scientific reports

Check for updates

OPEN Recanalization strategies in childhood stroke in Germany

Martin Olivieri¹, Anna-Lisa Sorg², Raphael Weinberger², Karin Kurnik¹, Christoph Bidlingmaier¹, Sabrina Juranek¹, Florian Hoffmann¹, Karl Reiter¹, Michaela Bonfert^{®4}, Moritz Tacke^{®4}, Ingo Borggraefe^{®4}, Florian Heinen^{®4} & Lucia Gerstl^{®4}

Childhood arterial ischemic stroke (CAIS) is a rare event. Diverse etiologies, risk factors, symptoms and stroke mimics hamper obtaining a fast diagnosis and implementing immediate recanalization strategies. Over a period of 3 years (2015-2017), the data of 164 pediatric patients (> 28 days of life-18 years) with a first episode of AIS were submitted to a hospital-based nationwide surveillance system for rare disorders (ESPED). We report a subgroup analysis of patients who have undergone recanalization therapy and compare these data with those of the whole group. Twenty-eight patients (17%) with a median age of 12.2 years (range 3.3–16.9) received recanalization therapy. Hemiparesis, facial weakness and speech disturbance were the main presenting symptoms. The time from onset of symptoms to confirmation of diagnosis was significantly shorter in the intervention group (4.1 h vs. 20.4 h, $p \le 0.0001$). Only in one patient occurred a minor bleed. Cardiac disease as predisposing risk factor was more common in the recanalization group. Recanalization therapies are feasible and increasingly applied in children with AIS. High awareness, timely diagnosis and a large amount of expertise may improve time to treatment and make hyperacute therapy an option for more patients.

Childhood arterial ischemic stroke (CAIS) in children aged older than 28 days of life and up to 18 years is a rare event, with an incidence of approximately 1-3/100,000 children/year¹⁻³. Time delay in diagnosing CAIS has been a greatly challenging issue for several years. Increased awareness, implementing pediatric stroke protocols and algorithms has led to faster diagnoses, and therefore, more patients have become eligible for potential recanalization therapies⁴⁻⁶. Nevertheless, the diagnosis and treatment of CAIS remains challenging due to the existence of a multitude of stroke mimics and different predisposing risk factors, such as arteriopathy, thrombophilia, cardiac disorders, metabolic disorders or cancer^{7,8}. Several case series have demonstrated the feasibility of intravenous thrombolysis, but a prospective randomized trial failed due to recruiting problems (small patient number and presence of contraindications for recanalization therapy (RT))⁹. Moreover, long-term outcome data are lacking¹⁰⁻¹². In contrast to adult stroke management a different point of view for CAIS is necessary: (a) cerebral arteriopathy is one of the most common causes of CAIS¹³, (b) this arteriopathy may be associated with an increased risk of interventional complication (as dissection, bleeding etc.) (c) distinctive features of developmental hemostasis, such as lower plasminogen (PA) and higher plasminogen activator inhibitor 1 (PAI1) levels in infants, (d) the supposed lower effectiveness of standard recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (rt-PA) doses^{10-12,14,15}, and (e) the presumed lower bleeding risk in children than in adults¹⁶. Since 2015 positive results of several studies for endovascular treatment of adult stroke have raised the question of whether thrombectomy is also feasible in pediatric stroke¹⁷⁻¹⁹. Additionally, the DAWN²⁰ and DEFUSE²¹ trial suggest larger treatment windows in adults and may further increase the number of eligible children with AIS despite longer delay from last time seen well and time of diagnosis.

Although randomized controlled trials addressing the efficacy of such treatment modalities are lacking, several case series have concluded that endovascular procedures are feasible also in children²²⁻²⁷.

Based on active surveillance of childhood stroke in Germany published by Gerstl et al.²⁸, we aimed to investigate the rate and characteristics of patients treated with recanalization therapies (RTs). In this subgroup analysis, differences in symptoms, time gaps, factors triggering a time gap compatible with a hyperacute RT (within 6 h after the onset of symptoms) and short-term outcome will be outlined.

