Health-related quality of life in premenopausal women with hormonereceptor-positive, HER2-negative advanced breast cancer treated with ribociclib plus endocrine therapy: results from a phase III randomized clinical trial (MONALEESA-7)

Nadia Harbeck¹⁰, Fabio Franke, Rafael Villanueva-Vazquez, Yen-Shen Lu¹⁰, Debu Tripathy, Louis Chow, Govind K Babu, Young-Hyuck Im, David Chandiwana, Anil Gaur, Brad Lanoue, Karen Rodriguez-Lorenc and Aditya Bardia

Abstract

Background: This analysis evaluated patient-reported outcomes (PROs) to assess healthrelated quality of life (HRQoL) in the phase III MONALEESA-7 trial, which previously demonstrated improvements in progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) with ribociclib (cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 inhibitor) + endocrine therapy (ET) compared with placebo + ET in pre- and perimenopausal patients with hormone-receptor-positive, HER2negative (HR+/HER2-) advanced breast cancer (ABC).

Methods: The European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) Quality of Life questionnaire C30 (QLQ-C30) and the EQ-5D-5L were used to evaluate HRQoL. **Results:** EORTC QLQ-C30 assessments were evaluable for 335 patients in the ribociclib arm and 337 patients in the placebo arm. Adherence rates at baseline and \geq 1 postbaseline time point were 90% and 83%, respectively. Patients treated with ribociclib + ET had a longer time to deterioration (TTD) \geq 10% in global HRQoL {hazard ratio (HR), 0.67 [95% confidence interval (CI), 0.52–0.86]}. TTD \geq 10% in global HRQoL was delayed in ribociclib-treated patients without *versus* with disease progression [HR, 0.31 (95% CI, 0.21–0.48)]. TTD \geq 10% in pain was longer with ribociclib + ET than with placebo + ET [HR, 0.65 (95% CI, 0.45–0.92)]. Patients who received a nonsteroidal aromatase inhibitor experienced similar benefits with ribociclib *versus* placebo in global HRQoL and pain.

Conclusion: HRQoL was maintained longer in patients who received ribociclib + ET *versus* placebo + ET. These data, combined with previously reported improvements in PFS and OS, support a strong clinical benefit-to-risk ratio with ribociclib-based treatment in pre- and perimenopausal patients with HR+/HER2– ABC.

Keywords: breast cancer, endocrine therapy, quality of life, ribociclib

Received: 22 May 2020; revised manuscript accepted: 29 June 2020.

Introduction

Endocrine therapy with ovarian suppression plus a cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 (CDK4/6) inhibitor is a recommended first-line treatment for premenopausal women with hormone-receptor-positive (HR+)/human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-negative (HER2-) advanced breast cancer (ABC)^{1,2}; however, treatment resistance and disease progression ultimately occur. In addition to these potential complexities of breast cancer in

Correspondence to: Nadia Harbeck

Breast Center, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology and CCCLMU, Ludwig-Maximilians University Munich (LMU), Marchioninistrasse 15, München, 81377, Germany Nadia.Harbeck@med.unimuenchen.de

Fabio Franke

Hospital de Caridade de Ijuí, CACON, Ijuí, Brazil

Rafael Villanueva-Vazquez

Institut Català d'Oncologia, Hospital de Sant Joan Despí Moisès Broggi, Barcelona, Spain

Yen-Shen Lu

National Taiwan University Hospital, Taipei **Debu Tripathy**

The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA

Louis Chow Organisation for Oncology

and Translational Research, Hong Kong

Govind K. Babu

HCG Curie Centre of Oncology and Kidwai Memorial Institute of Oncology, Bangalore, Karnataka, India

Young-Hyuck Im

Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea

David Chandiwana

Brad Lanoue Karen Rodriguez-Lorenc Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, East Hanover, NJ, USA

Anil Gaur

Novartis Healthcare Pvt Ltd, Hyderabad, India

Aditya Bardia

Massachusetts General Hospital Cancer Center, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

journals.sagepub.com/home/tam



Creative Commons CC BY: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) which permits any use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

