



LETTER • OPEN ACCESS

# Correct Aharonov-Bohm wave functions for half-integer flux

To cite this article: Siddhant Das 2022 *EPL* **139** 60002

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- [Grassmann manifolds and the Grassmann image of submanifolds](#)  
A A Borisenko and Yu A Nikolaevskii
- [Review: knots and other new topological effects in liquid crystals and colloids](#)  
Ivan I Smalyukh
- [A spectral method for half-integer spin fields based on spin-weighted spherical harmonics](#)  
Florian Beyer, Boris Daszuta and Jörg Frauendiener

# Correct Aharonov-Bohm wave functions for half-integer flux

SIDDHANT DAS<sup>(a)</sup>

*Mathematisches Institut, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München - Theresienstr. 39, D-80333 München, Germany*

received 5 May 2022; accepted in final form 30 August 2022

published online 13 September 2022

**Abstract** – A missing angle-dependent prefactor in the wave functions for half-integer magnetic flux obtained in AHARONOV Y. and BOHM D., *Phys. Rev.*, **115** (1959) 485 is supplied, without which the result is not single-valued. No physical conclusions of the paper are affected.



Copyright © 2022 The author(s)

Published by the EPLA under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) (CC BY). Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI.

In their celebrated 1959 paper [1], Aharonov and Bohm (hereafter AB) considered the motion of an electron of mass  $m$  and charge  $-e$  in the field of an infinitely long solenoid of vanishing radius, and governed by the (Coulomb gauge) Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2m} \left( -i\hbar\nabla + \frac{e\Phi}{2\pi r}\hat{\theta} \right)^2, \quad (1)$$

where  $(r, \theta)$  denote plane-polar coordinates, and  $\Phi$  is the magnetic flux linked with the solenoid. The axis of the solenoid defines the  $z$ -axis. Exploiting the translational symmetry of the problem, AB factor out the motion along the  $z$ -direction, obtaining a complete set of (transverse) eigenfunctions  $F_\alpha(kr, \theta)$  of  $H$  with eigenvalue  $E = \hbar^2 k^2 / (2m)$ . Here,

$$F_\alpha(r, \theta) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-i)^{|n+\alpha|} J_{|n+\alpha|}(r) e^{in\theta}, \quad (2)$$

where  $J_\nu(\cdot)$  is Bessel's function of the first kind and

$$\alpha = -e\Phi / (2\pi\hbar)$$

is the nondimensionalized magnetic flux. The propagator for this problem, *i.e.*, the matrix element of the time-evolution operator  $\langle \mathbf{r}' | \exp(-itH/\hbar) | \mathbf{r} \rangle$ , is directly expressible in terms of  $F_\alpha$ :

$$K(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i \tau} \exp \left[ \frac{i}{2\tau} (r^2 + r'^2) \right] F_\alpha \left( \frac{rr'}{\tau}, \theta - \theta' \right), \quad (3)$$

where  $\tau = \hbar t / m$  ([2], eq. (7)). Therefore, it is useful to evaluate (2) in closed form, say, for special values of  $\alpha$ .

<sup>(a)</sup>E-mail: Siddhant.Das@physik.uni-muenchen.de (corresponding author)

In [1] p. 490, AB noticed that (2) can be summed explicitly for integer and half-integer  $\alpha$ 's. In the latter case they present without derivation the result ([1], eq. (23))

$$F_{n+1/2}(r, \theta) = \sqrt{\frac{i}{2}} e^{-i\theta/2 - ir \cos \theta} \int_0^{\sqrt{r(1+\cos \theta)}} dz e^{iz^2}, \quad (4)$$

which may be related to the error function  $\text{erf}(\cdot)$ . However, this expression is *not* single-valued, as

$$F_{n+1/2}(r, \theta + 2\pi) \neq F_{n+1/2}(r, \theta).$$

Thus (4) cannot be a special case of (2) (which is single-valued regardless of  $\alpha$ ). Furthermore, the right-hand side of (4) does not depend on  $n$ , the integer part of  $\alpha$ . This seems suspicious since  $H$  depends on  $\Phi$  (which is  $n$ -dependent via the definition of  $\alpha$ ), while its eigenfunction (4) appears to be independent of  $n$ .

We note that the effect predicted by AB rests on the requirement that their wave function be single-valued. The single-valuedness (or lack thereof) of wave functions in the AB problem, especially when  $\Phi$  is time-varying, is extensively discussed in the literature, see, *e.g.*, [3–6] and references therein.