¹Pediatric Hemostasis and Thrombosis Unit, Department of Pediatrics, Dr Von Hauner Children's Hospital, University Hospital, LMU Munich, Lindwurmstr. 4, 80337 Munich, Germany. ²Institute of Social Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, LMU Munich, Munich, Germany. ³Pediatric Intensive Care Unit, Department of Pediatrics, Dr Von Hauner Children's Hospital, University Hospital, LMU Munich, Munich, Germany. ⁴Department of Pediatric Neurology and Developmental Medicine and LMU Center for Development and Children With Medical Complexity, Dr Von Hauner Children's Hospital, University Hospital, LMU Munich, Munich, Germany. Zemail: martin.olivieri@ med.uni-muenchen.de

	All patients (N=164)		Patients who did not undergo recanalization (N = 136)		Patients who underwent recanalization (N=28)			
	N	n (%)	N	n (%)	N	n (%)	p-value	
General characteristics								
Sex	164		136		28			
Male		97 (59)		79 (58)		18 (64)	0.54	
Female		67 (41)		57 (42)		10 (36)		
Age [in years, at symptom onset]	164	9.1±6.0	136	8.4 ± 6.1	28	12.271 ± 4.2	< 0.01	
Caucasian ethnicity	154	142 (92)	127	115 (91)	27	27 (100)	0.12	
Stroke, thrombosis or other stroke-related events in family	164	35 (21)	136	29 (21)	28	6 (21)	0.99	
Time								
Time from symptom onset to confirmation of diagnosis [in h]	82		58		24			
<4.5 h		37 (45)		16 (28)		21 (88)		
4.5 h to <6 h		2 (2)		2 (4)		0 (0)	-0.01	
6 h to < 12 h		11 (13)		9 (16)		2 (8))	
≥12 h		32 (39)		31 (53)		1 (4)		
Short-term outcome								
Death	161	4 (2.4)	133	3 (2.2)	28	1 (3.6)	0.54	
At least one neurological impairment	164	86 (52)	136	70 (51)	28	16 (57)	0.58	
Symptoms at discharge	164		136		28			
Hemiparesis		69 (42)		55 (40)		14 (50)	0.35	
Facial palsy		24 (15)		19 (14)		5 (17)	0.77	
Speech disturbance		19 (12)		15 (11)		4 (14)	0.75	
Seizure		11 (7)		11 (8)		-	0.21	
Cerebellar symptoms		6 (4)		6 (4)		-	0.59	
Visual disturbance		4 (2)		4 (3)		-	1.00	
Complications	Complications							
Any complication (e.g. bleeding, cerebral edema, others)	164	3 (1.8)	136	1 (0.7)	28	2 (7.1)	0.07	

Table 1. Patient characteristics. Patient characteristics for the whole group (n = 164) and the subgroups of patients that did not (n = 136) and did undergo recanalization therapy (n = 28). Quantitative variables are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Categorical variables are expressed as n (%). Test for differences between patients with recanalization vs. patients without recanalization: p-values are obtained from chi-square or fisher exact tests for categorical data and from Wilcoxon rank sum test for continuous variables.

Results

Between 01/01/2015 and 12/31/2017, 164 patients with CAIS were reported to ESPED (26). 17.1% (n = 28) of patients with a median age of 12.2 years (range 3.3–16.9) received hyperacute RT with either thrombolysis (n = 10), thrombectomy (n = 10) or both (n = 8). In the recanalization group, more patients were older than five years than in the entire cohort (89% vs. 62%, p = 0.005). Only three patients were younger than five years (3.3, 4.1 and 4.5 years old). Similar to the whole study group, we observed a male preponderance (m:f, 1.8:1) in the subgroup analysis. Twenty-seven patients were Caucasian (one Asian). Table 1 summarizes patient characteristics for the whole group and for the recanalization and non-recanalization groups, and Table 2 shows the detailed overview for each patient in the recanalization group.

Patient characteristics for the whole group (n = 164) and the subgroups of patients that did not (n = 136) and did undergo recanalization therapy (n = 28).

Similar to the whole study group, hemiparesis (79%), facial weakness (61%) and speech disturbance (64%) were the leading presenting symptoms in the recanalization group. The simultaneous occurrence of all three symptoms was significantly more often present in the RT group than in the non-RT group [15 (62.5%, n = 24) vs 15 (14.4%, n = 104), p = 0.0001]. Additionally, the RT group included facial weakness and speech disturbances as presenting symptoms significantly more often than the non-RT group (p = 0.0003, p = 0.007, respectively) (Table 3). Among patients older than 5 years (n = 107), visual disturbances were more frequent in the recanalization group than in the whole group (p = 0.049).