Ther Adv Med Oncol 2020, Vol. 12: 1–8 DOI: 10.1177/ 1758835920943065 © The Author(s), 2020.

premenopausal women, maintaining quality of life (QoL) in a younger patient population presents a unique treatment challenge. ABC is associated with reduced QoL; however, treatment may improve or at least stabilize global QoL and specific disease-related symptoms.³

MONALEESA-7 is a phaseIII trial of first-line endocrine-based therapy with ribociclib or placebo with goserelin and a nonsteroidal aromatase inhibitor (NSAI; letrozole or anastrozole) or tamoxifen in premenopausal patients with ABC.⁴ This is the only phase III trial to prospectively assess a CDK4/6 inhibitor in combination with endocrine therapy in a population of exclusively premenopausal patients with HR+/HER2-ABC. In MONALEESA-7, progression-free survival (PFS) was prolonged with ribociclib versus placebo {median, 23.8 versus 13.0 months; hazard ratio (HR), 0.55 [95% confidence interval (CI), 0.44-0.69]; p < 0.0001}. The addition of ribociclib to endocrine therapy also resulted in statistically significant longer overall survival (OS), with a 29% reduction in the relative risk of death compared with placebo [HR, 0.71 (95% CI, 0.54-0.95); p=0.00973] and estimated OS rates of 70.2% (95% CI, 63.5-76.0%) versus 46.0% (95% CI, 32.0-58.9%) at 42 months.5 A consistent OS benefit was observed with ribociclib over placebo in the subgroup of patients who received a nonsteroidal aromatase inhibitor (NSAI) as endocrine therapy partner [HR, 0.70 (95% CI, 0.50-0.98)]. The safety profile was generally manageable with dose modifications and concomitant medications. In the ribociclib and placebo arms, 4% and 3%, respectively, of patients discontinued therapy due to adverse events.⁴

Because QoL is among the most important considerations for patients with cancer, one of the predefined secondary objectives of the MONALEESA-7 study was evaluation of patientreported outcomes (PROs) for health-related QoL (HRQoL). We report these data, which were analyzed from the recent final data cutoff used to evaluate OS.

Methods

The details of the MONALEESA-7 trial design and participants have been described previously.⁴ Written informed consent was obtained from all patients at enrollment. The study was approved by each participating site's institutional review board or independent ethics committee (Supplemental Table S1). The trial was performed in accordance with the Good Clinical Practice guidelines and the Declaration of Helsinki. This study is registered [ClinicalTrials. gov identifier: NCT02278120]. The data cutoff date for this analysis was 30 November 2018.

Patient-reported outcomes

Patients completed questionnaires in person at the beginning of each visit. PROs were evaluated based on the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) Quality of Life questionnaire core 30 (QLQ-C30) and Breast cancer module (QLQ-BR23). The EQ-5D-5L was used to evaluate PRO measures of HRQoL, functioning, disease symptoms, and treatment-related side effects.

Time to deterioration (TTD) $\geq 10\%$ in the global health status/QoL scale and secondary PRO variables of the EORTC QLQ-C30 were analyzed based on the 10-point threshold, which is considered a reference for clinical meaningfulness.^{6,7} Definitive 10% deterioration was defined as a worsening in QoL score by $\geq 10\%$ compared with baseline, with no later improvement above this threshold observed during the treatment period, or death due to any cause.

Statistical analyses

TTD was compared between the two treatment arms using a stratified log-rank test at a 1-sided 2.5% level of significance. Survival distributions were analyzed using the Kaplan–Meier method. A stratified Cox regression was used to estimate the HR for TTD, along with 2-sided 95% CI. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the scores from study PRO assessments at each scheduled assessment time point. Change from baseline in all subscales was analyzed using a linear mixed-effects model that included the following factors: treatment, stratification factors, and baseline score; this analysis only included assessments up to the time point at which \geq 50 patients were evaluable in each treatment arm.