In what follows, we evaluate the infinite series (2) for half-integer  $\alpha$ 's via Laplace transforms, obtaining a result that mainly differs from (4) by a  $\theta$ -dependent prefactor, *viz.*,  $\text{sgn}(\cos(\theta/2))e^{-in\theta}$ . This missing factor correctly restores the single-valuedness of AB's result as well as its anticipated  $n$ -dependence.

First, let  $n \mapsto n - [\alpha]$  in (2), where  $[\alpha]$  denotes the greatest integer  $\leq \alpha$ , to obtain

$$F_\alpha(r, \theta) = e^{-i[\alpha]\theta} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-i)^{|n+\{\alpha\}|} J_{|n+\{\alpha\}|}(r) e^{in\theta}. \quad (5)$$

Here,  $\{\alpha\} = \alpha - [\alpha]$  is the fractional part of  $\alpha$  ( $0 \leq \{\alpha\} < 1$  for any  $\alpha$ ).

For  $\{\alpha\} = 0$ , *i.e.*, integer  $\alpha$ , (5) can be evaluated in closed form. For this, note that  $J_{-n}(r) = (-1)^n J_n(r)$ , and

$$(-i)^{|n|} = (-i)^n \begin{cases} 1, & n \geq 0, \\ (-1)^n, & n < 0, \end{cases}$$

for any integer  $n$ . Thus, invoking the Jacobi-Anger expansion ([7], sect. 8.511.4), we obtain

$$F_\alpha(r, \theta) = e^{-i[\alpha]\theta} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} i^n J_n(r) e^{in(\theta-\pi)} = e^{-i([\alpha]\theta+r \cos \theta)}, \quad (6)$$

in agreement with AB after identifying  $r \cos \theta = x$ , see [1], p. 490.

It remains to consider  $\{\alpha\} > 0$  only, for which

$$F_\alpha(r, \theta) \stackrel{(5)}{=} e^{-i[\alpha]\theta} \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-i)^{n+\{\alpha\}} J_{n+\{\alpha\}}(r) e^{in\theta} + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} (-i)^{-n-\{\alpha\}} J_{-n-\{\alpha\}}(r) e^{in\theta} \right]$$

(letting  $n \mapsto -1 - n$  in the second sum)

$$= e^{-i[\alpha]\theta} \left[ (-i)^{\{\alpha\}} f_{\{\alpha\}}(r, \theta) + e^{-i\theta} (-i)^{1-\{\alpha\}} f_{1-\{\alpha\}}(r, -\theta) \right], \quad (7)$$

where we have introduced the function

$$f_\epsilon(r, \theta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-i)^n J_{n+\epsilon}(r) e^{in\theta}. \quad (8)$$

Consider its Laplace transform with respect to  $r$ , given by

$$\mathcal{L}[f_\epsilon(r, \theta)] = \frac{(s + \sqrt{1+s^2})^{1-\epsilon}}{\sqrt{1+s^2} (s + \sqrt{1+s^2} + ie^{i\theta})}, \quad (9)$$

$\text{Re}[s] > 0$ . It follows from exploiting the linearity of the Laplace transform, the identity ([7], sect. 17.13.103)

$$\mathcal{L}[J_\nu(r)] = \frac{(s + \sqrt{1+s^2})^{-\nu}}{\sqrt{1+s^2}}, \quad \nu > -1, \quad \text{Re}[s] > 0, \quad (10)$$

and finally the geometric series formula  $\sum_{n \geq 0} z^n = (1 - z)^{-1}$  for  $|z| < 1$ . For half-integer flux,  $[\alpha] = n$  and  $\{\alpha\} = 1/2$ , the Laplace transform of (7) reads

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}[F_{n+1/2}(r, \theta)] &= \sqrt{-i} e^{-in\theta} \mathcal{L}[f_{1/2}(r, \theta)] \\ &+ \sqrt{-i} e^{-i(n+1)\theta} \mathcal{L}[f_{1/2}(r, -\theta)] \\ &\stackrel{(9)}{=} \sqrt{-i} e^{-in\theta} \frac{\sqrt{s + \sqrt{1+s^2}}}{\sqrt{1+s^2}} \\ &\times \left[ \frac{(1 + e^{-i\theta})(s + \sqrt{1+s^2} + i)}{(s + \sqrt{1+s^2} + ie^{i\theta})(s + \sqrt{1+s^2} + ie^{-i\theta})} \right]. \quad (11) \end{aligned}$$