The time from symptom onset to confirmation of diagnosis was significantly shorter in the RT group than in the group without RT (mean 4.1 h standard deviation (SD) 5.5 h vs. 20.4 h SD 21.8, $p \le 0.0001$) (see Fig. 1; log rank test p < 0.0001). Only in three patients, (age 4.1, 6.9 and 10.3 years) receiving RT diagnosis was confirmed after 6 h (8 h, 9.6 h and 28 h). These patients presented with mainly nonspecific symptoms such as headache, seizure and decreased consciousness. All three patients had a good neurological short-term outcome without any complications.

Nr	sex	Age [in years]	Onset of symptoms*	State of consciousness at admission	Onset of symptoms to confirmation of diagnosis [in hours]	Symptoms	Risk factor categroies	RT*	Affected vessels	Complications	Short-term outcome ^x
1	Female	15.8	А		4	Hemiparesis, headache, facial weak- ness, speech disturbance	others	L+T	Middle cer- ebral artery		Н
2	Male	8.6	U	Coma	3	Hemiparesis, headache, decreased consciousness level	Cardiac Arteriopathy	Т			Н
3	Male	3.3	AB		1.2	Hemiparesis, facial weakness	Cardiac	L+T	Middle cer- ebral artery		H, F
4	Female	14.1	А	Stuporous No orientation	3.3	Hemiparesis, headache, facial weak- ness, decreased consciousness level, speech disturbance	Prothrombotic state Migraine	L+T	Middle cer- ebral artery Posterior cer- ebral artery		H, F
5	Male	15.4	А	Dizzy No orientation	2.8	Hemiparesis, facial weak- ness, decreased consciousness level, speech disturbance, vertigo	Cardiac	L+T			Н
6	Female	4.1	А	Stuporous No orientation	9.6	Visual distur- bance, head- ache, seizure (generalized), decreased consciousness level, vomiting/ nausea	Cryptogenic	Т			N
7	Male	15.6	AB		3.5	Hemiparesis, facial weak- ness, speech disturbance	Prothrombotic state	L			N
8	Male	15.9	A		2.6	Headache, ataxia, speech disturbance, dysdiadochoki- nesis	Cardiac	L	Middle cer- ebral artery		S
9	Male	9.7	АВ		2.2	Hemiparesis, visual distur- bance, visual disturbance, headache, facial weak- ness, speech disturbance	Cardiac	L	Middle cer- ebral artery		Н
10	Female	14.1	А	Dizzy No orientation	2.1	Hemiparesis, decreased consciousness level, speech disturbance	Cardiac	L+T	Middle cer- ebral artery		NA
11	Female	15	AB	Dizzy	2.8	Hemiparesis, facial weak- ness, decreased consciousness level, speech disturbance, vertigo	Cryptogenic	L	Middle cer- ebral artery		S
12	Male	15.1	А			Hemiparesis	Cryptogenic	L			NA
13	Male	14.6	А		2.5	Hemiparesis	Prothrombotic state Arteriopathy	L	Middle cer- ebral artery		н
14	Male	13	А		1.3	Hemiparesis, headache, facial weak- ness, speech disturbance	Prothrombotic state	L+T			Н
15	Female	5.5	Р			Ataxia	Migraine	Т			H, F, S
Conti	nuea										