Results

Patient disposition

As previously reported at the data cutoff of 30 November 2018, there were 116 patients (34.6%) continuing treatment in the ribociclib arm and 57



Figure 1. TTD \geq 10% in global HRQoL in patients treated with RIB *versus* PBO. CI, confidence interval; ET, endocrine therapy; HR, hazard ratio; HRQoL, health-related quality of life; mo, months; PBO, placebo; RIB, ribociclib; TTD, time to deterioration.

patients (16.9%) continuing treatment in the placebo arm.⁵ At data cutoff, 219 patients (65.4%) in the ribociclib arm and 280 patients (83.1%) in the placebo arm had discontinued treatment. The main cause of treatment discontinuation among these patients was progressive disease (51.6% and 68.2% in the ribociclib and placebo arms, respectively).

Quality of life

QoL was assessed at baseline and throughout treatment; the EORTC QLQ-C30 was completed at baseline and ≥ 1 postbaseline time point by 90% and 83% of patients in the ribociclib and placebo arms, respectively. TTD $\ge 10\%$ in global HRQoL was significantly delayed with ribociclib versus placebo [median, 35.8 versus 23.3 months, respectively; HR, 0.67 (95% CI, 0.52-0.86)] (Figure 1). Analyses conducted at deterioration cutoffs of 5% and 15% conveyed similar findings: TTD≥5% [median, 35.8 versus 23.3 months; HR, 0.65 (95% CI, 0.51–0.84)] and $\geq 15\%$ [median, 38.7 versus 33.1 months; HR, 0.69 (95% CI, 0.52-0.92)] were consistently delayed with ribociclib versus placebo, respectively (Supplemental Figure S1A and B). Patients treated with ribociclib without disease progression experienced delayed TTD $\ge 10\%$ in global HRQoL versus patients with disease progression [median, not estimable (NE) versus 24.0 months; HR, 0.31 (95% CI, 0.21-0.48)] (Figure 2).

Global health status was also assessed as change from baseline in a linear mixed-effects model that accounted for treatment, stratification factors, and baseline health status score (Supplemental Figure S2). The baseline global health status scores of 64.7 in the ribociclib arm and 65.1 in the placebo arm were similar to the 62.9 observed in a large general (i.e., not cancer specific) population of women aged 40-49 years in North America and Europe.⁸ After 25 cycles, which corresponds with the median treatment duration of 2 years in the ribociclib arm,⁵ the mean score changes from baseline in the ribociclib arm (n=164 evaluable)and the placebo arm (n=92 evaluable) were +3.9and +2.2 points, respectively. At the end of treatment, the mean score changes from baseline in the ribociclib arm (n = 182 evaluable) and the placebo arm (n=236 evaluable) were -4.0 and -3.2points, respectively.

Ribociclib treatment led to a longer maintenance than placebo in key subdomains of the EORTC QLQ-C30 questionnaire, including pain and fatigue. The ribociclib arm demonstrated a delay in TTD \ge 10% in pain *versus* placebo [median, NE in either arm; HR, 0.65 (95% CI, 0.45–0.92)] (Figure 3). A consistent benefit was observed in TTD \ge 10% in fatigue, although the effect size was not as large as that observed for global QoL or pain (TTD \ge 10% in fatigue: HR, 0.76 [95% CI, 0.56–1.02]) (Figure 4). Similar to that of the global health status, the trends TTD \ge 10% in physical, emotional, and social functioning also



Figure 2. TTD \ge 10% in global HRQoL in patients treated with RIB with *versus* without disease progression. CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; HRQoL, health-related quality of life; mo, months; NE, not estimable; RIB, ribociclib; TTD, time to deterioration.



Figure 3. TTD \geq 10% in pain in patients treated with RIB versus PBO. CI, confidence interval; ET, endocrine therapy; HR, hazard ratio; mo, months; NE, not estimable; PBO, placebo; RIB, ribociclib; TTD, time to deterioration.

favored ribociclib treatment (Supplemental Figure S3).

