

Synthesis and Metabolic Fate of 4-Methylthiouridine in Bacterial tRNA

Christoph Borek^{+, [a]} Valentin F. Reichle^{+, [a]} and Stefanie Kellner^{*[a]}

Ribonucleic acid (RNA) is central to many life processes and, to fulfill its function, it has a substantial chemical variety in its building blocks. Enzymatic thiolation of uridine introduces 4thiouridine (s⁴U) into many bacterial transfer RNAs (tRNAs), which is used as a sensor for UV radiation. A similar modified nucleoside, 2-thiocytidine, was recently found to be sulfurmethylated especially in bacteria exposed to antibiotics and simple methylating reagents. Herein, we report the synthesis of 4-methylthiouridine (ms⁴U) and confirm its presence and additional formation under stress in Escherichia coli. We used the synthetic ms⁴U for isotope dilution mass spectrometry and compared its abundance to other reported tRNA damage products. In addition, we applied sophisticated stable-isotope pulse chase studies (NAIL-MS) and showed its AlkB-independent removal in vivo. Our findings reveal the complex nature of bacterial RNA damage repair.

RNA and especially tRNA have complex structures to fulfill their important functions inside the organism. This is possible through the vast chemical variety of building blocks found in RNA. To date over 170 modifications to either ribose or nucleobase have been reported.^[1] One group of unique tRNA modifications is enzymatic thiolation. In bacteria, thiolation of uridine (4-thiouridine, s⁴U) is commonly found at position 8 of most tRNAs (red in Figure 1). s⁴U is a target of ultraviolet light;^[2] it leads to a reduced growth of bacteria exposed to UV and, as a consequence, saves bacteria from photomutagenic effects.^[3] In addition, s⁴U-hypomodified tRNAs were found to be targeted by the RNA degradosome; this leads to a reduced abundance of a subset of bacterial tRNAs.^[4] Due to its sulfur decoration, s⁴U is a nucleophile, and can be coupled with electrophiles such as bromomethylcoumarin^[5] or iodoacetamide.^[6] The latter is used to assess RNA transcription and stability after metabolic RNA labeling with exogenous s⁴U (SLAM-Seg). Similar to SLAM-Seg, TUC-Seq uses metabolically introduced s⁴U, which can be



Figure 1. 3D structure of tRNA indicating the positions of enzymatically thiolated nucleobases in bacteria. Red: 4-thiouridine (**3**, s⁴U) found at position 8 and the suggested structure of its methylated derivative 4-methylthiouridine (**4**, ms⁴U). Blue: 2-thiocytidine at position 32 and its reported derivative 2-methylthiocytidine.

chemically converted to cytidine prior to RNA sequencing^[7]. Despite its important function in bacterial tRNA and its broad use as a metabolic label for RNA sequencing, little is known about its chemical reactivity inside cells.

Another sulfur decorated tRNA modification, 2-thiocytidine (s²C) (blue in Figure 1) has been recently found to be endogenously methylated^[8] and efficiently repaired, potentially through its function as a modulator of translation.^[9] A direct methylation of s²C through electrophiles such as *S*-adenosylmethionine, methyl methanesulfonate (MMS) or antibiotics (streptozotocin) was observed. The resulting damage ms²C (Figure 1) is substrate to the α -ketoglutarate dependent dioxygenase AlkB and repaired both *in vitro* and *in vivo* to restore tRNA function.^[8] While s²C is fully accessible to electrophiles in the anticodon loop of tRNA, s⁴U is in tight interaction with nucleosides of the D- and T-loop and might be less accessible to electrophiles. This raises the question of whether s⁴U is a target to direct methylation and if so, how much damage forms and how bacteria react to the damage.

To address these questions, we report here the synthesis of the suggested damage product ms^4U (4).