Following careful simplifications, this reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}[F_{n+1/2}(r, \theta)] &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-i} e^{-in\theta} (1 + e^{-i\theta}) \\ &\times \frac{s + \sqrt{1+s^2} + i}{\sqrt{1+s^2} \sqrt{s + \sqrt{1+s^2}}} \cdot \frac{1}{s + i \cos \theta}. \quad (12) \end{aligned}$$

Now, in order to invert the Laplace transform, note that

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{s + i \cos \theta} \right] = e^{-ir \cos \theta} \quad (13)$$

(see [7], sect. 17.13.7) and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[ \frac{s + \sqrt{1+s^2} + i}{\sqrt{1+s^2} \sqrt{s + \sqrt{1+s^2}}} \right] &= \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[ \frac{\sqrt{s + \sqrt{1+s^2}}}{\sqrt{1+s^2}} \right] \\ + i \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+s^2} \sqrt{s + \sqrt{1+s^2}}} \right] &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi r}} e^{ir}, \quad (14) \end{aligned}$$

given

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[ \frac{(s + \sqrt{1+s^2})^{\pm 1/2}}{\sqrt{1+s^2}} \right] \stackrel{(10)}{=} J_{\mp 1/2}(r) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi r}} \frac{\cos(r)}{\sin(r)}. \quad (15)$$

Applying the Laplace-convolution (or Faltung) theorem,

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}[f(s)g(s)] = \mathcal{L}^{-1}[f(s)] * \mathcal{L}^{-1}[g(s)],$$

to (12), we are thus led to

$$F_{n+1/2}(r, \theta) = \frac{e^{-in\theta}}{\sqrt{2\pi i}} (1 + e^{-i\theta}) \int_0^r \frac{dr'}{\sqrt{r'}} e^{ir'} e^{-i(r-r') \cos \theta}. \quad (16)$$

Observe that (16) vanishes at  $\theta = \pi$  due to the factor in parenthesis. But for  $\theta \neq \pi$ , it follows that  $1 + \cos \theta > 0$ , hence substituting  $z = \sqrt{r'(1 + \cos \theta)}$  in the above integral yields

$$\begin{aligned} F_{n+1/2}(r, \theta) &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi i}} \frac{1 + e^{-i\theta}}{\sqrt{1 + \cos \theta}} e^{-in\theta - ir \cos \theta} \\ &\times \int_0^{\sqrt{r(1 + \cos \theta)}} dz e^{iz^2}. \quad (17) \end{aligned}$$

To complete the calculation, note that

$$1 + e^{-i\theta} = 2e^{-i\theta/2} \cos(\theta/2),$$

and  $\sqrt{1 + \cos \theta} = \sqrt{2} |\cos(\theta/2)|$ , which together imply the final result:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{n+1/2}(r, \theta) &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi i}} \text{sgn} \left( \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \right) e^{-i(n+1/2)\theta - ir \cos \theta} \\ &\times \int_0^{\sqrt{r(1 + \cos \theta)}} dz e^{iz^2}, \quad (18) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\text{sgn}(\cdot)$  is the signum function. Clearly, (18) is a near duplicate of (4), modulo  $\theta$ -dependent factors that had hitherto escaped notice. These insure that (18) is single-valued, unlike the function defined by (4).

In any case, we emphasize that none of AB's *physical* conclusions rely on (4), and are therefore unaffected. This brief comment is meant in no way to denigrate AB's discovery, whose significance can hardly be overemphasised.

\* \* \*

The author is grateful to J. M. WILKES for valuable editorial inputs.

*Data availability statement:* No new data were created or analysed in this study.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] AHARONOV Y. and BOHM D., *Phys. Rev.*, **115** (1959) 485.
- [2] KRETZSCHMAR M., *Z. Phys.*, **185** (1965) 84.
- [3] MERZBACHER E., *Am. J. Phys.*, **30** (1962) 237.
- [4] ROY S. M. and SINGH V., *Nuovo Cimento A*, **79** (1984) 391.
- [5] HENNEBERGER W. C., *J. Math. Phys.*, **22** (1981) 116.
- [6] LI C.-F., *Ann. Phys.*, **252** (1996) 329.
- [7] GRADSHTEYN I. S. and RYZHIK I. M., *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products*, 4th edition (Elsevier, New York) 2007.