Nr	sex	Age [in years]	Onset of symptoms*	State of consciousness at admission	Onset of symptoms to confirmation of diagnosis [in hours]	Symptoms	Risk factor categroies	RT*	Affected vessels	Complications	Short-term outcome ^x
16	Male	16.9	AB	Dizzy	2	Ataxia, decreased consciousness level, vertigo, vomiting/ nausea	Cardiac	L			N
17	Male	10.3	Р		28	Paresthesia, numbness feeling	Prothrombotic state Airway infec- tion	Т	Posterior cer- ebral artery		Р
18	Female	15.6	A		3.7	Hemiparesis, facial weak- ness, seizure, speech distur- bance	Cardiac Prothrombotic state	Т	Middle cer- ebral artery		Ν
19	Male	11.4	Р	Dizzy No orientation	3	Hemiparesis, facial weak- ness, speech disturbance, paresthesia	Cardiac	Т	Middle cer- ebral artery		D
20	Male	15.5	АВ		1.3	Hemiparesis, facial weak- ness, speech disturbance	Cardiac	Т	Middle cer- ebral artery		N
21	Male	15.1	АВ		1.4	Hemiparesis, facial weak- ness, speech disturbance	Cardiac	Т	Middle cer- ebral artery		N
22	Female	9.1	АВ		3.5	Ataxia, speech disturbance, apraxia	Prothrombotic state	L	Middle cer- ebral artery Posterior cer- ebral artery		N
23	Male	13.7	A	Coma No orientation	2.5	Hemiparesis, facial weak- ness, speech disturbance, splay foot position	Cardiac Prothrombotic state	L	Middle cer- ebral artery		S
24	Male	6.9	А	Coma	8	Hemi- paresis, facial weakness, seizure (focal), decreased consciousness level, speech disturbance	Prothrombotic state Hemato-onco- logical	Т	Middle cer- ebral artery		H, F, S
25	Male	4.5	АВ	Dizzy	1	Hemiparesis, facial weak- ness, speech disturbance	Cardiac	Т	Middle cer- ebral artery		N
26	Male	16.2	А		2.6	Hemiparesis, facial weak- ness, speech disturbance	Cryptogenic	L			Н
27	Female	15.2	U	Coma		Hemiparesis, headache, neglect	Prothrombotic state	L+T	Middle cer- ebral artery	Cerebral edema	Н
28	Female	10.3	Р	Stuporous		Hemiparesis, headache, facial weak- ness, decreased consciousness level, vomiting/ nausea	Cardiac	L+T	Middle cer- ebral artery	Bleding punc- tion site	H, F

Table 2. Individual patient characteristics. Characteristics of each patient in the recanalisation group (n = 28). ⁺A = acute (within minutes), AB = abrupt (within seconds), P = Progressive (within hours) U = unclear; ^{*}RT = recanalisation therapy, L + T = thrombolysis and thrombectomy, L = only thrombolysis, T = only thrombectomy. ^xH = hemiparesis, F = facial palsy, S = speech disturbance, P = paresthesia, N = none, d = death, NA = not available.

	All patients (n = 164)	Patients who did not undergo recanalization(n = 136)	Patients with recanalization (n = 28)	p-value
Focal symptoms	149 (91)	121 (89)	28 (100)	0.11
Hemiparesis	110 (67)	88 (65)	22 (79)	0.16
Facial weakness	52 (32)	35 (26)	17 (61)	< 0.01
Speech disturbance	68 (41)	50 (37)	18 (64)	< 0.01
Visual disturbance	32 (20)	29 (21)	3 (11)	0.30
Ataxia	24 (15)	20 (15)	4 (14)	1.00
Paresthesia	19 (12)	17 (13)	2 (7)	0.66
Other focal symptoms	29 (18)	25 (18)	4 (14)	0.84
Nonspecific symptoms	79 (48)	65 (48)	14 (50)	0.83
Headache	39 (34)	30 (22)	9 (32)	0.25
Decreased consciousness level	34 (21)	25 (18)	9 (32)	0.10
Vomiting/nausea	33 (20)	29 (21)	4 (14)	0.61
Vertigo	21 (13)	18 (13)	3 (11)	1.00
Other nonspecific symptoms	5 (3)	5 (4)	-	0.77
Seizure	30 (18)	27 (20)	3 (11)	0.42

Table 3. Presenting symptoms. Variables are expressed as n (%); p-values from x^2 test or Fisher's exact test comparing symptoms of patients with RT and symptoms of patients with non-RT. Presenting symptoms for the whole group (n = 164) and divided by therapy.



82 observations with invalid time values

Figure 1. Time from symptom onset to diagnosis. Comparison of the exact time (in h) from the symptom onset to confirmation of diagnosis in the non-RT (n = 28) and RT groups (n = 136).

.....

Cardiac disease as predisposing risk factor was present significantly more often in the recanalization group than in the non-recanalization group (n = 14, 50% vs n = 33, 24%; p = 0.006). The prothrombotic state was equally common in both groups (n = 10, 36% vs n = 46, 34%; p = 0.85), and cerebral arteriopathies were less commonly reported in the RT group (n = 2, 7% vs n = 29, 21%; p = 0.08).