The synthesis of ms⁴U (**4**) was first attempted *via* the formation of the fully acetylated corresponding 4-triazolic precursor which was meant to react with sodium thiomethanolate^[10] to form the desired nucleoside. We encountered several problems in the key step due to partial deprotection of the ribose moiety, which led to further problems with the purification. Therefore, we decided to form ribose-protected 4-thiouridine (**2**) separately with subsequent methylation adopting a procedure for the corresponding 2'-

 [[]a] Dr. C. Borek,⁺ V. F. Reichle,⁺ Dr. S. Kellner Department of Chemistry, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München Butenandtstr. 5–13, 81377 Munich (Germany) E-mail: stefanie.kellner@cup.lmu.de

^{[&}lt;sup>+</sup>] These authors contributed equally to this work.

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under https://doi.org/10.1002/cbic.202000272

^{© 2020} The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

chlorine riboside.^[11] The complete reaction is shown in Scheme 1. The initial peracetylation in neat acetic anhydride with catalytic amounts of iodine is a fast and reliable method to protect sugars in general which provided conversion of uridine to compound (1) in high yields. The subsequent formation of the 4-thiouridinic compound (2) by thiolation with phosphorus pentasulfide yielded 72%. It should be noted, that crystallization from ethanol, as described for the chlorinated compound, could not be observed. The deprotection was conducted by



 $\label{eq:Scheme 1. Synthesis of the key compound 4: a) Ac_2O, I_2, RT, 45 min; b) P_2S_5, pyridine, reflux, 4 h; c) NH_4OH conc., reflux, 2 h; d) MeI, EtOH 50\%, RT, 1 h.$



Figure 2. LC-MS/MS analysis of native and synthesized ms⁴U. A) Co-injection of synthesized ms⁴U (black) and digested tRNA from ¹³C (red), ¹⁵N (blue), and ³⁴S (yellow) metabolically labeled *E. coli* cultures. B) Native tRNA digests screening for enzymatically methylated nucleosides (gray, [CD₃]-S-methionine-derived) and damage-derived nucleoside methylation (black). Abbreviations: ms⁴U: 4-methylthiouridine (4), m⁵U: 5-methyluridine, and Cm: 2'-O-methylcytidine. The mass transitions (precursor ion—product ion) are given below the respective chromatograms.

refluxing in concentrated aqueous ammonia solution, and s^4U (3) was received presumably in quantitative yield but was used as crude product in the next step. Of note, the more common method under Zemplén conditions^[12] was not capable of deprotecting compound (2). In a final step, the thio group was selectively methylated by iodomethane to provide ms⁴U (4) in a moderate overall yield of 40% over four steps.

With the synthetic standard in hands, we developed a sensitive LC-MS/MS method for detection of ms⁴U in tRNA from unstressed Escherichia coli. With this targeted analysis, we found a peak in native tRNA that corresponds to the synthetic ms⁴U in terms of retention time, precursor and product ion mass. In E. coli exposed to the LD₅₀ dose of methyl methanesulfonate (MMS), the peak increased. A co-injection of the synthesized ms⁴U standard and tRNA from MMS exposed E. coli grown in stable isotope labeled medium clearly showed 1) perfect coelution and 2) the expected numbers of carbon, nitrogen, and sulfur atoms in native ms⁴U (Figure 2A). In a next step, we confirmed the origin of the methyl group attached to the sulfur following our established methylome discrimination assay.^[13] For this purpose, we grew E. coli in medium supplemented with [CD₃]-S-methionine; this leads to CD₃ labeling of all enzymatically placed methyl groups. After exposing E. coli to MMS, we found a high intensity signal for CH₃-methylated ms⁴U and only a minor signal for CD₃-methylated ms⁴U (Figure 2B). We thus prove the direct methylation of s⁴U through the electrophile MMS in bacterial tRNA in vivo.

We were next interested to quantify the extent of ms⁴U formation in unstressed and MMS-treated tRNA. For this purpose, a stable isotope labeled internal standard (SILIS) of ms⁴U was produced by metabolic isotope labeling of *E. coli*. To increase the yield of stable isotope labeled ms⁴U, MMS was added to the culture medium for 60 minutes, and the RNA was harvested and processed as previously described.^[14] The combination of synthesized ms⁴U and metabolically produced ms⁴U-SILIS allowed accurate quantification of ms⁴U and other modified ribonucleosides in bacterial tRNA (Figure 3). For normalization, we plotted the number of modified nucleosides per 10⁶ canonical ribonucleosides (rN).