Ribociclib is approved for use in combination with an NSAI in premenopausal patients; therefore, analyses were performed on global health status and subdomains of the EORTC QLQ-C30 questionnaire in the MONALEESA-7 NSAI cohort (ribociclib arm, n=248; placebo, n=247). Results in the NSAI cohort were generally similar to those observed in the overall patient population. Median TTD $\geq 10\%$ in global health status was 34.2 months in the ribociclib arm *versus* 23.3 months in the placebo arm [HR, 0.69 (95% CI, 0.52–0.91)] (Figure 5). In the key subdomain of pain, median TTD $\geq 10\%$ was not reached in either treatment arm; however, the hazard ratio indicated a benefit with ribociclib [HR, 0.64 (95% CI, 0.43–0.96)] (Figure 6). Fatigue also appeared to be numerically better, albeit to a



Figure 4. TTD ≥10% in fatigue in patients treated with RIB *versus* PBO. CI, confidence interval; ET, endocrine therapy; HR, hazard ratio; mo, months; NE, not estimable; PBO, placebo; RIB, ribociclib; TTD, time to deterioration.



Figure 5. TTD \ge 10% in global HRQoL in patients treated with RIB *versus* PBO plus an NSAI. CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; HRQoL, health-related quality of life; mo, months; NSAI, nonsteroidal aromatase inhibitor; PBO, placebo; RIB, ribociclib; TTD, time to deterioration.

lesser extent, with ribociclib than with placebo (median TTD \ge 10%, 41.4 months *versus* NE; HR 0.78 [95% CI, 0.56–1.10]) (not shown).

Discussion

The primary efficacy report and a more recent OS report of the MONALEESA-7 trial have demonstrated statistically significant benefits in PFS and OS with ribociclib plus endocrine therapy over placebo plus endocrine therapy, as well as a manageable safety profile,^{4,5} supporting the clinical

benefit of the regimen. Another key aspect of clinical benefit is HRQoL, which was assessed *via* PROs as a secondary endpoint in the MONALEESA-7 trial. HRQoL is particularly relevant for premenopausal patients because younger patients may face substantial unease about the impact of breast cancer on their ability to care for family and manage careers, among other factors.⁹ In this analysis, HRQoL was maintained throughout the study treatment, and the TTD in global health status as well as the key subdomains of pain and fatigue were delayed with



Figure 6. TTD \ge 10% in pain in patients treated with RIB *versus* PBO plus an NSAI. CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; mo, months; NSAI, nonsteroidal aromatase inhibitor; PBO, placebo; RIB, ribociclib; NE, not estimable; TTD, time to deterioration.

ribociclib compared with placebo. Similar results were observed with ribociclib over placebo in the cohort of patients who received an NSAI.

In MONALEESA-7, the longer PFS in the ribociclib arm over the placebo arm was accompanied by an improvement in global health status over placebo. That is, patients receiving ribociclib had an improved QoL during treatment as well as a longer time without disease progression, which are likely the key factors driving the longer TTD $\ge 10\%$ in global health status with ribociclib.

Clinical benefit is generally thought to be based on the three pillars of efficacy, safety, and HRQoL. PFS benefits have been observed with ribociclib combinations in all three MONALEESA trials.4,10-12 In the MONALEESA-3 and MONALEESA-7 trials, ribociclib combinations also demonstrated statistically significant benefits in OS^{5,13} (OS results from MONALEESA-2 had not been presented as of this writing because the data were not yet mature). The tolerability profile of ribociclib has been well established, and adverse events are generally manageable. Consistent with the maintenance of QoL with ribociclib in the MONALEESA-7 trial, separate analyses of PROs demonstrated that QoL was also maintained throughout treatment with the addition of ribociclib to letrozole in the MONALEESA-2 trial and the addition of ribociclib to fulvestrant in the MONALEESA-3 trial.14,15

