exp Cell Res* 235, 403–412.

Rafidi H, Mercado F III, Astudillo M, Fry WH, Saldana M, Carraway KL III and Sweeney C (2013) Leucinerich repeat and immunoglobulin domain-containing protein-1 (Lrig1) negative regulatory action toward ErbB receptor tyrosine kinases is opposed by leucinerich repeat and immunoglobulin domain-containing protein 3 (Lrig3). J Biol Chem 288, 21593–21605.

Reiter FP, Hohenester S, Nagel JM, Wimmer R, Artmann R, Wottke L, Makeschin MC, Mayr D, Rust C, Trauner M *et al.* (2015) 1,25-(OH)(2)-vitamin D(3) prevents activation of hepatic stellate cells in vitro and ameliorates inflammatory liver damage but not fibrosis in the Abcb4(-/-) model. *Biochem Biophys Res Comm* 459, 227–233.

Rittie L, Kansra S, Stoll SW, Li Y, Gudjonsson JE, Shao Y, Michael LE, Fisher GJ, Johnson TM and Elder JT (2007) Differential ErbB1 signaling in squamous cell versus basal cell carcinoma of the skin. *Am J Pathol* 170, 2089–2099.

Rondahl V, Holmlund C, Karlsson T, Wang B, Faraz M, Henriksson R and Hedman H (2013) Lrig2-deficient mice are protected against PDGFB-induced glioma. *PLoS ONE* 8, e73635.

Rosso S, Zanetti R, Martinez C, Tormo MJ, Schraub S, Sancho-Garnier H, Franceschi S, Gafa L, Perea E, Navarro C *et al.* (1996) The multicentre south European study 'Helios'. II: different sun exposure patterns in the aetiology of basal cell and squamous cell carcinomas of the skin. *Br J Cancer* **73**, 1447–1454.

- Rubin C, Gur G and Yarden Y (2005) Negative regulation of receptor tyrosine kinases: unexpected links to c-Cbl and receptor ubiquitylation. *Cell Res* **15**, 66–71.
- Salven P, Hattori K, Heissig B and Rafii S (2002) Interleukin-1alpha promotes angiogenesis in vivo via VEGFR-2 pathway by inducing inflammatory cell VEGF synthesis and secretion. *FASEB J* 16, 1471– 1473.
- Sanchez-Danes A and Blanpain C (2018) Deciphering the cells of origin of squamous cell carcinomas. *Nat Rev Cancer* **18**, 549–561.
- Segrelles C, Ruiz S, Perez P, Murga C, Santos M, Budunova IV, Martinez J, Larcher F, Slaga TJ, Gutkind JS *et al.* (2002) Functional roles of Akt signaling in mouse skin tumorigenesis. *Oncogene* 21, 53–64.
- Suzuki Y, Miura H, Tanemura A, Kobayashi K, Kondoh G, Sano S, Ozawa K, Inui S, Nakata A, Takagi T *et al.* (2002) Targeted disruption of LIG-1 gene results in psoriasiform epidermal hyperplasia. *FEBS Lett* **521**, 67–71.
- Suzuki Y, Sato N, Tohyama M, Wanaka A and Takagi T (1996) cDNA cloning of a novel membrane glycoprotein that is expressed specifically in glial cells in the mouse brain. LIG-1, a protein with leucine-rich repeats and immunoglobulin-like domains. *J Biol Chem* 271, 22522–22527.
- Tan K and Lawler J (2009) The interaction of thrombospondins with extracellular matrix proteins. J Cell Commun Signal 3, 177–187.
- Tan ST, Ghaznawie M, Heenan PJ and Dosan R (2018) Basal cell carcinoma arises from interfollicular layer of epidermis. J Oncol 2018, 1–5.
- Tuszynski GP and Nicosia RF (1996) The role of thrombospondin-1 in tumor progression and angiogenesis. *BioEssays* 18, 71–76.
- Wang B, Han L, Chen R, Cai M, Han F, Lei T and Guo D (2009) Downregulation of LRIG2 expression by RNA interference inhibits glioblastoma cell (GL15) growth, causes cell cycle redistribution, increases cell apoptosis and enhances cell adhesion and invasion in vitro. *Cancer Biol Ther* 8, 1018–1023.
- Wang G, Wu J and Song H (2014) LRIG2 expression and prognosis in non-small cell lung cancer. *Oncol Lett* **8**, 667–672.

- WHO (2019) http://www.who.int/uv/faq/skincancer/en/inde x1.html
- Witsch E, Sela M and Yarden Y (2010) Roles for growth factors in cancer progression. *Physiology (Bethesda)* 25, 85–101.
- Xiao Q, Dong M, Cheng F, Mao F, Zong W, Wu K, Wang H, Xie R, Wang B, Lei T *et al.* (2018) LRIG2 promotes the proliferation and cell cycle progression of glioblastoma cells in vitro and in vivo through enhancing PDGFRbeta signaling. *Int J Oncol* 53, 1069–1082.
- Xiao Q, Tan Y, Guo Y, Yang H, Mao F, Xie R, Wang B, Lei T and Guo D (2014) Soluble LRIG2 ectodomain is released from glioblastoma cells and promotes the proliferation and inhibits the apoptosis of glioblastoma cells in vitro and in vivo in a similar manner to the full-length LRIG2. *PLoS ONE* **9**, e111419.
- Yang Z, Xie C, Xu W, Liu G, Cao X, Li W, Chen J, Zhu Y, Luo S, Luo Z et al. (2015) Phosphorylation and inactivation of PTEN at residues Ser380/Thr382/383 induced by *Helicobacter pylori* promotes gastric epithelial cell survival through PI3K/Akt pathway. Oncotarget 6, 31916–31926.
- Yarden Y and Sliwkowski MX (2001) Untangling the ErbB signalling network. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **2**, 127–137.
- Yi W, Holmlund C, Nilsson J, Inui S, Lei T, Itami S, Henriksson R and Hedman H (2011) Paracrine regulation of growth factor signaling by shed leucinerich repeats and immunoglobulin-like domains 1. *Exp Cell Res* **317**, 504–512.

# **Supporting information**

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

Fig. S1. LRIG2 expression.

Fig. S2. Epidermal differentiation and proliferation analysis.

Fig. S3. Western blot analysis of the ERBB receptors and their downstream targets.

Fig. S4. Hair cycle analysis.

Fig. S5. Mass spectrometry data.

**Table S1.** Antibodies employed for Western blots anal-ysis, immunoprecipitation, immunohistochemistry, andimmunofluorescence.