Figure 3. Absolute quantification of damage-derived nucleosides found in tRNA in control *E. coli* and *E. coli* exposed to 20 mM MMS. Left: per 10^6 rN (ribonucleosides) Right: per precursor [%]. Abbreviations: m¹A: 1-meth-yladenosine, ms²C: 2-methylthiocytidine, m³C: 3-methylcytidine, ms⁴U: 4-methylthiouridine, and m³U: 3-methyluridine. From 3 biological replicates. Error bars represent standard deviation.

4397633,

In tRNA of unstressed *E. coli*, we found $2.6 \times 10^{-6} \text{ ms}^{4}\text{U/rN}$, which is less compared to the natural abundance of our recently described modification ms²C (17×10⁻⁶ ms²C/rN).^[8]

After exposure to MMS, the abundance of the known tRNA damage products is $2147 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^{1}\text{A/rN}$, $1543 \times 10^{-6} \text{ ms}^{2}\text{C/rN}$, $2772 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^7 \text{G/rN}$, $530 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^3 \text{C/rN}$, $478 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^6 \text{A/rN}$ and 41×10^{-6} m³U/rN (Figure 3 and Figure S1a in the Supporting Information). ms⁴U damage is with 91×10⁻⁶ ms⁴U/rN comparable to m³U damage in bacterial tRNA. This value appears to be rather low, but if the abundance of damage is normalized to the abundance of its respective precursor nucleoside (e.g., m¹A per A or ms⁴U per s⁴U) a different conclusion must be drawn. With 0.5% ms⁴U/s⁴U, ms⁴U is of comparable abundance to the known damage product m¹A (1.1% per A; Figures 3 and S1b). S4 in thiouracil is thus similarly reactive towards electrophiles such as MMS as is the N1 in adenine and the N7 in guanine. However, the S2 of thiocytosine is the strongest nucleophile and thus 38% of all s²C become methylated to ms²C in tRNA from E. coli exposed to MMS. Due to the importance of s²C during translation, where it negates the wobble inosine binding to codons starting with adenine,^[9] its efficient repair by enzymatic demethylation has been reported.^[8]

 s^4 U is found at position 8 in 60 $\%^{[1]}$ of all bacterial tRNAs and in addition at position 9 in tRNA^{Tyr}_{QUA} from *E. coli*. The chemical properties of sulfur are exploited by the bacteria for oxidative stress sensing through, for example, UV irradiation. Oxidative stress can be triggered by UV irradiation following iron-dependent Fenton chemistry. Therefore, s⁴U acts as a sensor for UV irradiation,^[15] which leads to delayed growth of bacteria during UV light exposure.^[16] Given this important function of s⁴U, we were wondering how cells react to tRNAs which have been methylated and carry ms⁴U. For this purpose, we designed a pulse chase study based on our NAIL-MS expertise.

The goal of this assay is to discriminate the damaged tRNAs and exclude signals from tRNAs transcribed during recovery from MMS stress. Thus, we can follow the metabolic fate of ms⁴U/rN independently from dilution by transcription. For this purpose, cells are grown in medium containing only ¹⁴N and ³²S. Consequently, the RNA is completely labeled with ¹⁴N, and all s⁴U have a ³²S label (original s⁴U), for example, m/z (s⁴U) 261. In this medium, the bacteria are exposed to MMS (20 mM) and s⁴U is converted to ms⁴U and, for example, A to m¹A. After exposure, MMS is removed by exchanging the medium with stable isotopes containing medium. During the following recovery period, newly transcribed tRNA will be ¹⁵N labeled, enzymatically methylated nucleosides will be CD₃ labeled and new s⁴U will have a ³⁴S label (new s⁴U, *m/z* 265 and new m¹A, m/z 290). The experimental design is shown in Figure 4A. Using LC-MS/MS analysis, we detect the formation of ms⁴U during MMS exposure with around $50 \times 10^{-6} \text{ ms}^4\text{U/original rN}$. In the subsequent recovery period, we traced the abundance of ms⁴U and normalized it to the abundance of original rN. In wild-type E. coli, we saw a constant decrease in ms⁴U over time (Figure 4B) which is comparable to the decrease found for ms²C (Figure 4C). For ms²C, we observed a slower repair in the absence of AlkB. Intriguingly, ms⁴U loss is independent of AlkB. We concluded that AlkB is not the demethylase of ms⁴U; this