Table 4 lists the affected vessels in both groups, showing that in the RT group, larger vessels, such as the middle cerebral artery (MCA) and internal carotid artery (ICA), were more often affected than in the non-RT group (statistically not significant). In all patients, thrombolysis was performed with recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (rt-PA). Dose information was obtained in 39% (n = 11) of patients. Analogous to adult stroke protocols, the standard dose was 0.9 mg/kg bodyweight. Two patients (both 15 years old) underwent intra-arterial thrombolysis with an absolute rt-PA dose of 20 mg. Only in one patient (11.3-year-old girl) that underwent both

Affected vessel	All patients (n = 110)	No recanalization (n=88)	Thrombolysis (n=7)	Thrombectomy (n=8)	Lysis and thrombectomy (n=7)
Middle cerebral artery	75	57	6	6	6
Posterior cerebral artery	20	17	1	1	1
Anterior cerebral artery	15	15	-	-	-
Internal carotid artery	11	9	-	2	-
Cerebellar artery	5	5	-	-	-
Aa. lenticulostriatae	4	3	1	-	-
Basilar artery	2	1	-	-	1
Aa. thalamoper- forantes	2	2	-	-	-
A. choroidea ant	2	-	1	-	1
External carotid artery	1	1	-	-	-

Table 4. Affected vessels. Multiple affected vessel per patients (sum larger than total number). Affected vessels in the RT (n = 22) and non-RT groups (n = 88; data missing from 54 patients).

thrombolysis and thrombectomy a minor bleed at the puncture site occurred as RT associated complication. No complications occurred in patients treated only with either thrombolysis or thrombectomy.

The short-term outcome defined as residual neurological deficit at discharge was slightly worse in the recanalization group (statistically not significant). At discharge, 57% of the patients in the RT group (vs 51% in the non-RT group) had a least one neurological impairment at discharge. 50% of the patients in the RT group had hemiparesis (vs. 40% in the non-recanalization group), 17% (vs. 14%) had facial palsy and 14% (vs. 11%) had speech disturbance (Table 1). Eight patients in the RT group were discharged without any neurological impairments.

Discussion

Arterial ischemic stroke in childhood (CAIS) is a rare event but is associated with high clinical, psychological and economic burden due to neurological sequelae^{4,29}. Higher awareness among parents, paramedics and health care providers and better diagnostic and treatment modalities seem to have led to an increasing incidence over the last decades. In adults, emerging hyperacute therapies show overwhelming benefits in the treatment and outcome of stroke. Despite faster diagnoses of CAIS, prospective randomized pediatric trials are missing or have had to be stopped due to recruitment problems^{9,10}. Nevertheless, a series of observational data confirm the feasibility of thrombolysis and/or thrombectomy in CAIS^{9,10,12,23,24,26,30}. The AHA Scientific Statement on the management of stroke in neonates and children as well as the Australian clinical consensus guideline state that the use of hyperacute recanalization treatment remains controversial and should still be limited to some children meeting adult eligibility criteria^{6,31}.

Moreover, comparing published pediatric stroke registry data from Amlie-Lefond et al.¹² (2% recanalization therapy in 4 years, 687 patients) and Bigi et al.²⁶ (11% RT in 15 years, 150 patients) with our data²⁸ (17% in 3 years, 164 patients), it seems that acute recanalization strategies have been increasingly used over the last years. As demonstrated in our study (88% diagnosed within the supposed critical time window of < 4.5 h), this might be explained by the shorter time intervals from the onset of symptoms to diagnosis and therapy than those in the data published more than ten years ago by Rafay et al. (22.7 h median time interval)⁷. Our data are in accordance with the median time intervals from the onset of symptoms to recanalization (4.0 h) published by Sporns et al.²³ In the non-recanalization group, more than half of our patients (53%, n = 31) were diagnosed after 12 h. The greater occurrence of symptoms such as speech disturbance, facial weakness and hemiparesis in the RT group might also trigger a faster diagnosis than for nonspecific symptoms²⁸. Among patients older than five years, visual disturbances also occurred more often in the recanalization group. This might be associated with problems examining visual disturbances in children younger than five years of age. Three patients (age 4.1, 6.9 and 10.3 years) received recanalization therapy after the recommended time interval with a good short-term outcome. According to adult data from the DAWN and DEFUSE trial a larger therapy window might be supposed also for children and increase the number of patients eligible for RT^{20,21}.