In this analysis of MONALEESA-7, HRQoL was maintained for a longer duration with ribociclib

than with placebo, with a HR of 0.67 (95% CI, 0.52-0.86) for TTD in EORTC QLQ-C30 global health status. To place these data into context, it should be noted that the HRQoL benefit of adding CDK4/6 inhibitors to endocrine therapy was less pronounced in other phase III trials, although cross-trial comparisons must be made with caution. For example, the HR for abemaciclib over placebo for time to sustained deterioration in EORTC QLQ-C30 global health status [based on $a \ge 10\%$ decrease (similar to TTD in this analysis) followed by all subsequent scores meeting the minimally important difference criteria compared with baseline] in the MONARCH-2 trial was 0.80 (95% CI, 0.63–1.02).¹⁶ Also, although results for TTD in EORTC scores had not been reported for MONARCH-3 as of this writing, an analysis of HROoL revealed that the addition of abemaciclib to an NSAI did not result in statistically significant and clinically meaningful differences in patientreported global health status.¹⁷ Additionally, while QoL results for palbociclib plus letrozole in the PALOMA-2 study did not include EORTC QLQ-C30 global health status, results for TTD in Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy-Breast questionnaire scores were similar between the palbociclib and placebo arms [HR, 0.88 (95% CI, 0.67-1.16)].¹⁸ The results for MONARCH-3 and PALOMA-2 are particularly relevant because these trials are also investigating the addition of a CDK4/6 inhibitor to an NSAI in the first-line setting, albeit primarily in postmenopausal patients.

Given the substantial clinical benefit in terms of PFS and OS improvements seen in MONALEESA-7, it is noteworthy that ribociclib in combination with endocrine therapy also improves QoL in this patient population, which may include some women experiencing side effects from ovarian suppression. These data support the overall clinical and HRQoL benefits of CDK4/6 inhibitorbased combination therapy in treating premenopausal and perimenopausal patients with HR+/HER2- ABC.

Authors' note

Some of the data in this manuscript were presented in a poster discussion at ESMO 2019 (Lu Y-S, et al. Poster 308PD) and as a poster at SABCS 2019 (Harbeck N, *et al.* Poster P1-19-06).

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the patients who participated in the study and their families. The study was approved by each participating site's institutional review board or independent ethics committee and was conducted in compliance with the International Council for Harmonisation Good Clinical Practice Guideline and the general ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. This study was sponsored by Novartis, which also provided financial support for medical editorial assistance by John McGuire of MediTech Media Ltd.

Contributors

All authors contributed to the conception or design of this analysis. All authors were involved in acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of the data; writing or reviewing and editing the manuscript; and approved the final version for submission. Study design was performed in conjunction with the study steering committee members and the sponsor. Data collection and analysis were completed by trial sponsor representatives. The corresponding author had complete access to all study data and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Conflict of interest statement

NH reports personal fees from AstraZeneca, Novartis, Eli Lilly, Pfizer, all outside the submitted work; FF has nothing to disclose; RV-V reports personal fees from Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, outside the submitted work; Y-SL reports personal fees from Novartis, Pfizer, Boehringer Ingelheim, grants from Novartis, Roche, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Pfizer, GlaxoSmithKline, other from Novartis, outside the submitted work; DT reports personal fees from Novartis, Pfizer, grant from Novartis, outside the submitted work; LC has nothing to disclose; GKB has nothing to disclose; Y-HI has nothing to disclose; DC reports other from Novartis, during the conduct of the study; BL reports other from Novartis, during the conduct of the study; KR-L reports personal fees and other from Novartis, during the conduct of the study; AB reports personal fees from Biothemostics Inc, Pfizer, Novartis, Genentech, Merck, Radius Health, Immunomedics, Spectrum Pharma, Taiho, Sanofi, Daiichi Pharma, Puma, grants from Genentech, Novartis, Pfizer, Merck, Sanofi, Radius Health, Immunomedics, Mersana, Innocrin, Biothemostics Inc., outside the submitted work.

Funding

The authors disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: The study was funded and designed by Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation.

Data sharing

A data sharing statement provided by the authors is included with the supplemental material.

ORCID iDs

Nadia Harbeck D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9744-7372

Yen-Shen Lu Dhttps://orcid.org/0000-0001-7461-1291

Supplemental material

Supplemental material for this article is available online.