Figure 4. A) Principle of a pulse-chase NAIL-MS experiment. The bacteria are grown in unlabeled medium before and after exposure to MMS. After 1 h of exposure to MMS, the medium is replaced with [15N]-, [34S]- and [CD₃] methionine-containing medium. B) Formation and loss during recovery of $\rm ms^4U$ after exposure to 20 mM MMS in wild-type (wt, green) and AlkBdeficient (Δ AlkB, gray) E. coli. C) Formation and loss during recovery of ms²C after 20 mM MMS exposure in wild-type (wt, green) and AlkB-deficient (Δ AlkB, gray) E. coli. D) Abundance of [³⁴S]ms⁴U after 5 h in control (ctrl) and MMS-exposed wt and AlkB-deficient bacteria. All data from 3 biological replicates. Error bars represent standard deviation.

opens the way for two hypotheses. The first revolves around a potential, undescribed demethylase or dethiomethylase, which has ms⁴U-damaged tRNA as substrate. SelU, a dethiogeranylase might be a potential candidate for this reaction^[17]. From a chemical perspective, a direct dethiomethylation through attack of a nucleophile such as water is also theoretically possible. In both scenarios, ms⁴U would dethiomethylate to uridine, which is again substrate for enzymatic thiolation. The re-thiolation during the recovery phase can be monitored by analysis of [³⁴S] incorporation into original tRNA. Our NAIL-MS study indeed indicates an increased formation of [34S]-ms4U in original tRNA from MMS stressed compared to unstressed bacteria (Figure 4D). This in vivo data hints at dethiomethylation of damaged tRNA that results in uridine.

While we cannot exclude the involvement of an unknown dethiomethylase, we tested the possibility of spontaneous ms⁴U dethiomethylation. For this purpose, we simulated potential cellular environments and exposed synthesized ms⁴U as free nucleoside prior to quantitative LC-MS/MS analysis (Figure S2). Dethiomethylation was observed after incubation with dithiothreitol (DTT). No dethiomethylation was observed under acidic/alkaline conditions, in growth medium or in the presence of cysteine or bovine serum albumin (BSA as an example protein).

In summary, we describe the existence of thiomethylated s⁴U in bacterial tRNA. The low abundance of ms⁴U indicates its formation as a lesion through the constantly present electrophile S-adenosylmethionine. During the exposure of bacteria to methylating agents such as MMS, RNA is damaged, and the methylation products of canonical nucleosides (m¹A, m⁷G, m³C, m³U and m⁶A) emerge.

4397633,

In addition, modified nucleosides with a pronounced nucleophilic character, such as s^2C and s^4U , become methylated. As evident from Figure 3 (right), s^2C is more prone to direct methylation than s^4U . This can be explained by both the chemical reactivity of the S2 in cytidine compared to the S4 in uridine and its location within the tRNA. Due to the exocyclic amine in cytidine, s^2C has an increased electron density, which improves its nucleophilic character over the S4 in uridine. Furthermore, the uridine S4 is more prone to solvation, which further decreases its nucleophilicity. In addition to the difference in nucleophilicity, s^2C is exposed and accessible in the anticodon loop of the tRNA, whereas s^4U is buried in the D-/T-loop fold.

Our studies reveal a differential reaction of the cells towards these forms of RNA damage. One class of lesions is repaired through enzymatic demethylation using an oxidative demethylation mechanism. Namely, m¹A, m³C (Figure S3a, b) and ms²C (Figure 4C) are substrate to enzymatic demethylation through AlkB. The second class comprises lesions that are lost from the RNA over time, but in an AlkB-independent manner (ms⁴U and m⁶A). The third class of RNA damage comprises m⁷G, which is not removed from tRNA (Figure S3d).