More commonly appearing stroke symptoms such as hemiparesis, facial weakness or speech disturbance may explain the significantly higher incidence of thrombectomy in children older than five years. A comparable vessel size of MCA to adults in this patient group facilitates feasibility of RT³². Nevertheless, Sun et al. showed that RT might also be feasible in children between nine months and four years of age³³. Our study adds three more patients below five years of age with successful RT without complications confirming the data from Sun et al. Cardiac risk factors leading to cerebral thromboembolic vessel occlusion were significantly more represented in the recanalization group. Not surprisingly, embolic disease is a mainstay of RT. The incidence of prothrombotic state was similar in both groups, while cerebral arteriopathies were one of the most common risk factors for CAIS

associated with a presumed higher interventional risk of bleeding. Relative contraindications such as cerebral arteriopathies, vasospasm or dissection were obviously underrepresented in the RT group.

The observed slightly worse short-term outcome in the RT group might be caused by the small number of patients and a selection bias for individuals being treated by the local treating pediatricians. Additionally, the more severe clinical presentation at start of symptoms, larger affected vessels and/or extension of the infarction area in the RT group could lead to this worse outcome.

Strength and limitations. The strength of this subgroup analysis is the prospective design of this population-based study²⁸. This real-world experience highlights relevant data on the current treatment practice and unmet needs of pediatric stroke care in Germany. The lack of a sufficient number of patients for performing meaningful statistics is a limitation of this study. As mentioned, underreporting to the ESPED may also be a significant limitation. As found by Gerstl et al.²⁸ the reported lower incidence of CAIS (0.41/100,000 in the study vs 1–13/100,000 in published data²⁸) is due to adult stroke units not reporting adolescents with AIS to the ESPED and in nearly 35% of children generally not treated in children's hospital³⁴. Reports from centers with higher pediatric stroke expertise might explain the low complication rates in this study group. Given the similarity to previously published data, it is unlikely that this underreporting might have influenced the results^{12,23,26}. The study design (ESPED survey) without specifying standardized diagnostic and therapy protocols cannot provide further data on long-term outcomes.

Conclusion

The use of recanalization treatments in CAIS increased over recent years, which might indicate that early recognition of stroke and greater awareness of different treatment options enables the use of RT also in specific patients. Low complication rates in different studies showed their feasibility and safety despite the restrictive recommendations of international pediatric stroke guidelines. The presence of predisposing risk factors such as arteriopathies and low pediatric expertise make it difficult to extrapolate adult data. Pediatric stroke protocols and interdisciplinary treatments in pediatric stroke centers are necessary to identify eligible patients early as possible. Prospective studies on long-term outcomes are needed.

Methods

The `Erhebungseinheit für seltene Pädiatrische Erkrankungen' (ESPED) is an established surveillance system for rare disorders in pediatrics. It requires anonymous reporting of rare disorders in childhood on a monthly basis from all German children's hospitals³⁵. The case definition includes any patients with a first onset of CAIS between 28 days of life and 18 years, excluding (presumed) perinatal/neonatal stroke, hemorrhagic stroke and cerebral venous sinus thrombosis. The local treating physicians provided diagnostic modalities, diagnosis and therapy. A pseudonymized, standardized questionnaire was sent to the reporting clinician. Age, onset of symptoms, time span until diagnostic imaging and final diagnosis, imaging technique leading to diagnosis, risk factors and therapeutic strategies were queried²⁸. All answer sheets were verified by a pediatric neurologist (LG) and pediatric hemostasis specialist (MO). Data were entered into a web-based database. This subgroup analysis investigates patients treated with intravenous/intraarterial thrombolysis and/or thrombectomy for hyperacute recanalization of affected vessels.

A description of patient's characteristics was obtained for the entire patient group and by type of treatment irrespective of missing values. Gerstl et al. showed that Pediatric NIH Stroke Scale (PedNIHSS) and the Pediatric Stroke Outcome Measure (PSOM) were barely used in Germany²⁸. For this reason, in our subgroup analysis short-term outcome was defined as residual neurological symptoms at discharge. Statistical comparisons of patients with recanalization and patients without recanalization were performed using chi-squared or Fisher exact tests as appropriate. Investigations of the differences in time from the onset of symptoms until a confirmation of diagnosis was based on survival analysis using the product limit estimator. Statistical differences in the time to diagnosis were analyzed with the log-rank test. We used a significance level of 5% for all analyses without adjustment for multiple testing. All statistics were calculated using SAS, version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). All analyzed data involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. The ethics committee waived for informed consent from participants and/or their legal guardians to use patient data to guarantee anonymous incidence reporting of all German cases in accordance with the inclusion criteria and reporting of retrospective pseudonomized data to an independent ESPED data trustee. The data protection office and the ethics committee of the medical faculty of Ludwig-Maximilians University, Munich, approved the study (Nr 42-15; 05-04-2016).