References

- Cardoso F, Senkus E, Costa A, et al. 4th ESO-ESMO international consensus guidelines for advanced breast cancer (ABC 4)[†]. Ann Oncol 2018; 29: 1634–1657.
- NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology (NCCN Guidelines): breast cancer, version
 2019, https://www.nccn.org/professionals/ physician_gls/pdf/breast.pdf. (2019, accessed 8 January 2020).
- de Mello Ramirez Medina J, de Araujo Trugilho I, Mendes GNB, *et al.* Advanced clinical stage at diagnosis of breast cancer is associated with poorer health-related quality of life: a cross-sectional study. *Eur J Breast Health* 2019; 15: 26–31.

- 4. Tripathy D, Im SA, Colleoni M, *et al.* Ribociclib plus endocrine therapy for premenopausal women with hormone-receptor-positive, advanced breast cancer (MONALEESA-7): a randomised phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2018; 19: 904–915.
- Im SA, Lu YS, Bardia A, *et al.* Overall survival with ribociclib plus endocrine therapy in breast cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2019; 381: 307–316.
- Cocks K, King MT, Velikova G, et al. Quality, interpretation and presentation of European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer quality of life questionnaire core 30 data in randomised controlled trials. Eur J Cancer 2008; 44: 1793–1798.
- Osoba D, Rodrigues G, Myles J, *et al.* Interpreting the significance of changes in health-related quality-oflife scores. *J Clin Oncol* 1998; 16: 139–144.
- Nolte S, Liegl G, Petersen MA, et al. General population normative data for the EORTC QLQ-C30 health-related quality of life questionnaire based on 15,386 persons across 13 European countries, Canada and the United States. Eur J Cancer 2019; 107: 153–163.
- Bardia A and Hurvitz S. Targeted therapy for premenopausal women with HR⁺, HER2⁻ advanced breast cancer: focus on special considerations and latest advances. *Clin Cancer Res* 2018; 24: 5206–5218.
- Hortobagyi GN, Stemmer SM, Burris HA, et al. Ribociclib as first-line therapy for HR-positive, advanced breast cancer. N Engl J Med 2016; 375: 1738–1748.
- Hortobagyi GN, Stemmer SM, Burris HA, et al. Updated results from MONALEESA-2, a phase III trial of first-line ribociclib plus letrozole versus placebo plus letrozole in hormone receptor-positive, HER2-negative advanced breast cancer. Ann Oncol 2018; 29: 1541–1547.

- 12. Slamon DJ, Neven P, Chia S, *et al.* Phase III randomized study of ribociclib and fulvestrant in hormone receptor-positive, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-negative advanced breast cancer: MONALEESA-3. *J Clin Oncol* 2018; 36: 2465–2472.
- Slamon DJ, Neven P, Chia S, et al. Overall survival with ribociclib plus fulvestrant in advanced breast cancer. N Engl J Med 2020; 382: 514–524.
- Fasching PA, Esteva FJ, Pivot X, et al. Patient reported outcomes in advanced breast cancer treated with ribociclib plus fulvestrant: results from MONALEESA-3. Ann Oncol 2018; 29(Suppl. 8): viii90–viii121.
- Verma S, O'Shaughnessy J, Burris HA, et al. Healthrelated quality of life of postmenopausal women with hormone receptor-positive, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-negative advanced breast cancer treated with ribociclib + letrozole: results from MONALEESA-2. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2018; 170: 535–545.
- 16. Kaufman PA, Toi M, Neven P, *et al.* Health-related quality of life in MONARCH 2: abemaciclib plus fulvestrant in hormone receptor-positive, HER2-negative advanced breast cancer after endocrine therapy. *Oncologist* 2020; 25: e243–e251.
- Goetz MP, Johnston S, Martin M, et al. Health-related quality of life in MONARCH 3: abemaciclib plus an aromatase inhibitor as initial therapy in women with HR+, HER2- advanced breast cancer. *Cancer Res* 2018; 79: Abstract P6-16-01.
- Rugo HS, Dieras V, Gelmon KA, et al. Impact of palbociclib plus letrozole on patient-reported health-related quality of life: results from the PALOMA-2 trial. Ann Oncol 2018; 29: 888–894.

Visit SAGE journals online journals.sagepub.com/ home/tam

SAGE journals