Overall, the finding of ms⁴U as a natural and stress-induced lesion in bacterial tRNA confirms the importance of tRNA modifications during stress response.

Acknowledgements

V.R. and S.K. are grateful for funding from the Fonds der chemischen Industrie. The project is financially supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft [Projektnummer 325871075, SFB 1309 and KE1943/3-1]. S.K. and C.B. thank Mark Helm for lab and fume hood access. S.K. thanks Thomas Carell and his group for instrument time and advice.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: epitranscriptome · modified nucleoside · NAIL-MS · RNA damage · tRNA

- P. Boccaletto, M. A. Machnicka, E. Purta, P. Piatkowski, B. Baginski, T. K. Wirecki, V. de Crecy-Lagard, R. Ross, P. A. Limbach, A. Kotter, M. Helm, J. M. Bujnicki, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2018, 46, D303-D307.
- [2] G. Thomas, A. Favre, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1975, 66, 1454– 1461.
- [3] A. Caldeira de Araujo, A. Favre, *EMBO J.* **1986**, *5*, 175–179.
- [4] S. Kimura, M. K. Waldor, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2019, 116, 1394–1403.
 [5] K. Schmid, M. Adobes-Vidal, M. Helm, Bioconjugate Chem. 2017, 28,
- [3] K. Schmid, M. Adobes-Vidal, M. Heili, *bioconjugate Chem.* 2017, 26, 1123–1134.
 [6] V. A. Herzog, R. Reichholf, T. Neumann, P. Rescheneder, P. Rhat, T. R.
- [6] V. A. Herzog, B. Reichholf, T. Neumann, P. Rescheneder, P. Bhat, T. R. Burkard, W. Wlotzka, A. von Haeseler, J. Zuber, S. L. Ameres, *Nat. Methods* 2017, 14, 1198–1204.
- [7] A. Lusser, C. Gasser, L. Trixl, P. Piatti, I. Delazer, D. Rieder, J. Bashin, C. Riml, T. Amort, R. Micura, *Methods Mol. Biol.* 2020, 2062, 191–211.
- [8] V. F. Reichle, D. P. Petrov, V. Weber, K. Jung, S. Kellner, Nat. Commun. 2019, 10, 5600.
- [9] S. Vangaveti, W. A. Cantara, J. L. Spears, H. DeMirci, F. V. t Murphy, S. V. Ranganathan, K. L. Sarachan, P. F. Agris, J. Mol. Biol. 2020, 432, 913–929.
- [10] S. R. Shaver, W. Pendergast, S. M. Siddiqi, B. R. Yerxa, D. K. Croom, R. W. Dougherty, M. K. James, A. N. Jones, J. L. Rideout, *Nucleosides Nucleotides* 1997, *16*, 1099–1102.
- [11] I. L. Doerr, J. J. Fox, J. Org. Chem. 1967, 32, 1462-1471.
- [12] G. Zemplén, A. Gerecs, I. Hadácsy, Berichte der deutschen chemischen Gesellschaft (A and B Series) 1936, 69, 1827–1829.
- [13] V. F. Reichle, V. Weber, S. Kellner, *ChemBioChem* 2018, *19*, 2575–2583.
 [14] K. Borland, J. Diesend, T. Ito-Kureha, V. Heissmeyer, C. Hammann, A. H.
- Buck, S. Michalakis, S. Kellner, Genes (Basel) 2019, 10.
- [15] A. Favre, A. M. Michelson, M. Yaniv, J. Mol. Biol. 1971, 58, 367–379.
- [16] T. V. Ramabhadran, T. Fossum, J. Jagger, J. Bacteriol. 1976, 128, 671–672.
- [17] M. Sierant, G. Leszczynska, K. Sadowska, P. Komar, E. Radzikowska-Cieciura, E. Sochacka, B. Nawrot, *FEBS Lett.* 2018, *592*, 2248–2258.

Manuscript received: May 4, 2020 Accepted manuscript online: May 12, 2020 Version of record online: June 18, 2020