Statistical analysis. Conducted by Anna-Lisa Sorg and Raphael Weinberger, Institute of Social Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, LMU Munich, Germany.

Data availability

Study protocol, statistical analyzes and anonymized data will be shared by request from any qualified investigator for the sole purpose of replicating procedures and results presented in the article and as long as data transfer is in agreement with adherence to the legal requirements of Germany and the European Union legislation on the general data protection regulation.

Received: 26 January 2021; Accepted: 11 June 2021 Published online: 25 June 2021

References

- Felling, R. J., Sun, L. R., Maxwell, E. C., Goldenberg, N. & Bernard, T. Pediatric arterial ischemic stroke: Epidemiology, risk factors, and management. *Blood Cells Mol. Dis.* 67, 23–33 (2017).
- Steinlin, M. A clinical approach to arterial ischemic childhood stroke: Increasing knowledge over the last decade. *Neuropediatrics* 43, 1–9 (2012).
- Mallick, A. A. et al. Childhood arterial ischaemic stroke incidence, presenting features, and risk factors: A prospective populationbased study. Lancet. Neurol. 13, 35–43 (2014).
- Elbers, J., deVeber, G., Pontigon, A.-M. & Moharir, M. Long-term outcomes of pediatric ischemic stroke in adulthood. J. Child Neurol. 29, 782–788 (2014).
- 5. Rivkin, M. J., Bernard, T. J., Dowling, M. M. & Amlie-Lefond, C. Guidelines for urgent management of stroke in children. *Pediatr. Neurol.* 56, 8–17 (2016).
- Ferriero, D. M. et al. Management of stroke in neonates and children: A Scientific statement from the American Heart Association/ American Stroke Association. Stroke 50, e51–e96 (2019).
- 7. Rafay, M. F. et al. Delay to diagnosis in acute pediatric arterial ischemic stroke. Stroke 40, 58-64 (2009).
- 8. Mackay, M. T., Monagle, P. & Babl, F. E. Improving diagnosis of childhood arterial ischaemic stroke. *Expert Rev. Neurother.* 17, 1157–1165 (2017).
- 9. Rivkin, M. J. *et al.* Thrombolysis in pediatric stroke study. *Stroke* **46**, 880–885 (2015).
- 10. Bhatia, K. *et al.* Mechanical thrombectomy in pediatric stroke: Systematic review, individual patient data meta-analysis, and case series. *J. Neurosurg.* **1**, 1–14 (2019).
- 11. Behrouz, R. The prospects and predicaments of intravenous rt-PA in childhood ischemic stroke. *Expert Rev. Neurother.* 14, 255–259 (2014).
- Amlie-Lefond, C. et al. Use of alteplase in childhood arterial ischaemic stroke: A multicentre, observational, cohort study. Lancet Neurol. 8, 530–536 (2009).
- Böhmer, M. et al. Impact of childhood arterial ischemic stroke standardized classification and diagnostic evaluation classification on further course of arteriopathy and recurrence of childhood stroke. Stroke 50, 83–85 (2018).
- 14. Alshekhlee, A. *et al.* Thrombolysis for children with acute ischemic stroke: A perspective from the kids' inpatient database. *Pediatr. Neurol.* **49**, 313–318 (2013).
- 15. Kurnik, K., Bidlingmaier, C., Hütker, S. & Olivieri, M. Hämostaseologie in der Pädiatrie. Hamostaseologie 36, 109-125 (2016).
- Amlie-Lefond, C. et al. Risk of intracranial hemorrhage following intravenous tPA (tissue-type plasminogen activator) for acute stroke is low in children. Stroke 51, 542–548 (2020).
- 17. Berkhemer, O. A. et al. A randomized trial of intraarterial treatment for acute ischemic stroke. N. Engl. J. Med. 372, 11-20 (2015).
- 18. Goyal, M. *et al.* Endovascular thrombectomy after large-vessel ischaemic stroke: A meta-analysis of individual patient data from five randomised trials. *Lancet* **387**, 1723–1731 (2016).
- 19. Saver, J. L. *et al.* Stent-retriever thrombectomy after intravenous t-PA vs. t-PA alone in stroke. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **372**, 2285–2295 (2015).
- 20. Nogueira, R. G. *et al.* Thrombectomy 6 to 24 hours after stroke with a mismatch between deficit and infarct. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **11**, 11–21 (2018).
- 21. Albers, G. W. et al. Thrombectomy for stroke at 6 to 16 hours with selection by perfusion imaging. N. Engl. J. Med. 1, 708–718 (2018).
- 22. Sporns, P. B. et al. Thrombectomy in childhood stroke. J. Am. Heart Assoc. 8, e011335 (2019).
- Sporns, P. B. et al. Feasibility, safety, and outcome of endovascular recanalization in childhood stroke: The save childs study. JAMA Neurol. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2019.3403 (2019).
- Satti, S., Chen, J., Sivapatham, T., Jayaraman, M. & Orbach, D. Mechanical thrombectomy for pediatric acute ischemic stroke: Review of the literature. J. Neurointerv. Surg. 9, 732–737 (2017).
- Tabone, L. et al. Regional Pediatric Acute Stroke Protocol: Initial Experience During 3 Years and 13 Recanalization Treatments in Children. Stroke 48, 2278–2281 (2017).
- 26. Bigi, S. et al. Feasibility, safety, and outcome of recanalization treatment in childhood stroke. Ann. Neurol. 83, 1125–1132 (2018).
- Gerstl, L. et al. Successful mechanical thrombectomy in a three-year-old boy with cardioembolic occlusion of both the basilar artery and the left middle cerebral artery. Eur. J. Paediatr. Neurol. 20, 962–965 (2016).
- Gerstl, L. et al. Arterial ischemic stroke in infants, children, and adolescents: results of a Germany-wide surveillance study 2015–2017. J. Neurol. 266, 2929–2941 (2019).
- Gardner, M. A., Hills, N. K., Sidney, S., Johnston, S. C. & Fullerton, H. J. The 5-year direct medical cost of neonatal and childhood stroke in a population-based cohort. *Neurology* 74, 372–378 (2010).
- Nasr, D. M., Biller, J. & Rabinstein, A. A. Use and in-hospital outcomes of recombinant tissue plasminogen activator in pediatric arterial ischemic stroke patients. *Pediatr. Neurol.* 51, 624–631 (2014).
- Medley, T. L. *et al.* Australian Clinical Consensus Guideline: The diagnosis and acute management of childhood stroke. *Int. J. Stroke* 14, 94–106 (2019).
- Barry, M., Hallam, D. K., Bernard, T. J. & Amlie-Lefond, C. What is the role of mechanical thrombectomy in childhood stroke?. Pediatr. Neurol. 95, 19–25 (2019).
- Sun, L. R., Felling, R. J. & Pearl, M. S. Endovascular mechanical thrombectomy for acute stroke in young children. J. Neurointerv. Surg. 11, 554–558 (2019).
- Göbel, U., Heinrich, B., Krauth, K. A., Steingrüber, H.-J. & von Kries, R. Evaluation der prozess- und ergebnisqualität der erhebungseinheit für seltene pädiatrische erkrankungen in deutschland (ESPED). Klin. Padiatr. 222, 92–97 (2010).

Acknowledgements

The authors thank all pediatricians who submitted data to the ESPED.

Author contributions

M.O. reviewed answer sheets, performed statistical analysis, drafted the initial manuscript, and approved the final manuscript as submitted; A.L.S., R.W. performed statistical analysis, reviewed and revised the manuscript, and approved the final manuscript as submitted; K.K., C.B., S.J., F.H., K.R., M.B., M.T., I.B., F.H., reviewed and revised the manuscript and approved the final manuscript as submitted; L.G. designed the study, reviewed answer sheets, reviewed and revised the manuscript, and approved the final manuscript as submitted; L.G. designed the study, reviewed answer sheets, reviewed and revised the manuscript, and approved the final manuscript as submitted.

Funding

Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL. The building of the database was financially supported by Friedrich-Baur-Stiftung, Munich, Germany.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to M.O.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2021